

2021-2029 HOUSING ELEMENT SACRAMENTO COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

ADOPTED MONTH XX, 2022

RESOLUTION NO. 2022-XXXX

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to all the community members who provided their input and helped to make this a better Housing Element for everyone.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Don Nottoli, Chairperson
Rich Desmond, Vice Chairperson
Susan Frost
Patrick Kennedy
Phil Serna

PLANNING COMMISSION

Justin Raithel, Chairperson
Genevieve Wong, Vice-Chair
Cara Martinson
Jofil Borja
Peter Tateishi

COUNTY ADMINISTRATION

Ann Edwards, County Executive

HOUSING ELEMENT UPDATE TEAM

Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Leighann Moffitt, Planning Director
Leanne Mueller, Senior Planner
Kate Rose, Associate Planner
Kristi Grabow, Associate Planner
Chris Baker, Geographic Info System Analyst
All Planning and Environmental Review staff who provided input or data.

Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency

Christine Weichert, Director, Development Finance
Susan Veazey, Program Manager

Ascent Environmental, Inc.

Chelsey Payne, AICP, Director of Urban Design and Planning
Rebecca Pope, Urban Planner
Chris Mundhenk, Principal – Environmental
Marianne Lowenthal, Environmental Planner
Tracy Prybyla, PACE, Administrative Professional

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2021-2029 Housing Element Sacramento County of Sacramento..... | 1 |
| Acknowledgements | 1 |
| Chapter 1: Introduction | 4 |
| Purpose of the Housing element | 4 |
| Housing Element Contents | 4 |
| Public Participation | 5 |
| General Plan Consistency | 13 |
| Chapter 2: Financial Resources | 15 |
| Funding sources..... | 15 |
| Institutional Structure and Coordination | 20 |
| Chapter 3: Housing Action Plan | 23 |
| Housing Goals, Policies, and Programs | 23 |
| Goal HE 1: Adequate Supply of Land for Housing | 24 |
| Goal HE 2: Reduction of Constraints to Housing Production | 28 |
| Goal HE 3: Conservation and Rehabilitation of Existing Housing and Neighborhoods | 32 |
| Goal HE 4: Improvement of Housing Opportunities for Special Needs Groups | 36 |
| Goal HE 5: Preservation of Existing Affordable Housing Stock and Provision of Affordable Housing | 42 |
| Goal HE 6: Promote the Efficient Use of Energy in Residences and Improve the Air Quality of Sacramento County | 47 |
| Goal HE 7: Promote and Affirmatively Further Fair Housing Opportunities for County Residents | 48 |
| Chapter 4: Evaluation of Prior Achievements | 52 |
| Summary of Program Achievements | 52 |
| Chapter 5: Population, Employment and Household Characteristics | 58 |
| Population Characteristics | 58 |
| Employment Characteristics | 61 |
| Household Characteristics | 64 |
| COVID-19 Pandemic | 64 |
| Housing Occupancy | 65 |
| Housing Stock Conditions..... | 65 |
| Chapter 6: Housing Needs Assessment..... | 69 |
| Existing Housing Needs | 69 |
| Projected Housing Needs | 77 |
| Special Needs Groups | 78 |
| Chapter 7: Constraints Analysis | 89 |
| Governmental Constraints | 89 |
| Nongovernmental Constraints | 119 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chapter 8: Land Inventory | 123 |
| Regional Housing Needs Allocation | 123 |
| Methodology for the Land Inventory and Capacity Analysis | 129 |
| Chapter 9: Opportunities for Energy Conservation..... | 157 |
| General Energy Efficiency Concepts | 157 |
| Regulatory and Policy Framework | 158 |
| Energy Efficiency Programs | 163 |
| Conclusion..... | 164 |
| Chapter 10: Preservation of assisted housing units | 165 |
| At-Risk Units..... | 165 |
| Preservation Strategies | 167 |
| Chapter 11: Assessment of Fair Housing | 172 |
| Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing | 172 |
| Appendix A: Outreach Meeting Summaries..... | 244 |
| Appendix B: Program Evaluation | 259 |
| Appendix C: Vacant Land Inventory..... | 307 |
| Appendix D: Vacant Land Inventory Maps | 462 |

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE OF THE HOUSING ELEMENT

Sacramento County's General Plan serves as a policy document to guide the development of the unincorporated area of the County. The Housing Element is one of eight mandatory elements of the General Plan.

The purpose of the Housing Element is to identify and analyze existing and projected housing needs for all income groups; to include goals, policies and programs to address the identified needs; and to provide enough sites for new housing development to occur during the 2021-2029 planning period.

HOUSING ELEMENT CONTENTS

The County's Housing Element consists of 11 individual chapters as listed below.

Chapter 1: Introduction: This chapter provides an overview of the purpose and contents of the Housing Element, a description of the public outreach process, and an analysis of consistency with other planning documents.

Chapter 2: Financial Resources: This chapter identifies financial and other resources that are available to fund the construction of new affordable housing, encourage housing rehabilitation, assist first-time homebuyers, support revitalization of lower income neighborhoods, provide various other housing services to low- and moderate-income households, and support other housing element goals. This chapter also describes the roles of the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency as well as various County departments and offices in implementing the Housing Element.

Chapter 3: Housing Action Plan: Per Government Code Section 65583(c), housing elements must contain a program that sets forth a schedule of actions the local government is undertaking or intends to undertake to implement the policies and achieve the goals and objectives of the housing element.

Chapter 4: Evaluation of Prior Achievements: Per Government Code Section 65588, each local government must review the previous housing element to evaluate the effectiveness of the goals, objectives, policies, and programs; the progress in implementing the programs; and the appropriateness of goals, objectives, policies, and programs.

Chapter 5: Population, Employment and Housing Characteristics: Government Code Section 65583 (a)(1) requires the housing element to include an analysis of population and employment trends and Government Code Section 65583 (a)(2) requires the housing element to include an analysis of household characteristics.

Chapter 6: Housing Needs Assessment: Housing element law requires local governments to identify a plan to meet their existing and projected housing needs for households of all income levels. Income levels are based on household earnings as a percentage of area median income as follows: less than 50 percent includes extremely and very low-income households; less than 80 percent is low-income; 80 to 120 percent is moderate-income; and more than 120 percent is above moderate-income. Housing elements must also address groups with special housing needs such as farmworkers, large families and female-headed households, people experiencing homelessness, people with disabilities, including developmental disabilities.

Chapter 7: Constraints Analysis: The housing element must analyze governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, or development of housing and must demonstrate efforts to remove the constraints. The requisite analysis for governmental constraints includes codes and enforcement, onsite or offsite improvement standards, constraints for people with disabilities, fees and exactions, land-use controls, processing and permitting procedures, and any other locally adopted ordinances that directly impact the cost and supply of residential development. The requisite analysis for

nongovernmental constraints includes land costs, construction costs, availability of financing, requests to develop housing at densities below that anticipated in the housing element, and the length of time between approval and submittal of application for building permits.

Chapter 8: Land Inventory: The Land Inventory Chapter includes a discussion of the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA), the methodology for the Vacant Land Inventory appendix, the housing development potential by income group, and the infrastructure and development constraints. This chapter also includes a discussion of the capacity of vacant land for emergency shelter development.

Chapter 9: Opportunity for Energy Conservation: Government Code Section 65583(a)(7) requires local governments to assess opportunities for energy conservation in residential development. The analysis could include planning and land-use, conservation incentives for the building industry and residents, as well as promoting green building and energy-efficient building standards and practices.

Chapter 10: Preservation of Housing Units: This chapter must include an inventory of at-risk units, assess the conversion risk, estimate and analyze the cost of replacement versus preservation for units at risk in the planning period, identify entities qualified to preserve at-risk units, and identify financing and subsidy resources.

Chapter 11: Assessment of Fair Housing: AB 686 (2018) requires housing elements to include an assessment of fair housing. This chapter acts as the assessment of fair housing and discusses the findings of Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice as well as the County's efforts to address systemic issues of racism and inequity.

Appendices: Appendix A provides several tables summarizing the feedback that the County received during public outreach. Appendix B is an evaluation of each program in the previous Housing Element that has provided insight in the development of new, updated programs. Appendix C is a parcel inventory of vacant and underutilized parcels in the unincorporated County that were used in summarizing the acreage and corresponding units available for residential development in Chapter 8. Appendix D provides maps of the location of the inventory of parcels.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Cities and counties are required by State law (Section 65583[c][7] of the California Government Code) to "make a diligent effort to achieve public participation of all economic segments of the community in the development of the housing element, and the program shall describe this effort."

Table 1 below summarizes the County's public outreach activities. Appendix A contains a more detailed list of comments and questions received during each outreach event, including the focus group meetings, community workshops and the Community Planning Advisory Committee (CPAC) presentations.

| Table 1: Housing Element Outreach Strategy | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Outreach Method | Target Audience | Participation | Notes |
| Online Surveys posted on the County website – Survey 1 and Survey 2 | County residents | Received 198 survey responses | <p>Survey 1 was based on the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Survey and was used to understand residents' housing decisions and their housing experiences. This survey was available in English, Spanish, Russian, Vietnamese, Tagalog, and Chinese.</p> <p>Survey 2 was provided with the May 2020 Interactive Webinars. This survey was available in English and Spanish.</p> |
| Focus Group Meetings | Service providers, community-based organizations and subject-matter experts | Hosted 7 focus group meetings with participation by 48 individuals from 19 organizations. | See Appendix A for a summary of comments received during the focus group meetings. |
| Informational Webinar | County Residents and Stakeholders | Webinar recordings have been viewed 24 times on the County's YouTube page. | County recorded an informational webinar in April in English and Spanish. The recording and accessible presentation materials were posted to the County website. |
| Interactive Webinars | County Residents and Stakeholders | Residents within the Arden Arcade, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights, and Rio Linda/Elverta communities. Participation by 6 attendees on May 13 and 6 on May 27, 2020. | County hosted two interactive webinars in May 2020. The presentations were available in English and Spanish. |
| Virtual Public Workshops | Countywide Residents and Stakeholders. Outreach efforts focused on low-income renters. | Attended by 54 participants on November 10 and 20 participants on November 12 | County hosted two workshops for both English and Spanish speakers in November 2020. See Appendix A for a summary of questions, comments, and polling question responses. |

| Table 1: Housing Element Outreach Strategy | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Outreach Method | Target Audience | Participation | Notes |
| Additional Meetings | Service Providers, Subject Matter Experts, Developers or Interested Groups | Met with individuals from five organizations | Additional meetings held upon request by other organizations:: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disability Advisory Committee • Legal Services of North California • House Sacramento • Sacramento Housing Alliance • North State Building Industry Association |
| Community Planning Advisory Committees (CPAC) | County Residents | CPAC members and community members | County staff presented at all 14 CPAC meetings during October and November 2020 to provide CPAC members and the public an opportunity to review proposed Housing Element programs. See Appendix A for a list of comments and questions from CPAC members. |
| Public Review Draft Housing Element | County Residents, Stakeholders, Interested Groups | House Sacramento, Refugee Enrichment & Development Association, Sacramento Area Congregations Together, Legal Services of Northern California and Sacramento Housing Alliance all provided comments on the draft. | The Public Review Draft Housing Element was released for public review from February 1-22, 2021. The Executive Summary and Housing Action Plan Chapter were available in Spanish. County staff proactively notified all groups that participated in the Focus Group Meetings that the documents were available. |
| SHRA Commission | County Residents and Stakeholders | SHRA Commissioners | The SHRA Commission reviewed the draft Housing Element on February 3, 2021. |
| Planning Commission Workshop and Adoption Hearing | County Residents and Stakeholders | All five Planning Commissioners and two public members participated and commented during the workshop | The Planning Commission held a public workshop on the draft Housing Element programs on July 27, 2020. The Planning Commission made a formal recommendation to the Board on the Element on May 24, 2021. |

| Table 1: Housing Element Outreach Strategy | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Outreach Method | Target Audience | Participation | Notes |
| Board of Supervisors Workshop and Adoption Hearing | County Residents and Stakeholders | Four out of five supervisors participated and commented during the workshop. | <p>The Board of Supervisors held a public workshop on the proposed Housing Element programs and provided feedback on October 6, 2020.</p> <p>The Board of Supervisors held hearings to adopt the Element on July 27, 2021.</p> |

ANALYSIS OF IMPEDIMENTS TO FAIR HOUSING CHOICE

As a recipient of federal housing funds, Sacramento County is required to assess barriers to fair housing choice through an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI). SHRA, acting as the Housing Authority for Sacramento County, partnered with other jurisdictions and housing authorities in the region and contracted with Root Policy Research to prepare a regional AI. The purpose of an AI is for public agencies to take meaningful actions to overcome historic patterns of segregation, promote fair housing choice, and foster inclusive communities that are free from discrimination. Local governments may use the AI to meet AB 686 (2018) requirements to include an assessment of fair housing in their housing elements. As a part of their research for the AI, Root Policy conducted extensive community engagement efforts, described below. The findings of the AI are discussed in more detail in the Assessment of Fair Housing chapter of this element.

The community engagement process for the Sacramento Valley AI included focus groups with residents and stakeholders, “pop up” engagement at local events, and a resident survey. Stakeholder focus groups were supplemented with in-depth interviews as needed and as opportunities arose.

In partnership with the participating jurisdictions and nonprofit organizations throughout the region, the project team facilitated six resident focus groups and six stakeholder focus groups. The 80 resident focus group participants included:

- African American mothers hosted by Her Health First (city of Sacramento, Sacramento County);
- African American and Hispanic residents hosted by Sacramento Self-Help Housing (City of Sacramento, Sacramento County);
- Low income families with children hosted by the Folsom Cordova Community Partnership/Family Resource Center (Sacramento County);
- Residents with disabilities hosted by Advocates for Mentally Ill Housing (Rocklin, Roseville);
- Residents with disabilities hosted by Resources for Independent Living (City of Sacramento); and
- Transgender residents hosted by the Gender Health Center (City of Sacramento, Sacramento County).

Stakeholder focus groups included 35 participants representing organizations operating throughout the region. For the purpose of the AI, “stakeholders” include people who work in the fields of housing, real estate and development, supportive services, fair housing advocacy, education, transportation, economic equity, and economic development. Individuals living in the region are referred to as “residents” in the AI to highlight their stories and experiences.

A total of 577 regional residents participated in engagement activities at local events. Sacramento County event locations included ACC Senior Services, Del Paso Heights Library, Mack Road Valley Hi Community Center, and Meals on Wheels congregant meal locations. A resident survey was available in Chinese, Korean, Russian, Spanish, and Vietnamese both online and accessible to participants using assistive devices (e.g., screen readers), and in a postage-paid paper mail-back format. A total of 224 Sacramento County residents participated in the resident survey. In order to facilitate additional feedback, the County released a housing element survey based on the AI resident survey and collected 187 additional responses.

FOCUS GROUP MEETINGS

From May 2020 through June 2020, the County conducted a series of focus group meetings with key stakeholders that either provide services to special needs populations or are actively engaged and/or knowledgeable regarding housing issues. The focus group meetings covered the following topics: Housing for People with Disabilities; Housing Goals, Policies, and Programs; Barriers to Housing and Services for People Experiencing Homelessness; Housing for Farmworkers; and, Housing for Seniors. During the focus group meetings, the stakeholders provided the County with a description of housing challenges for special needs groups, new program ideas, and additional data sources.

In October 2020, the County hosted two additional focus group meetings; one meeting to review Housing for the Refugee and Immigrant Community and another to report back to stakeholders on draft Goals, Policies, and Programs.

In addition to the focus group meetings, County staff met independently with other organizations including the Disability Advisory Committee, North State Building Industry Association (BIA), Sacramento Housing Alliance, Legal Services of Northern California and House Sacramento.

Some of the organizations that participated in the focus group meetings include:

- Sacramento Housing Alliance
- California Coalition for Rural Housing
- Sacramento County Adult and Aging Commission
- Legal Services of Northern California
- California Health Collaborative
- Resources for Independent Living Sacramento
- Sacramento County Disability Advisory Commission
- House Sacramento
- Sacramento Self-Help Housing, Inc.
- Turning Point Community Programs
- Hope Cooperative
- Jamboree Housing
- Consumer Self Help Center
- First Step Communities
- La Familia Counseling Center
- NorCal Resist
- California Immigrant Policy Center
- International Rescue Committee
- Sacramento Area Congregations Together

WEBINARS AND WORKSHOPS

In response to COVID-19 and the shelter-in-place orders, the County transitioned all in-person workshops with members of the public to webinars and virtual workshops. The County conducted three webinars for members of the public in May 2020 using an online platform with one for informational/background purposes and two interactive webinars. The informational webinar was recorded in English and Spanish and posted to the Housing Element webpage. During the interactive webinars, members of the public were asked about what they like about housing in their community, what housing challenges they have faced in their community, and what the County could do to meet the housing needs of their community. Despite a large number of people registered for these events, attendance at these two webinars was low. In order to get additional feedback, County staff added a recording of the interactive webinar to the website as well as a survey to allow for additional input from members of the public.

The County made several changes to the outreach strategy during the second phase of community workshops in November 2020 to ensure more participation. The County switched from the previous online platform to Zoom, which emerged as the most popular meeting platform during the COVID-19 pandemic. The change to Zoom made providing live Spanish interpretation easier during the workshops. The County also hosted gift card giveaways during both of the November 2020 workshops to encourage attendance. The County advertised these workshops through NextDoor, Facebook, the Housing Element listserv and website, and in partnership with Community Based Organizations and SHRA. These changes to the outreach strategy resulted in increased attendance; there were 54 attendees at the November 10, 2020 workshop and 22 attendees at the November 12, 2020 workshop. The County posted a recording of the workshops and a survey with the workshop polling questions. The workshops included an education component to cover Housing Element basics, an interactive component using polling questions to get feedback on proposed programs, and opportunities for questions and answers.

All public outreach materials were made available in English and Spanish, including all email notifications. The County also provided screen reader friendly versions of each of the presentations on the Housing Element website.

GENERAL COMMENTS RECEIVED

Table 2 summarizes general comments received during the outreach activities and how the comments were incorporated into the Housing Element. Appendix A contains a more detailed list of responses to comments and questions received during outreach activities.

| Table 2: Comments Received and Addressed | | |
|--|--|---|
| General Comment | Source | Comment Response |
| Increase Housing Trust Fund (non-residential) fees; reevaluate the Affordable Housing Ordinance fee-only program (residential) | Goals Policies and Programs Focus Group Meeting | County is preparing Nexus Study to update the Housing Trust Fund fees concurrent with preparation of the Housing Element. County staff prepared program E3, which requires an evaluation of the Affordable Housing Ordinance. |
| Evaluation of the Affordable Housing Ordinance should happen sooner than 2023. | Virtual Public Workshops | Due to staffing resources and budget uncertainty, County staff selected a completion date of 2023. However, the County will evaluate sooner if priorities allow. |
| Limited affordable and accessible housing stock; incentivize development of additional accessible | Housing for People with Disabilities and Housing for Seniors Focus Group | County staff created programs D1 and D2 in direct response to this comment. |

| Table 2: Comments Received and Addressed | | |
|--|---|--|
| General Comment | Source | Comment Response |
| units; develop a system to share new accessible units that are built with stakeholders. | Meetings and Disability Advisory Committee | |
| Nimbyism and xenophobia are barriers to housing and services for people experiencing homelessness; broader community education effort is needed to help the public to accept different types of housing. | Housing and Services for People Experiencing Homelessness Focus Group Meeting | County staff created program B5 in direct response to this comment. |
| Permit tiny homes and alternative housing types. | Housing and Services for People Experiencing Homelessness Focus Group Meeting | County staff created program D10 in direct response to this comment. |
| Barriers to housing for refugees and immigrants include rental requirements (security deposit, income requirements), lack of units with three or more bedrooms, lack of understanding of the system, lack of language accessibility, substandard housing conditions and fear of retaliation. | Immigrant and Refugee Community Focus Group Meeting | Addressed by programs G3, G4, G6 and E4. County staff created program G6 in direct response to this comment. |
| Tenant protections are needed soon due to coronavirus pandemic. | Virtual Public Workshops | The target date for program G5, Tenant Protections, is December 2024. However, County staff recognizes the immediacy of the need for tenant protections due to the coronavirus pandemic. If timing and funding becomes available, this work may commence sooner. |
| County should review Napa and Sonoma County codes related to farmworker housing. | Delta CMAC Meeting | County staff reviewed the Napa County and Sonoma County zoning codes and met with Sonoma County staff to discuss farmworker housing. County staff created program D6 in direct response to this comment. |
| Make data available to increase transparency about the impact of the Rental Housing Inspection Program. | Meeting with Sacramento Housing Alliance | County staff revised program C7 in direct response to this comment. |
| Be more specific in the language of program B8. Missing Middle Housing (or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing) | Comment letter from Legal Services of Northern California | County staff revised program B8 to specify that the County will consider amendments to the Zoning Code to allow missing |

| Table 2: Comments Received and Addressed | | |
|--|---|---|
| General Comment | Source | Comment Response |
| | (LSNC) and Sacramento Housing Alliance (SHA) | middle housing in single family zoning districts. |
| Identify program B8 as a key component of Housing Element Goal 7 because it will more housing types in existing single family-only neighborhoods. | Comment letter from House Sacramento | County staff revised the introduction to Goal HE 7 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter in direct response to this comment. |
| Develop programs that address the needs of large families and female-headed households. | Comment letter from LSNC and SHA | County staff added references to programs that will benefit Large Households and Female-Headed Households to the Housing Needs Assessment Chapter. |
| Require 10 percent of all new affordable rental communities to be set aside for people experiencing homelessness. | Comment letter from LSNC and SHA | County staff created program D14 in direct response to this comment. |
| The 48 months that refugee families receive cash aid for is not adequate. They need programs to help them secure housing beyond the 48-month period. | Comment letter from Refugee Enrichment and Redevelopment Associations | County staff added information about the Refugee Cash Assistance program and the CalWORKS program. County staff also included information about when the 48-month period of eligibility for the CalWORKS program will increase. |

Table 3 below describes ongoing efforts to engage the public and stakeholders in the implementation of the housing element after its adoption.

| Table 3: Ongoing Engagement Efforts | | |
|---|--|--|
| Description of Effort | Frequency | Audience |
| Annual progress reports to track implementation of programs | Annually | Board of Supervisors and the public |
| Meetings with BIA | Monthly | Market-rate housing Developers |
| Meetings with affordable housing developers | Twice per planning period: June 2023 and June 2027 | Affordable housing developers |
| Renters Helpline Meetings | Quarterly | Funding partners and service providers |

GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY

State Housing Element law (Government Code Section 65583(c)(7)) requires the identification of “means by which consistency will be achieved with other general plan elements and community goals”. The County conducted the following analysis to ensure that the Housing Element is consistent with other General Plan elements. The County will continue to review and revise the Housing Element, as necessary for consistency, when amendments are made to other elements of the General Plan.

- Environmental Justice Element:** The Safe and Sanitary Homes section of the Environmental Justice Element includes Policy EJ-31 and several implementation measures that require the County to analyze the presence of overcrowding in EJ Communities and the causes of that overcrowding and collaborate with refugee and immigrant advocates as a part of the Housing Element. To address this policy, the County hosted a focus group meeting with service providers for the refugee and immigrant community in Sacramento County. A discussion of this meeting as well as an analysis on housing overcrowding is included in the Housing Needs Assessment Chapter of this element. There is some tension between policy EJ-32, which calls for the provision of affordable housing in EJ Communities, and policy HE 7.1.3, which calls for the provision of affordable housing in areas of opportunity. Since adoption of the EJ Element, the County became aware of a growing body of research that suggests that affordable housing be provided in opportunity areas or areas with access to resources like employment centers and high performing schools. However, other keystone EJ Element policies (such as EJ-1) are intended to address historic inequities and divestment by prioritizing EJ Communities for infrastructure and amenities, with the ultimate goal of transforming EJ Communities into areas of opportunity. This complements policy HE 7.1.3 and is consistent with the Housing Element’s goal to promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities for County residents.

Many programs use Environmental Justice Communities as geographic areas of focus. The EJ Element’s geographic focus of analysis and policies is Environmental Justice Communities (EJ Communities) - areas that are considered disadvantaged compared to other parts of the unincorporated County. Staff used two sources to determine the extent and boundaries of Environmental Justice Communities. One source is the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (more commonly known as CalEnviroScreen). The other source staff used to identify Environmental Justice Communities is the Sacramento Area Council of Governments’ (SACOG) Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS). State law requires SACOG to conduct an environmental justice and Title VI analysis as part of the MTP\SCS to determine whether the MTP/SCS equitably benefits low-income and minority communities (Sacramento Area Council of Governments, 181). SACOG refers to these areas as Low Income High Minority (LIHM) Areas.

Staff combined CalEPA’s disadvantaged communities with SACOG’s LIHM areas to delineate Environmental Justice Communities. There are four EJ Communities: North Highlands/Foothill Farms, North Vineyard, South Sacramento and West Arden-Arcade. The North Highlands/Foothill Farms EJ Community includes Old Foothill Farms. These Environmental Justice Communities include Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAPs) and TCAC areas of High Segregation and Poverty.

- Land Use Element:** The projected residential development evaluated in the Land Inventory chapter of this element is consistent with General Plan policies regarding the Urban Policy Area and the Urban Service Boundary.
- Open Space and Agricultural Elements:** To maintain consistency with the Open Space and Agriculture Elements, the County did not include lands designated for open space or agriculture in the inventory (except lands zoned for agricultural/residential use). There are no sites identified in the County’s inventory for residential development that conflict with open space, conservation, or agricultural policies of the General Plan. For this reason, the Housing Element is consistent with the Open Space and Agricultural Elements.

- **Safety Element:** State law requires that the safety element be reviewed and revised as necessary to address climate adaption and resiliency strategies and flood and fire hazards upon each revision of the housing element or local hazard mitigation plan (LHMP). The County is currently updating the LHMP and anticipates adopting the updates in 2022. At the time of LHMP adoption, the County will update the Safety Element to summarize and incorporate the LHMP by reference consistent with state law.

CHAPTER 2: FINANCIAL RESOURCES

This chapter presents information on funds and other resources that are available to support Sacramento County's (County's) housing programs. The County's housing programs are funded through a variety of federal, state, and local sources. These funds support the construction of new affordable housing, encourage housing rehabilitation, assist first-time homebuyers, emergency rental assistance, support revitalization of lower income neighborhoods, and provide various other housing services to low- and moderate-income households. In addition to financial resources, the County makes use of the institutional capabilities of government agencies and nonprofit organizations.

FUNDING SOURCES

This section summarizes the funding sources administered by the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA). Since 1982, SHRA has managed and administered federal housing and community development funds on behalf of the City of Sacramento (City) and County and served as the federal housing and community development staffing entity pursuant to an agreement between the City and County. In this capacity, SHRA is the lead public agency for the City and County in affordable housing development, providing development funding, operating assistance, and mortgage assistance for a variety of affordable housing types throughout the City and County.

Table 4 presents a summary of resources available for housing programs for 2018-2020.

| Table 4: SHRA Budgeted Funding by Funding Source | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Funding Source | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | AVERAGE |
| CDBG ¹ | \$1,443,882 | \$992,569 | \$1,666,544 | \$1,367,665 |
| HOME | \$3,180,255 | \$2,992,126 | \$3,283,169 | \$3,151,850 |
| Housing Trust Fund ² | \$367,311 | \$406,770 | \$387,040 | \$387,040 |
| Affordable Housing Fund ³ | \$3,305,599 | \$2,214,766 | \$1,849,395 | \$2,843,627 |
| Permanent Local Housing Allocation | N/A | N/A | \$2,720,826 | \$2,720,826 |
| HCV ⁴ | \$100,749,057 | \$100,344,675 | \$109,079,844 | \$103,391,192 |
| TOTAL | \$109,046,104 | \$106,950,906 | \$118,986,818 | \$113,862,200 |

1. CDBG ("Community Development Block Grant") amounts represented are the portion of each year's allocation utilized for housing purposes.

2. 2020 figure projected based on 2-year average.

3. 2020 figure projected based on 5-year average.

4. HCV ("Housing Choice Voucher") revenue amounts include total housing assistance payments for the entire County, including all incorporated cities.

Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) funding makes up the majority of SHRA's funding. HCVs provide operating revenue for owners of rental housing and are not typically a resource for the creation of new housing. In recent years, however, SHRA has been committing a portion of its vouchers to affordable housing developers in the form of Project-Based Vouchers to support the development of new housing for formerly homeless households.

Revenues from HOME, Housing Trust Funds, Affordable Housing Funds, and Permanent Local Housing Allocation (Table 4) are targeted for multifamily rental gap financing through SHRA's Multifamily Lending Program. This program provides assistance for developers wishing to purchase and rehabilitate or construct new, affordable rental housing throughout the County. The Multifamily Lending and Mortgage Revenue Bond Policies which govern the loan program were updated in 2019 and the identify preservation of affordable housing at risk of loss from the affordable inventory as the first priority and new construction of affordable housing as the second priority.

FEDERAL SOURCES OF FUNDING

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Funds

Public Housing

The largest source of funding for affordable housing in Sacramento comes from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). HUD supports the Housing Authority's public housing units, new development and rehabilitation of affordable housing, and special needs housing through the provision of operating subsidies and capital funding. The County Housing Authority has 771 public housing units (excluding properties within the City of Sacramento), which house some of the poorest residents of the County. The vast majority of public housing residents (84 percent) are female headed households with, at least, one dependent and their average household income is just over \$17,000 a year. Providing safe and secure housing for this population is extremely expensive and requires an ongoing, dedicated operating subsidy. Recent cutbacks to the public housing program at HUD are requiring public housing authorities nationwide to reconsider how they own, manage and maintain their public housing assets. In recognition of the declining federal resources, the County has approved SHRA's asset repositioning strategy, which aims to rehabilitate and redevelop current public housing, with no net loss of subsidized units.

