FAIR OAKS COMMUNITY PLANNING ADVISORY COUNCIL

MINUTES

Wednesday, May 10, 2017

6:30 PM

Old Fair Oaks Library 4200 Temescal Street Fair Oaks, CA 95628

http://www.per.saccounty.net/CPAC/Pages/CPAC-FairOaks.aspx

Applicant or appointed representative must be present for the item to be heard. If you are unable to attend, please contact the following:

Fair Oaks CPAC Chair Elisa Sabatini (916) 402-3985

Sacramento County Planning and Environmental Review Division representatives for the Fair Oaks Area

(916) 875-2593 Senior Planner Jessica Brandt brandtj@saccounty.net

Associate Planner Kevin Messerschmitt (916) 874-7941 messerschmitt@saccounty.net

To contact the Planning and Environmental Review Division CPAC Secretary, please call (916) 874-5397.

To receive notifications or obtain more information regarding:

Sacramento County public meetings: https://public.govdelivery.com/accounts/CASACRAM/subscriber/new

Current Planning projects, visit the Planning Projects Viewer website at https://planningdocuments.saccounty.net/

To submit project comments to CPAC members, email them to CPAC-FairOaks@saccounty.net. Please identify the relevant project using the project name, control number or address.

> OFFICERS: Elisa Sabatini EXA

Vacant

Secretary Becky Wood P

Rebecca Lund P **MEMBERS:**

Raymond Irwin P Rebecca Friedman P

Chair Vice-Chair

Robert Luscombe P

Harry Azar P

COUNTY PLANNING REPRESENTATIVES: Jessica Brandt P Kevin Messerschmitt EXA

EXA – Excused Absence	U - Unexcused Absence		P – Present
QUORUM DETERMINATION:		Yes	No
COUNTY PLANNING REPRESENTATIVE:		Yes	No

Matters under the jurisdiction of the CPAC that are not posted on the agenda may be addressed by the general public following completion of the regular agenda. The CPAC may limit the length of any off-agenda testimony.

CALL MEETING TO ORDER:

- Call meeting to order 6:37 pm
- Introduction of members, staff, and County representatives
- Explanation of the Role of the Council
- Council to consider approval of the April 12, 2017 minutes Raymond motioned, Rebecca second and unanimous approval
- Harry moved to hold election next month. Becky Lund second. Raymond voted no. All others yes

Change order to move up other business to the top of the order.

PLANNING ITEMS FOR REVIEW:

1. Entitlement(s): RPR, DRS

Control No.: PLNP2017-00108 Nosal Tentative Parcel Map Resubmission

APN: 246-0690-035

Applicant: Burrell Consulting Group

Owner: Michael Nosal

Location: The project is located at 8648 Winding Way, approximately 0.6 miles west of the

intersection of Winding Way and Hazel Avenue in the Fair Oaks community.

Request: 1. A Parcel Map Resubmission (Control No.: 2004-0758) to divide approximately 1.02

acres into two lots in the RD-2 zone.

2. A **Design Review** to conform to Countywide Design Guidelines.

Final Hearing Body: Investigating Member:

Subdivision Review Committee

Lead Planner: Josh Greetan, Assistant Planner, (916) 876-6425, greetanj@saccounty.net

Click here for more information

COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION: APPROVAL		TIME:			
Motion by: Raymond Irwin		Seconded by: Harry Azar			
Vote	Yes 6	No 0		Abstain 0	Absent 1
Action:					

2 Entitlement(s): PCW

Control No.: PLNP2017-00083 Rivendell Lane Tentative Map Early CPAC Workshop

APN: 246-0091-027

Applicant/Owner: Area West Engineers, Inc.

Location: The project is located at 8771 Rivendell Lane, on the north corner of Rivendell Lane and

Martsmith Way in the Fair Oaks community.

Request: An **Early CPAC Workshop** to discuss a rezone and tentative parcel map to divide 1.4

gross acres zoned AR-1 (Agricultural Residential), into 0.67 net acre and 0.58 net acre RD-2 (Residential) lots. The proposed project will require a Tentative Parcel Map,

Rezone, General Plan Amendment and Design Review.

Final Hearing Body:

Investigating Member:

NA – Informational Item Only

Lead Planner: Thomas Vogt, Assistant Planner, (916) 875 5563, vogtt@saccounty.net

Click here for more information

COUNCIL RECOMMENDATION: INFORMATION ONL	Y TIME:
Motion by:	Seconded by:

Yes	No	Abstain	l	Absent				
Note: This CPAC has the right to file an appeal with the County of Sacramento when the committee, commission or official takes an action or determination that conflicts with community-wide policies as understood by the respective CPAC and its constituency. During the Appeal hearing, the applicant or appointed representative must be present.								
Motion by: Seconded by:				/ :				
Yes:	No:	·	Abstain:	Absent:				
recommended they get a	pproval of the neig	hbors to split the I	ot					
	CPAC has the right to fakes an action or deterrice CPAC and its constitue	CPAC has the right to file an appeal with akes an action or determination that confice CPAC and its constituency. During the Appearance of	CPAC has the right to file an appeal with the County of Sakes an action or determination that conflicts with commuCPAC and its constituency. During the Appeal hearing, the Seconded Yes: No:	CPAC has the right to file an appeal with the County of Sacramento when akes an action or determination that conflicts with community-wide policie CPAC and its constituency. During the Appeal hearing, the applicant or ap				

OTHER BUSINESS:

Potential CPAC Appeal of PLNP2016-00406 Planning Commission Decision

- Consideration of a request for the Council to Appeal the Planning Commission denial of appeal and upheld approval by the Subdivision Review Committee of the New York Avenue Tentative Parcel Map (Note: action item added to the May 10, 2017 Fair Oaks CPAC agenda after the standard noticing deadline. This action must receive an affirmative vote of 2/3 to proceed with a vote on initiating an Appeal matter.)
- Consideration of initiation of an Appeal of the Planning Commission denial of appeal and upheld approval by the Subdivision Review Committee of the New York Avenue Tentative Parcel Map (PLNP2016-00406) on May 8th, 2017, based on potential for community-wide significance of project approval.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

MEREDITH OLSWORTH FROM PLANNING IS PRESENT FOR NEW YORK AV DISCUSSION

HARRY IS ACTING CHAIR FOR TONIGHT

APPEAL – RAYMOD MOVED TO DISCUSS, HARRY SECOND, ALL YES (BECKY LUND REQUSED HERSELF PRIOR TO DISCUSSION). RAYMOND EXPLAINED WHAT HAPPENED AT MONDAYS PLANNING MEETING. RAYMOND EXPLAINED THAT HE THINKS AN APPEAL IS POINTLESS. BOB DISCUSSED THAT DRIANAGE AND TRAFFIC IMPACTS SHOULD BE DEALT WITH IN THE PLANNING PROCESS.REBECCA SAID NEIGHBORS CAN APPEAL THEMSELVES. RAYMOND EXPLAINED IT WILL COME BACK TO DISCUSS THE DRAINAGE RESULTS. HARRY CLARIFIED DRAINAGE WAS BOTH UP AND DOWNHILL. TRAFFIC IS A PROBLEM. TITLE IS A PROBLEM. NEED TO COMMUNICATE WITH THE BUILDER.

APPLICANT MADE STATEMENT OF APPOLOGY

RAYMOND MOVED THAT WE DO NOT APPEAL. REBECCA SECOND. BOB SAID NO. 4 TO1 APPROVE THE MOTION WHICH WAS TO NOT APPEAL. THIS RESULTS IN NO ACTION BEING TAKEN ON ITEM 2 OF OTHER BUISNESS.

ITEM 1

RAYMOND, BECKY, BOB, HARRY VISITED

BOB - ACCESS TO WINDING WAY, AND DRAINAGE MAY BE AN ISSUE

BECKY LUND - KNOWS THE OWNERS APPROVES OF WHAT IS PLANNED

REBECCA - WANTS TO HEAR WHAT NIEGHBORS SAY

RAYMOND - SLOPES AMY BE AN ISSUE

HARRY – BEAUTIFUL PROPERTY BUT HYDROLAGY MAY BE AN ISSUE, LOTS OF TREES BUT NONE ARE TO BE REMOVED

APPLICANT PRESENTATION – APPROVED IN 2005 BUT NEVER FINISHED PAPERWORK WITH THE BANK (MORTGAGE HOLDER) IN TIME BEFORE THE PRIOR APPROVAL EXPIRED. TRAFFIC IS OK WITH THE DRIVEWAY, AS IS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. BANK SIGNOFF TOOK TOO LONG AND PRIOR APPROVAL EXPIRED.

RAYMOND ASKED ABOUT THE DRIVEWAY AND IS OK WITH THE ANSWER DRAWN ON A MAP HERE AT THE MEETING.

REBECCA ASKED IF THEY WILL RENT OR SELL. NOT SURE

NO PUBLIC COMMENT

NO FURTHER CPAC COMMENTS

RAYMOND MOVES TO APPROVE, HARRY SECOND. UNNAMINAS APPROVAL

HARRY WANTS THE ARBOREST REPORT SENT TO HIM.

SECOND ITEM

ROBERT - WHERE IS THE GATE FOR THE COMMUNITY?

BECKY WOOD – ONLY IF ALL THE NEIGHBORS WANT LOT SPLITS. CONCERNED ABOUT PRECEDENT FOR REZONING LARGE PARCELS

BECKY LUND LIKES IT AND THINKS IT IS APPROPRIATE

RAYMOND IS CURRIOUS ABOUT THE TREES

ENGINEER RICHARD PRESENTED FOR THE APPLICANT – THIS WILL BE A TWO YEAR PROCESS. ATTACHED IS AN ESTIMATE OF COST FOR THE PROCESS.

THE CURRENT OWNER WANT A SINGLE STORY HOUSE SO WILL SPLIT THE LOT AND SELL HIS TWO STORY HOUSE.

RAYMOND ASKED ABOUT TREES – NO ARBOIST REPORT YET BUT WILL DO WHAT IS NEEDED FOR THE TREES.

BOB - WHY IS THE ZONING AR1? AND WHY SHOULD WE CHANGE IT

NO PUBLIC COMMENT

HARRY PROMPTED THE OWNER TO CONSULT HIS NEIGHBORS REAGRDING THE REZONING, BEFORE SUBMITTING THE FORMAL APPLICATION AND HE SAID THAT HE HAD.

BOB ASK ABOUT TREAR DOWN AND REBUILD RATHER THAN REZONE?


END OF DISCUSSION

LOCATION DISCUSSION FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

HARRY MOVED TO ADJORN RAYMOND SECOND APPROVED

ADJOURNMENT: 7:55

The meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. Requests for interpreting services, assistive listening devices, or other considerations should be made through the County Planning and Environmental Review Division at (916) 874-5397, no later than five working days prior to the meeting. California Relay Service (CRS) is a third party interpretation service for deaf, hard-of-hearing, and/or speech-impaired persons. CRS can be reached by dialing 711 or 1-800-735-2929



www.311.SacCounty.net | Dial 3-1-1

Outside unincorporated Sacramento County
Dial 916-875-4311