Housing Choice Vouchers

In addition to public housing, HUD also funds Housing Choice Vouchers formerly known as Section 8. Unlike public housing, which fixes the tenant's rent to no more than 30 percent of their household income, Housing Choice Vouchers provide a subsidy to private landlords to pay the difference between what the tenant can afford with 30 percent of their family income and the fair market rent. The Housing Choice Voucher program aims to provide housing choices to lower income families, and to disperse lower income residents throughout the County. SHRA administers approximately 13,200 Housing Choice vouchers throughout Sacramento County, including the incorporated cities. The majority of these vouchers are "tenant based" – they travel with the tenant to wherever they wish to reside. However, SHRA also provides Project-Based Vouchers that provide a similar form of subsidy payment to several privately-owned affordable housing projects. Of the 13,200 vouchers, 664 are available specifically to veterans through HUD's Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) program. SHRA issues an annual request for proposals for affordable housing providers interested in obtaining Project-Based Vouchers to serve homeless individuals and families. As of 2019, SHRA had allocated 742 vouchers specifically for housing people experiencing homelessness.

Entitlement Funds

As an entitlement county under HUD regulations, Sacramento County also receives Community Development Block Grants (CDBG), Home Investment Partnership (HOME) funds, Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG), and Housing for People with AIDS/HIV (HOPWA). Federal entitlement funds available in fiscal year 2020 (not including additional allocations relating to the Covid pandemic) are as follows:

- CDBG \$5,768,029
- HOME \$2,992,126
- ESG \$484,844

- HOPWA \$1,330,405¹

CDGB. The majority of CDBG funds are allocated for non-housing community development projects and each HUD funding source also allows for administrative costs. According to the 2020 County Action Plan, approximately \$1.2 million of the total CDBG entitlement will be allocated for housing development, preservation and homeownership. CDBG funds designated for housing support rehabilitation of owner-occupied housing and rehabilitation of multifamily rental housing. New construction of housing is not an eligible CDBG activity. CDBG funds are also available for public service activities supporting homeless programs such as shelter services and rapid rehousing.

HOME. County HOME funds are used exclusively for the new construction and rehabilitation of affordable multifamily rental housing. The County receives approximately \$3.1 million in HOME funds annually, and repayments from outstanding loans are “revolved” back into the fund and provide an estimated \$1.2 million, annually.

ESG and HOPWA. The Emergency Solutions (formerly Shelter) Grant (ESG) program provides people experiencing homelessness with basic shelter, essential supportive services, and rapid rehousing. ESG funds can be used for a variety of activities, including: rehabilitation or remodeling of a building used as a new shelter, operations and maintenance of a facility, essential supportive services, and homeless prevention. The Housing for People with AIDS/HIV (HOPWA) program provides grant funds to design long-term, comprehensive strategies for meeting the housing needs of low- and moderate-income people living with HIV/AIDS. HOPWA funds can be used for acquisition, rehabilitation, or new construction of housing, rental assistance, and related supportive services. HOPWA funds are received by the City of Sacramento. The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) administers the funds on behalf of the City of Sacramento and the Counties of Sacramento, El Dorado, Placer, and Yolo.

Continuum of Care (CoC) Program

Funding is available on an annual basis through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) which is typically published in the spring of each year. Sacramento Steps Forward (SSF) is the County's Lead Agency (Grantee) coordinating the local application process on behalf of the County and its incorporated jurisdictions. SSF collaborates with a 25-member stakeholder Advisory Board. Each year the Continuum of Care (CoC) Board initiates a competitive grant application process for organizations that wish to be included in the HUD's annual Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) competition. Most of the CoC funding received by HUD is used to maintain housing for formerly homeless individuals who were considered the most vulnerable and required long-term support to maintain housing. When the CoC receives additional funding, additional housing opportunities are available for people experiencing homelessness.

Mortgage Revenue Bonds and Low Income Housing Tax Credits

SHRA issues tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds on behalf of the County for housing developments that restrict a portion of their units for very low-income and low-income households. The basic federal requirements are that 20 percent of the units must be restricted to very low-income households (50 percent of area median income), or 30 percent of the units restricted to low-income households (60 percent of area median income) and 10 percent for very low-income households. The funds raised as a result of the bond sales carry below market interest rates, and are usually coupled with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits to raise the equity needed for the project's affordability and feasibility.

The Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program is a federal program which provides each state an allocation of tax credits based upon population to create affordable housing for low-income households. The tax credits are incentives for private business to invest in affordable housing. Within the federal tax credit program, there are two programs - the four percent and the nine percent tax credits. The nine percent tax

¹ The City of Sacramento is the recipient of HOPWA funds for the counties of Sacramento, El Dorado, Placer, and Yolo.

credit program with its higher credit factor is more competitive and requires much deeper affordability targeting. The four percent tax credit program is used solely in conjunction with the mortgage revenue bond program. In addition to federal tax credits, California has created a state tax credit program to be used in conjunction with the federal credits.

Mortgage Credit Certificates

The Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) program provides financial assistance to first time homebuyers for the purchase of new or existing single-family homes. The MCC provides qualified first time homebuyers with a federal income tax credit, which reduces an individual's tax payment(s) by an amount equal to the credit. The MCC program can be used to increase homeownership, especially in targeted neighborhoods.

STATE SOURCES OF FUNDING

Proposition 1 (SB3) – Veterans and Affordable Housing Bond

Voters approved a \$4 billion general obligation bond in November 2018, the proceeds of which will be used to fund existing, statewide housing programs. These funds are administered by the State Department of Housing and Community Development. Funds were allocated to the following competitive housing programs:

- Multifamily Housing Program - \$1.5 billion. Provides soft-second loans (gap financing) for new construction and rehabilitation of affordable rental housing. Developers apply.
- Infill Infrastructure Grant Financing - \$300 million. Provides gap funding for infrastructure improvements required for residential and mixed-use infill development. Developers apply.
- Local Housing Trust Match Grant Program - \$300 million. Affordable Housing Innovation Fund to help finance local housing trust funds dedicated to the creation or preservation of affordable housing. Applicants are cities and counties with Housing Elements that are in compliance. SHRA has successfully applied for and received these funds on behalf of the County in the past.
- Transit-Oriented Development Program - \$150 million. Eligible applicants include cities, counties, transit agencies and developers. Funds are to be used to facilitate the development of higher density uses within close proximity to transit stations.
- CalHome - \$300 million. Funding to provide homeownership opportunities for low and very-low income homebuyers. Grants are awarded to public agencies and non-profits who offer downpayment assistance and/or home rehabilitation assistance. SHRA has been successful at applying for and receiving these funds when available in the past.

Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (Cap and Trade)

Proceeds from the State's Cap-and-Trade program generated through regular auctions of carbon allowances are channeled into State loan and grant programs designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Strategic Growth Council (SGC) coordinates two programs with affordable housing components:

- The Transformative Climate Communities (TCC) program funds development and infrastructure projects designed achieve major environmental, health, and economic benefits in disadvantaged communities. This program is only available to communities within certain CalEnviroScreen zones.
- The Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities (AHSC) program is more closely aligned to the production of new housing than the TCC program. AHSC is a competitive program that relies on reductions in greenhouse gas emissions partially through the production of dense housing near transit. This fundamental purpose of the program has made obtaining AHSC funding challenging for the Sacramento region given the lack of density in contrast to regions like the Bay Area and Los Angeles basin. The source of the program resources – Cap-and-Trade funds – necessitates the integration of housing with transit and projects must demonstrate sufficient greenhouse gas reductions.

Mental Health Services Act Program

Voters approved Proposition 63 in 2004 creating the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) to provide increased funding, personnel, and other resources to support county mental health programs. Revenues are generated by a one percent income tax on personal income in excess of one million dollars.

In 2018, voters approved the bonding of this stream of revenue for the purpose of developing permanent supportive housing for people experiencing homelessness who are also mentally ill. Up to \$2 billion in bond proceeds supported by the resources generated by the MHSA will be made available to develop permanent supportive housing for persons in need of mental health services and experiencing homelessness through the No Place Like Home (NPLH) program. Each spring, the County and SHRA coordinate on a “Request to Partner” with affordable housing developers who are interested in setting aside units to serve this vulnerable population and receive housing subsidies in order to provide housing at extremely low income levels. The County submits the application to the State and has been successful in obtaining competitive NPLH funds to support the development of new, permanent supportive housing.

LOCAL SOURCES OF FUNDING

Housing Trust Fund of Sacramento County

Sacramento County adopted a local ordinance in 1989 that established an impact fee on new non-residential construction. The fees were based on an economic nexus analysis that determined that the construction of such commercial projects as offices, business parks, hotels, warehouses, and shopping centers played a major role in attracting new very low- and low-income workers to Sacramento. Because the workers are often unable to afford housing close to their work sites, the fee-generated revenue is used to increase the supply of housing affordable to these income groups, creating the nexus or linkage between jobs and housing.

Annually, the County Housing Trust Fund provides approximately \$380,000 for new construction of housing for people that are in or likely to be in the labor force. Housing Trust funds are most commonly used as “gap” loans, leveraging millions of dollars of outside resources to create affordable housing in the County.

Affordable Housing Ordinance

In 2014, the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors (Board) repealed the County’s existing Ordinance, and adopted a revised Affordable Housing Ordinance (Ordinance). The 2014 Ordinance creates a standard affordable housing fee applicable to all developers (with limited exceptions) and also provides a variety of other options an applicant/developer may choose to satisfy a development project’s affordable housing obligations. The County has obligated itself to use fifty-percent of the funds to produce affordable housing in large development projects in order to achieve the objective of ensuring affordable housing is distributed throughout the County including in new growth areas. To achieve this, the County is seeking sites in large development projects through Development Agreements.

Under the 2014 Ordinance, new residential development projects have the following options to comply with the affordable housing requirement:

- Pay an affordability fee on all newly constructed market-rate units;
- Comply with the development project’s approved affordable housing plan if one exists under the repealed ordinance; or
- Enter into a development agreement or other form of agreement with the County, which provides for a fee credit for land dedication, construction of affordable housing units, or other mechanism that leads to the production of affordable housing in an amount at least equivalent to the affordability fee.
- Purchase Unit Credits for affordable housing units banked with SHRA.

Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA)

In 2017, Senate Bill 2 created the first permanent source of affordable housing in the State of California. Revenue is generated through recording fees on real estate transactions and will, therefore, vary from year to year depending upon activity.

The majority of the funding supports the Permanent Local Housing Allocation (PLHA) which is an entitlement program for local governments with allocations based on the CDBG formula. Eligible uses are broad and include rental and ownership housing activities, and homeless services. Jurisdictions are required to integrate a homeownership component into their plans.

INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE AND COORDINATION

SACRAMENTO HOUSING AND REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (SHRA)

The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) is a Joint Powers Authority created as a public agency by the City and County of Sacramento in 1973. SHRA serves three essential functions for both the City and unincorporated County in its roles as the Housing Authority and housing department for both jurisdictions.

In its role as the Housing Authority, SHRA owns and operates 1,508 public housing units in the City and an additional 771 in the other portions of the County. It administers over 13,000 Housing Choice Vouchers throughout Sacramento County. Through the Housing Authority's efforts to reduce dependence on annual federal appropriations, the Housing Authority has repositioned several of its public housing assets into a privately-owned affordable housing model. The long-term plan is to remove all public housing from the federal platform. The Housing Authority currently owns and manages 271 affordable units comprised of tax credits, and local funds. The non-profit arm, Sacramento Housing Authority Repositioning Program Inc. (SHARP), owns and manages three additional senior housing projects (231 units) that were previously a part of the public housing inventory. By 2021, SHARP will also own and manage 124 scattered site Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) apartments and 215 apartments converted through the Section 18 program.

SHRA acts as the County's finance housing department, administering federal and state funding programs for the provision of affordable housing and implementing the County's Housing Trust Fund and Affordable Housing Ordinances.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Several departments and offices within Sacramento County assist in the planning and implementation of the Housing Element. The agencies, departments, offices, and divisions listed below focus on development, infrastructure, and supportive services and are listed as responsible departments in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this element. In addition to these groups, the Department of Finance and Environmental Management Department have important responsibilities for implementing actions under the Housing Element.

Office of Planning and Environmental Review

The Office of Planning and Environmental Review provides a range of land use planning services, including accepting and processing land use development applications, assessing environmental impacts and preparing environmental documents, monitoring mitigation actions and oversight of Countywide policy and standards through the General Plan and Zoning Code maintenance and updating. As the primary authors of the General Plan, the Office of Planning and Environmental Review is also responsible for updating the County's Housing Element of the General Plan.

In addition to the funding sources for affordable housing development discussed above, there are several funding sources for planning efforts that the Office of Planning and Environmental Review may apply for on behalf of the County. These include the Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) grants, SB 2 Planning Grants Program, and Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) grants.

- The Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) program assists cities and counties to plan for housing through providing one-time over-the-counter, non-competitive planning grants. The Office of Planning and Environmental Review was awarded LEAP grant funds for Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) construction plans, ADU ordinance amendments, Housing Element Update activities, Zoning Code amendments for supportive housing, a Housing Trust Fund Nexus Study, and an Infill Program.
- The SB 2 Planning Grants program provides one-time funding and technical assistance to all eligible local governments in California to adopt, and implement plans and process improvements that streamline housing approvals and accelerate housing production. The Office of Planning and Environmental Review received SB 2 Planning Grants Program funds for the Countywide Rezone Program, preparation of the Permanent Local Housing Allocation Funding Plan, and General Plan and Development Code amendments.
- The Regional Early Action Planning (REAP) program helps council of governments (COGs) and other regional entities collaborate on projects that have a broader regional impact on housing. Grant funding is intended to help regional governments and entities facilitate local housing production that will assist local governments in meeting their Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA).

Public Works & Infrastructure Agency

Public Works & Infrastructure provides services to the residents of unincorporated Sacramento County including code enforcement, construction management and inspection, building permits and development services, garbage/recycling collection, road maintenance, clean water, and storm protection.

Department of Development & Code Services

Development and Code Services ensures that all development is consistent with State Law and Building Codes. The following divisions play a critical role in housing development in the County.

- **Building Permits and Inspection.** Building Permits and Inspection interprets and enforces California Building Codes for privately owned buildings and structures including commercial and residential new buildings, alterations, additions and/or repairs within Sacramento County's unincorporated area.
- **Code Enforcement.** Code Enforcement investigates housing, zoning and vehicle abatement laws in the unincorporated Sacramento County. Code Enforcement works to achieve voluntary compliance through notification and education. When necessary, they use legal procedures including boarding structures, removing junk and rubbish and junk vehicles, civil citations, criminal citations and demolition of dangerous buildings.
- **County Engineering.** County Engineering includes the office of the County Engineer and provides services for the building and development community and County departments. Site Improvement and Permits Section (SIPS) reviews/approves civil improvement plans and assists with various permits. Surveys Section performs County Surveyor functions and reviews survey documents for public and private projects. Special District Section oversees public infrastructure financing plans and establishes/manages special financing mechanisms including development impact fees, Mello-Roos, CFDs, and assessment districts.

Department of Transportation

Sacramento County Department of Transportation (SACDOT) is responsible for planning, improving, operating and maintaining a transportation system that serves unincorporated area citizens, regional traffic and commerce.

Social Services Agency

Social Services' mission is to create and deliver services that improve the quality of life for all Sacramento County residents. Social Services provides federal, state and local services and programs that guard public

health and the environment; prevent physical and financial abuse of the vulnerable; provide employment and safety-net services.

Department of Human Assistance

The Sacramento County Department of Human Assistance plans, implements and oversees a spectrum of programs and services designed to move people from public assistance to independence. DHA's mission of fostering self-sufficiency among those it serves touches every facet of daily life, from employment, housing and health care to transportation, education and child care.

Department of Health Services

The Department of Health Services (DHS) mission is to improve the health and wellness of Sacramento County residents. DHS has three divisions: Behavioral Health - provides alcohol/drug treatment services, and mental health services; Primary Health - provides clinic, emergency, and correctional health services; and Public Health - promotes, protects, and assures conditions for optimal health and public safety for residents.

Director of Homeless Initiatives

The Director of Homeless Initiatives provides strategic and policy leadership and direction across County Departments and works collaboratively with public and private partners to combat homelessness. As the largest provider of social services in the county and investing in a wide range of homeless and housing services, Sacramento County is committed to impactful actions that help individuals and families regain health, income, and permanent housing stability.

Interdepartmental Coordination

In addition to the funding sources specific to housing identified on pages 15-18, other federal and State funding programs are available to local governments to address existing infrastructure needs and other community benefits. Multiple Sacramento County departments are actively engaged in a coordinated effort to pursue grant funds to address the unique needs of the County's communities, especially Environmental Justice communities identified in the Environmental Justice Element. Investments to improve existing infrastructure and community assets such as parks and trails can spur additional infill housing development by reducing the financial burden associated with these facilities.

CHAPTER 3: HOUSING ACTION PLAN

California Government Code Section 65583 requires that the Housing Element contain a “statement of the community’s goals, quantified objectives, and policies relative to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing.” This chapter contains Sacramento County’s Action Plan, which consists of a broad range of goals, objectives, policies, and programs that address the development of sufficient housing to accommodate population growth, and to encourage the production of units in a range of prices affordable to all income groups. Goals and objectives were developed in direct response to the observed needs for the Sacramento area based on extensive community input and housing need assessments conducted during the preparation of this Housing Element. The terms used in this chapter are:

- **Goal:** General statement of purpose to indicate direction the County will take to address identified housing problems and needs.
- **Policy:** A general statement of a proposed action to guide decision-making and provide a framework for the operation of housing implementation programs.
- **Implementation Program:** A detailed statement of the specific action that will be taken to implement a policy.
- **Objective:** A general or qualitative statement of the desired outcome from implementation of a strategy or sub-strategy.
- **Quantitative Objective:** A statement of measurable outcome, defined numerically whenever possible.

HOUSING GOALS, POLICIES, AND PROGRAMS

Sacramento County’s Housing Action Plan emphasizes seven goals that, collectively, address the availability of, affordability of, condition of, and access to housing by its general population as well as special needs groups. Each goal has its own set of policies and programs. The seven goals are:

- 1) Providing an adequate supply of land for housing;
- 2) Reducing constraints to housing production;
- 3) Preserving existing housing and neighborhoods;
- 4) Improving housing opportunities and conditions for special needs groups;
- 5) Providing and maintaining housing affordability;
- 6) Promoting the efficient use of energy in residences through alternative and innovative conservation measures, and;
- 7) Promoting and affirmatively furthering fair housing opportunities for Sacramento County residents.

SUMMARY OF QUANTIFIED OBJECTIVES

Table 5 summarizes the County’s quantified objectives for the development, improvement, and preservation of housing for the 2021 through 2029 planning period.

Table 5: Quantified Objectives for 2021-2029

| | Extremely Low | Very Low | Low | Moderate | Above Moderate | Total |
|--|---------------|----------|-------|----------|----------------|--------|
| New Construction ¹ | 2,233 | 2,233 | 2,692 | 4,186 | 9,928 | 21,272 |
| Rehabilitation ² | 91 | 547 | 274 | | | 912 |
| Preservation/Conservation ^{3 4} | 732 | 1,413 | 1,016 | | | 3,161 |
| Total | 2,556 | 3,593 | 3,082 | 4,186 | 9,928 | 23,345 |

1. New construction objective is equal to the RHNA
2. Rehabilitation objective reflects programs C1 and C2
3. Preservation/Conservation objective reflects information from the Preservation Chapter and Programs C4, C5, and C7.
4. Preservation/Conservation also includes Program D15. SHRA has issued a total of 12,500 vouchers that are available for use throughout the County and are not specific to the unincorporated County.

GOAL HE 1: ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF LAND FOR HOUSING

Provide an adequate supply of suitable sites for the development of a range of housing that varies sufficiently in terms of cost, design, size, location, and tenure to meet the housing needs of all segments of the County's population.

Introduction

The Sacramento Area Council of Government adopted the Regional Housing Needs Plan (RHNP) on March 19, 2020. Pursuant to the Plan, Sacramento County must have appropriate zoning in place to accommodate 21,272 new units. Of these new units, 4,466 should be affordable to very low-income households, 2,692 should be affordable to low-income households, 4,186 should be affordable to moderate-income households, and 9,928 should be affordable to above moderate-income households. Per the Land Inventory Chapter, the County has a deficit of 142 acres or 2,884 units for lower-income households. In order to ensure that the County has enough appropriately zoned land to accommodate the number of lower-income units anticipated in the Plan, the County must rezone 164 acres (142 acres and a 15 percent buffer) of land to allow multifamily residential uses by-right, at a minimum density of 20 units per acre and a maximum density that allows at least 30 units per acre.

In addition to ensuring a sufficient supply of zoned residential land, this goal seeks to encourage residential infill development and to ensure that master plan communities provide a variety of residential densities, including RD-30.

The objectives for Goal HE-1 are as follows:

- To ensure there is sufficient land to allow for the development of adequate new housing and to ensure the availability of housing for all households in Sacramento County.
- Actively promote and facilitate the use/reuse of vacant and underutilized infill sites.

Policies

HE 1.1.1 The County will provide an adequate supply of land for housing affordable to all income groups with public services and facilities needed to facilitate the development of housing to accommodate projected housing needs based on the SACOG Regional Housing Needs Plan. The Plan requires that the County accommodate 4,466 very low-income units, 2,692 low-income units, 4,186 moderate-income units, and 9,928 above moderate-income units.

HE 1.1.2 The County will preserve the supply of sites zoned for multifamily housing.

HE 1.2.1 The County will promote and facilitate the build-out of vacant and underutilized urban land through infill and reuse activities, as appropriate, for housing.

HE 1.2.2 The County will ensure that infill projects are integrated into the surrounding neighborhoods and communities to the greatest extent feasible.

HE 1.2.3 When feasible, the County will integrate housing with compatible non-residential uses in an effort to locate affordable housing near employment opportunities and take maximum advantage of infill development opportunities.

HE 1.2.4 The County will encourage locating multiple-family projects near public transportation, shopping, recreation and other amenities.

HE 1.2.5 Where there is mutual interest, the County will work in partnership with the incorporated cities to increase residential densities along areas adjacent to their boundaries to encourage consistency between jurisdictional boundaries.

| GOAL HE 1: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS | |
|---|--|
| Adequate Land for Housing | |
| <p>A1. Countywide Rezone Program: To accommodate the remaining lower-income RHNA of 2,884 units, the County will:</p> | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify and rezone at least 165 acres of land to allow multifamily residential uses by-right, at a minimum density of 20 units per acre and a maximum density that allows at least 30 units per acre. In accordance with Government Code Section 65583.2(h), at least 50 percent of the County's remaining lower income RHNA need will be accommodated on parcels designated exclusively for residential uses. Identified sites will also be large enough to accommodate at least 16 units. In accordance with Government Code Section 65583.2(i), "use by-right" shall mean that the review of the residential use may not require a conditional use permit, planned unit development permit, or discretionary local government review or approval. Permit owner occupied and rental multifamily uses by-right in which at least 20 percent of units are affordable to lower income households. Identify and rezone sites equivalent to 30 percent of the remaining lower-income RHNA focused where available along commercial corridors in highest, high and moderate resource areas to affirmatively further fair housing choice. | |
| <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.1.1 and HE 1.1.2</p> | |
| <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> | |
| <p>Funding: Senate Bill 2 (SB-2) Planning Grant</p> | |
| <p>Objective: Create opportunity for rental housing affordable to lower-income households.</p> | |
| <p>Target Date: May 2024</p> | |
| <p>A2. By-Right Development on Land Inventory Sites: The County will amend the Zoning Code to ensure that developments in which 20 percent or more of the units are affordable to lower income households are allowed by-right on vacant sites identified in the 4th and 5th cycle Housing Elements, non-vacant sites identified in the 5th Cycle Housing Element, and sites rezoned as part of Program A1, even if the development exceeds 150 units.</p> | |
| <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.1.1 and HE 1.1.2</p> | |
| <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> | |
| <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> | |
| <p>Objective: Create opportunity for rental housing affordable to lower-income households.</p> | |
| <p>Target Date: May 2024</p> | |

A3. Annual Monitoring of Vacant Multifamily Parcels: To monitor whether the County has an adequate supply of land for low- and moderate-income level housing, an annual report will be prepared showing the inventory of vacant multifamily parcels and summarizing any additions or losses to the inventory. This report and the Annual Progress Report shall be made available to the public through the Office of Planning and Environmental Review's website.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.1.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Inventory monitoring and make available inventory information and Annual Progress Reports annually.

Target Date: Annually, 2021-2029

A4. Master Plans and Multifamily Housing: The County will adopt master plans, including specific and comprehensive plans, which provide a variety of residential densities, including those densities that support multifamily housing. To facilitate the development of housing for lower-income households, master plan communities must:

- Develop a minimum of 30 percent of the proposed dwelling units at a density of at least 30 dwelling units per acre (RD-30) or greater.
- Include multifamily zoned (RD-30+) parcels 3 to 10 acres in size.
- Pursuant to General Plan Policy LU-120, master plan will contribute their "fair share" of adequate sites for the lower income inventory.
- Provide a variety of housing types and densities, including single-family homes, duplexes, triplexes, accessory dwelling units, townhomes, condominiums, apartments and similar multi-family units, in a variety of settings including both residential neighborhoods and mixed use nodes.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.1.1, HE 7.1.3

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: Planning Entitlement Fees

Quantitative Objective: Require a minimum of 30 percent of the proposed dwelling units in master plans approved during the planning period (estimated at two master plans) to be developed at a density of at least 30 dwelling units per acre. Include multifamily zoned parcels between 3 and 10 acres in size in master plans. Provide housing of various types and densities to address issues of segregation and increasing housing choice.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

A5. Evaluate the Need/Ability of Adopted Master Plans to Increase Multifamily Densities: The County will evaluate both the need to and the ability to amend adopted master plans to increase minimum densities in multifamily areas to RD-30 densities.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.1.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Quantitative Objective: Determine need and the ability to amend adopted master plans to increase allowable densities.

Target Date: June 2025

Use of Infill and Underutilized Sites

A6. Infill Program: The County will further work on a program to encourage infill development in the County that will ultimately increase and accelerate the production of housing by identifying and assessing infill sites and removing identified barriers through accomplishing the following tasks. Incentivizing infill in the moderate, high and highest opportunity areas will enhance housing mobility and affordability in high opportunity areas.

- Define infill sites.
- Assess/develop a comprehensive inventory of residential and commercial infill sites.
- Analyze existing regulations and codes to assess the impediments to development.
- Develop incentives/strategies to maximize infill opportunities in the unincorporated areas of Sacramento County, especially Environmental Justice Communities and Moderate, High, and Highest Resource areas of the County.
- Analyze and address disconnects between the Zoning Code and the Design Guidelines.
- Identify and provide incentives to develop Business Professional Office (BP), Limited Commercial (LC) and Shopping Center (SC) zoned properties with multi-family residential projects.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.2.1, HE 1.2.2

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) Grant

Objective: Further work on a program to encourage infill development in the County that will ultimately increase and accelerate the production of housing by 200 units by identifying and assessing infill sites and removing identified barriers. Provide incentives to increase the amount of multifamily housing developed in BP, LC and SC zoned properties.

Target Date: June 2024

A7. Grants for Infill Development: The County will continue to pursue grant opportunities that remove or reduce barriers to infill development.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.2.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning & Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund, Grants

Qualitative Objective: The County will continue to apply for grants for infill projects as grant opportunities become available.

Target Date: The County will review grant opportunities annually and apply as funds are available on an ongoing basis from 2021-2029.

A8. Facilitate Affordable Housing on Large Sites. The County shall encourage property owners and affordable housing developers to target and market the availability of sites with the best potential for development by facilitating meetings between willing property owners of large sites and willing affordable housing developers. To assist in the development of housing for lower-income households on larger sites (e.g., more than 10 acres), by the County will streamline the approval process for land divisions, lot line adjustments, and/or specific plans or master plans resulting in parcel sizes that enable affordable housing development such as one to 10 acres. The County shall focus on facilitating parcel sizes that comply with SHRA Multifamily Lending and Mortgage Revenue Bond Policies. The County shall also develop mechanisms to require developers of large sites to construct, entitle, or dedicate land for projects affordable to lower-income households early in the development process. Mechanisms may include affordable housing strategies pursuant to the Affordable Housing Ordinance, such as, dedication of land

to SHRA for concurrent development of deed restricted affordable housing. Target the production of 1,000 lower income units through this program by 2029.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 1.1.1 and HE 1.1.2

Responsible Department: Office of Planning & Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Qualitative Objective: Create opportunity for housing affordable to lower-income households on large sites.

Target Date: Assisting and streamlining is ongoing, 2021-2029. Developing new requirements will take place by May 2024.

GOAL HE 2: REDUCTION OF CONSTRAINTS TO HOUSING PRODUCTION

Removal or reduction of governmental and non-governmental constraints to facilitate the provision of housing for households of all income levels.

Introduction

The Housing Constraints Analysis Chapter identifies several factors that may serve as barriers to housing development such as the Neighborhood Preservation Areas and Special Planning Areas, public concerns or “NIMBYism”, and permit and development impact fees. This goal also seeks to reduce these barriers by reducing permit processing times, increasing “by right” housing opportunities, and reducing fees and providing incentives. The objectives of Goal HE-2 are as follows:

- To streamline the governmental review process to assist in the reduction of processing times associated with housing production.
- To ensure that development standards continue to represent the best means to achieve land use policy objectives while reducing the cost of producing housing.
- To reduce housing costs associated with permit and development impact fees while ensuring sufficient funding for public services and facilities.

Policies

HE 2.1.1 The County shall reduce uncertainty, risk, and delay in the planning, environmental, and permitting process.

HE 2.1.2 The Office of Planning and Environmental Review shall provide expedited review for projects in which at least 20 percent of the units are affordable to very low- and low-income households, as certified by the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency.

HE 2.2.1 The County will incentivize density for residential projects.

HE 2.2.2 The County will provide flexibility of development standards, or flexibility within the adopted development ordinances, to accommodate residential projects that provide housing that helps to address identified needs in the County.

HE 2.3.1 The County will strive to balance “full cost recovery” for planning and environmental review with the need to maintain reasonable fees that impact housing affordability.

HE 2.3.2 The County will continue to defer and/or waive development impact fees for residential projects that have at least a minimum number of housing units on sites that are affordable to very low- and low-income households, as certified by Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency up to the approved annual quota of the number of units.

GOAL HE-2: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS

| Reduction in Permit Processing Times |
|---|
| <p>B1. Meet with Housing Developers on Development Approval Timelines, Process Improvements and Opportunities for Support: The County will meet with market-rate, affordable housing developers to evaluate development approval timelines; identify process improvements; identify opportunities for development and supporting applications for financing; housing for special need groups (such as developmental disabilities) and any other issues related to the development of market-rate and affordable housing including extremely low income housing. The County will provide market-rate and affordable housing developers with a reasonable opportunity for review and comment on proposed planning and environmental review fee increases in advance of Board of Supervisors action.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1, HE 2.3.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning & Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Meet with affordable housing developers annually to identify opportunities for development, support applications for financing, and discuss ways to reduce uncertainty, risk, and delay in the planning, environmental, and permitting process. Attend regular coordination meetings with the Building Industry Association. Periodically review fee schedules and mitigate the cost when the County has the authority and ability to do so.</p> <p>Target Date: Meetings with affordable housing developers: annually. Meetings with BIA: monthly. Consultation with housing developers prior to increasing fees: ongoing, 2021-2029.</p> |
| <p>B2. Project Review Committee. The Project Review Committee (PRC) will continue to meet regularly to identify project issues early in the development process. The PRC is comprised of officials and staff from agencies that are involved in the development process.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning & Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: Planning Entitlement Fees</p> <p>Objective: Reduce uncertainty, risk, and delay in the planning, environmental, and permitting process.</p> <p>Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029</p> |
| <p>B3. Expediting and Aiding the Processing of Affordable Housing Projects: The County will expedite planning entitlements and building permits and aide developers of affordable housing projects by prioritizing them within reasonable timeframes to ensure meeting applicant's funding due dates. The County will explore creation of a program to subsidize pre-application meetings for affordable housing projects.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1, HE 2.1.2</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning & Environmental Review, Building Permits & Inspection Division</p> <p>Funding: Planning Entitlement Fees</p> <p>Objective: Reduce delay and cost in the review of affordable housing projects.</p> <p>Target Date: Expediting planning entitlements will be ongoing, 2021-2029. County will explore creation of a subsidy for pre-application meetings by June 2025.</p> |
| <p>B4. Accessibility and Usability of Planning Documents. The County will continue to improve accessibility and usability of existing land use planning documents.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1</p> |

| |
|---|
| <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Develop an online application system as well as FAQ resources and guidance documents for inclusion on the County webpage. Develop an interactive, GIS-based Zoning Code tool for inclusion on the County webpage.</p> <p>Target Date: Develop online application system by December 2021 and make guidance documents available online on an ongoing basis. Develop interactive Zoning Code tool by December 2027.</p> |
| <p>B5. Affordable Housing Education: The County will prepare an outreach strategy to educate members of the public and hearing bodies about affordable housing and emergency housing and services for people experiencing homelessness. The outreach strategy will include the following elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentations to members of the public, Community Planning Advisory Councils (CPACs), Property and Business Improvement Districts, County Planning Commission, and other similar hearing bodies. • A dedicated webpage for information about affordable housing. <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review, Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency, Director of Homeless Initiatives</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Increase County residents and decision makers' understanding of affordable and emergency housing.</p> <p>Target Date: Develop strategy by June 2022 and implement on an ongoing basis.</p> |
| <p>B6. NPAs and SPAs. The County will identify Special Planning Areas (SPAs) and Neighborhood Preservation Areas (NPAs) which serve as barriers to housing production and update or rescind them.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Update or rescind four NPAs and/or SPAs identified as barriers per year.</p> <p>Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029</p> |
| <p>Provide Opportunities for "By Right" Housing</p> |
| <p>B7. Residential Uses on BP Zoned Properties: The County will consider amendments to the Zoning Code to allow multifamily projects as a use by right in BP zone districts.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.2.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Remove conditional use permit requirement for multifamily projects in Business Professional zoning districts.</p> <p>Target Date: May 2024</p> |
| <p>B8. Missing Middle Housing (or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing): The County will consider amendments to the Zoning Code to allow for more missing middle housing (or naturally</p> |

occurring affordable housing) types in a broader range of zoning districts, including single family zoning districts, and amendments to development standards to accommodate these housing products.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.2.1, HE 2.2.2

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Develop 400 missing middle housing units in moderate or high resource areas during the planning period.

Target Date: Amend Zoning Code to allow for more missing middle housing types by January 2022 and amend development standards by January 2023.

Review Residential Development and Permit Fees and Provide Incentives

B9. Fee Waiver and Deferral Programs for Affordable Housing Projects: The County will continue to issue fee waivers and deferrals for development impact fees for residential developments in which at least 10 percent of the total units are affordable to very low-income households or 49 percent are affordable to low-income households and on which affordability restrictions are subject to long-term (30 years or greater) regulatory agreements as certified by the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA).

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.3.2

Responsible Department: Office of Development and Code Services, Department of Finance, SHRA

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Reduce or delay the cost impact of development fees for qualifying low- and very low-income housing units for 200 units annually.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

B10. Additional Fee Waivers and Deferrals: In order to facilitate development of affordable housing units, the County will adopt amendments to the existing Affordable Housing Fee Deferral and Waiver Program. The County may also consider adopting additional fee waiver or deferral programs.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.3.2

Responsible Department: Public Works and Infrastructure Agency

Funding: General Fund

Objective: To reduce or to delay the cost impact of development fees for qualifying affordable housing units.

Target Date: December 2024.

B11. Rezones, Consolidations/Mergers: The County will consider reducing or eliminating fees for Rezone entitlements to RD-30 and above to facilitate developments where at least 20 percent of the units are affordable. The County will develop siting criteria for these projects. The County will also consider reducing or eliminating consolidation fees for multi-family developments where a consolidation is necessary to facilitate development of the parcels and at least 20 percent of the proposed units are affordable. If these fee reductions are not adopted, the County will implement other measures to streamline or incentivize rezones, consolidations or mergers for developments where at least 20 percent of the units are affordable.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.3.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review, Office of Development and Code Services, Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Remove cost and barriers to siting affordable housing projects for 250 affordable units during the Housing Element cycle.

Target Date: December 2025

B12. Prioritize Water and Sewer Infrastructure for Affordable Housing. The County shall ensure compliance with California Government Code Section 65589.7.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 2.1.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: The Office of Planning & Environmental Review will send the Housing Element to water and sewer providers after Housing Element adoption and no later than September 2021.

Target Date: September 2021.

GOAL HE 3: CONSERVATION AND REHABILITATION OF EXISTING HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOODS

Promote an adequate, safe, and decent residential environment by maintaining and improving the existing housing stock through conservation and rehabilitation activities.

Introduction

The County of Sacramento provides housing conservation and rehabilitation assistance through a variety of programs. SHRA receives funding from state, local, and federal sources to facilitate both minor and major rehabilitation. Code enforcement activities can conserve the quality of residential neighborhoods by enforcing existing regulations pertaining to abandoned vehicles, outdoor storage of junk and rubbish and substandard or illegal buildings. Neighborhood quality can be improved significantly in older neighborhoods through improvements in public infrastructure such as water, sewer, curbs, gutter, sidewalks, landscaping, and lighting. The County has committed to prioritizing infrastructure improvements in Environmental Justice Communities through the adoption of the Environmental Justice Element.

The objectives of Goal HE 3 are as follows:

- Ensure the preservation and upgrading of rental and owner-occupied-housing units in need of rehabilitation and improvement.
- Provide for conservation and rehabilitation activities through zoning and code enforcement programs. Support mechanisms to prevent the loss of housing.
- Improve public facilities with priority for the revitalization of Environmental Justice Communities. Focus local/private sector investment into areas where there is a need for neighborhood revitalization.
- Conserve the quality of existing residential neighborhoods through design review.
- To achieve no net loss of existing rental housing affordable to extremely low-income households and to increase the number of affordable rental housing units.

Policies

HE 3.1.1 The County will utilize federal, state, local, and private funding programs offering low interest loans or grants, and private equity for the rehabilitation of rental properties for lower income households.

HE 3.1.2 The County will provide funding for programs that support the rehabilitation and preservation of substandard homes in need of rehabilitation owned and occupied by lower income households.

HE 3.1.3 The County will assist older adults to stay in their homes by providing financial assistance for repairs and modifications.

HE 3.2.1 The County will protect the quality and stability of residential neighborhoods through the enforcement of local regulations relating to the proper use and development of properties throughout the community.

HE 3.2.2 The County will promote efficient public outreach programs to enhance the rehabilitation of substandard housing.

HE 3.2.3 The County will support mechanisms to prevent the loss of housing by demolition, conversion to other uses, long-term vacancy, and support programs that return vacant housing to residential use.

HE 3.2.4 The County will assist lower income households displaced as a result of condemnation, demolition or vacation of units due to Building, Health and Safety Code violations.

HE 3.2.5 The County will maintain and preserve existing stock of ELI units.

HE 3.3.1 The County will require high quality design in residential projects, including through use of objective design review standards for multifamily residential projects.

| GOAL HE 3: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS | |
|---|--|
| Establishment and Maintenance of Programs for Housing Rehabilitation | |
| <p>C1. Multifamily Housing Loan Program: The County, through the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA), will fund and administer multifamily housing loan programs for the rehabilitation of substandard housing and the acquisition and rehabilitation of substandard property for occupancy by lower income households. SHRA will pursue offering financing for the acquisition and rehabilitation of multifamily properties, including financially troubled multifamily properties in foreclosure, to prevent further property and neighborhood deterioration.</p> | |
| <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.1.1, HE 3.1.2</p> | |
| <p>Responsible Department: SHRA</p> | |
| <p>Funding: HOME, CDBG, Housing Trust Funds, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Low-Income Housing Tax Credits</p> | |
| <p>Quantitative Objective: 60 rehabilitated units annually, including units substantially rehabilitated and units preserved.</p> | |
| <p>Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029</p> | |
| <p>C2. Financial Assistance for Emergency Repairs and Retrofitting of Homes: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will provide financial assistance for emergency repairs and disabled retrofitting for homes occupied by seniors and lower income residents designed to achieve neighborhood improvement objectives. Priority will be given to funding repairs in Environmental Justice Communities and those sensitive to displacement.</p> | |
| <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.1.1, HE 3.1.2, 4.1.1</p> | |
| <p>Responsible Department: SHRA (in conjunction with its non-profit partner)</p> | |
| <p>Funding: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)</p> | |
| <p>Quantitative Objective: Repair 432 homes for very low- and low-income households through Emergency Repair Grants and Retrofit Assistance Grants or similar grants.</p> | |

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

C3. Conversion of Older Motels to Affordable Housing. When the opportunity arises, the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will convert older motels to permanent affordable housing. Such conversions should also be considered in conjunction with commercial corridor plans and community plan updates. SHRA will assist, as funding is available, new owners of motels to obtain financing for their acquisition, rehabilitation and/or conversion.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.1.1

Responsible Department: SHRA

Funding: HOME, Community Development Block Grants, Housing Trust Fund

Objective: Support revitalization of older commercial corridors with rehabilitation and provision of new housing opportunities.

Target Date: SHRA will review opportunities to convert older motels to affordable housing and assist with three funding applications during the Housing Element cycle.

Conserve the Quality of Residential Neighborhoods Through Zoning Enforcement and Code Enforcement and Reduce Loss of Housing

C4. Vehicle Abatement and Substandard Housing: The County will actively enforce existing regulations and programs administered by the Code Enforcement Division regarding abandoned vehicles, outdoor storage of junk and rubbish, and substandard or illegal buildings, in order to protect and improve the integrity and stability of existing neighborhoods. Storage of junk and rubbish and building violations will continue to be handled on a complaint basis and vehicle abatement will continue to be addressed through responses to complaints and neighborhood patrols.

The Code Enforcement Division will continue both the Vacant, Open and Accessible program and the Substandard Housing Program, which requires property owners to remove nuisance conditions such as graffiti, fencing in need of repair, and overgrown vegetation of over 12 inches.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.2.1, HE 3.2.3

Responsible Department: Office of Development and Code Services - Code Enforcement Division

Funding: Inspection Fees, Administrative Penalties, Vehicle Registration Fees, County General Fund

Objective: Reduce the number of abandoned vehicles, accumulation of junk and rubbish, illegal structures, and nuisance conditions in residential neighborhoods by investigating and closing 600 complaints annually.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

C5. Rental Housing Inspection Program: The Code Enforcement Division will continue to implement the Rental Housing Inspection Program. This program concentrates on owner/tenant education and provides mandated inspections. This program also allows owners and/or agents of rental properties to become certified to self-inspect their properties for compliance with the Housing Code.

The Code Enforcement Division will direct owners of residential rental units cited for health and safety violations to the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment (SHRA) website to view information on SHRA rehabilitation programs. The Code Enforcement Division will continue to provide information to tenants on rental property owner and tenant responsibilities for property maintenance. Information is provided both by printed brochures and on the Code Enforcement website.

The Code Enforcement Division will provide data on the number of units inspected, the number of units in compliance, the number of units in violation, and the number of units condemned or demolished as a result of violations annually for inclusion in the Annual Progress Report.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.2.1, HE 3.2.2

Responsible Department: Office of Development and Code Services - Code Enforcement Division

Funding: Rental Housing Fees, Inspection Fees, Administrative Penalties and/or Fines, County General Fund

Objective: All multifamily rental properties (approximately 8,000) units in the unincorporated County will be inspected for compliance with the Housing Code within 5 years. Increase the level of property maintenance through tenant and property owner education, thereby reducing the need for code enforcement.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

Note: Rental units subject to inspection by SHRA may be exempt from inspection by the Rental Housing Inspection Program.

C6. Referral of Mobile Home Park Inspections to HCD: The County will continue to respond to complaints from mobile home park residents regarding exterior park conditions such as abandoned vehicles and junk accumulation. Requests for mobile home inspections will continue to be referred to the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), the State agency with jurisdiction over mobile home park inspections.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.2.1

Responsible Department: Office of Development and Code Services - Code Enforcement Division

Funding: Inspection Fees, Administrative Penalties and/or Fines

Objective: Improve mobile home park conditions.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

C7. Information for Displaced Tenants: The County will amend the County Housing Code to require that property owners provide relocation benefits to tenants who are experiencing severe Health & Safety conditions. The Code Enforcement Division will also continue to provide information for displaced tenants of demolition activities on available services and programs.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.2.4

Responsible Department: Office of Development and Code Services - Code Enforcement Division

Funding: Inspection Fees, Administrative Penalties, and/or Fines, County General Fund

Objectives: Requiring property owners to pay for the relocation expenses of lower income residents and seeking reimbursement by property owner. Provide information for displaced tenants on available services and programs. Provide information to 1,000 tenants annually.

Target Date: Amending County Housing Code by May 2022. Providing information on an ongoing basis, 2021-2029

Revitalization of Deteriorated Neighborhoods Through Public Facility Improvements and Local/Private Sector Investment

C8. Seek and Attain Funding for Improved Public Infrastructure. The County will continue to seek and attain funding for improved public infrastructure and services, including water, sewer, curbs, gutter, sidewalks, landscaping, and lighting to revitalize commercial corridors as Notices of Funding Availability (NOFA) are released. Improvements will be prioritized in Environmental Justice Communities based on identified needs.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.1.1

Responsible Department: Department of Transportation

Funding: General Fund, Grants

Objective: Revitalize commercial corridors with infrastructure improvements. The Office of Planning and Environmental Review will continue to work collaboratively with the Department of Transportation to facilitate infrastructure improvements in Environmental Justice Communities specific to the identified needs of these communities by applying for at least two grants annually.

Target Date: Ongoing with at least two grants annually, 2021-2029

C9. Community Reinvestment Act. The County will continue to implement its Community Reinvestment Act Program to incentivize local financial institutions to meet the credit needs of low-income and moderate-income people.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 3.1.1

Responsible Department: Dept. of Finance – Treasury Division

Objective: Increase investment in low-income and moderate-income areas.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

GOAL HE 4: IMPROVEMENT OF HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SPECIAL NEEDS GROUPS

Ensure the availability of adequate housing opportunities throughout the unincorporated area of Sacramento County for people with special needs, including: seniors, large households, female-headed households, people with disabilities, farm workers, and people experiencing homelessness.

Introduction

This section of the Action Plan establishes objectives, policies and programs for the provision of housing to meet the needs of persons with disabilities, seniors, farm workers, people experiencing homelessness, female-headed households, and large families. Programs included in this strategy are designed to assist individuals and households with special needs, although the emphasis will be placed on programs for lower income households.

Policies HE 4.3.1 through 4.3.6 were developed based on the six key solution areas identified by the County Homeless Plan, which was adopted on December 12, 2018. The County Homeless Plan will continue to be the main strategy document to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring. Several programs below will support the County Homeless Plan efforts by requiring the County to update the Plan regularly, to develop metrics to measure its effectiveness, and to report regularly using those metrics.

The objective for Goal HE 4 is to provide housing choices appropriate for “special needs” populations, including persons with disabilities, seniors, farm workers, people experiencing homelessness, female-headed households, and large families.

Policies

HE 4.1.1 The County will support efforts to improve accessibility for both dwelling units and residential neighborhoods to meet the special needs of persons with disabilities.

HE 4.1.2 The County will encourage housing for people with disabilities and older adults near public transportation, shopping, medical and other essential support services and facilities.

HE 4.1.3 The County will support activities that serve the housing needs of special needs groups with a continuum of housing options, from independent living, to housing with supportive services, to institutionalized care.

HE 4.1.4 The County will provide adequate and affordable housing for senior citizens.

HE 4.1.5 The County will ensure housing that is provided to migrant farm workers is decent, safe, and affordable.

HE 4.1.6 The County will support the use of available federal, state, and local resources to provide and enhance housing opportunities for farm workers.

HE 4.1.7 The County will promote the construction of affordable housing that meets the needs of female heads of households.

HE 4.1.8 The County will support the development of housing to meet the needs of large households.

HE 4.2.1 The County will facilitate the development of new Extremely Low-Income (ELI) rental units.

HE 4.2.2 The County will assist extremely low-income households through the use of portable and project based housing vouchers, including Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) and Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) vouchers.

HE 4.3.1 The County will maintain an adequate supply of land where homeless shelters are allowed by right and where public services, infrastructure and facilities are available to facilitate the development.

HE 4.3.2 The County will strengthen diversion strategies to help people resolve their current housing crisis before they become homeless. Diversion services include problem solving as well as financial assistance and connection to services that support crisis resolution, resiliency, and stabilization.

HE 4.3.3 The County will strengthen outreach and navigation efforts that connect unsheltered people to services and housing and address individual and public health issues that arise.

HE 4.3.4 The County will expand and improve emergency shelter and interim housing capacity, improving access for all populations by reducing barriers, and expanding services that will help people experiencing homelessness return to permanent housing stability.

HE 4.3.5 The County will expand targeted permanent housing through two primary strategies: 1) by providing temporary or ongoing rental subsidies and supportive services, facilitating exits from homelessness within the existing rental market and 2) by developing additional new permanent housing, including permanent supportive housing.

HE 4.3.6 The County will expand access to and coordination of essential mainstream services such as physical health, mental health, employment and others services necessary to assist people experiencing homelessness to stabilize, obtain or retain housing, and improve quality of life.

HE 4.3.7 The County will assist in overall system development, including strengthening system leadership, increasing system capacity to respond to crisis of homelessness, and improving accountability.

| GOAL HE 4: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS |
|---|
| Housing for "Special Needs" Population |
| <p>D1. Universal Design: The County will convene a working group consisting of advocates and service providers for people with disabilities and seniors, the Building Industry Association, and other stakeholders to advise the County on a Universal Design program. The program will include an evaluation of the lack of new accessible units, incentives to encourage Universal Design concepts, and targeted outreach to developers.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Increase the number of accessible units by 450 units over the Housing Element period.</p> <p>Target Date: December 2024</p> |

D2. Accessible Unit Tracking: The County will track the number of newly constructed or rehabilitated dwelling units that are accessible to people with disabilities. The County will provide the address and number of units to Resources for Independent Living Sacramento for inclusion in their housing list for people with disabilities.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.1

Responsible Department: Building Permits and Inspection

Funding: Inspection Fees and/or Fines

Objective: Track the development of accessible units and provide the addresses and number of units to Resources for Independent Living for inclusion in their online tool for people with disabilities.

Target Date: Annually, 2021-2029

D3. Incentives for Senior Housing: The County, through the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA), will offer financial support such as below-market-rate loans and mortgage revenue bonds that will encourage the creation of affordable independent living housing for seniors. Priority will be given to High and Highest Resource areas and areas sensitive to displacement.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE-4.1.3, HE 4.1.4

Responsible Department: Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA)

Funding: Tax credits, mortgage revenue bonds, HOME

Objectives: Provide subsidized loans for developers of affordable multifamily rental housing for very low income seniors. Fund 200 units during the Housing Element Period.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

D4. In-Home Supportive Services: The County will continue to administer the In-Home Supportive Services program. This program serves aged, blind, or disabled persons who are unable to perform activities of daily living and cannot remain safely in their homes without help.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.3

Responsible Department: Department of Child, Family, and Adult Services

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Continue the In-Home Supportive Services program.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

D5. Annual Inspection of Farm Labor Camps: The County, through the Department of Environmental Management, will continue to annually inspect and license farm labor camps to ensure that they meet health and safety standards for migrant workers.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.5

Responsible Department: Environmental Health

Funding: Inspection Fees

Objective: Ensure suitable living conditions at farm labor camps.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

D6. Farmworker Housing. The County will take the following actions related to farmworker housing:

- Amend the Farmworker Housing Section of the Zoning Code to allow farmworker housing by-right in all Zoning Districts where Agriculture is an allowed use consistent with California Health and Safety Code Section 17021.6. As a part of the amendments, the County will review the difference between agricultural and hobby farming land uses and amend the Zoning Code, as

| |
|---|
| <p>appropriate, to promote farmworker housing and comply with California Health and Safety Code Section 17021.6.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider amendments to the Zoning Code to increase the allowed maximum size of farmworker housing to serve larger families. Review the Zoning Code requirements for Agricultural Employee housing to ensure compliance with California Health and Safety Code Section 17021.5. <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.5, HE 4.1.6</p> <p>Responsible Department: Community Development – Planning & Environmental Review Division</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Increase the units and housing product types available to farmworkers</p> <p>Target Date: June 2022</p> |
| <p>D7. Review Housing Needs of Farm Workers: The County shall work with local growers, the Farm Bureau, the Agricultural Commissioner, the Department of Environmental Management and other stakeholders to periodically review the housing needs of farm workers and propose actions to address those needs.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.5, HE 4.1.6</p> <p>Responsible Department: Community Development – Planning & Environmental Review Division, Agricultural Commissioner, Environmental Management</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> <p>Objective: Biennially review housing needs of farm workers.</p> <p>Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029</p> |
| <p>D8. Emergency Housing: The County will amend the Emergency Housing Section of the Zoning Code to clarify language, remove inconsistencies, and to conform to changes to State law and encourage emergency shelters, supportive housing, and related services for persons experiencing homelessness specific to Government Code section 65583, subdivision (a)(4) as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the Zoning Code to remove the requirements that emergency shelters be more than 1,000 feet from any similar program, public parks, schools, recreation facilities, child care facilities, and single-family residential zones and ensure any amendment is consistent with State law. Amend the Zoning Code to remove excessive parking requirements and require that emergency shelters only be required to provide sufficient parking to accommodate all staff working in the emergency shelter, provided that the standards do not require more parking for emergency shelters than other residential or commercial uses within the same zone. <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: Senate Bill 2 Planning Grant</p> <p>Objective: Amend the Emergency Housing Section of the Zoning Code consistent with State law.</p> <p>Target Date: February 2022</p> |
| <p>D9. Supportive Living Uses: The County will amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses, including boarding houses, transitional housing, scattered shelters, residential care homes, and nonconforming dwelling units to take a more comprehensive approach to supportive housing consistent</p> |

with changes to State law to increase housing, especially for special needs groups, including people with developmental disabilities. The Zoning Code amendments are as follows:

- Amend the Zoning Code to add a definition of “family” and amend the definition of “single household” consistent with the County’s obligation to AFFH.
- Amend the Zoning Code to allow Low Barrier Navigation Center developments by right in mixed-use zones and nonresidential zones permitting multifamily uses.
- Amend the Zoning Code to allow for the approval of 100 percent affordable developments that include a percentage of supportive housing units, either 25 percent or 12 units, whichever is greater, to be allowed without a conditional use permit or other discretionary review in all zoning districts where multifamily and mixed-use development is permitted consistent with Government Code section 65651.
- Amend the Zoning Code to include methods to increase housing options for persons with disabilities that have tangible regulatory policies, as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).
- Amend the Zoning Code to expand the definition of the existing residential care home category, revise the boarding house category, and create new categories to address non-licensed recovery residences and skilled nursing in-home settings.
- Amend the Zoning Code to clearly define supportive and transitional housing and ensure these housing types are permitted as a residential use in all zones allowing residential uses subject only to the restrictions that apply to residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone.
- Amend the Zoning Code requirement to clearly define scattered shelters and facilitate removal of barriers to better address the needs of our homeless population.
- Amend the Zoning Code to provide consistency with the corresponding regulations administered by the appropriate State agency, with the intention to provide for more efficient regulation of residential related uses.
- Review and amend the Zoning Code, as necessary, to ensure requirements for group homes of more than six persons are consistent with State law and fair housing requirements.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.3

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) Grant

Objective: Amend the Zoning Code to support transitional and supportive housing, increase development of supportive living uses and make it consistent with State and Federal regulations.

Target Date: June 2023

D10. Tiny Homes: The County will research and develop Code amendments to allow for tiny homes, small homes, and/or other transitional living unit construction types not currently provided for in County Codes. Targeted outreach to promote tiny homes will occur in Environmental Justice communities.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.3

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review, Office of Development and Code Services - Building Permits and Inspection Division

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Increase flexibility of affordable housing options in the County to facilitate construction of 150 units.

Target Date: December 2025 and annually after adoption.

D11. Shelter Plus Care Program: The County through the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will continue to administer the Shelter Plus Care program, which provides supportive housing for people experiencing homelessness and people with disabilities.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.4

Responsible Department: SHRA

Funding: HUD Grants

Objective: Provide tenant-based rental subsidies to permanently house chronically homeless and severely disabled households to serve 600 families annually.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

D12. County Homeless Plan Update: The County shall update the County Homeless Plan every five years.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.7

Responsible Department: Director of Homeless Initiatives, Department of Human Assistance, and Department of Health Services

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Ensure continued regional coordination on homelessness issues

Target Date: Update the plan at least every five years starting in 2023.

D13. Developing Metrics and Reporting: The County, in coordination with the City of Sacramento, Sacramento Steps Forward, and the Continuum of Care Board, shall develop a series of metrics and indicators to track the efficacy of programs and investments. Once these metrics are developed, the County will report on the programs and investments annually.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.7

Responsible Department: Director of Homeless Initiatives, Department of Human Assistance, and Department of Health Services

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Provide metrics to regularly monitor and track investments and programs focused on homelessness and provide transparency in reporting.

Target Date: Develop metrics by January 1, 2022 and report annually starting in 2023.

D14. Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness: The County will explore methods to reduce barriers to accessing affordable rental housing for people experiencing homelessness, including requiring County-financed affordable housing projects to reserve a certain percentage of units for people experiencing homelessness or otherwise incentivizing access in County-financed affordable housing for people experiencing homelessness. The County will present findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

Implements Which Policy(ies): 4.3.5

Responsible Department: Director of Homeless Initiatives

Funding: General Fund

Objective: Reduce barriers to accessing County-financed affordable housing for people experiencing homelessness.

Target Date: December 2026

Increase the Supply of Affordable Rental Housing for Extremely low-Income Households While Preserving the Existing Supply

D15. Project-Based Rental Subsidies such as Housing Choice Voucher Program: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will continue to implement the use of project-based rental subsidies such as the Housing Choice Voucher program. During the Request for Proposal process, preference will be given to projects in moderate, high and highest resource areas, while maintaining opportunities to provide subsidies in lower resource areas of the County consistent with EJ Element Policy EJ-1.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.2.2

Responsible Department: SHRA

Funding: Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) Program, Federal Housing Assistance Payment Voucher Program, State Mental Health Services Act Voucher Program

Objective: Ensure availability and choice of housing for extremely low-income households.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

Note: SHRA currently has 12,500 extremely low income families housed with assistance from the Housing Choice Voucher Program. Housing assistance is provided on behalf of a family or individual. Participants in this program may choose any housing where the owner agrees to rent under the program and that meets the requirements of the program, including single-family homes, townhouses and apartments.

GOAL HE 5: PRESERVATION OF EXISTING AFFORDABLE HOUSING STOCK AND PROVISION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Ensure the availability of affordable housing for all households in Sacramento County.

Introduction

As discussed in the Housing Needs Assessment Chapter, a significant portion of low-income renters (73 percent) in Sacramento County are overpaying, or paying more than 30 percent of their income, for housing. Home values and rents continue to rise countywide.

To address the affordability issue, this strategy uses local government funds to leverage financing from state and federal sources to construct affordable housing. Key to this goal are the local gap funding sources including the County's Affordable Housing Ordinance and Housing Trust Fund Ordinance. When the current version of the Affordable Housing Ordinance was adopted effective March 27, 2014, the County removed the inclusionary provisions for larger developments of the prior ordinance in favor of a fee option ordinance. The County anticipated that the ordinance would produce affordable units at the rate of 10 percent of the market rate units. Program E3 requires the County to assess the efficacy of this ordinance and to conduct an economic feasibility study to guide any consideration of returning inclusionary provisions within the ordinance. In addition to providing gap financing, local government can also facilitate the provision of affordable housing by encouraging second unit dwellings and by providing density bonus programs.

The objectives of Goal HE 5 are as follows:

- Pursue federal, state, and local programs and funding sources that provide opportunities to preserve existing affordable housing stock.
- Implement programs that increase the supply of affordable housing.
- Pursue federal, state, and local programs and funding sources that provide housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households.

Policies

HE 5.1.1 The County will preserve the affordability of subsidized rental housing whenever possible through a combination of regulatory and financial incentives.

HE 5.2.1 The County will continue to implement its affordable housing program.

HE 5.2.2 The County will support the use of federal, state, and local programs for the purchase of affordable housing (new and existing) and assist low- and moderate-income households to purchase such dwelling units. The County will promote a partnership between the public and private sector for the provision of affordable housing, with an objective of increasing homeownership for low- and moderate-income families.

HE 5.2.3 The County will support programs that provide assistance to developers who construct affordable rental units.

HE 5.2.4 The County will incentivize the development of residential accessory dwelling units as a means to increase the overall supply of affordable housing.

HE 5.2.5 The County will prevent the conversion of existing very low- and low-income rental housing units to market-rate condominiums through the condominium conversion ordinance.

HE 5.2.6 The County will identify new funding sources for the provision of affordable housing.

HE 5.2.7 The County will continue to implement a density bonus ordinance that provides for a greater number of dwelling units and other incentives in exchange for housing units affordable to lower income households. This ordinance will be amended to comply with State law.

| GOAL HE 5: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS | |
|--|--|
| Preservation of Affordable Housing Stock | |
| <p>E1. Preserve Affordable Housing at Risk of Becoming Market-Rate: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will track expiring subsidy contracts and regulatory agreements on regulated affordable housing. SHRA will prioritize multifamily lending such that preserving affordable housing at risk of converting to market rate comes first when funding is available. SHRA will ensure that owners have complied with Government Code Sections 65863.10, 65863.11, and 65863.13 before releasing regulatory agreements or approving ownership transfers for projects affiliated with SHRA. In addition, at least annually, SHRA will review the list of properties with expiring regulatory agreements and contact owners whose properties' restrictions will expire within the following 36 months. SHRA will coordinate with qualified entities upon initial noticing and pursue and support applications for funding. In order to maximize tenant protections, SHRA will offer coordination assistance – developer outreach for owners planning to sell and tenant education for owners planning to allow their restrictions to expire.</p> | <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.1.1</p> <p>Responsible Department: SHRA</p> <p>Funding: Housing Trust Fund, Community Development Block Grants, Tax Credits, Mortgage Revenue Bonds</p> <p>Objective: Communicate with owners willing to preserve affordability through sale and/or recapitalization to minimize loss of affordable housing inventory. Preserve as many units as possible that are “at risk” of conversion.</p> <p>Target Date: SHRA will track expiring subsidy contracts on an ongoing basis and will conduct an annual review.</p> |
| <p>E2. Prevent the loss of units in SHRA's public housing stock. In order to preserve its public housing stock, which is suffering due to decline in federal funding, the Sacramento Housing and</p> | |

Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will reposition the County's public housing stock to private ownership with affordability preserved in perpetuity.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.1.1

Responsible Department: SHRA

Funding: U.S. Dept. of Housing and Community Development, HOME, tax credits, mortgage revenue bonds

Objective: Prevent the loss of 771 units in the unincorporated County in SHRA's public housing stock.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029. Approximately 100 units per year between 2021 and 2029.

Provision of Affordable Housing Stock

E3. Affordable Housing Ordinance Amendment: The County will perform an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Affordable Housing Ordinance by 2023 to determine if it is building affordable housing at the rate anticipated at the time of adoption (ten percent). The County will also pursue an economic feasibility study to guide any decision to include an inclusionary housing component. Based on the outcome of the evaluation and study, the County will consider amendments to the Affordable Housing Ordinance to increase the amount of affordable housing units built in the County. As part of the evaluation prioritizing opportunities to produce housing in the highest, high, and moderate resource areas will be examined. The County will also amend the Affordable Housing Ordinance to modify the owner-builder exemption. As part of this update the County will engage with a broad range of stakeholders, including affordable housing developers. Outreach will occur during the time the update is occurring and will consist of a kick-off meeting and at least three focus group meetings with stakeholders.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Evaluate the effectiveness of the Affordable Housing Ordinance in producing affordable housing units by 2023 and consider amendments based on the evaluation. Increase the effectiveness of the Affordable Housing Ordinance by modifying the owner-builder exemption, which is costly for staff and the public to implement and reduces the amount of funds collected to build affordable housing.

Target Date: December 2023

E4. Housing Incentive Program: The County will implement the Housing Incentive Program (HIP) to encourage the construction of affordable housing and housing for special needs groups as identified in State housing element law. The County will consider amendments to the program to further incentivize housing and to meet the housing needs of County residents. The County will also amend the Zoning Code to incorporate changes to State Density Bonus Law.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.1, HE 4.1.4, HE 4.1.11, HE 5.2.1 and HE 5.2.2

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund, Planning Entitlement Fees, Senate Bill 2 Planning Grant

Objective: Incentivize multifamily developers to increase the number of affordable units and/or units for special needs groups in their projects. Amend the Density Bonus sections of the Zoning Code to strengthen HIP and to ensure consistency with State Density Bonus Law by November 2021. Incentivize 100 additional units.

Target Date: HIP implementation is ongoing, 2021-2029. Amendments will take place by April 2022.

E5. Mortgage Credit Certificate Allocations: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will seek Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) allocations from the State of California and funding from the California Housing Finance Agency to provide low interest loans and down payment assistance for first-time low- and moderate-income homebuyers. The County will promote the MCC program to the residents of the areas sensitive to displacement and Environmental Justice Communities, who are most likely to have been historically excluded from homeownership by mortgage redlining practices.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.2

Responsible Department: SHRA, Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: Mortgage Credit Certificates, Housing Choice Voucher Homeownership Program, CalHome Program

Quantitative Objectives: Apply to the California Housing Finance Agency for MCC allocation to assist 20 households annually.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

E6. Down Payment Assistance and Homebuyer Education and Counseling: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will continue to apply to State HCD for the CalHOME Program to provide down payment assistance. The County will promote the CalHome Program to the residents of the areas sensitive to displacement and Environmental Justice Communities, who are most likely to have been historically excluded from homeownership by mortgage redlining practices. SHRA will also continue its contracts with approved housing counseling agencies that offer homebuyer education, counseling and post purchase counseling for all homebuyer programs administered by SHRA.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.2

Responsible Department: SHRA, Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: CalHome Program, Program Fees, CDBG

Quantitative Objective: Provide down payment assistance to low-income, first-time homebuyers by applying to the State for CalHOME funding. Assist 25 households annually. Provide homebuyer education and counseling to 150 households annually.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

E7. Mortgage Revenue Bonds: The County, through SHRA, will continue to assist in the development of affordable housing, including extremely low income housing, by providing gap financing and issuing mortgage revenue bonds to finance affordable housing. SHRA will also meet with affordable developers to provide support for funding applications and offer technical assistance with site identification, project concept feedback, predevelopment meetings, development incentives and permit processing. The County and SHRA shall explore ways to increase affordable housing development in moderate, high and highest resource areas throughout the County.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.3

Responsible Department: SHRA

Funding: County Housing Trust Fund, HOME, Affordable Housing Ordinance and Housing Trust Fund fees, Low-Income Housing Tax Credits, Mortgage Revenue Bonds

Objective: Provide leverage for developers to compete for other state funding resources. Provide financial assistance for 200 units per year in the unincorporated County.

Target Date: Ongoing 2021-2029, with annual outreach or more frequently as funding is available

E8. Accessory Dwelling Unit Streamlining: The County will accelerate production of accessory dwelling units (ADUs) by providing a complete set of construction drawings consisting of architectural renderings, structural, mechanical, plumbing, and electrical plan for three different sized ADUs. The Office of Planning and Environmental Review will work with the Office of Development and Code Services, Building Permits and Inspections to identify a process for utilizing these construction drawings, thereby saving time and applicant costs during the plan check process.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.4

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: Local Early Action Planning Grant

Objective: Support the development of Accessory Dwelling Units by streamlining the process by allowing a property owner to choose an ADU that has already been approved for construction by Sacramento County saving the cost of construction drawings and plan check review.

Target Date: July 2022

E9. Accessory Dwelling Unit Promotion and Compliance: The County will promote the production of ADU's/JADU's by providing the public a brochure on ADU/JADU standards and permitting requirements, as well as a technical manual on designing, building and renting accessory dwellings that will be available at the Building Assistance Center (BAC). This information will also be available on a webpage devoted to ADU/JADU on the County's website. Outreach to the Building Industry Association (BIA) will also occur to promote the development and production of ADU's/JADU's as part of residential developments. The County will track the creation of ADU's/JADU's and will determine if it is meeting production estimates by the planning period midpoint. Targeted outreach to promote ADU construction will also occur in moderate, high, and highest resource areas of the County. The County will review and ensure that the ADU ordinance is in full compliance with state law and amend the County's ordinance as necessary to ensure ongoing compliance.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.4

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Promote the production of ADU/JADU's and ensure consistency with state law.

Target Date: Create brochure and technical manual and outreach to the BIA by January 31, 2022. Determine if production estimates are being met by April 2026. ADU ordinance compliance will be on going with the first update occurring by April 2022.

E10. Self-Help Housing Programs: The County through the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will continue to provide financial support and/or properties for self-help (sweat equity) housing programs for low-income people who construct their own homes under the supervision of non-profit corporations.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 5.2.2

Responsible Department: Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency

Funding: Housing Trust Funds

Objective: Support affordable homeownership through self-help programs like Habitat for Humanity. Make surplus land available, subsidize infrastructure cost and/or provide other financial assistance for 15 units.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

GOAL HE 6: PROMOTE THE EFFICIENT USE OF ENERGY IN RESIDENCES AND IMPROVE THE AIR QUALITY OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY

Promote and implement standards that conserve energy in new and existing homes.

Introduction

Reducing demand for electricity and natural gas is an important step to help meet the growing energy needs of the region. Purposeful planning of the type and amount of energy that will be used in the residential building sector is an essential strategy in reducing energy demands. Energy consumption can be reduced through the increase in energy efficiency, resulting in lower energy costs to the County's residents. It is the County's intent to promote energy efficiency early in the planning stages and consistently throughout the entitlement process.

The objective of Goal HE 6 is to reduce home energy usage by increasing energy efficiency.

Policies

HE 6.1.1 The County will encourage energy-efficient site design, such as proper orientation to benefit from active and/or passive solar heating and cooling, into master planning efforts.

HE 6.1.2 The County will encourage residential developers/builders to maximize energy efficiency through building design and through the use of energy efficient materials, equipment, appliances, strategies and techniques.

HE 6.1.3 The County will encourage owners of existing homes to retrofit their residences with energy efficient materials, equipment, and appliances.

| GOAL HE 6: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS |
|--|
| Promote the Efficient Use of Energy and Reduce the Long-Term Operational Cost of Housing |
| <p>F1. Include SMUD Staff in Project Review Committee Process: The County will continue to include SMUD planners, energy efficiency specialists and other staff in the Project Review Committee (PRC) process, to identify the potential for efficient designs and solar orientation and incorporating energy efficient systems, building practices and materials. The County will also continue to develop Community Plans, Specific and Comprehensive Plans, Corridor Plans and Residential Design Guidelines that incorporate energy efficient configuration and design as primary goals. The County will include SMUD staff early in the master plan process and will collaborate during the design and development processes.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 6.1.1, HE 6.1.2</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: Planning Entitlement Fees</p> <p>Objective: Have SMUD staff participate in PRC meetings and in the preparation of master plans.</p> <p>Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029</p> |
| <p>F2. Distribute SMUD Information: The County, in partnership with SMUD, will distribute pertinent information about the benefits of energy conservation and available energy efficiency incentive programs to residents and builders of housing.</p> <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 6.1.2, HE 6.1.3</p> <p>Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review</p> <p>Funding: County General Fund</p> |

Objective: Distribute SMUD information on energy efficiency and energy incentive programs and services at Downtown County permit counters and at County service centers.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

F3. Implement PACE: The County will participate in a third-party Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) program for commercial, industrial, single family and multifamily (four or more units) properties with emphasis on outreach targeted to Environmental Justice Communities and areas at risk of displacement. The County will also provide consumer protection information on the PACE program webpage to better inform residents.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 6.1.2, HE 6.1.3

Responsible Department: Office of Development and Code Services

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Increase energy efficiency in existing and new residences.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

GOAL HE 7: PROMOTE AND AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHER FAIR HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR COUNTY RESIDENTS

Promote and affirmatively further fair housing choice for all residents of Sacramento County, regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, marital status, source of income, disability or familial status.

Introduction

As discussed in the Fair Housing Chapter, the Board of Supervisors authorized SHRA to submit the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on October 22, 2019. This goal section requires SHRA and the County to implement the goals, strategies and actions required by the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) as well as several recommendations for best practices. The AI identifies the following actions for the County:

- Review and consider updates to the Affordable Housing Ordinance.
- Review and assess its Housing Trust Fund Ordinance to determine if revisions or updates are needed.
- Review the density bonus and other housing-related sections of planning documents such as the General Plan, Zoning Code, and Design Guidelines to determine if revisions or updates are needed.
- Review and assess fee waivers and deferral policies to determine if revisions or updates are needed.
- Fund the Renters Helpline, a regional resource for fair housing education, landlord-tenant dispute mediation, and legal guidance.
- Review and consider updates to the Housing Incentive Program. Amendments may include giving additional incentives to projects which include units which are accessible and include universal design features.
- Prioritize Environmental Justice Communities when seeking planning and infrastructure grants consistent with the County's Environmental Justice Element.
- Provide prevention and treatment services to individuals struggling with alcohol and/or drug abuse through Behavioral Health Services. Services include outpatient treatment, methadone treatment, day treatment, detoxification, residential services, and perinatal services.

This goal section commits the County to several actions. The County will continue to fund the Renters Helpline, a countywide telephone and web-based resources that provides fair housing education, landlord-

tenant mediation, and legal guidance. Immigrant and refugee communities are often at risk of substandard housing conditions because of a lack of language access, unfamiliarity with the system and their rights, and fear of retaliation. The County will continue to educate the refugee and immigrant community on their rights as renters through the annual Refugee Academy. The County will investigate other tenant protection measures to help keep precariously housed tenants in their homes, such as a just cause eviction ordinance, as well as measure to assist tenants in accessing housing, such as a fair chance housing ordinance.

Several policies and programs in other Housing Element goal sections will also serve to promote and further fair housing. Program A1 Countywide Rezone Program will add higher density zoning districts to areas known as “opportunity areas” or areas that are considered to be high or moderate resource areas. High and moderate resource areas are defined by the California Fair Housing Task Force as areas which offer low-income families the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health. Program B8 Missing Middle Housing (or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing) requires the County to explore Zoning Code amendments to allow for more missing middle housing types in single family zoning districts.

The objective of Goal HE 7 is to ensure equal housing opportunities for all residents of Sacramento County.

Policies

HE 7.1.1 The County shall continue to provide funding for the Renters Helpline or an equivalent resource.

HE 7.1.2 The County shall promote fair housing choice for all residents regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, marital status, source of income, disability or familial status.

HE 7.1.3 The County will encourage the development of new affordable housing in areas of opportunity, or areas which offer low-income families the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health. The County will accomplish this by rezoning sites to allow multifamily uses in high and moderate resource areas during the Countywide Rezone Program.

HE 7.1.4 In addition to compliance with Federal and State requirements for advertising, the County encourages private housing developers to affirmatively further fair housing through their use of marketing materials that are designed for and representative of individuals regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, disability, and familial status.

HE 7.1.5 The County shall work to make all communities places of opportunity and encourage future investments and development while minimizing the involuntary displacement of vulnerable populations, such as low-income households, People of Color, seniors, and people with disabilities due to the influx of less vulnerable populations attracted by increased opportunities and/or investments.

| GOAL HE 7: IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMS | |
|---|--|
| Implement the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice | |
| <p>G1. Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice: The County and Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will take affirmative actions to further fair housing choice by implementing the goals, strategies and actions of the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice prepared for the 2020-2024 Consolidated Plan. Table 70 details the meaningful actions and metrics the County will take to address the identified barriers to fair housing.</p> | |
| <p>Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.1</p> | |
| <p>Responsible Department: SHRA</p> | |
| <p>Funding: Community Development Block Grant</p> | |
| <p>Objective: Improve the level of reporting to measure success in reducing housing discrimination.</p> | |
| <p>Target Date: Implementation recommendations: 2020-2024</p> | |

G2. Reasonable Accommodations: The County will amend the Zoning Code consistent with the reasonable accommodation best practices identified by the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice.

- The County will amend the Zoning Code to include a definition of “disability” or “person with disabilities” that aligns with the Fair Housing Amendments Act (FHAA), the California Fair Housing and Employment Act (CFEHA), and the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in the definitions section of the zoning code.
- The County will amend the Zoning Code to include a request for reasonable accommodation process that applies to any modification to a zoning or development requirement to ensure that a reasonable accommodation for all disabilities can be considered.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.1.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Amend the Zoning Code to include additional reasonable accommodation procedures and provisions.

Target Date: May 2022

Provide Tenant Protections

G3. Renters Helpline: The County will contract with fair housing service providers to provide the Renters Helpline (or an equivalent service), a telephone and web-based resources that provides fair housing education, landlord-tenant mediation, and legal guidance. The Renters Helpline refers complaints of housing discrimination to other fair housing providers and State/Federal agencies involved in fair housing. The County will continue to promote fair housing information and the Renters Helpline through the Fair Housing Information and Resources webpage.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.1

Responsible Department: Office of Planning and Environmental Review

Funding: General Fund

Objective: Increase the resolution of housing complaints and reduce housing discrimination by resolving at least 30 disputes annually.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

G4. Refugee Academy: The Code Enforcement Division will continue to attend the Refugee Academy annually to provide refugee tenants with information on their rights as renters.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.2

Responsible Department: Code Enforcement

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Provide tenant education to the refugee community annually to increase awareness of Health and Safety Code requirements.

Target Date: Ongoing, 2021-2029

G5. Tenant Protections: The County will study just-cause eviction ordinances or other programs to help keep precariously housed tenants in their homes and present findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 4.3.2

Responsible Department: County Counsel, Office of Planning and Environmental Review, SHRA, Director of Homeless Initiatives, Code Enforcement Division

Funding: County General Fund

Objective: Reduce evictions and/or displacement of precariously housed tenants.

Target Date: December 2024 or earlier if resources allow

G6. Research Options to Remove Barriers to Fair Housing Choice. The County will study Fair Chance Housing Ordinances or other methods to limit the use of criminal records by property owners during the screening of prospective tenants. The County will study best practices for affordable alternatives to up-front payments of security deposits or other prepaid rent such as grants or a low- or no-interest loan to the tenant. The County will present best practices and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

Implements Which Policy(ies): HE 7.1.2

Responsible Department: SHRA, Code Enforcement, Office of Planning and Environmental Review, County Counsel, Department of Human Assistance

Funding: General Fund and grant opportunities as available

Objective: To reduce barriers to fair housing choice and provide support to those seeking access to rental housing.

Target Date: December 2026

CHAPTER 4: EVALUATION OF PRIOR ACHIEVEMENTS

As required by State Housing Element law (California Government Code Section 65588) the housing program assessment must specifically address the following:

- The appropriateness of the housing goals, objectives, and policies in contributing to the attainment of the State housing goal;
- The effectiveness of the Housing Element in attainment of the community's housing goals and objectives; and
- The progress of the County in implementation of the Housing Element.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ACHIEVEMENTS

The following is a summary of the County's achievements and shortcomings during the 2013-2021 planning period. Appendix B contains a more detailed evaluation of the effectiveness of each program. The previous Housing Element included the following seven strategies:

- 1) Adequate land for housing
- 2) Reduction of constraints to housing production
- 3) Conservation and rehabilitation of existing housing and neighborhoods
- 4) Improvement of housing opportunities for special needs groups
- 5) Preservation of existing affordable housing stock and provision of affordable housing
- 6) Promote the efficient use of energy in residences and improve the air quality of Sacramento County
- 7) Promote the health and safety of residents

STRATEGY HE 1: ADEQUATE LAND FOR HOUSING

Elements of this strategy include:

- Ensure sufficient land to allow for the development of adequate new housing and to ensure availability of housing for all households in Sacramento County.
- Actively promote and facilitate the use/reuse of vacant and underutilized infill sites.

Program accomplishments include:

1. During the planning period, County staff automated the monitoring of parcels listed in the vacant land inventory as available for moderate-, low-, and very low-income categories consistent with changes made to No Net Loss requirements (Government Code Section 65863). In addition, staff published four biennial reports on the inventory of vacant multifamily parcels. The County maintained no net loss of residential unit capacity during the planning period. Staff also ensured that hearing bodies made appropriate findings regarding any decisions that affected the vacant land inventory.
2. Sacramento County General Plan policy requires that at least 34.8 percent of all dwelling units in New Growth Areas outside of the UPA be developed at RD-20 densities or higher. The Board of Supervisors adopted the NewBridge Specific Plan in October 2020. The NewBridge Plan is subject to a Development Agreement and required to comply with an Affordable Housing Strategy whereby the developer will meet its affordable housing requirement by dedicating two 5-acre parcels for the development of a 210 unit affordable housing development.
3. During the planning period, the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors also approved the Mather South Community Master Plan, which was within both the UPA and the previously approved Mather

Specific Plan area. The Mather South Plan is subject to a Development Agreement and required to comply with an Affordable Housing Strategy whereby the developer will meet its affordable housing requirement through land dedication and payment of an affordability fee. The developer will dedicate a 10-acre parcel for the development of a 200 unit affordable housing development and pay an affordability fee to meet its remaining affordable housing obligation. The fee amount is currently about \$3.7 million or \$1,116 per market rate unit but will change based on the fee amount in effect at the time building permits are issued.

4. The County prepared a marketing brochure to publicize the benefits of locating new commercial and residential within its many commercial corridors.

Shortcomings in implementation include:

5. One of the programs of the previous Housing Element encouraged the development of multifamily projects on LC and SC zoned properties. Specifically, County staff assumed that 25 percent of vacant LC and SC zoned acreage developed during the planning period would develop with multifamily uses. While these zones allow multifamily developments by right, these properties tended to develop as commercial uses during the planning period.
6. Sacramento County discontinued the Infill Technical Assistance Program early in the planning period due to lack of funding and staffing.

STRATEGY HE 2: REDUCTION OF CONSTRAINTS TO HOUSING PRODUCTION

Elements of this strategy include:

- Streamline the governmental review process to assist in the reduction of processing times associated with housing production.
- Ensure that development standards continue to represent the best means to achieve land use policy objectives while reducing the cost of producing housing.
- Reduce housing costs associated with permit and development impact fees while ensuring sufficient funding for public services and facilities.

Program accomplishments include:

- The County hosted three separate workshops with affordable housing developers, market rate developers, and the Building Industry Association during the planning period. County staff provided developers with information on the various incentive programs offered by the County and the developers provided staff with suggestions for improvement, some of which staff are implementing using funds from the Planning Grants Program.
- Sacramento County adopted the updated Zoning code in 2015. The updated Zoning Code includes the Special Development Permit entitlement to provide greater flexibility from the development standards. This resulted in the approval of housing project with reduced lots sizes or setbacks that may not have been approved through the variance process of the former Zoning Code.
- The County amended the County Code and Zoning Code related to the Community Planning Advisory Councils (CPACs) that make an advisory recommendation to the hearing body(s) for all discretionary planning entitlements. A key change was that CPACs must act on all housing projects in one meeting and can no longer continue those items. Furthermore, if the CPAC recommends denial, the item is not “elevated” to the next higher hearing authority (e.g. from Zoning Administrator to Planning Commission).
- In 2019, the County published an SB 35 Streamlining Eligibility Determination Application Form and an SB 35 Streamlining Information Sheet on its webpage to promote its use for expediting housing projects.
- The County is in the process of overhauling the Multifamily Design Guidelines Chapter to ensure that it contains objective design standards. The intent of these updates is to increase the certainty

of design review outcomes, make the document simple and user-friendly, and ensure consistency with State housing law, such as SB 35 (Wiener, 2017). Several multifamily development standards in the County's Zoning Code have been identified as potential constraints to housing development. The County is in the process of updating these standards to provide additional flexibility. The SB 2 Planning Grant Program is funding both of these efforts.

- The County waives development fees for very low-income units in residential developments in which at least 10 percent of the units are affordable to very low-income households. From 2013 through 2020, six affordable housing projects received the fee waiver and the total amount of fees waived was \$3.9 million.
- The County defers certain fees, such as roadway and transit fees and the Antelope Community Plan Area fees, for single- and multi-family residential developments. From 2013 through 2020, six affordable housing projects received the fee deferral and the total amount of fees deferred was \$2.2 million.

STRATEGY HE 3: CONSERVATION AND REHABILITATION OF EXISTING HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOODS

Elements of this strategy include:

- Ensure the preservation and upgrading of rental and owner-occupied housing units in need of rehabilitation and improvement.
- Provide for conservation and rehabilitation activities through zoning and code enforcement programs. Support mechanisms to prevent the loss of housing.
- Improve public facilities with priority for the revitalization of deteriorating and blighted neighborhoods. Focus local/private sector investment into areas where there is a need for neighborhood revitalization.
- Conserve the quality of existing residential neighborhoods through design review.

Program accomplishments include:

- From 2013 through 2019, 385 single-family homes were repaired and/or retrofitted through the Emergency Repair Program/Accessibility Modifications (ERP-A).
- From 2013 through 2019, SHRA financed five rehabilitation projects in the County including Olivewood Apartments, Ethan Terrace Apartments, Sutter Place, Crossroad Gardens, and Southwind Court Apartments, which resulted in 365 rehabilitated units.
- During the planning period, SHRA provided financing for the conversion of the Courtyard Inn Motel, a long-time problem property, into affordable housing. The project resulted in the creation of 92 new units for both low- and very low-income levels.
- The Sacramento County Code Enforcement Department's Rental Housing Inspection Program continues to serve as a model for other jurisdictions. During the planning period, the program fee increased, the registration process was migrated to be completely online and paperless, and the mobile application went live. Since these updates, Code Enforcement Officers have been able to conduct more fieldwork, double their inspections, and streamline property owner education.
- Sacramento County adopted the Countywide Design Review Guidelines in July 2015. The Design Review Administrator and an Administrative Design Review Advisory Committee review single-family projects or lot division requests, multi-family projects and mixed-use projects for Design Review conformance.

STRATEGY HE 4: IMPROVEMENT OF HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SPECIAL NEEDS GROUPS

Elements of this strategy include:

- Provide housing choices appropriate for “special needs” populations, including people experiencing homelessness, farmworkers, female-headed households, persons with disabilities and seniors.
- Achieve no net loss of existing rental housing affordable to extremely low-income households and increase the number of affordable rental housing units.
- Ensure equal housing opportunities for all residents of Sacramento County.

Program accomplishments include:

- Per the Zoning Code, Homeless shelters are an allowed use by right in the GC and M-1 zones. In addition, the Zoning Code allows County funded shelters in any zoning district in the County. While the County continues to maintain an adequate supply of land for homeless shelters in the GC and M-1 zones, the County has shifted away from large shelters and toward a “scattered site shelter” model as a part of its Full Service Re-Housing Shelter (FSRS) Initiative. As of 2019, there are 15 of these sites located throughout the County, which provide 24-hour accommodations for up to a total of 75 individuals at a time and serve approximately 250 to 300 persons annually. As of September 2019, 137 households have exited from this program into permanent housing.
- From 2013 through 2019, the Shelter Plus Care Program, which provides supportive housing for disabled individuals and families, served between 562 and 641 families annually.
- During the planning period, the Mather Community Campus provided several housing programs for people experiencing homelessness including:
 - The Laverne Adolfo Program – This DHS funded program provides former foster youth with transitional housing and support services. From 2013 through 2019, the Laverne Adolfo Program served up to 145 adult and 47 children annually.
 - The Single Adult Program – This DHS funded program provides job training and transitional housing for adult singles experiencing homelessness. The Single Adult Program serves up to 180 single adults annually. In 2020, the County modified the program to focus on providing interim shelter and not job training.
 - The Family Transitional Housing Program - This DHS funded program provides transitional housing for families. This program also provides longer-term support for families in need of additional services to assist with securing stable housing. The program serves up to 25 families.
- From 2013 through 2019, the Winter Sanctuary Program provided 100 beds annually from November through March for those who were not able to receive assistance from other County programs. In 2020, the Collaborative Shelter Plan replaced the Winter Sanctuary Program. The objective of the Collaborative Shelter Plan is to improve housing outcomes for shelter participants through coordination between the County and the City of Sacramento.
- The Sacramento County Environmental Management Department annually inspects 21 farm labor camps to ensure safe and suitable living conditions for farm workers.
- The Zoning Code allows Farm Labor Camps that have up to 45 beds in group quarters or 16 single-family household units by right in all agricultural zoning districts, consistent with State law. In addition, County staff coordinated with the Sacramento County Environmental Management Department, the Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner and several local farm labor contractors during the planning period to evaluate the housing needs of farm workers.
- The County partners with several service providers and jurisdictions to provide free Fair Housing services through the Renters Helpline, a regional resource that provides housing counseling and legal guidance for tenants.
- SHRA, on behalf of the Unincorporated County of Sacramento (which includes the small cities of Folsom, Isleton and Galt) and City of Sacramento, partnered with the cities of Woodland, Davis,

Rocklin, Roseville, West Sacramento, Citrus Heights, Rancho Cordova, Elk Grove and the Housing Authorities of Sacramento, Roseville/Rocklin and Yolo to conduct an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI). The regional AI was approved by the Board of Supervisors in October 2019.

Program shortcomings include

- The County displays Universal Design brochures at its building assistance centers; however, a more targeted educational outreach effort may be more effective in increasing the number of units built consistent with Universal Design standards.
- The County did not implement an outreach program to inform families within the County of housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities due to a lack of staffing and expertise.
- One of the programs of the previous Housing Element called for the adoption of Design Guidelines and Siting Criteria specific to senior housing and care facilities. While the County adopted Design Guidelines in 2015, it did not adopt guidelines specific to senior housing. Rather, the Design Guidelines classify senior housing and care facilities as either multifamily or institutional uses in the Design Guidelines, depending on the level of care provided.

STRATEGY HE 5: PRESERVATION OF EXISTING AFFORDABLE HOUSING STOCK AND PROVISION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Elements of this strategy include:

- Pursue federal, state, and local programs and funding sources that provide opportunities to preserve existing affordable housing stock.
- Implement programs that increase the supply of affordable housing. Pursue federal, state, and local programs and funding sources that provide housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income households.
- Utilize mixed-use development to increase supply of housing stock.
- Ensure that services and amenities are located near low-income areas and existing and proposed multifamily housing.

Program accomplishments include:

- From 2013 through 2019, SHRA used gap funds to rehabilitate and preserve 267 affordable housing units that were at risk of conversion to market rate.
- From 2013 through 2019, SHRA provided gap funds for four affordable housing projects. These gap funds leveraged millions of dollars in conventional and tax credit financing and ultimately resulted in the construction of 326 new affordable housing units.

Program shortcomings include:

- County Staff advertised the County's Housing Incentive Program (HIP) online, at the building assistance centers, and during meetings with developers. However, despite efforts to market the program, only a few projects utilized HIP during the planning period.
- The Zoning Code includes three mixed-use zones. Currently no parcels in the County have a mixed use zoning designation; however, the NewBridge Specific Plan designates approximately 11 acres as mixed-use to accommodate high-density residential uses and non-residential uses in proximity to planning transit.

STRATEGY HE 6: PROMOTE THE EFFICIENT USE OF ENERGY IN RESIDENCES AND IMPROVE THE AIR QUALITY OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY

The objective of this strategy is to reduce home energy usage by increasing energy efficiency. Program accomplishments include:

- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) officials continue to participate in the Project Review Committee process, which provides applicants with an early opportunity to identify project issues and opportunities for improvement.
- County staff continues to distribute flyers from SMUD regarding energy efficiency incentive programs at the building assistance centers. The County also provides this information on its webpage.

Program shortcomings include:

- In 2015 the County took action to allow for an open competitive market for third party Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) providers. PACE programs offer loans for home improvements that may improve energy efficiency; however, since approval of the County's PACE program, PACE providers across the country have been accused of predatory lending practices. State and Federal regulators have begun taking action to better regulate PACE providers and protect consumers. In addition to State and Federal regulations, the County can also play a vital role in ensuring consumer protection.

STRATEGY HE 7: PROMOTE THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF RESIDENTS

The objective of this strategy is to design, build, and maintain development in existing and new communities to promote the health, safety and livability of residents, employees, visitors and the community.

Program accomplishments include:

- The County continues to support walking, bicycling, and transit use in order to minimize auto dependency and improve air quality. During the planning period, SacDOT updated and consolidated the Bikeway Master Plan and the Pedestrian Master Plan into one Active Transportation Plan. In the Jackson Highway area, the County developed a comprehensive trails plan and it required each of the master plans to fund a comprehensive transit system.
- The County adopted the Phase 2 Environmental Justice Element in 2019. Staff transferred several Housing Element programs related to healthy food access and crime prevention through environmental design to the Environmental Justice Element. The Environmental Justice Element includes additional policies related to civil engagement, physical activity, public facilities, and safe and sanitary homes.
- The County adopted Design Review Guidelines that incorporated healthy communities and crime reduction measures in 2015. The County has gained national recognition from the Center for Active Design.

A program-by-program evaluation of the 2013-2021 Housing Element programs is located in Appendix B.

CHAPTER 5: POPULATION, EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Government Code Section 65583 (a)(1) requires the housing element to include an analysis of population and employment trends and Government Code Section 65583 (a)(2) requires the housing element to include an analysis of household characteristics.

The findings of this section include the following:

- (1) Between 2021 and 2029, the 65 and over age group is anticipated to grow from 244,680 to 314,560, or a 29 percent increase. Compared to other age groups, this group will experience the most growth within the planning period.
- (2) At the time of writing this element, the current employment data and vacancy rates in the County indicate a healthy economy and housing stock; however, the long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on these rates is currently unknown.
- (3) According the Turner Center for Housing Innovation, 33,000 renter households (or 14 percent of all renter households) were impacted by a COVID-related job loss in Sacramento County (inclusive of the incorporated cities).
 - a. Of those impacted renter households, 56 percent already had incomes below 80 percent AMI and 47 percent were already rent burdened prior to the start of the pandemic. In addition, 70 percent of impacted renter households house at least one person of color.

POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

POPULATION TRENDS

Sacramento County is a member jurisdiction of the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) that is comprised of 6 counties and 22 cities. Sacramento County's growth has been similar to the other counties in the SACOG region with the exception of Sutter County, which experienced a lower growth rate. Table 6 shows the population of Sacramento County as compared to the other counties in the SACOG region.

| Table 6: Regional Population Trends (2010-2019) | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Jurisdiction | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | % Change 2010-2015 | % Change 2015-2019 |
| El Dorado County | 181,058 | 183,172 | 191,848 | 1.2% | 4.7% |
| Placer County | 348,432 | 371,326 | 396,691 | 6.6% | 6.8% |
| Sacramento County | 1,418,788 | 1,484,379 | 1,546,174 | 4.6% | 4.2% |
| Sutter County | 94,737 | 96,383 | 97,490 | 1.7% | 1.1% |
| Yolo County | 200,849 | 211,361 | 222,581 | 5.2% | 5.3% |
| Yuba County | 72,155 | 74,282 | 77,916 | 3.0% | 4.9% |

Table 7 shows the population of the incorporated cities within Sacramento County as well as the population of the unincorporated area. The Cities of Rancho Cordova and Elk Grove had the highest percentage growth between both 2010 and 2015 and 2015 and 2019. The City of Sacramento and the unincorporated County had the highest numerical population growth between 2015 and 2019. The population for the City of Sacramento increased by 24,869 and the population for the unincorporated County increased by 15,108.

The growth rates for the Cities of Elk Grove, Folsom, Galt, and Sacramento are much more modest than they were between 2000 and 2010. Between 2000 and 2010, the Cities of Elk Grove and Rancho Cordova incorporated and decreased the unincorporated County's population by about 16 percent.

| Table 7: City and County Population Growth (2010-2019) | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Jurisdiction | 2010 | 2015 | 2019 | % Change 2010-2015 | % Change 2015-2019 |
| Citrus Heights | 83,301 | 86,152 | 88,095 | 3.4% | 2.3% |
| Elk Grove | 153,015 | 164,369 | 174,025 | 7.4% | 5.9% |
| Folsom | 72,203 | 75,687 | 79,835 | 4.8% | 5.5% |
| Galt | 23,647 | 24,856 | 26,489 | 5.1% | 6.6% |
| Isleton | 804 | 826 | 871 | 2.7% | 5.4% |
| Rancho Cordova | 64,776 | 70,006 | 74,471 | 8.1% | 6.4% |
| Sacramento City | 466,488 | 483,303 | 508,172 | 3.6% | 5.1% |
| Unincorporated Sacramento County | 554,554 | 579,180 | 594,216 | 4.4% | 2.6% |
| Total | 1,418,788 | 1,484,379 | 1,546,174 | 4.6% | 4.2% |

Source: State of California, Department of Finance, E-5 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2010-2019, Sacramento, California, May 2019.

RACE AND ETHNICITY

Table 8 compares the ethnic and racial composition of the incorporated cities and the unincorporated County. The majority of the County is Non-Hispanic White (52.6 percent); however, there are significant populations of Hispanic (20.9 percent), Asian (11.3), and Black (8.3) residents. The County tends to be more racially and ethnically diverse than the Cities of Citrus Heights and Folsom but slightly less diverse than the Cities of Sacramento and Elk Grove. For more information on patterns of diversity and segregation, refer to the Fair Housing Chapter.

Table 8: City and County Race and Ethnicity

| Jurisdiction | Non-Hispanic White | Hispanic | Black | Native American | Asian | Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Is. | Other | Two or More Races |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------|-----------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|
| Citrus Heights | 69.6% | 18.9% | 3.6% | 0.3% | 3.6% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 3.6% |
| Elk Grove | 34.8% | 18.0% | 10.9% | 0.4% | 28.0% | 1.6% | 0.1% | 6.3% |
| Folsom | 62.1% | 11.8% | 3.3% | 0.4% | 16.8% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 4.9% |
| Galt | 46.6% | 43.4% | 1.4% | 0.0% | 4.7% | 0.0% | 0.5% | 3.3% |
| Isleton | 48.2% | 41.2% | 2.6% | 1.4% | 1.9% | 2.2% | 0.0% | 2.6% |
| Rancho Cordova | 49.1% | 21.6% | 8.8% | 0.3% | 13.0% | 0.8% | 0.5% | 5.8% |
| Sacramento | 32.5% | 28.7% | 13.0% | 0.3% | 18.6% | 1.7% | 0.5% | 4.7% |
| Unincorporated Sacramento County | 52.6% | 20.9% | 8.3% | 0.4% | 11.3% | 0.7% | 0.5% | 5.3% |

Source: 2014-2018 ACS

AGE OF THE POPULATION

Table 9 compares the population by age of the incorporated cities and the unincorporated County. The median age of the entire County is 36. Cities such as Folsom and Isleton have higher median ages, 41 and 49 respectively, and cities such as Sacramento and Rancho Cordova have slightly lower median ages.

Table 9: Population by Age

| Jurisdiction | Under 5 | 5 to 19 | 20 to 34 | 35 to 44 | 45 to 64 | 65 and Over |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Citrus Heights | 5,296 | 14,759 | 20,026 | 10,801 | 22,148 | 14,031 |
| Elk Grove | 10,809 | 38,221 | 31,692 | 23,278 | 44,410 | 20,093 |
| Folsom | 4,309 | 15,651 | 11,864 | 11,746 | 23,464 | 9,973 |
| Galt | 1,614 | 6,156 | 5,069 | 3,166 | 6,553 | 3,117 |
| Isleton | 15 | 89 | 132 | 47 | 208 | 92 |
| Rancho Cordova | 5,014 | 14,041 | 17,328 | 10,246 | 16,999 | 8,428 |
| Sacramento City | 33,234 | 94,733 | 124,991 | 65,755 | 113,522 | 62,776 |
| Unincorporated Sacramento County | 39,065 | 94,733 | 123,420 | 72,693 | 150,677 | 83,697 |
| Total | 99,356 | 298,225 | 334,522 | 197,732 | 377,981 | 202,207 |

Source: 2014-2018 ACS

According to data from the Department of Finance shown in Table 10, the 65 and over age group will experience the most growth within the planning period compared to other age groups. Between 2021 and 2029, the 65 and over age group is anticipated to grow from 244,680 to 314,560, or a 29 percent increase. The 20 to 34 age group will increase by 7 percent, the 45 to 64 age group will increase by 4 percent and the other age groups will see a slight decrease.

| Table 10: Age Projections, Entire County (2021-2029) | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|
| | 2021 | 2025 | 2029 | 2025 to 2029 Percent Change |
| Under 5 | 94,420 | 95,679 | 92,901 | -1.6% |
| 5 to 19 | 320,994 | 321,324 | 318,314 | -0.8% |
| 20 to 34 | 321,016 | 334,596 | 344,179 | 7.2% |
| 35 to 44 | 216,872 | 214,579 | 215,040 | -0.8% |
| 45 to 65 | 384,436 | 391,194 | 401,136 | 4.3% |
| 65 and Over | 244,680 | 279,673 | 314,560 | 26.6% |

Source: Projections Prepared by Demographic Research Unit, California Department of Finance, January 2020.

The Housing Action Plan Chapter includes several programs to meet the needs of the County's aging population. In particular, the Housing Action Plan Chapter includes SHRA's Home Repair Program (HRP) to assist seniors in repairing and maintaining their homes, a program to amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses, the County's In Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program, the Housing Incentive Program, and the Universal Design program.

EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS

Employment growth is one of the primary drivers of housing demand. Working-age individuals will often choose a place to live based on employment prospects in the local area. The rate of employment growth and the types of jobs created will determine how much housing will be needed by type and cost.

EMPLOYMENT TRENDS

The educational services, and health care and social assistance industry has the largest percentage of the labor market in unincorporated Sacramento County followed by the retail industry and the professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services industry.

The COVID-19 pandemic has increased the unemployment rate of Sacramento County in the short term; however, the long term impacts on employment rate is not yet known at the time of writing this element.

| Table 11: Employment by Industry, Unincorporated Sacramento County | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Industry Type | Number | Percent |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 53,833 | 21.6% |
| Retail trade | 29,772 | 11.9% |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 29,614 | 11.9% |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 24,133 | 9.7% |
| Public administration | 21,687 | 8.7% |
| Construction | 18,425 | 7.4 |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 18,159 | 7.3% |
| Transportation, warehousing, and utilities | 14,189 | 5.7% |
| Other services, except public administration | 13,900 | 5.6% |
| Manufacturing | 12,165 | 4.9% |
| Wholesale trade | 5,947 | 2.4% |
| Information | 4,715 | 1.9% |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 2,743 | 1.1% |
| TOTAL | 249,282 | 100% |

Source: ACS DP-03 2013-2017

As shown in Table 12, the 2020 Economic Overview² for the entire County projects that the educational services, and health care and social assistance industry will be the fastest growing sector between 2020 and 2023. Construction and professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services are projected to be the next fastest growing sectors.

² JobsEQ®, a product of Chmura Economics & Analytics. Economic Overview Sacramento County, California. June 3, 2020. <http://www.chmuraecon.com/jobseq>.

| Table 12: Average Annual Wages and Employment Growth by Industry, Entire County | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Industry Type | Current | | Three-Year Forecast | |
| | Employment | Average Annual Wages | Employment Growth | Annual Percentage Growth |
| Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 167,971 | \$58,374 | 7,832 | 1.5% |
| Construction | 46,684 | \$64,885 | 1,117 | 0.8% |
| Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 104,850 | \$71,735 | 1,906 | 0.6% |
| Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 35,411 | \$76,950 | 445 | 0.4% |
| Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 3,262 | \$42,259 | 33 | 0.3% |
| Transportation and warehousing, and utilities | 32,155 | \$57,171 | 315 | 0.3% |
| Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 71,014 | \$24,236 | 408 | 0.2% |
| Other services, except public administration | 29,721 | \$40,215 | 47 | 0.1% |
| Public administration | 110,995 | \$92,352 | -822 | -0.2% |
| Information | 9,033 | \$75,529 | -115 | -0.4% |
| Manufacturing | 22,266 | \$70,684 | -402 | -0.6% |
| Retail trade | 66,288 | \$34,920 | -715 | -0.4% |
| Wholesale trade | 17,658 | \$67,554 | -189 | -0.4% |

Source: JobsEQ, 2020 Economic Overview, Sacramento County

People in the lower income categories hold a wide variety of occupations in Sacramento County, such as food service, healthcare support, farming, and retail, to name a few. Those in the extremely low-income category are typically those on fixed incomes (through social security or disability) and are likely not in the workforce full time. The full impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on these occupations is unknown at the time of writing this element.


| Table 13: Income Categories and Occupations | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| Income Category | Percent of Median Income | Typical Occupations |
| Extremely Low-Income | 30% | N/A (1) |
| Very Low-Income | 50% | Food Preparation and Serving; Personal Care and Services; Farming, Fishing, and Forestry |
| Low-Income | 80% | Sales and Related; Healthcare Support; Office and Administrative Support |
| Moderate-Income | 120% | Education, Training, and Library; Community and Social Service; Installation, Maintenance, and Repair |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Households in the Extremely Low-Income Category are typically those on fixed incomes (e.g., social security, disability). | | |

Source: JobsEQ®, a product of Chmura Economics & Analytics. Economic Overview Sacramento County, California. June 3, 2020. <http://www.chmuraecon.com/jobseq>.

HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

According to 2013-2017 ACS data, the unincorporated County has an almost even split of renters and homeowners. Of the total households in Sacramento County, approximately 56 percent (115,621) are homeowners and 44 percent (90,012) are renters.

Figure 1: Households by Tenure in Sacramento County



Source: ACS 2013-2017 Table B25003

COVID-19 PANDEMIC

The Turner Center for Housing Innovation published analysis on the impacts of COVID-19 on California's Vulnerable Renters.³ The analysis found that nearly 1 million renter households in California have

³ Elizabeth Kneebone and Carolina Reid, "COVID-19 and California's Vulnerable Renters", Turner Center for Housing Innovation, UC Berkeley, August 2, 2020.

experienced a COVID-19 related job loss. Statewide, the majority of impacted renter households live in single-family rentals, which the statewide rent stabilization and just-cause eviction protections do not cover. According to the Turner Center, approximately 33,000 renter households (or 14 percent of all renter households) were impacted by a COVID-related job loss in Sacramento County. In fact, the Turner Center included Sacramento County in a list of just 10 counties that account for three-quarters of the impacted renter households statewide. This income loss acutely impacts both low-income households, which were already cost-burdened and precariously housed prior to the pandemic, and People of Color. In Sacramento County, 56 percent of impacted renter households already had incomes below 80 percent AMI and 47 percent were already rent burdened prior to the start of the pandemic. In Sacramento County, 70 percent of impacted renter households house at least one person of color.

The Housing Action Plan chapter includes an implementation program that requires the County to study and consider adopting tenant protections beyond state protections to support at-risk households.

HOUSING OCCUPANCY

Generally, a 5 to 6 percent vacancy rate for rental units and a 2 percent vacancy rate in units available for owner-occupancy are considered optimal to keep prices down and to ensure that units are available to new and relocating residents. According to 2013-2017 ACS data, Sacramento County falls within those acceptable vacancy rates. The full impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the vacancy rates is unknown at the time of writing this element.

| Table 14: Vacancy Rates in Sacramento County | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | Vacancy Rate | | |
| | Total | Homeowner | Rental |
| Citrus Heights | 4.1% | 1.2% | 2.4% |
| Elk Grove | 3.4% | 0.6% | 1.7% |
| Folsom | 2.8% | 0.8% | 2.3% |
| Galt | 4.2% | 1.0% | 2.5% |
| Isleton | 26.4% | 3.4% | 17.6% |
| Rancho Cordova | 4.3% | 0.7% | 3.9% |
| Sacramento city | 6.8% | 1.2% | 4.4% |
| Unincorporated Sacramento County | 6.2% | 1.7% | 5.2% |
| Total | 5.7% | 1.3% | 4.3% |

Source: ACS 2013-2017 Tables B25002 and B25004

Table 15 below shows the change in housing unit type in Sacramento County between 2010 and 2019. The Housing Action Plan Chapter includes program B8, which is intended to increase missing middle housing types such as duplexes.

| Table 15: Housing Units by Type in Unincorporated Sacramento County | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2019 | % Growth |
| Single Family-Detached | 141,152 | 144,055 | 4.2% |
| Single Family-Attached | 14,064 | 14,074 | 0.1% |
| Two to Four | 18,533 | 18,578 | 0.2% |
| Five+ | 39,219 | 39,761 | 1.4% |
| Mobile Home | 6,653 | 6,763 | 1.7% |
| Total | 219,621 | 223,231 | 1.6% |

Source: State of California, Department of Finance. E-5 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2019, Sacramento, California, May 2019.

HOUSING STOCK CONDITIONS

The overwhelming majority of housing in the unincorporated area of Sacramento County was constructed after World War II. Before that time, only small numbers of residents lived outside the cities of Sacramento, Galt, Folsom, and Isleton in rural communities and agricultural areas. Beginning in the 1940s, rapid suburbanization of Sacramento County resulted in the construction of more than 220,000 dwelling units.

Table 16 shows the number and percentage of dwelling units by year constructed. In unincorporated Sacramento County, approximately 133,000 units are more than 40 years old and are the most at risk of becoming substandard. Approximately, another 40,000 units are more than 30 years old and may show signs of deterioration.

| Table 16: Age of Housing Units in Unincorporated Sacramento County | | |
|--|--------|---------|
| Year Structure Built | Number | Percent |
| 2014 or later | 562 | 0.3% |
| 2010 to 2013 | 1,782 | 0.8% |
| 2000 to 2009 | 18,341 | 8.4% |
| 1990 to 1999 | 25,632 | 11.7% |
| 1980 to 1989 | 39,785 | 18.2% |
| 1970 to 1979 | 52,088 | 23.8% |
| 1960 to 1969 | 33,239 | 15.2% |
| 1950 to 1959 | 36,420 | 16.6% |
| 1940 to 1949 | 7,090 | 3.2% |
| 1939 or earlier | 4,172 | 1.9% |

Source: ACS 2013-2017 Table DP04

The Office of Planning and Environmental conducted a detailed windshield survey during the summer of 2009. The survey was conducted in census tracts that had a large number of older homes and a large percentage of households with below average incomes. The census tracts were located in North Highlands

on the east and west sides of the McClellan Business Park, central and northwest Arden-Arcade, South Sacramento between Stockton Boulevard and Highway 99, and the Delta community. These areas coincide with the North Highlands, West Arden Arcade, and South Sacramento Environmental Justice Communities identified in the Environmental Justice Element.

Three structural components of each residence were inspected: siding/stucco, roofing, and windows. The more deteriorated the structural component, the higher the score that was assigned. The scores for the three components were then combined to determine the overall score for the residence. Table 17 describes the housing condition categories and the number and percentage of inspected residential units that fell in each category.

| Table 17: 2009 Housing Condition Survey | | | | |
|---|-------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Total Rating | Condition | Definition of Condition | # of Residential Parcels | % of Residential Parcels |
| 5 or less | Sound | A unit that appears new or well maintained and structurally intact. There should be straight roof lines. Siding, windows, and doors should be in good repair with good exterior paint condition. | 1,846 | 90.6% |
| 6 to 10 | Minor | A unit that shows signs of deferred maintenance, or which needs only one major component, such as a roof. | 128 | 6.3% |
| 11 to 20 | Moderate | A unit in need of replacement of one or more major components and other repairs, such as roof replacement, painting, and window repairs. | 52 | 2.6% |
| 21 to 30 | Substantial | A unit that requires replacement of several major systems and possibly other repairs (e.g. roof structure replacement and re-roofing, as well as painting and window replacement). | 11 | 0.5% |
| 31 and over | Dilapidated | A unit suffering from excessive neglect, where the building appears structurally unsound and maintenance is nonexistent, not fit for human habitation in its current condition. | 0 | 0% |

Results of the survey showed that the housing conditions in the selected communities were generally sound. Of the 2,037 total survey sites, only 191 (less than 10 percent) were rated other than "Sound". Of the 191 residences, 128 were rated as "Minor", 52 were rated as "Moderate" and only 11 were rated as "Substantial". No residences were rated at "Dilapidated". Four census tracts had a significantly higher percentage of dwelling units not rated as "Sound" than the average for all census tracts surveyed. These are listed in the following table.

| Table 18: 2009 Housing Condition Survey – Census Tracts | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Census Tract and Community | Sample Size | Sound | Minor | Moderate | Substantial | % Not Sound |
| 4401 – South Sacramento | 177 | 145 | 20 | 11 | 1 | 18.0% |
| 7404 – North Highlands | 161 | 128 | 19 | 9 | 5 | 20.5% |
| 9605, 9700 – Delta (1) | 79 | 62 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 21.5% |
| Total for All Units Surveyed | 2037 | 1846 | 128 | 52 | 11 | 9.4% |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most surveyed parcels were in the towns of Walnut Grove and Locke. | | | | | | |

The survey concluded that the overall housing condition that existed in the selected areas did not show pervasive signs of distress. However, there were portions of these areas that may need assistance to prevent hazards from developing.

As a part of its Rental Housing Inspection Program (RHIP), Code Enforcement inspected 12,745 dwelling units (including initial inspections and re-inspections) in 2019 and of those units, 5,282 were in violation. By the end of 2019, 7,373 units were in compliance and 5,372 were still in violation.

Based on 2019 inspection data, we can assume that housing conditions did not change dramatically between 2009, the year that the County published the Housing Condition Study, and 2019. However, inspections halted in 2020 due to the COVID-19 pandemic. While Code Enforcement does not have data from 2020, there is anecdotal evidence that suggests that housing conditions may have declined. Renter complaints have increased throughout the course of the pandemic and Supervising Code Enforcement Officers expect that some property owners have used the pandemic as an excuse to delay necessary improvements. Supervising Code Enforcement Officers estimate that the pandemic has resulted in a backlog of 5 year's worth of inspections given current RHIP staffing levels and the diversion of staff resources to support Covid-related activities. To address the backlog and ensure the health and safety of renters in the years to come, the County must increase or, at least, maintain RHIP staff levels.

CHAPTER 6: HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT

State statute identifies six special needs groups because a significant portion of their monthly incomes are devoted to housing costs and they can unfortunately be subject to discriminatory practices when looking for housing. These groups include seniors, large households, female-headed households, people with disabilities, farmworkers, and people experiencing homelessness. Sacramento County added immigrants and refugees to this list due to the acute housing needs of this group as identified during focus group meetings. This chapter summarizes and quantifies the County's existing and future housing needs and assesses the special needs of each of the seven special needs groups.

The findings of this chapter include the following:

- As the region's economy has continued to recover from the recession, home values and rents have increased throughout the County. In 2020, home prices increased to levels as high as those the County experienced during the peak of the previous decade's housing boom.
- A significant portion of all low-income owners and renters (60 and 73 percent, respectively) are overpaying, or paying more than 30 percent of their income, for housing. A large number of low-income owners and renters (33 and 42 percent, respectively) are severely overpaying, or paying more than 50 percent of their income. This is especially true for extremely low-income households, of which 62 percent of owners and 74 percent of renters are severely overpaying.
- Per the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) Regional Housing Needs Plan, the County will need to plan for 21,272 new units between 2021 and 2029. Of these new units, 2,233 should be affordable to extremely low-income households, 2,233 should be affordable to very low-income households, 2,692 should be affordable to low-income households, and 4,186 should be affordable to moderate-income households. The remaining 9,928 units may be affordable to above moderate-income households.
- According to 2018 data from California Employment Development Department, the hourly median wage for a farm worker in the Sacramento—Roseville—Arden-Arcade Metropolitan Statistical Area falls within the very low- and extremely low-income categories.
- According to the 2019 Point-in-Time Homeless Count, 5,570 individual were experiencing homelessness on the night of the count and 3,900 of those individuals were unsheltered. Of those unsheltered individuals, 18 percent were located in unincorporated Sacramento County.
- According to the 2013-2017 American Community Survey, there are 38,824 people with a disability between the ages of 18 and 64 in Sacramento County and 15,881 of these people experience difficulty with independent living. According to California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) data, there are approximately 7,700 people with developmental disabilities who are served by DDS in unincorporated Sacramento County.
- According to the 2015-2019 American Community Survey, approximately 20.9 percent of Sacramento County's population (including the incorporated cities) is foreign born. According to interviews with service providers, the primary housing barriers that immigrants and refugees face in the County are rental requirements, a lack of units with three or more bedrooms for large families, a lack of naturally occurring affordable housing, and a lack of language accessibility.

EXISTING HOUSING NEEDS

HOUSING COSTS


Home Prices

The housing boom of the previous decade reached its peak in 2006 and prices began to decline when the economy slowed down and the mortgage crises started to affect the housing market. This decline

accelerated when the Sacramento region's economy fell into a recession and foreclosures became common. Between 2006 and 2012, median home prices in Sacramento County declined from \$393,119 to \$180,192, which is below 2002 levels, according to the Zillow Home Value Index (ZHVI).

Since that time, the Sacramento region's economy has recovered and in 2020, housing values have risen again to about as high as they were in 2006. Figure below shows ZHVI data for Sacramento County, including the incorporated cities, from 2000 through 2020.

Figure 2: Zillow Home Value Index for Sacramento County (Including Cities)



Source: Zillow Home Value Index

Table 19 below shows the increase in homes values between 2015 and 2020 in unincorporated Sacramento County zip codes. The percent increases between 2015 and 2020 widely vary by zip code and range from a low of 28.9 percent in Wilton to 67.8 percent in South Sacramento.

| Table 19: Zillow Home Value Index by Zip Code | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Zip Code | Community/Census Designated Place | ZHVI 2015 | ZHVI 2020 | Percent Change |
| 95843 | Antelope | \$262,669 | \$373,736 | 42.3% |
| 95821 | Arden Arcade | \$260,134 | \$375,395 | 44.3% |
| 95825 | Arden Arcade | \$219,973 | \$325,518 | 48.0% |
| 95864 | Arden Arcade | \$440,254 | \$589,762 | 34.0% |
| 95608 | Carmichael | \$317,704 | \$429,260 | 35.1% |
| 95832 | Delta | \$186,847 | \$288,420 | 54.4% |
| 95626 | Elverta | \$237,630 | \$365,090 | 53.6% |
| 95628 | Fair Oaks | \$345,522 | \$463,319 | 34.1% |
| 95828 | Florin | \$210,919 | \$324,311 | 53.8% |
| 95655 | Mather | \$309,433 | \$432,097 | 39.6% |
| 95833 | Natomas | \$220,494 | \$328,340 | 48.9% |
| 95834 | Natomas* | \$287,832 | \$406,460 | 41.2% |
| 95660 | North Highlands | \$171,170 | \$279,299 | 63.2% |
| 95662 | Orangevale | \$301,466 | \$413,482 | 37.2% |
| 95673 | Rio Linda | \$208,719 | \$322,744 | 54.6% |
| 95683 | Sloughhouse | \$425,628 | \$559,446 | 31.4% |
| 95820 | South Sacramento* | \$177,497 | \$297,148 | 67.4% |
| 95823 | South Sacramento* | \$192,188 | \$300,363 | 56.3% |
| 95824 | South Sacramento | \$155,488 | \$260,972 | 67.8% |
| 95829 | Vineyard | \$316,600 | \$435,518 | 37.6% |
| 95693 | Wilton | \$542,918 | \$699,848 | 28.9% |
| *Only a small portion of this zip code is in the unincorporated County. | | | | |

Source: Zillow Home Value Index

Rental Housing Stock

Similar to home values, rental costs also increased between 2015 and 2020 in Sacramento County according to Zillow Rent Index data. The percentage increases in rents tended to be smaller and to vary less widely than the percentage increases in home values. The percent increases range from a low of 21.2 percent in Antelope to a high of 33.8 percent in South Sacramento.

| Table 20: Zillow Rent Index by Zip Code | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Zip Code | Community/Census Designated Place | ZRI 2015 | ZRI 2020 | Percent Change |
| 95843 | Antelope | \$1,360 | \$1,648 | 21.2% |
| 95821 | Arden Arcade | \$1,340 | \$1,730 | 29.1% |
| 95825 | Arden Arcade | \$1,306 | \$1,697 | 29.9% |
| 95864 | Arden Arcade | \$1,742 | \$2,249 | 29.1% |
| 95608 | Carmichael | \$1,450 | \$1,830 | 26.2% |
| 95832 | Delta | \$1,323 | \$1,636 | 23.7% |
| 95626 | Elverta | \$1,496 | \$1,875 | 25.3% |
| 95628 | Fair Oaks | \$1,505 | \$1,842 | 22.4% |
| 95828 | Florin | \$1,305 | \$1,632 | 25.1% |
| 95655 | Mather | \$1,402 | - | - |
| 95833 | Natomas | \$1,337 | \$1,706 | 27.6% |
| 95834 | Natomas* | \$1,383 | \$1,845 | 33.4% |
| 95660 | North Highlands | \$1,223 | \$1,601 | 30.9% |
| 95662 | Orangevale | \$1,504 | \$1,893 | 25.9% |
| 95673 | Rio Linda | \$1,448 | \$1,789 | 23.5% |
| 95683 | Sloughhouse | \$1,640 | \$2,009 | 22.5% |
| 95820 | South Sacramento* | \$1,257 | \$1,680 | 33.7% |
| 95823 | South Sacramento* | \$1,252 | \$1,675 | 33.8% |
| 95824 | South Sacramento | \$1,208 | \$1,609 | 33.2% |
| 95829 | Vineyard | \$1,521 | \$1,853 | 21.8% |
| 95693 | Wilton | \$1,569 | \$2,010 | 28.1% |
| *Only a small portion of this zip code is in the unincorporated County. | | | | |

Source: Zillow Rent Index

Housing Affordability

The following table shows the affordability of housing in Sacramento County in relation to income. In January 2020, the median home sales price in Sacramento County (including the cities) was \$366,700. According to HCD's 2020 Income Limits, this home price would only be affordable for moderate- and above moderate-income households of four people. For an extremely low-income household of four, the difference between the median home sales price and an affordable price was \$255,669. For a very-low income household of four, the difference was \$181,720. For a low-income household of four, the difference was \$70,698. Figure 3 displays the difference between the median home price and the home price that an extremely low-, very low-, or low-income household of four could afford.

In January 2020, the median rent in Sacramento County (including the cities) was \$1,913, according to Zillow Rent Index data. This rent amount would be affordable to the low-, moderate-, and above moderate-income households of four; however, it would not be affordable to an extremely low-, or very low-income household. For an extremely low-income household of four, the difference between the median rent and an affordable rent was \$1,265. For a very-low income household of four, the difference was \$834. For a low-income household of four, the difference was \$187. Figure 4 displays the gap between the median rent and the rent that an extremely low-, or very low-income household of four could afford.

| Table 21: Affordability of Housing in Relation to Income | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Income Group | Income Estimate (Family of Four) ¹ | Affordable Monthly Rent ² | Median Rent ³ | Affordable Purchase Price ² | Median Home Sales Price ⁴ |
| Extremely Low | \$25,900 | \$648 | \$1,913 | \$111,031 | \$366,700 |
| Very Low | \$43,150 | \$1,079 | | \$184,980 | |
| Low | \$69,050 | \$1,726 | | \$296,011 | |
| Moderate | \$103,550 | \$3,020 | | \$559,405 | |


Source: ¹HCD, State Income Limits for 2020.

²Ascent 2020.

³ Zillow Rent Index 2020


⁴ Zillow Home Value Index 2020

Figure 3: Home Value Affordability Gap



Source: HCD, State Income Limits for 2020; Ascent 2020; Zillow Home Value Index 2020.

Figure 4: Rent Affordability Gap



Source: HCD, State Income Limits for 2020; Ascent 2020; Zillow Rent Index 2020.

OVERPAYMENT

The current standard of housing affordability indicates that households spending 30 percent or more of their gross income on housing are “cost burdened”. Severe overpayment occurs when households spend 50 percent or more of their gross income on housing. The impact of high housing costs disproportionately affect extremely low-, very low-, and low-income households.

Overpayment (More than 30 Percent of Income)

As shown in Table 22 below, 73.3 percent of all low-income household renters (including low-, very low-, and extremely low-income) are overpaying for housing expenses. The percent of all low-income household owners overpaying for housing expenses is also high, at 59.9 percent. Overpayment by extremely low-income households is even higher with 84 percent of extremely low-income renter households and 74.3 percent of extremely low-income owner households paying more than 30 percent of their income on housing.

| Table 22: Overpayment by Income and Tenure (Paying More Than 30% of Income on Housing) | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Income Category | Owners Overpaying / Total Owners | Percent Owners Overpaying | Renters Overpaying / Total Renters | Percent Renters Overpaying |
| All Low Income Levels (0-80% AMI) | 21,478 / 36,125 | 59.5% | 45,422 / 61,930 | 73.3% |
| Extremely Low (0-30% AMI) | 5,922 / 7,975 | 74.3% | 21,556 / 25,670 | 84.0% |
| Total Households Overpaying | 32,666 | 16.0% | 48,123 | 23.5% |

Source: 2011-2015 CHAS Data Sets: https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/cp.html#2011-2015_data

Severe Overpayment (More than 50 Percent of Income)

Table 23 below shows that severe overpayment rates are much higher for the extremely low-income households than that of all low-income households for both owners and renters. In particular, 74.2 percent of all renters in the extremely low-income category are severely overpaying for housing expenses.

| Table 23: Severe Overpayment by Income and Tenure (Paying More than 50% of Income on Housing) | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Income Category | Owners Overpaying / Total Owners | Percent of Total Owner Households | Renters Overpaying / Total Renters | Percent of Total Renter Households |
| All Low Income Levels (0-18% AMI) | 11,866 / 36,125 | 32.8% | 25,710 / 61,930 | 41.5% |
| Extremely Low (0-30% AMI) | 4,951 / 7,975 | 62.1% | 19,035 / 25,670 | 74.2% |

Source: 2011-2015 CHAS Data Sets: https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/cp.html#2011-2015_data

OVERCROWDING

Overcrowding is a metric of the ability of the existing housing stock to accommodate residents adequately. Overcrowding can result when high housing costs relative to income force too many individuals or families to share housing. Overcrowding can also accelerate deterioration of the housing stock. The U.S. Census defines an overcrowded unit as one occupied by more than 1.0 person per room (excluding bathrooms and kitchens). Units with more than 1.5 persons per room are considered severely overcrowded.

The overcrowding situation has increased slightly since the 2005-2009 American Community Survey data. The percentage of rental units that were overcrowded increased from 7.4 percent to 8.6 percent and the

percentage of owner-occupied units that were overcrowded increased from 2.3 percent to 2.5 percent. While these numbers represent a small increase, they are still not as high as the overcrowding rates reflected in the 2000 Census data and before the recession. According to the 2000 Census data, the percentage of rental units that were overcrowded was 13.9 percent and the percentage of owner-occupied units that were overcrowded was 3.7 percent.

| Table 24: Overcrowded by Tenure | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Owners | | Renters | |
| Occupants Per Room | Number of Owner Units | Percent of Total Owner Units | Number of Renter Units | Percent of Total Renter Units |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 2,181 | 1.9% | 5,481 | 6.1% |
| 1.51 to 2.00 | 564 | 0.5% | 1,793 | 2.0% |
| 2.01 or more | 184 | 0.2% | 459 | 0.5% |
| Total | 2,929 | 2.5% | 7,733 | 8.6% |

Source: ACS 2013-2017 Table B25014

Sacramento County adopted the Environmental Justice Element of the General Plan on December 17, 2019. The Environmental Justice Element identified four Environmental Justice Communities (EJ Communities), including North Highlands, North Vineyard, South Sacramento, and West Arden Arcade. The Environmental Justice Element found that there is a higher percentage of overcrowded homes in EJ Communities than in non-EJ areas. The Environmental Justice Element includes Policy EJ-31 and several implementation measures which require that County staff analyze the presence of overcrowding in EJ Communities and the causes of that overcrowding and collaborate with refugee and immigrant advocates as a part of the 2021-2029 Housing Element. The refugee and immigrant group is discussed in more detail in the Special Needs Group section below.

Table 25 below displays the percentage of owner and renter units which are overcrowded in EJ Communities. In the South Sacramento EJ Community, the percentage of both owner and renter units which are overcrowded is higher than in the County as a whole.

| Table 25: Overcrowded by Tenure in EJ Communities | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| North Highlands EJ Community | | | | |
| Occupants Per Room | Number of Owner Units | Percent of Total Owner Units | Number of Renter Units | Percent of Total Renter Units |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 212 | 2.1% | 897 | 6.6% |
| 1.51 to 2.00 | 162 | 1.6% | 341 | 2.5% |
| 2.01 or more | 43 | 0.4% | 55 | 0.4% |
| Total | 417 | 4.0% | 1,293 | 9.5% |
| North Vineyard EJ Community | | | | |
| Occupants Per Room | Number of Owner Units | Percent of Total Owner Units | Number of Renter Units | Percent of Total Renter Units |

| | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 53 | 5.5% | 20 | 4.8 |
| 1.51 to 2.00 | 0 | 0.0% | 11 | 2.6 |
| 2.01 or more | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 53 | 5.5% | 31 | 7.4 |
| South Sacramento EJ Community | | | | |
| Occupants Per Room | Number of Owner Units | Percent of Total Owner Units | Number of Renter Units | Percent of Total Renter Units |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 921 | 6.8% | 1,579 | 10.1% |
| 1.51 to 2.00 | 182 | 1.3% | 480 | 3.1% |
| 2.01 or more | 66 | 0.5% | 133 | 0.9% |
| Total | 1,169 | 8.7% | 2,192 | 14.1% |
| West Arden Arcade EJ Community | | | | |
| Occupants Per Room | Number of Owner Units | Percent of Total Owner Units | Number of Renter Units | Percent of Total Renter Units |
| 1.01 to 1.50 | 58 | 1.2% | 855 | 6.1% |
| 1.51 to 2.00 | 0 | 0.0% | 389 | 2.8% |
| 2.01 or more | 0 | 0.0% | 116 | 0.8% |
| Total | 58 | 1.2% | 1,360 | 9.8% |
| Note – some census tracts include area within and outside of EJ Community boundaries. Only those census tracts with a majority of its area inside the South Sacramento and West Arden Arcade EJ Communities were included. | | | | |

Source: ACS 2013-2017 Table B25014

PROJECTED HOUSING NEEDS

California Government Code Section 65584 requires that each city and county plan to accommodate a fair share of the region's housing construction needs. In urban areas, state law provides for councils of governments to prepare regional housing needs plans that assign a share of a region's housing construction need to each city and county. In the six-county greater Sacramento region (comprising the counties of Sacramento, Placer, El Dorado, Yolo, Sutter, and Yuba), the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) is the entity authorized under state law to determine the future housing needs for the region. SACOG adopted the Regional Housing Needs Plan (RHNP) on March 19, 2020.

SACOG's methodology for distributing the regional housing need among jurisdictions is based on regional population and housing forecasts developed for its Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS). Housing Element Law requires SACOG to create a methodology that furthers the following objectives:

- Increasing Housing Supply and Mix of Housing Types
- Promote Infill, Equity, and Environment
- Jobs Housing Balance
- Regional Income Parity
- Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

SACOG's allocation methodology assigns each jurisdiction's total RHNA by multiplying the Regional Determination by the proportion of the region's growth assumed in the MTP/SCS between 2016 and 2035. SACOG then applies adjustment factors to further the objectives listed above and to assign the distribution of lower-income units to each jurisdiction. These adjustment factors include regional income parity, affirmatively furthering fair housing, and jobs/housing fit.

Regional Income Parity. The intent of this factor is to push all jurisdictions in the region to have the same proportion of low-income households as the regional average by 2060.

Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing. The intent of this factor is to open up high opportunity areas to all income levels by encouraging jurisdictions with large proportions of homes in high opportunity areas to zone for more affordable housing types.

Jobs/Housing Fit. The intent of this factor is to house more low-wage workers near their jobs by encouraging jurisdictions with high ratios of low-wage workers to affordable housing units to zone for more affordable housing.

Each jurisdiction must use the numbers allocated under the RHNA to identify measures that are consistent with these new construction goals. While the jurisdiction must show how it will provide adequate sites for construction of the required units, it is not obligated to build any of the units itself or finance their construction. It is important to note that neither SACOG nor HCD mandates construction but rather the provision of realistic opportunities.

According to the 2021-2029 SACOG RHNA, the unincorporated area of Sacramento County has a total housing construction need of 21,272 units. Table 26 shows the unincorporated area of Sacramento County's 2021–2029 planning period allocation.

| Table 26: Regional Housing Needs Allocation for Unincorporated Sacramento County (2021-2029) | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|
| Income Level | Total Need | Annual Need |
| Extremely Low Income | 2,233 | 279 |
| Very Low Income | 2,233 | 279 |
| Low Income | 2,692 | 337 |
| Moderate Income | 4,186 | 523 |
| Above Moderate Income | 9,928 | 1,241 |
| Total | 21,272 | 2,659 |

Source: SACOG

SPECIAL NEEDS GROUPS

EXTREMELY LOW INCOME HOUSEHOLDS

The extremely low-income (ELI) group includes households with incomes of 30 percent or less of the area median income. According to 2012-2016 CHAS data sets, there are approximately 33,645 ELI households in unincorporated Sacramento County, or 16.5 percent of the unincorporated County's total households. Of these ELI households, approximately 24 percent are owners and 76 percent are renters. SACOG has projected that unincorporated Sacramento County needs an additional 2,233 units for ELI households during the 2021-2029 planning period (assuming that 50 percent of projected very low-income households qualify as ELI).

Approximately 11 percent of ELI renter households pay more than 30 percent of their household income on housing and 73 percent of ELI renter households pay more than 50 percent. In 2019, the upper threshold of the ELI income category for a family of four was an annual income of \$25,750.⁴

The Housing Action Plan chapter includes several programs to address the housing needs of ELI households, including the Housing Choice Voucher program and well as those programs related to homelessness. The Housing Action Plan chapter also includes a program to amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses, including boarding houses, transitional housing, scattered shelters, residential care homes, and nonconforming dwelling units to take a more comprehensive approach to supportive housing consistent with changes to State law. These housing types may assist ELI households in particular.

FARMWORKERS

In Sacramento County, the majority of farmworkers work in the southern portion of the County near the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and the City of Galt. Farmworkers are grouped into two categories: migrant and settled farmworkers. Migrant farmworkers travel from one geographic location to another, depending on where and when farm work is available. Settled farmworkers usually live in rental housing or single-family housing in the community in which they work or in housing on a site provided by the farmer.

The Sacramento County Environmental Management Department (EMD) inspects facilities that house five or more agricultural workers in compliance with the State Employee Housing Act. Table 27 provides information on the number and capacity of farm labor camps in unincorporated Sacramento County according to EMD.

| Table 27: Unincorporated Sacramento County Farm Employee Housing Facilities | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Year | Number of Active Facilities | Total Employees Housed |
| 2010 | 21 | 767 |
| 2011 | 21 | 680 |
| 2012 | 23 | 751 |
| 2013 | 23 | 725 |
| 2014 | 23 | 695 |
| 2015 | 22 | 697 |
| 2016 | 21 | 684 |
| 2017 | 22 | 671 |
| 2018 | 23 | 720 |

Source: Sacramento County Environmental Management Department

The California Employment Development Department (EDD) estimates that the number of agricultural workers will increase slightly from 5,440 in 2016 to 5,670 in 2026⁵. According to EDD data, the hourly median wage for a farm worker in the Sacramento—Roseville—Arden-Arcade Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) as of 2018 is between \$11.56 and \$11.71 or between \$24,062 and \$24,357 annually. A household of one earning \$24,000 a year would be in the very low-income category and a household of four earning \$24,000 a year would be in the extremely low-income category based on 2018 income limits set by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

⁴California Department of Housing and Community Development. 2019 HCD State Income Limits. May 6, 2019.

<https://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/income-limits/state-and-federal-income-limits/docs/Income-Limits-2019.pdf>

⁵ State of California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information Division. *2016-2026 Occupational Employment Projections*. Sacramento--Roseville--Arden-Arcade Metropolitan Statistical Area. May 2019. <https://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/data/employment-projections.html>

Table 28 provides the total number of farms with hired labor and the total number of workers county-wide in Sacramento County. Table 29 provides the number of farmworkers by days worked county-wide in Sacramento County.

| Table 28 Farmworkers in Sacramento County | | |
|---|---------|-----------------|
| Farms with Hired Labor | Workers | \$1,000 Payroll |
| 349 | 4,769 | 71,636 |

Source: USDA Agricultural Census 2017, Table 7

| Table 29 Farmworkers by Days Worked in Sacramento County | |
|--|-------|
| 150 Days or More | |
| Farms | 245 |
| Workers | 2,076 |
| Farms with 10 or More Workers | |
| Farms | 56 |
| Workers | 1,538 |
| Fewer than 150 Days | |
| Farms | 202 |
| Workers | 2,693 |

Source: USDA Agricultural Census 2017, Table 7

The Constraints Analysis chapter includes a detailed discussion of Zoning Code requirements for farmworker housing. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes a program to amend the Zoning Code to allow Farmworker Housing by-right in all Zoning Districts where general Agriculture is an allowed use. The Housing Action Plan chapter also includes programs related to the annual inspection of farm labor camps and periodic review of housing needs for farm workers.

LARGE HOUSEHOLDS

The California Department of Housing and Community Development defines large households as households having five or more persons. Large households have special needs relating to household size and income as they oftentimes require three or more bedrooms to avoid overcrowding.

The number of large households have not changed significantly from the numbers reported in the 2010 Census. According to the 2010 Census, the number of large families was 26,811, or 13 percent of all households. According to 2013-2017 ACS data, the unincorporated area of Sacramento County has a total of 27,887 large families, or 12 percent of all households.

| Table 30: Large Households by Tenure | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | Owners | Renters | Total |
| Large Households 5+ persons | 14,287 | 13,600 | 27,887 |

Source: ACS 2013-2017 Table B25009

According to ACS 2013-2017 data, about half of large households (51.2 percent) own their home and a little less than half rent (48.8 percent). Large households who rent are most likely to experience problems of overpayment and overcrowding because renter households are more likely than homeowners to have

low incomes, and there are fewer rental housing units with three or more bedrooms to meet the space needs of large households.

The Housing Action Plan Chapter includes the Housing Incentive Program, which incentivizes the development of units with three or more bedrooms for large families. In addition, Housing Element Goal HE 7 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter includes several programs that promote fair housing choice. Large families may face discrimination when they try to find housing; however, fair housing laws protect them. The Renters Helpline is a free resource available to Sacramento County residents by telephone or internet that provides fair housing education, landlord-tenant dispute mediation, and legal guidance.

FEMALE-HEADED HOUSEHOLDS

According to the 2013-2017 American Community Survey, there are 35,602 female-headed households in unincorporated Sacramento County. This total includes 22,239 female-headed households with children which is 14.2 percent of all households. Approximately 29 percent of all female-headed households are under the poverty level compared to just 14 percent of all families.

| Table 31: Female Headed Households | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| Householder Type | Number | Percent |
| Female Heads with Children | 22,239 | 14.2% |
| Female Heads without Children | 13,363 | 8.5% |
| Total Householders | 156,666 | 100% |
| Female Headed Householders Under the Poverty Level | 10,478 | 6.7% |
| Total Families Under the Poverty Level | 21,667 | 13.8% |

Source: ACS 2013-2017 Table B17012

Several of the programs that will benefit other special needs groups will also benefit female-headed households such as the Housing Choice Voucher program, discussed in the extremely low-income households section above, the Renters Helpline or the Housing Incentive Program, discussed in the large households section above. In addition, those programs under Housing Element Goal HE 5 Preservation of Existing Affordable Housing Stock and Provision of Affordable Housing are geared toward lower-income households and will also serve female headed households.

PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS

Every two years in January Sacramento County and the incorporated cities partner with Sacramento Steps Forward (SSF) in an effort to document every individual in the county experiencing homelessness during a twenty-four-hour period; this is known as the “Point-in-Time Homeless Count.” This effort provides a single-night snapshot of individuals and families staying at emergency/transitional shelters as well as those sleeping outside. The Homeless Count is a requirement for federal funding from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

The 2019 Homeless Count for Sacramento County report estimates that 5,570 individuals experienced homelessness throughout the County (unincorporated areas and the cities) on the night of the count. The report found that the estimate of 5,570 people who are homeless each night could correspond to between 10,000 to 11,000 residents in Sacramento County experiencing homelessness during the span of the year. The number of individuals experiencing homelessness on the night of the count suggests that approximately 36 in every 10,000 residents in the County experience homelessness each night.

Of the 5,570 individuals, 1,670 were sheltered individuals who accessed emergency shelters or transitional housing and 3,900 were unsheltered individuals who were sleeping outdoors or in vehicles, abandoned buildings, or other locations that are not suitable for human habitation (70 percent unsheltered and 30 percent sheltered). The 2017 Homeless Count was the first year that Sacramento County reported more people experiencing unsheltered homelessness than sheltered homelessness (56 percent unsheltered and

44 percent sheltered). The 2019 report confirms that this trend is growing consistent with the growth statewide. Of those individuals who were unsheltered, a majority (73 percent, 2,858 individuals) were in the City of Sacramento. The City of Rancho Cordova had six percent and the Cities of Citrus Heights, Folsom, Galt, Elk Grove and Isleton had one percent or less. The remaining 18 percent (711 individuals) of those who were unsheltered were in the unincorporated County.

The majority of people experiencing homelessness are single adults (73 percent) and more than half of these single adults (56 percent) are unsheltered. People in families with children represent 20 percent of people experiencing homelessness and half (10 percent) of these families are sheltered while half are outside of shelters (10 percent). Unaccompanied youth households, including some minors (under 18) as well as transitional age youth (18-24), make up 8 percent of people experiencing homelessness.

A majority of the people experiencing homelessness identified as male (62 percent), 38 percent identified as female, 25 individuals (less than 1 percent) identified as transgender and 16 individuals (less than 1 percent) identified as gender nonconforming.

Table 32 below shows the ethnic and racial identities of the people experiencing homelessness. Compared to their representation in the total population of Sacramento County, Black individuals (34 percent) and American Indian individuals (8 percent) are disproportionately represented in the homeless population while White individuals (47 percent) and Asian individuals (1 percent) are underrepresented.

| Table 32: 2019 Homeless Count Ethnicity and Race | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Ethnicity | Number | Percent | |
| Hispanic | 985 | 18% | |
| Non-Hispanic | 4,585 | 82% | |
| Race | Number | Percent | Percent of Total Population |
| White | 2,608 | 47% | 64% |
| Black | 1,875 | 34% | 13% |
| Asian | 49 | 1% | 18% |
| American Indian | 421 | 8% | 2% |
| Native Hawaiian | 123 | 2% | 2% |
| Multiracial | 494 | 9% | 9% |

Source: Homelessness in Sacramento County Results from the 2019 Point-in-Time Count

At the time of the count, 26 percent of unsheltered adults indicated that they have a debilitating cognitive or physical impairment and 21 percent indicated that they have a severe psychiatric condition. Eight percent indicated an ongoing medical condition such as diabetes, cancer, or heart disease. Nine percent indicated that their use of alcohol or drugs prevented them from maintaining stable housing or keeping a job. As a compounding factor, a majority (77 percent) of people who reported a disabling condition cited two or more specific conditions.

Facilities for People Experiencing Homelessness

According to 2020 Housing Inventory County data from Sacramento Steps Forward, Sacramento County has a capacity of 182 beds in its emergency shelters. Table 33 below shows the number of year-round family and single beds and the number of seasonal beds in the unincorporated County. In order to meet the need identified by the 2019 PIT report and provide shelter for 711 individuals, the County would need to provide an additional 711 emergency shelter beds.

At the time of writing this element, data on the percentage of those in emergency shelters that move to permanent housing was only available for the year 2019 and for the entire Sacramento City and County

CoC. According to this data, 41.8 percent of individuals exited from emergency shelters, supportive housing, transitional housing, or rapid rehousing to permanent housing in 2019.⁶ This rate of exit to permanent housing shows an increase from the 2018 rate by 1.3 percent and is higher than the statewide rate of 36.1 percent.

The Land Inventory Chapter of this element provides a detailed discussion of the land available for emergency shelter construction. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes policies and programs designed to support the County Homeless Plan, which is the County's main strategy document related to homelessness.

| Table 33: Facilities for People Experiencing Homeless in the Unincorporated County of Sacramento | | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Emergency Shelter, Year-Round | | Emergency Shelter, Seasonal |
| Family Beds | Single Beds | Seasonal Beds |
| 54 | 58 | 70 |

Source: Sacramento Steps Forward 2020

PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

According to the 2013-2017 American Community Survey, 21 percent of the unincorporated County's total population have some sort of disability. Of the 38,824 people with a disability between the ages of 18 and 64, 15,881 (41 percent) experience difficulty with independent living. These individuals have mobility impairments, self-care limitations, or other conditions that may require special housing accommodations, financial assistance programs, or services.

Persons with Mobility and Self Care Limitations

Individuals with mobility difficulties may require special accommodations or modifications to their homes to allow for continued independent living. Individuals with self-care limitations may require residential environments that include in-home or on-site support services, ranging from congregate to convalescent care.

According to the 2013-2017 American Community Survey, there are 43,662 people with a disability between the ages 0 and 64 in unincorporated Sacramento County. Of those who have a disability:

- (1) 10 percent have hearing limitation and 10 percent have vision difficulty;
- (2) 29 percent have cognitive difficulty;
- (3) 26 percent have ambulatory difficulty; and,
- (4) 11 percent have self-care difficulty.

Persons with Developmental Disabilities

A "developmental disability" is defined as a disability that originates before an individual becomes 18 years old; continues, or can be expected to continue, indefinitely; and constitutes a substantial disability for that individual. This includes mental retardation, cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and autism.

The U.S. Census does not record the number of persons with developmental disabilities. According to the Administration for Community Living (ACL), individuals with developmental disabilities comprise between

⁶ Housing and Urban Development. "CoC Performance Profile - CA-503: Sacramento City and County CoC - as of 2019". https://files.hudexchange.info/reports/published/CoC_Perf_CoC_CA-503-2019_CA_2019.pdf

1.2 and 1.65 percent of the U.S. population⁷. This would equate to between 7,131 and 9,805 people with developmental disabilities in the unincorporated County based on Department of Finance population estimates for 2019.

The California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) oversees the delivery of services to Californians with developmental disabilities including cerebral palsy, intellectual disability, Down syndrome, autism, epilepsy, and related conditions. Table 34 below shows data from DDS depicting the number of people with developmental disabilities by zip code and by age group. According to DDS data, the total number of people with developmental disabilities who are served by DDS in unincorporated Sacramento County is approximately 7,700, this number falls within the estimated range discussed above. According to DDS data, the vast majority of the people with disabilities represented below live in the home of their parent, family, or guardian rather than in a care facility.

| Table 34: People with Developmental Disabilities by Age | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------|
| Zip Code | Community/Census Designated Place | 0-17 years | 18+ years | Total |
| 95843 | Antelope | 248 | 254 | 502 |
| 95821 | Arden Arcade | 199 | 222 | 421 |
| 95825 | Arden Arcade | 155 | 226 | 381 |
| 95864 | Arden Arcade | 80 | 80 | 160 |
| 95608 | Carmichael | 232 | 411 | 643 |
| 95832 | Delta | 61 | 82 | 143 |
| 95626 | Elverta | 30 | 36 | 66 |
| 95628 | Fair Oaks | 148 | 179 | 327 |
| 95828 | Florin | 289 | 439 | 728 |
| 95655 | Mather | 27 | 17 | 44 |
| 95833 | Natomas | 183 | 159 | 342 |
| 95834 | Natomas* | 195 | 106 | 301 |
| 95660 | North Highlands | 202 | 288 | 490 |
| 95662 | Orangevale | 126 | 144 | 270 |
| 95673 | Rio Linda | 57 | 128 | 185 |
| 95683 | Sloughhouse | 17 | 16 | 33 |
| 95820 | South Sacramento* | 170 | 205 | 375 |
| 95823 | South Sacramento* | 518 | 740 | 1,258 |
| 95824 | South Sacramento | 179 | 221 | 400 |
| 95829 | Vineyard | 163 | 165 | 328 |
| 95693 | Wilton | <11 | 31 | >31 |

*Only a small portion of this zip code is in the unincorporated County.

Source: State of California Department of Developmental Services. Consumer County by California ZIP Code and Age Group, Regional Center and Early Start Consumers for the End of June 2019.

⁷ Administration for Community Living, Department of Health and Human Services. *Fiscal Year 2018 Justification of Estimates for Appropriations Committees*.

Supportive Housing Arrangements

Many people with developmental disabilities can live and work independently within a conventional housing environment. However, some will require supportive housing arrangements because of self-care limitations. Because developmental disabilities exist before adulthood, the first issue in supportive housing for the developmentally disabled is the transition from the person's living situation as a child to an appropriate level of independence as an adult. Small-scale group homes that are more residential in character may be appropriate in some cases while institutional settings where medical professionals provide medical attention and physical therapy will accommodate the most severely affected individuals.

The Housing Action Plan chapter includes a program to amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses to take a more comprehensive approach to supportive housing consistent with changes to State law to increase housing, especially for special needs groups. The Housing Action Plan chapter also includes the Housing Incentive Program and the Universal Design program, which will incentivize developers to include universal design components in residential developments. The Housing Action Plan Chapter also includes the Safe at Home Program. The Safe at Home Program is administered by SHRA and it provides repairs to improve accessibility and safety to low income homeowners at no cost.

The County currently administers a number of programs that serve people with various special needs, including those that have developmental disabilities. In particular, the Sacramento County Department of Department of Child, Family and Adult Services administers the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program that serves income-eligible individuals age 65 and older or individuals with disabilities and allows them to stay in their homes by assisting them to perform activities of daily living. The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) is expecting approximately 350,000 more recipients to join the IHSS program statewide over the 10-year period of 2020-21 through 2030-31 due to the aging population. Similarly, Sacramento County is expecting another 10,000 recipients to join over the same 10-year period. The Housing Action Plan Chapter includes a program related to implementation of the IHSS program.

Reasonable Accommodations

Sacramento County adopted a Reasonable Accommodation Ordinance in 2013 and incorporated it into the Zoning Code. Section 5.2.1.E of the Zoning Code allows reductions in setbacks to address reasonable accommodation. The Zoning Code also allows reasonable accommodation to be considered in the review of a nonconforming use. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes a program that will amend the Zoning Code to expand the reasonable accommodation process to apply to any modification to a zoning or development requirement to ensure that a reasonable accommodation for all disabilities can be considered.

SENIORS

According to data from the 2010-2014 and the 2014-2018 American Community Survey, the population of seniors (65 and above) experienced a higher percentage increase than that of the general population (see Table 35 below).

| Table 35: Increase in Senior Population | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | ASC 2010-2014 | ACS 2014-2018 | Percent Change |
| Total Population | 565,496 | 584,127 | 3.3% |
| Population 65+ | 73,722 | 83,697 | 13.5% |

Source: ACS 2010-2014 and ACS 2014-2018

According to data from the 2014-2018 American Community Survey, 10.4 percent of seniors in unincorporated Sacramento County are living below the federal poverty level.

| Table 36: Seniors Living Below the Federal Poverty Level | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| Age | Total Number of Seniors | Number of Seniors Below the Poverty Level | Percentage of Seniors Below the Poverty Level |
| 65-74 years | 48,413 | 4,504 | 9.3% |
| 75+ years | 34,101 | 4,049 | 11.9% |
| Total | 82,514 | 8,553 | 10.4% |

Source: ACS 2014-2018

Table 37 below shows the number of seniors in unincorporated Sacramento County that own versus the number that rent their home.

| Table 37: Senior Households by Tenure | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age | Owner | Renter |
| 65-74 years | 22,279 | 7,472 |
| 75-84 years | 12,566 | 3,185 |
| 85+ years | 4,772 | 2,111 |
| Total | 39,617 | 12,768 |

Seniors may need assistance related to repair and maintenance of the homes that they own; modifications to their homes to better meet mobility and self-care limitations; financial assistance to meet rental housing costs for those seniors who do not own their homes; and supportive services to meet daily needs.

The Agency on Aging\Area 4 conducted an Age-Friendly Community Survey in 2018 to survey individuals aged 45 and older who reside in their planning and service area, including Sacramento County. A majority of those surveyed in Sacramento County (73 percent) said it was either very or extremely important to them to stay in their community as they age. A majority (95 percent) also said it was very or extremely important to them to be able to live independently in their own home as they age. When asked a series of housing-related questions about whether their community provided enough services for older adults or affordable housing options for older adults, Sacramento County scored 4.5 compared to the region's score of 4.3 (on a scale of 0 to 10 with 0 being poor and 10 being excellent).

SHRA administers the Home Repair Program (HRP) to assist seniors in repairing and maintaining their homes. The HRP provides grants for emergency or health and safety repairs to owners of single-family homes or mobile homes in the County. The maximum grant amount is \$5,000. The HRP is an implementation program in this Housing Element.

Another common special need for a growing portion of the population age 65 and over is for assisted living facilities that combine meal, medical, and daily living assistance in a residential environment. As discussed above, the Housing Action Plan chapter includes a program to amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses, including boarding houses and residential care homes. Many of the other programs discussed in the People with Disabilities section above are also beneficial to seniors. These include the County's IHSS program, the Housing Incentive Program, and the Universal Design program.

IMMIGRANTS AND REFUGEES

According to the 2015-2019 American Community Survey, approximately 20.9 percent of Sacramento County's population (including the incorporated cities) is foreign born. Of the foreign born population, 57.6

percent are naturalized U.S. Citizens and 42.4 percent are not U.S. Citizens; 18.6 percent entered the U.S. during 2010 or later and 81.4 percent entered the U.S. before 2010.

| Table 38: Region of Birth of Foreign Born Population | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | Number | Percent |
| Asia | 156,373 | 49.0% |
| Latin America | 93,463 | 29.3% |
| Europe | 44,931 | 14.1% |
| Oceania | 12,848 | 4.0% |
| Africa | 8,359 | 2.6% |
| North America | 3,377 | 1.1% |
| Total | 319,351 | 100% |

Source: ACS 2015-2019

CDSS defines both refugees and asylees as people who are unable or unwilling to return to their home country because of persecution or a well-founded fear of persecution due to race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a particular social group. The main difference between refugees and asylees is where they apply for protection. A refugee applies for protection while overseas and enters the United States as a refugee, while an asylee requests protection and is granted asylum within the U.S. CDSS defines an asylum seeker as a person who is at a U.S. port of entry or has entered the United States and is requesting asylum.

County staff conducted a focus group meeting with organizations that provide housing services for immigrants, refugees, asylees, and asylum seekers in Sacramento County. When asked about the national origin of these populations, attendees responded that they assisted populations from Afghanistan, Turkey, Russia, Ukraine, countries in Africa such as Cameroon, and several other countries. Attendees shared that the languages spoken by the communities that they serve vary widely but include Pashto, Dari, Farsi, Russian, Ukrainian, Arabic, and Spanish. When asked about where these populations are generally located in the County, attendees responded that they place people in proximity to family members and wherever housing is both available and affordable. Attendees indicated that they found housing for these populations in communities such as North Highlands, South Sacramento, Carmichael and Arden Arcade.

When asked about the housing barriers that immigrants, refugees, asylees, and asylum seekers face in the County, attendees responded that rental requirements, a lack of units with three or more bedrooms for large families, a lack of naturally occurring affordable housing, and a lack of language accessibility all serve as the primary barriers. These populations may not meet upfront rental requirements including social security numbers, credit history, income, or security deposits. For asylum seekers, it can take over a year to attain a work permit, which is necessary in order to show sufficient income to housing providers. Some housing providers also require prospective tenants to have incomes of three times the rent or more. The Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice also conducted stakeholder focus group meetings. During these meetings, refugees were identified as one of the hardest populations to house due to family size, lack of understanding of the system, language access, substandard housing conditions and fear of retaliation from landlords. The findings of the Regional Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice are discussed in more detail in the Assessment of Fair Housing Chapter.

Resettlement Agencies, non-profit organizations that provide sponsorship and resettlement services, contract with the Federal Government to assist refugees and asylees in finding housing within the first 90 days of their arrival in the United States. These funds assist with the security deposit, the first month of rent, as well as furniture and other necessities. In addition, refugees and asylees may be eligible for programs administered by the County Department of Human Assistance. In particular, single adult refugees

and asylees are eligible for the County's Refugee Cash Assistance (RCA) program, which provides federal cash payments for eight months from their date of entry. Refugee and asylee families are eligible for the CalWORKS program, which provides federal cash payments for 48 months from their date of entry into the United States. The CalWORKS program offers extensions for special circumstances. Per state law, the 48 months will increase to 60 months effective May 1, 2022. As a requirement of both RCA and CalWORKS, refugees and asylees receive English-as-a-second language instruction, cultural orientation, pre-employment skills training, and social services assistance to help overcome obstacles to employment. These services can be provided for up to five years from a refugee's date of entry. Recipients of these programs are also eligible for other assistance such as Homeless Assistance.

The Housing Action Plan chapter includes several programs to address barriers to housing for immigrants and refugees. These include fair housing education resources, such as the Renters Helpline and the Refugee Academy, as well as programs that commit the County to explore options to assist with security deposit payments and to study additional tenant protections. The County's Housing Incentive Program also incentivizes the development of units with three or more bedrooms for large families.

CHAPTER 7: CONSTRAINTS ANALYSIS

GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

This chapter will identify governmental and nongovernmental constraints affecting housing availability and cost in Sacramento County. The findings of this chapter include the following:

1. Several multifamily development standards in the County's Zoning Code have been identified as potential constraints to housing development. The County is in the process of updating these standards to provide additional flexibility.
2. The sections of the County's Zoning Code related to Emergency Shelters, Navigation Centers and Supportive Living Uses are not consistent with State law. Several implementation programs are included in the Housing Action Plan Chapter to remove this constraint.
3. The Multifamily Design Guidelines Chapter of the Countywide Design Guidelines is subjective in nature. The County is in the process of updating this chapter to ensure consistency with State housing law requirements for objective standards.
4. The section of the County's Zoning Code related to the State Density Bonus Law is outdated. An implementation program is included in the Housing Action Plan Chapter to remove this constraint.
5. Public concern about affordable housing has the potential to delay and to increase the cost of development. An implementation program is included in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element that will require the County to develop an outreach strategy to reduce this constraint.

LAND-USE CONTROLS

This section identifies all relevant land-use controls, discusses impacts on the cost and supply of housing, and evaluates the cumulative impacts of standards, including whether development standards impede the ability to achieve maximum allowable densities.

General Plan

The Board of Supervisors adopted the 2030 Sacramento County General Plan (General Plan) on November 9, 2011. The General Plan establishes a framework for the County's development. The Zoning Code, Design Guidelines, and all other land use regulations and planning documents must be consistent with general plan policies.

Urban Policy Area and Urban Services Boundary

The Urban Policy Area (UPA) and the Urban Services Boundary (USB) are intended to protect the County's natural and agricultural resources and to prevent sprawling development patterns. While the USB is intended to be a permanent boundary, the UPA is adjusted incrementally as needed to ensure that the County can accommodate anticipated growth over the 25-year planning cycle and is reserved for future urbanization. The UPA may only be adjusted when found to be consistent with policy LU-119 and LU-120 of the General Plan Land Use Element. LU-120 specifically requires that the proposal accommodate the percentage of low- and very low-income residential units required per the County's current Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA).

Since adoption of the General Plan, developers have submitted applications for five master plans that would expand the UPA. These master plans include Jackson Township Specific Plan, NewBridge Specific Plan, West Jackson Highway Master Plan, Grandpark Specific Plan, and Upper Westside Specific Plan. Grandpark Specific Plan and Upper Westside Specific Plan would also expand the USB. The Board of Supervisors adopted the NewBridge Specific Plan in October 2020. At the time of writing this element, none of the other master plans or specific plans have received approval. While it is possible that these UPA expansion criteria represent a constraint to housing development outside of the UPA, it is important to note that compliance

with these criteria is required in order to ensure a variety of housing types and densities, high-quality development, and adequate infrastructure (water, sewer, and public transportation) that is necessary to support multi-family development. Overall, the County views the process for expansion of the UPA and USB as necessary to facilitate mixed-income residential development in new growth areas. Furthermore, the County has a number of previously approved growth areas with remaining capacity discussed below.

Urban Growth Accommodation Strategy

The Land Use Element of the General Plan includes the Urban Growth Accommodation Strategy. The "urban area" of unincorporated Sacramento County includes the urbanized portions of community planning areas such as Arden-Arcade, Carmichael, Fair Oaks, North Highlands/Foothill Farms, Cordova, Rio Linda/Elverta, South Sacramento, Antelope, Orangevale, Cosumnes (Rancho Murieta), Vineyard, as well as planned new growth areas including Easton, Elverta, Cordova Hills, Vineyard Springs, North Vineyard Station, Florin Vineyard, and Mather. There is a substantial amount of developable land within the combined urban communities and remaining in the approved growth areas. The objectives of the Urban Growth Accommodation Strategy include the build-out of infill sites, the build-out of planned communities, commercial corridor planning, and new growth areas.

Development Code

The Board of Supervisors adopted an updated Sacramento County Development Code on July 22, 2015 that became effective on September 25, 2015. The Development Code is comprised of the Zoning Code and its related Ordinances, the Countywide Design Standards and the Zoning Code User Guide.

Zoning Code

The Zoning Code establishes land use zones, standards, and regulations for development in those zones, within unincorporated Sacramento County. Table 39, Table 40 and Table 41 below are taken from the Zoning Code and depict the current development standards for single-family residential, duplex/halfplex residential, and multifamily residential projects.

The County received SB 2 Planning Grant Program funds to amend the Zoning Code to expedite processing and approvals for housing projects and accelerate housing production. As a part of this effort, the County has identified several development standards that may serve as constraints to multifamily housing development. These are highlighted in the tables below and discussed in detail below the tables.

| Table 39: Zoning Code Table 5.7.B Attached and Detached Single Family Residential Project Development Standards | |
|--|--|
| STANDARD | |
| Yard Requirements (feet)[1][2] | |
| Minimum Front Yard | 20 (Without PUPF)/24 (With PUPF) |
| Minimum Interior Side Yard | 5 (1-2 story)/25 (3 story) [3] |
| Minimum Rear Yard | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lot depths greater than 125 feet: 25 feet. Lot depths less than or equal to 125 feet: 20 percent of the average lot depth. |
| Projection Into Rear Yard | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The primary dwelling may project into the required rear yard provided that an equivalent area is provided as a yard or court within the buildable portion of the lot. In no case shall encroachment result in a setback of less than 10 feet for one (1) story buildings and 15 feet for two (2) and three (3) story buildings. |
| Minimum Side Street Yard | 12.5 (Without PUPF)/ 16.5 (With PUPF) |
| Building Height and Width (feet) | |
| Maximum Building Height [4] | 30 (1-2 story) / 40 (3 story) |
| Minimum Building Width [5] | 20 |
| Number of Kitchens | |
| Any dwelling shall have no more than one kitchen. [6][7] | |
| Parking Requirements | |
| Refer to Section 5.9, "Off Street Parking" | |
| Acoustical Standards- Maximum Interior Noise Levels | |
| For projects that include the construction of residential units within 25 feet of an arterial or thoroughfare right-of-way (as identified by the roadway classifications in the General Plan), project applicants shall submit an acoustical analysis demonstrating façade construction will be such that interior noise levels will not exceed 45dB LRdn under future (cumulative case) traffic conditions. | |
| Special Standards- Projects in RD-7 or Lesser Zoning Districts | |
| Minimum Open Space | 10% of buildable area within net lot area, corner lots only |
| Special Standards- Projects in RD-10 and Higher or Commercial Zoning Districts | |
| Minimum Private Open Space | 40 Square Feet Per Lot |

| Table 39: Zoning Code Table 5.7.B Attached and Detached Single Family Residential Project Development Standards | |
|---|---|
| STANDARD | |
| Common open space and landscaping | 20 percent (Attached) / 30 percent (Detached) |
| Common outdoor amenities | Required for 10 or more units |
| Minimum Storage Area | 80 cubic feet per lot |
| <p>PUPF = Public Utilities, Public Facilities easement (per Section 5.2.1)</p> <p>[1] Cornices, sills, eaves, canopies, awnings, window bays, and similar features of primary dwellings may encroach into any required yard area a distance not to exceed 24 inches.</p> <p>[2] The setback from streets for all residential structures shall be measured from the public street right-of-way or private street easement. If street dedication is required for future right-of-way, the setback measurement shall be taken from the future right-of-way.</p> <p>[3] No setbacks are required from the property boundary dividing attached single family residential units.</p> <p>[4] In AG, AR, and RD-1 through RD-7 zones maximum height may be increased to three stories only with issuance of a Use Permit from the Zoning Administrator. Height criteria shall consider factors as prescribed in Section 5.2.2.D.</p> <p>[5] Measured as the smallest projected building width. "Smallest projected building width" means the smallest dimension attained by the parallel projection of the outside, enclosing walls of the building when projected from every direction.</p> <p>[6] Exceptions to this requirement may be granted by the Planning Director (no fee) for dwellings of persons with developmental disabilities.</p> <p>[7] Outdoor kitchens are exempt.</p> | |

| Table 40: Zoning Code Table 5.7.C Duplex/Halfplex Residential Development Standards | |
|--|---|
| STANDARD | |
| Yard Requirements (feet) [1][2] | |
| Minimum Front Yard | 20 (Without PUPF)/24 (With PUPF) |
| Minimum Interior Side Yard [3] | 5 (1 Story) , 7.5 (2 Story), 10 (3 Story) |
| Minimum Rear Yard | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lot depths greater than 125 feet: 25 feet. Lot depths less than or equal to 125 feet: 20 percent of the average lot depth. |

| Table 40: Zoning Code Table 5.7.C Duplex/Halfplex Residential Development Standards | |
|---|--|
| STANDARD | |
| Projection Into Rear Yard | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The primary dwelling may project into the required rear yard provided that an equivalent area is provided as a yard or court within the buildable portion of the lot. In no case shall encroachment result in a setback of less than 10 feet for one (1) story buildings and 15 feet for two (2) and three (3) story buildings. |
| Minimum Side Street Yard | 12.5 (Without PUPF)/16.5 (With PUPF) |
| Building Height and Width | |
| Maximum Building Height [4] | 30 (1-2 story) / 40 (3 Story) |
| Minimum Building Width [5] | 20 |
| Number of Kitchens | |
| Any dwelling unit shall have no more than one kitchen. [6][7] | |
| Parking Requirements | |
| Refer to Section 5.9, "Off Street Parking" | |
| Acoustical Standards- Maximum Interior Noise Levels | |
| For projects that include the construction of residential units within 25 feet of an arterial or thoroughfare right-of-way (as identified by the roadway classifications in the General Plan), project applicants shall submit an acoustical analysis demonstrating façade construction will be such that interior noise levels will not exceed 45dB Ldn under future (cumulative case) traffic conditions. | |
| Special Standards- Projects in RD-7 or Lesser Zoning Districts | |
| Minimum Open Space | 5% of buildable area within net lot area for each dwelling unit, corner lots only. |
| Special Standards- Projects in RD-10 and Higher or Commercial Zoning Districts | |
| Minimum Private Open Space | 40 Square Feet Per Lot |
| Common open space and landscaping | 20 percent (Attached) / 30 percent (Detached) |
| Common outdoor amenities | Required for 10 or more units |
| Minimum Storage Area | 80 cubic feet per lot |

**Table 40: Zoning Code Table 5.7.C
Duplex/Halfplex Residential Development Standards**

| STANDARD |
|--|
| PUPF = Public Utilities, Public Facilities easement (per Section 5.2.1) |
| [1] Cornices, sills, eaves, canopies, awnings, window bays, and similar features of primary dwellings may encroach into any required yard area a distance not to exceed 24 inches. |
| [2] The setback from streets for all residential structures shall be measured from the public street right-of-way or private street easement. If street dedication is required for future right-of-way, the setback measurement shall be taken from the future right-of-way. |
| [3] No setbacks are required from the property boundary dividing the two halfplex lots/units. |
| [4] In AG, AR, and RD-1 through RD-7 zones maximum height may be increased to three stories only with issuance of a use permit from the Zoning Administrator. Height criteria shall consider factors as prescribed in Section 5.2.2.D. |
| [5] Measured as the smallest projected building width. "Smallest projected building width" means the smallest dimension attained by the parallel projection of the outside, enclosing walls of the building when projected from every direction. |
| [6] Exceptions to this requirement may be granted by the Planning Director (no fee) for dwellings of persons with developmental disabilities. |
| [7] Outdoor kitchens are exempt. |

**Table 41: Zoning Code Table 5.8.B
Multifamily Residential Project Development Standards**

| STANDARD | RD-10 | RD-15 | RD-20 | RD-25 | RD-30 | RD-40 |
|---|--|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Minimum Yard Requirements (feet) [1] | | | | | | |
| Front Yard | 25 (Without PUPF) / 31 (With PUPF) | | | | | |
| Interior Side and Rear Yards | 10 (1 story), 15 (2 story), 20 (3 story) | | | | | |
| Side Street Yard | 25 (Without PUPF) / 31 (With PUPF) | | | | | |
| Setback with Allowed Encroachment (Porches/Stoops/Patios/Bay Windows/Balconies) into the: | | | | | | |
| Front Yard (feet) | 12 | | 8 | | 6 | |
| Side Yard (feet) | 3 | | 3 | | 3 | |
| Rear Yard (feet) | 3 | | 3 | | 3 | |
| Encroachment over Sidewalk (Awnings/Bay Windows/Upper Floors) | | | | | | |
| Front | Not Allowed | Three (3) feet max., with eight (8) feet min. height clearance from top of sidewalk | | | | |

| Table 41: Zoning Code Table 5.8.B Multifamily Residential Project Development Standards | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| STANDARD | RD-10 | RD-15 | RD-20 | RD-25 | RD-30 | RD-40 |
| Multifamily Setback Requirements from Existing Single-family Residential (feet) | | | | | | |
| One Story- Side and Rear Yards | 25 | | | | | |
| Two Story- Side and Rear Yards | 50 | | | | | |
| Three Story- Side and Rear Yards | 75 | | | | | |
| Four Story- Side and Rear Yards | Not Permitted | | 75 | | | |
| Five+ Story- Side and Rear Yards | Not Permitted | | | | 75 | |
| Accessory Structure Setbacks | A 10-foot setback for one-story enclosed garages, carports, and accessory structures such as tool sheds is allowed. | | | | | |
| Maximum Height Limits | | | | | | |
| Maximum Height (feet) | 40 Three stories | | 45 Four stories | | 150 | |
| Allowed Height Increase- Major Collectors and Arterials | Heights may be increased by one (1) story along major collector and arterial street with widths of 80 feet or more. See Section 5.2.3 for height increases adjacent to transit or in Category III projects. | | | | | |
| Open Space Requirements [2] | | | | | | |
| Minimum Open Space and Landscaping (i.e. yards and landscaped setbacks) | 20 percent (Attached) 30 percent (Detached) | | | | | |
| Common Outdoor Amenities Required | For 10 units or more | | | | | |
| Minimum Private Open Space/Unit (square feet) | 40 | | | | | |
| Private Storage Requirements | | | | | | |
| Minimum Storage Area Volume/Unit (cubic feet) | 80 | | | | | |
| Parking Requirements | | | | | | |
| Studio | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| One Bedroom | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |

| Table 41: Zoning Code Table 5.8.B Multifamily Residential Project Development Standards | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| STANDARD | RD-10 | RD-15 | RD-20 | RD-25 | RD-30 | RD-40 |
| Two – Three Bedroom | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Four + Bedroom | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Parking Requirements within ¼ mile of Transit Stop[2] | | | | | | |
| Studio | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| One Bedroom | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Two – Three Bedroom | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Four + Bedroom | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| <p>[1] Parking may be reduced as a condition of Design Review.</p> <p>[2] Transit stop refers to stops along a light rail line, bus rapid transit line, or other trunk line providing high frequency bus service with 20 minute or better headways, which is in existing service, under construction, or planned for service in Regional Transit's Short-Range Transit Plan Ten Year Capital Program of Projects.</p> | | | | | | |
| Signage Requirements | | | | | | |
| Signs shall be integrated and designed at a quality consistent with the design of the project and shall comply with Chapter 5.10, "Sign Regulations." | | | | | | |
| Walls and Fences | | | | | | |
| Walls and fences shall be located and constructed in conformance with Section 5.2.5, "Development Standards for Walls and Fences." | | | | | | |
| Landscaping | | | | | | |
| Landscaping shall be provided in conformance with Section 5.2.4. | | | | | | |
| Trash and Recycling Enclosures Setbacks and Requirements | | | | | | |
| <p>31 feet from any public right of way;</p> <p>25 feet from residentially zoned property or property used for residential purposes;</p> <p>15 feet from the edge of pavement of a private road easement</p> | | | | | | |

| Table 41: Zoning Code Table 5.8.B Multifamily Residential Project Development Standards | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| STANDARD | RD-10 | RD-15 | RD-20 | RD-25 | RD-30 | RD-40 |
| <p>All trash and recycling containers and enclosures shall be located within an enclosed masonry area with a surrounding wall at least six (6) feet high and no taller than eight (8) feet in height, with an appropriate solid gate.</p> <p>Trash and recycling enclosure areas shall be designed to the County's latest stormwater quality source control design standards.</p> <p>There shall be adequate area provided for recycling containers and enclosures. Recycling enclosures shall be located for functional use by occupants and by the disposal and hauling companies, providing collection services. The appropriate authority can allow flexibility on trash and recycling enclosure standards to facilitate the siting and provision of adequate space allocation for recycling collection and storage areas.</p> | | | | | | |
| Maintenance | | | | | | |
| All development standards shall be continuously met for every project. Buildings and premises, including paint/siding, roofs, windows, fences, parking lots, and landscaping shall be kept in good repair. Premises shall be kept free of junk, debris, and abandoned vehicles. | | | | | | |
| Acoustical Analysis | | | | | | |
| For projects that include the construction of residential units within 25 feet of an arterial or thoroughfare right-of-way (as identified by the roadway classifications in the General Plan), project applicants shall submit an acoustical analysis demonstrating façade construction will be such that interior noise levels will not exceed 45dB Ldn under future (cumulative case) traffic conditions. | | | | | | |
| Driveway Dimensions | | | | | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Street design and width shall be confirmed with the Fire Department. 2. For driveway access with 10 or fewer units, a T-shaped turnaround shall be allowed. A dimension of 20 feet by 80 feet will accommodate most vehicles. 3. Dead end driveways shall be less than 150 feet long, and have appropriate turnarounds as needed. 4. Circular cul-de-sacs shall be designed with a radius of 40 feet or less to the greatest extent possible. 5. The minimum widths for internal streets or driveways, per Fire Department Standards, shall be as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o For uncurbed driveways with no parallel parking when a fire lane is not necessary: 16 feet. o For curbed internal streets with no parallel parking: 19 feet. o For curbed internal street with parallel parking on one side: 28 feet. o For curbed internal street with parallel parking on both sides: 36 feet. | | | | | | |

| Table 41: Zoning Code Table 5.8.B Multifamily Residential Project Development Standards | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| STANDARD | RD-10 | RD-15 | RD-20 | RD-25 | RD-30 | RD-40 |
| Lighting for Multifamily Housing | | | | | | |
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Site and street lighting shall comply with Section 5, "Street Light Design" of the Sacramento County Improvement Standards and the following standards. 2. Lighting fixtures shall provide for pedestrian safety and be adequately spaced and scaled without interference from landscaping, and directed away from adjacent areas to minimize light pollution caused by glare or stray light into neighboring properties. 3. Illumination shall be 0.25 foot-candles at grade level for surface areas of alcoves, walkways, and yards other than required for exits. 4. Illumination shall be one (1) foot-candle at floor level for open parking areas and carports. 5. All lights shall be placed on a timer or photo electronic cell capable of turning the lights on and off one-half (½) hour prior to dawn and one-half (½) hour past dusk. | | | | | | |
| <p>PUPF = Public Utilities, Public Facilities easement (per Section 5.2.1)</p> <p>[1] Setback requirements, encroachments, and internal street widths are subject to review by local service providers and may be restricted based on the County Access Drive Standards or other guidelines used for multifamily residential developments to ensure adequate spacing is available for all necessary utilities.</p> <p>[2] Common open space and outdoor amenities can include common patios, small common greens, community gardens, pools, pedestrian walkways, tot-lots, and recreation areas. It does not include areas used for vehicular access and parking. Public parks and open space constructed as part of the proposed multifamily project may be counted up to one-half (½) of the common open space requirement.</p> | | | | | | |

Multifamily Residential Project Development Standards

Minimum Yard Requirements

The general multifamily setbacks prescribed in Table 41 exceed the setbacks of other residential housing types. The setbacks for multifamily development exceed those for single family by as much as 12.5 feet in the side street yard without a Public Utilities, Public Facilities easement (PUPF) and by 16.5 feet with a PUPF. As a part of the SB 2 Planning Grants Program effort, County staff will review multifamily setbacks and consider changes to the Zoning Code to reduce them and increase the buildable area of multifamily-zoned parcels.

Multifamily Setback Requirements from Existing Single-family Residential

The multifamily setbacks from existing single-family residential are as high as 75 feet for a development of three stories or higher. This may greatly reduce the buildable area of multifamily-zoned land and especially affects smaller, infill parcels. County staff will review the side and rear setbacks for multifamily residential development adjacent to single-family development and consider changes to promote the development of multifamily housing and to make constrained and unusually shaped lots buildable to their current zoning designation densities.

Open Space Requirements

The minimum open space and landscaping requirement of 20 percent (attached) and 30 percent (detached) may serve as a barrier to dense multifamily development. County staff is exploring reductions to these requirements. In addition, the minimum private open space requirement of 40 square feet per unit is often a barrier for developments on smaller, more constrained lots or multistory projects where not every unit may have a balcony or patio. County staff is exploring reductions to these requirements.

Parking Requirements

County staff is considering modifications to allowable parking configurations for multifamily developments to allow for greater variability in housing products. Zoning Code section 5.9.3.A also requires a certain amount of covered parking spaces for multifamily residential projects. Because these covered parking spaces are considered accessory structures, they need to be setback from the property line consistent with Table 41. This requirement can further reduce the buildable area of multifamily-zoned parcels. County staff is exploring reductions in the required amount of covered parking spaces to alleviate this barrier.

Accessory Dwelling Units

Governor Newsom signed into law a suite of bills encouraging the development of Accessory Dwelling Units (also known as ADUs, granny units, or secondary units) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADUs) in October of 2019. These bills addressed many aspects of ADU development and permitting, with the goal of creating more housing to address California's housing crisis. The Board of Supervisors approved a Zoning Ordinance amendment in December 2020 to codify these changes to State law.

A property developed with a single-family dwelling or halfplex unit may have one ADU, either attached or detached, and one JADU, contained entirely within the habitable area of a single-family residence. A property developed with a multifamily residential use or a duplex may have up to two new-construction detached ADUs and up to 25 percent of the total unit count in ADUs converted from existing non-living space. For JADUs, the property owner must occupy either the primary dwelling or the JADU. The recent changes include the following development standards for ADUs and JADUS.

- Parking:
 - For new construction ADUs, one parking space is required unless the ADU meets certain requirements such as being located within one-half mile walking distance of public transit.
 - When a garage or parking structure is converted in conjunction with construction on an ADU, replacement parking is not required.
- Maximum Size:

- New construction detached ADUs can be a maximum of 850 square feet if one bedroom or less and 1,000 square feet if more than one bedroom.
- New construction attached ADUs can be a maximum of 50 percent of the habitable square feet of the primary dwelling or 850 square feet, whichever is larger.
- ADUs converted from existing space can be a maximum of the area of existing space or structure plus 150 square feet for ingress and egress.
- New construction or converted JADUs can be a maximum of 500 square feet within existing or proposed primary dwelling, plus 150 square feet for ingress and egress.
- **Maximum Building Height:** The maximum building height is 16 feet or can be increased to 20 feet if a minimum of 10 feet from the rear property line and 5 feet from the side property line and single story.
- **Setbacks:**
 - New construction ADUs and JADUs must have a front setback of 20 feet, a side street yard setback of 12.5 feet, and a side and rear yard setback of 4 feet.
 - Ingress and egress additions for converted ADUs and JADUs must meet setbacks for new construction ADUs or JADUs. Existing space or structures may remain at setbacks originally permitted.

The County is promoting ADUs through a dedicated page on the Office of Planning and Environmental Review website. The website includes a link to the Interim ADU Standards, a summary of key amendments, and answers to several questions related to ADUs.

The County will prepare a complete set of pre-approved constructions drawings for three different sized ADUs to incentivize ADUs. The County will also promote the development of ADUs through a brochure, guidebook, and outreach to the Building Industry Association (BIA). These actions are included as programs E9 and E10 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element.

Emergency Shelters and Navigation Centers

The Zoning Code allows for emergency shelters in the General Commercial (GC) and Light Industrial zones (M-1) as by-right uses (subject to non-discretionary design review) and the development standards below. In addition, County funded shelters (specifically, County agency facilities where the Board of Supervisors has budgetary authority and where the shelter has been subject to a public hearing to allocate funding for the shelter) are an allowed use in any zoning district. Emergency shelters that do not meet these requirements must submit a Conditional Use Permit to be decided by the Board of Supervisors.

- Emergency shelters are subject to non-discretionary Design Review and approval by the Planning Director. The Planning Director's review focuses on:
 - Provision of telephones for use by clients.
 - On-site personnel during hours of operation when clients are present. The manager's area shall be located near the entry to the facility.
 - Adequate interior and exterior lighting.
 - Secure areas for personal property.
- Off-street parking, provided in the ratio of one space for every 10 adult beds, plus an additional space designated exclusively for the manager. All parking is required to be off-street and on site.
 - Maximum Number of Beds: 100 beds.
 - Hours of Operation: Facilities shall establish, maintain, and post set hours for client intake and discharge.

- Transit: Facilities must either be located within one-half (½) mile of a designated transit corridor or existing bus route; or, the applicant shall submit to the Planning Director evidence that transportation will be provided between the facility and a transit corridor or bus line.
- Location Requirements: The Zoning Code requires that shelters must be more than 1,000 feet from public parks, schools, recreation facilities, childcare facilities, and single-family residential zones. These location requirements are not consistent with State law.

AB 101 (2019) requires local jurisdictions to allow low barrier navigation centers by right in areas zoned for mixed-use and nonresidential zones permitting multifamily uses. AB 101 defines low-barrier navigation centers as housing first, low-barrier, service-enriched shelters focused on moving people into permanent housing that provides temporary living facilities such as supportive services.

The Office of Planning and Environmental Review is in the process of amending the Emergency Housing section of the Zoning Code to conform to changes to State law concurrent with preparation of the Housing Element. These updates are included as program D8 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element.

Supportive Living Uses

The Zoning Code allows Residential Care Homes (which includes Transitional Housing and Supportive Housing) by right in all commercial and mixed-use zones. The Zoning Code allows Residential Care Homes of six people or less by right in single-family residential zoning districts and it allows over six people with a Use Permit from the Zoning Administrator. Similarly, the Zoning Code allows Residential Care Homes by right for up to 20 people in multi-family zoning districts and it allows over 20 people with a Minor Use Permit from the Planning Director.

There are no spacing or concentration requirements in the Zoning Code for these housing types. The County uses the term “household” rather than “family” as family is often interpreted to mean only those related by blood or marriage/law. Therefore, the County Zoning Code does not contain a definition of “family” so as not to discriminate against unrelated persons with disabilities living together. The County’s Zoning Code defines a “single household” as the occupants of a dwelling unit that have established ties and familiarity with each other, jointly use common areas, interact with each other, share meals, household activities, and expenses and responsibilities. However, the County has received feedback indicating the provision of “established ties” as part of a single household creates ambiguity and potential barriers for persons with disabilities. To address this, Program D9 requires the County to add a definition of family and modify the definition of single household consistent with Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing.

In Sacramento County, the basis for determining whether a use is permitted or conditionally permitted is the operational characteristics of the use and compliance with regulations for the specific zone district it is located in. Supportive housing that provides 24-hour care typically falls under the category of Residential Care Home or Congregate Care. However, other types of supportive housing operate more like multifamily housing and are, therefore, classified in the same manner and subject to the same development standards as any other multifamily housing project. Program D9 requires the County to review and amend the Zoning Code, as necessary, to ensure that requirements for group homes of more than six persons are consistent with State law and fair housing requirements.

State law (Government Code Section 65650) requires that transitional and supportive housing be considered a residential use of property subject only to those restrictions that apply to other residential units of the same type in the same zone. In addition, AB 2162 (2018) requires local jurisdictions to allow projects that include 25 percent or 12 units of permanent supportive housing, whichever is greater, by right in all zoning districts where mixed-use and multifamily uses are permitted, including nonresidential zones permitting multifamily uses. Permanent supportive housing may only be subject to the same restrictions as other residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone.

The County will amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses, including boarding houses, transitional housing, scattered shelters, residential care homes, and nonconforming dwelling units to take more comprehensive approach to supportive housing consistent with changes to State housing law. These

amendments are included as program D9 and are intended to bring the Zoning Code into compliance with State Law.

Farmworker Housing

The County Zoning Code allows agricultural accessory dwellings in all General Agriculture zones by right with one accessory dwelling allowed for every 5 acres in a parcel. The Zoning Code also allows agricultural accessory dwellings in Agricultural-Residential zones with a use permit from the Zoning Administrator. Individuals or families who live in agricultural accessory dwellings must earn at least 50 percent of their income from agricultural activities on the parcel where the dwelling is located.

There is a registration and inspection process through the County's Environmental Management Department, Environmental Health Division to ensure health and safety. These inspections are conducted to ensure that the facilities meet the minimum standards of habitation specified in the State Employee Housing Act. The California Department of Housing and Community Development performs audits of the Environmental Health Division inspection program annually to verify that the program is meeting its goals. Three inspections are completed annually: Water, Pre-Occupancy and Occupancy.

State Health and Safety Code Section 17021.6 precludes a local government from requiring a conditional use permit, zoning variance, or other zoning clearance for farm labor camps consisting of no more than 36 beds in a group quarters or 12 units designed for use by a household. Occupants of these camps could be those who do not work on the property where the employee housing is located. This state law requires that local ordinances consider agricultural employee housing as an activity that does not differ in any way from an agricultural use. Farm Labor Camps that have up to 45 beds in group quarters or 16 single-family household units are an allowed use by right in all agricultural zoning districts of the unincorporated County. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes program D6 to amend the Zoning Code to allow Farmworker Housing by-right in all Zoning Districts where Agriculture is a primary use.

Short-Term Rentals

Per the County Zoning Code, a short-term rental is an Accessory Use allowed on all residential properties to rent all or a portion of the property for transient occupancy. Transient Occupancy is defined as the use of any room or rooms for lodging or sleeping purposes for a period of time not to exceed 29 consecutive days per stay. Short-term rentals may occur year-round, but are limited to no more than 29 total days per rental party per year.

Short-Term Rentals are allowed if they meet the following Use Standards (per Section 3.9.3.AA of the Zoning Code):

- The property owner or renter (with long term lease) lives on-site a minimum of six months per year, and can provide proof of residency.
- Maximum occupancy shall not exceed more than two adults per bedroom.
- No public or commercial events permitted.

The County restricts short-term rentals in favor of long-term rentals. The County does not permit short-term rental operations as the primary use of a residential property. In addition, an ADU cannot be used for short term rental activity unless it was a permitted ADU prior to January 1, 2020. JADUs and Guest Houses cannot be used for short term rental activity in any circumstance.

Property owners must apply for a Short-Term Rental (STR) Permit and pay a fee of \$270.66 to operate a short-term rental. STR Permits are only valid for one year from issuance. If the STR permit is approved, the applicant must apply for a business license and register to pay the Transient Occupancy Tax.

Neighborhood Preservation Areas and Special Planning Areas

Neighborhood Preservation Areas (NPAs) were created to preserve neighborhood characteristics through special regulations not found in the Zoning Code. The NPAs include special regulations applied within the plan area in lieu of the requirements contained in the zone or zones with which the NPA zone is combined.

The NPA zone may specify the extent of yard areas, lot area, lot width, public street frontage requirements, height limitations, or other development standards. There are 21 NPAs in the unincorporated County. NPAs are in Title VI of the Zoning Code.

Similarly, the Special Planning Areas (SPA) zoning designation was created to regulate property in areas that have unique environmental, historic, architectural, or other features that require special conditions not provided through standard zoning regulations. Special conditions and regulations, such as a list of permitted uses, performance and development requirements relating to yards, lot area, intensity of development on each lot, parking, landscaping, and other design standards are defined in the SPA zone, as established by ordinance. There are 52 SPAs in the unincorporated County. SPAs are in Title V of the Zoning Code.

The intent of NPAs and SPAs is to preserve neighborhoods with unique features and characteristics and to provide for a greater range or mix of uses in an area. However, the NPAs and SPAs can actually serve as barriers to housing because they tend to be more restrictive than standard zoning designations. The sheer number of NPAs and SPAs is also burdensome. County staff will identify those NPAs and SPAs that may serve as a barrier to housing and either rescind or amend them. This action is included as program B6 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element.

Countywide Design Guidelines

The Countywide Design Guidelines establish design principles to implement the County's General Plan. There are separate chapters for each land use including Single Family; Multi-family; Commercial; Office, Business Park, Institutional, and Industrial Development; Village Centers/Mixed-Use; and New Communities. The design review hearing body levels for typical residential projects are described in detail in the Permit and Entitlement Process for Typical Residential Projects section below. The Countywide Design Guidelines are available on the County's website⁸.

Single-Family Design Guidelines

The Single-Family Design Guidelines Chapter includes a list of guidelines organized by the following sections: Neighborhood Site Design Standards, Building Design Standards, and Landscape / Site Elements Design Standards. The Neighborhood Site Design Standards section covers topics such as subdivision street and block patterns and lot size and configurations. The Building Design Standards sections includes topics like building scale and massing and architectural styles. While the Landscape / Site Elements Design Standards section includes planting and landscaping and parks, open space and drainage/flood facilities. Active Design Principles are located throughout each of the sections.

Multifamily Design Guidelines

The current Multifamily Design Guidelines are subjective and flexible in nature. This flexibility can work in an applicant's favor because a project does not have to comply with every guideline listed in order for the hearing authority to find it "in compliance" with the Design Guidelines. However, this subjectivity can also create ambiguity and uncertainty for applicants and staff. The County is in the process of revising the Multifamily Design Guidelines Chapter to identify objective design standards instead. Each project will be required to meet the design standards, or utilize incentives to deviate from those design standards. The update will also remove the community context determination from the chapter. The intent of these updates is to increase the certainty of design review outcomes, make the document simple and user-friendly, and ensure consistency with State housing law, such as SB 35 (Wiener, 2017). It is anticipated that the County Board of Supervisors will adopt these updated by January 2022. Compliance with SB 35 is discussed in more detail in the Streamlined Approval for Affordable Housing section below.

⁸ <https://planning.sacounty.net/applicants/Pages/DesignReviewProgram.aspx>

South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan

The Board of Supervisors adopted the South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan (SSHCP) on September 11, 2018. The SSHCP streamlines federal and state permitting processes for development and infrastructure projects while conserving habitat. The SSHCP encompasses a 317,000-acre area in south Sacramento County and is bordered by Highway 50 on the north, San Joaquin County on the south, El Dorado County and Amador County to the east, and the Sacramento River to the west, including Galt and most of Rancho Cordova. The SSHCP is led by a multi-jurisdiction collaborative that includes Sacramento County; the cities of Rancho Cordova and Galt; the Sacramento County Water Agency; the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District; and the Capital SouthEast Connector Joint Powers Authority. Within the SSHCP plan area, 36,282 acres will become part of an interconnected preserve system, including approximately 1,000 acres of vernal pool habitat. Twenty-eight plant and wildlife species, and their natural habitats, will be conserved under the plan.

In addition to creating a large preserve area, the SSHCP will streamline state and federal permitting requirements of the Endangered Species Act and Clean Water Act. Projects subject to the State and Federal Endangered Species Act and Clean Water Act benefit from a streamlined permit process. The SSHCP also supersedes the County Swainson's Hawk methodology for habitat compensation. In some cases, projects that were previously considered to have minimal habitat value, such as grassland habitat, will be required to pay SSHCP mitigation fees although the project may not have been subject to similar habitat mitigation fees prior to SSHCP adoption. This is due to additional local oversight of habitat impacts, which may have been missed at the State and Federal level, and the regional approach to habitat mitigation and conservation rather than a project level individual species approach. This will increase the cost of development for certain projects. However, the average project will pay smaller mitigation fees, particularly projects that impact wetlands and other waters. The mitigation fees for land cover types currently range from \$17,759 per acre for agriculture to \$209,567 per acre for vernal pools and may be subject to annual adjustment.

Affordable Housing Ordinance

The County's current Affordable Housing Ordinance became effective on March 27, 2014. The Ordinance requires developers to pay a fee per each habitable square foot of each market rate residential unit or comply using another option. Fees collected through the Affordable Housing Ordinance are used to produce housing affordable to lower-income households. The fee is currently set at \$3.04 per habitable square foot and is adjusted annually based on the Building Cost Index 20- City Average. In addition to payment of the fee, developers may also take one of the following actions to comply with the Affordable Housing Ordinance:

- Enter into a development agreement with the County for a fee credit for land dedication, construction of affordable housing units, or other mechanisms that will lead to production of affordable units during the entitlement process for your residential project.
- Purchase credits for affordable housing units banked with SHRA.
- Comply with Existing Affordable Housing Plan approved at the time planning entitlement was approved. If the approved affordable housing plan consists of a fee, then the fee would be set by program guidelines.

CODES AND ENFORCEMENT

Building Codes

Building codes establish minimal standards and specifications for structural soundness, safety, and occupancy. State law (California Code of Regulations, Title 24) requires cities and counties to adopt minimum residential construction standards based on industry uniform codes. The latest version of Title 24 includes the 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. This Code includes adopted mandatory building regulations for all new construction to achieve reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, energy consumption

and water use. New requirements include residential photovoltaic systems for all new residential development.

The County regulations on building construction adhere to the most recently approved edition of the California Building Standard Code (CBSC), the Uniform Mechanical Code, the Uniform Plumbing Code, and the National Electrical Code. Only two County amendments to the codes have been adopted, neither one adding significantly to the cost of a housing unit. The first amendment requires gravel to be placed under concrete slabs for drainage purposes. The second amendment requires a 100-ampere (amp) main disconnect in all residential structures.

The Sacramento County Building Permits and Inspection Division, within the Office of Development and Code Services, is primarily responsible for enforcing building codes. Building code enforcement is conducted through scheduled inspections of new construction, remodeling, and rehabilitation projects. Inspections are also conducted in response to public complaints or an inspector's observation that construction is occurring without proper permits. The usual process after the receipt of a complaint is to conduct a field investigation. If the complaint is found to be valid, voluntary compliance is elicited through a combination of letters and phone calls and/or site visits. In extreme cases where deficiencies are severe and appeals for voluntary solutions to alleviate problems are unsuccessful, the County may declare the unit as a safety and health hazard and legal compliance may be forced through action taken by the County Counsel's office.

Enforcement of building codes is typical of all California jurisdictions and, therefore, is not a significant governmental constraint.

ONSITE AND OFFSITE IMPROVEMENT STANDARDS

This section identifies and analyzes required improvements to street widths, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, water and sewer connections, landscaping, circulation improvement, and any other onsite/offsite improvement required by Sacramento County that could potentially be a constraint to the development of housing. In addition, it describes generally applicable level of service standards and mitigation thresholds.

It is important to recognize that improvement standards exist to set a uniform and equitable development framework that assures access for all people. Sidewalk, landscaping, transit and curb improvements benefit people with mobility devices, pedestrians and cyclists. People may choose to not own a car and instead use that money for housing when a neighborhood provides equitable access to a variety of travel modes. As such, it is not always appropriate to conclude that requiring new housing to construct its fair share of improvements is an impediment to housing. It may actually support a person's ability to secure housing they can afford by eliminating reliance on one or more vehicles as a source of transportation.

The following is a description of Sacramento County's most common roadway and sidewalk standards in residential areas.

Improvement Standards

Sacramento County publishes its adopted 2018 improvement standards on the County Engineering Section webpage. These standards cover the design of streets, streetlights, sound barriers, sanitary sewer, water supply, and storm drain systems.

Current standards for common residential roads are as follows:

- 32-foot street width with 5-foot attached sidewalk or 5-foot detached sidewalk separated from the curb by 6-foot landscaped buffer for minor residential streets serving less than 400 units.
- 38-foot street width with 5-foot attached sidewalk or 5-foot detached sidewalk separated from the curb by 6-foot landscaped buffer for primary residential streets serving more than 400 units but less than 700.
- 48-foot street width with 5-foot sidewalk separated from the curb by 8-foot wide landscaped buffer for collector streets serving more than 700 residential units.

- 60-foot street width with 5-foot sidewalk separated from the curb by 8-foot wide landscaped buffer for major collector streets.
- In pedestrian districts (as identified in the Pedestrian Master Plan), the required sidewalk width is 8 feet. Also, commercial corridors may require higher-level of development standards which may include additional rights-of-way and wider sidewalks to encourage greater use.

Sacramento County also has landscaping requirements including water efficiency requirements that are required pursuant to State law. Additionally, consistent with State law, Sacramento County has changed CEQA triggers of traffic impact from a Level of Service (LOS) standard to a Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) standard. Local traffic analyses are still required to determine the need for traffic improvements related to safety and overall level of service but this analysis occurs outside of CEQA. Per Circulation Element Policy CI-9, these thresholds are LOS D in rural areas and LOS E in urban areas unless not feasible.

None of the County's thresholds or off-site improvement standards specifically target residential uses. The County does not use improvement standards or level of service thresholds as a means to restrict or discourage residential development and the provision of housing. In fact, the County provides numerous allowances for relief from improvement standards. For example, the Sacramento County traffic analysis guidelines contain screening thresholds that allow smaller projects to avoid traffic impact analysis, and therefore the time, expense and off-site improvements associated with such analysis, if their estimated average daily trips are less than 1,000 and peak hour trips are less than 100. The published improvement standards allow a developer in an infill area with less than 300 feet of frontage, to request to build improvements to older standards. As another example, Sacramento County Code 16.120.050 provides a 15-month fee deferral program for single- and multi-family development projects for their transportation development fee. Additionally, County Code 12.03.050 provides time deferment of improvements when less than 50 percent of the street frontage within $\frac{1}{4}$ mile of the project is improved or if costs are equal to or greater than 20 percent of the fair market value of the unit.

Water and Sewer Connections

In Sacramento County, regional sewer treatment and collection is handled by the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD) and local neighborhood level collection (less than 10 million gallons per day, AKA trunk sewer and smaller) is handled by the Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD). One of over 20 private water purveyors or the Sacramento County Water Agency provides water service in Sacramento County.

For sewer service, the developer must construct all lines within a subdivision and pay a trunk connection fee to SASD per dwelling unit. In addition to the connection fee, the developer must pay a fee to SRCSD for each single-family unit constructed. If the development is located in an area of the County where annexation to the sanitary district becomes necessary, an additional annexation fee is required. There are similar connection fees for water, which vary depending on the exact location of the lot and the water purveyor providing the service. The County's sewer and water service providers do not restrict the number of water or sewer connections but do have an overall service capacity and operate on a first come, first serviced basis.

Program B12 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter ensure compliance with California Government Code Section 65589.7, which requires the County to prioritize providing water or sewer services to proposed developments that include housing units affordable to lower income households.

FEES AND EXACTIONS

This section identifies and analyzes permit processing and planning fees, development and impact fees as well as fee deferral and waiver programs.

Project Application Fees

The County charges fees for processing land use entitlement requests. These fees are intended to cover the County's costs of reviewing and preparing recommendations on variety of minor and major development proposals. Table 42 summarizes planning application fees that were effective as of January 7, 2019. Many

of the fees are billed on a time and materials basis and increase each year based on actual costs and to reflect Cost of Living Adjustments (COLAs) and similar increases in overhead. Lower level items are a flat fee which has not been indexed and requires an increasing amount of general fund subsidy each year

For the time and materials projects, actual fees charged often match the deposit amount but there are situations where the fees exceed the deposit. The Office of Planning and Environmental Review consults with affected groups, including building industry representatives, before revising these amounts.

In response to a comment received during the Housing Element review process regarding the impact of the cost of Conditional Use Permit (CUP) fees on housing, an analysis of fees charged in recent years concludes that such fees are not an impediment to housing production. For example, in Fiscal Year 19-20, there were eight total projects where a use permit to the Planning Commission was the entitlement and none of those were for housing projects. In Fiscal Year 20-21, there were a total of five projects where a use permit to the Planning Commission was the entitlement of which two were housing projects; however, one was for a single-family home on a site with sensitive environmental and cultural resources that triggered CEQA review while the other was for a 20-unit infill project on a site under one acre in the Business Professional (BP) zone. Program B7 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter addresses removing the CUP requirement for multifamily in the BP zone. CUPs are used for projects that need to deviate from standards and warrant significant staff time or analysis.

| Table 42: Planning Application Fee Schedule, 2019 | |
|--|-------------|
| Application | Fee |
| General Plan Amendment – Board of Supervisors | \$28,744.00 |
| Community Plan Amendment and Rezone – Board of Supervisors | \$25,502.00 |
| Rezone – Board of Supervisors | \$25,137.00 |
| Tentative Subdivision Map – Planning Commission | \$17,245.00 |
| Parcel Map – Subdivision Review Committee | \$11,900.00 |
| Conditional Use Permit – Planning Commission | \$14,862.00 |
| Conditional Use Permit – Zoning Administrator | \$8,902.00 |
| Special Development Permit – Zoning Administrator | \$7,527.14 |
| Design Review – Planning Commission | \$9,048.00 |
| Design Review – Major | \$3,145.45 |
| Design Review – Minor | \$1,139.05 |

Source: Sacramento County Planning Application Fee Schedule, effective January 7, 2019.

Impact Fees

The following sections cover fees collected for the County and special districts that are used to finance the cost of major capital improvements or mitigate project-related impacts.

All development impact fees are publicly accessible on the County Engineering Division webpage. Applicants may also submit a Development Impact Fee Calculation Request Form to the Site Improvement & Permit Section (SIPS) to receive an estimate of the total impact fees that may be assessed for their project. In compliance with Government Code Section 65940.1, the County's fees, Zoning Code, Design Guidelines, and Affordable Housing Ordinance are all posted to the County's website.

Transportation Development Fees

The Sacramento County Department of Transportation administers a suite of funding sources to finance transportation improvement projects and programs. These sources include federal, state and local funding programs that allocate funds through direct subventions, formula allocations, competitive grants and earmarks. Two of the development related funding programs include the Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee (SCTDF) and Transit Impact Fee (TIF) Program and the Sacramento Countywide Transportation Mitigation Fee (SCTMF).

The purpose of the SCTDF/TIF Program is to fund improvements to the County's roadway, transit, bicycle and pedestrian facilities needed to accommodate travel demand generated by new development through 2050. It includes six fee districts encompassing the entire unincorporated area, each District with its own fee schedule. The SCTDF/TIF fee rates are adjusted annually based on Caltrans construction cost indices. Allocation of SCTDF/TIF funds to specific projects is based on project need and the availability of revenues within the program. Fee revenue availability is dependent upon the level of development activity. The per unit cost for a single family development less than 1,200 square feet ranges from \$1,382.14 (Mather) to \$19,644.20 (District 3). The per unit fee for multifamily development ranges from \$895.25 (Mather) to \$12,724.08 (District 3).

In 2004, Sacramento County voters approved a 30-year extension to the Measure A transportation sales tax. In addition to the sales tax extension, voters adopted the SCTMF that establishes a uniform development fee to be collected by all Sacramento County jurisdictions on new building permits. The fee studies and nexus analysis for the SCTMF were developed and adopted by the Sacramento Transportation Authority (STA). The County collects the fees with the issuance of new building permits in accordance with an agreement with the STA which defines all requirements associated with the collection, administration and use of the SCTMF. SCTMF fees are updated annually. SCTMF fees are reduced for senior housing projects. The per unit cost for single family projects that cater to senior households is \$1,064 compared to \$1,329 per unit for all other single family homes. The cost per unit for multifamily projects that cater to senior households is \$796 compared to \$930 per unit for other multifamily projects. These fees, as cited, are applicable through June 30, 2021.

Sewer Connection Fees

The Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) and the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD) work in tandem to collect and treat wastewater. SASD is primarily responsible for collection at the local level, while SRCSD is a regional provider and is responsible for the larger conveyance pipes, known as interceptors, and wastewater treatment.

The SASD collects fees for any parcel connecting to the sewer system for the first time. The fair-share contribution cover costs associated with planning, design, construction, installation, administration, debt services, and other related costs for the District's sewer collection system. Two factors determine SASD's connection fee amounts, whether the subject parcel was legally created prior to July 1, 2003, and whether the site is located within the confines of a defined "relief" or "expansion" area. Generally, relief areas are defined as build out or urbanized areas, such as Orangevale, Arden Arcade and Carmichael. The bulk of the expansion areas in the County are located south of Highway 50. Connection fees for single-family uses on sites created prior to July 1, 2003 are \$748 per unit in relief areas and increase to \$3,301 per unit in expansion areas. Of the \$3,301 per unit cost in expansion areas, SASD covers \$530 and the developer covers the remaining \$2,771. The post-July 1, 2003 fee structure changes to a per net acre calculation; the fee is \$4,489 per acre in relief areas and \$19,806 per acre in expansion areas. Of the \$19,806 per acre cost, SASD covers \$3,177 and the developer covers the remaining \$16,628. Fees for connection to multifamily projects are \$4,489 per acre in relief areas and \$19,806 per acre in expansion areas with the same breakdown of SASD versus developer costs. These fees, as cited, are applicable through June 30, 2021.

The SRCSD also charges sewer impact fees categorized by new communities and infill communities. The district's connection charge for single-family development in new growth areas is \$6,479 per dwelling and \$3,602 per unit for infill projects. The district's connection charge for multifamily development in new growth areas is \$4,859 per dwelling and \$2,701 per unit for infill projects. The district assesses a reduced

fee of \$3,887 per unit in new growth areas and \$2,161 per unit in infill areas for age-restricted residential development.

Drainage Fees

The Drainage Division of the Sacramento County Department of Water Resources provides drainage facilities and maintenance within the unincorporated area of Sacramento County. The Drainage Division facilitates the construction of drainage facilities, is responsible for the maintenance of drainage facilities, and provides minor improvements to existing facilities. Funding sources differ for construction and maintenance of drainage facilities. Property owners often finance construction of facilities. Drainage fees are collected at the time the property is developed and such funds are used to construct trunk drainage facilities. The current drainage fees vary based on the zone of the new residential development (Zone 11A, 11B or 11C), when the development was approved, the density of the development and the amount of impervious surface created by the development. For example, a development of four single family dwelling units on one acre in Zone 11A would cost \$17,786 total and \$4,447 per unit. A development of 20 multifamily units on one acre in Zone 11A would cost \$22,350 total and \$1,117.5 per unit.


Public Facilities Financing Plan Areas

Examples of area-specific financing plans include the Antelope Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Impact Fee Program (Antelope Fee Program), the Mather Field Public Facilities Financing Plan, the North Vineyard Station Specific Plan Development Impact Fee Program (NVS Fee Program), and the Florin Vineyard Community Plan Fee Program (FVCP Fee Program). All of these programs help fund various levels of roadway and transit improvements, water supply and drainage facilities, parks, and library facilities. The County typically collects impact fees at the time of building permit issuance rather than upfront or at project initiation. Deferment of fees can be a significant benefit to project developers.

Comparison with Other Jurisdictions


County staff prepared a residential fee comparison analysis for processing fees, development impact fees, school impact fees, plan area impact fees and finance districts in 2019. Figure 5 illustrates fees for a single family prototype while Figure 6 illustrates fees for a multifamily prototype. The analysis found that the Sacramento County financing plan area fees for single family development are comparable or even less than fees for new development areas in surrounding jurisdictions, such as Bass Lake Hills in El Dorado County, Laguna Ridge in Elk Grove, and the Folsom Sphere of Influence. Similarly, the analysis found that Sacramento County financing plan area fees for multifamily development are less than fees for areas such as Laguna Ridge in Elk Grove, Westpark Solaire in Roseville, and the Folsom Sphere of Influence. The County's existing communities, such as Carmichael and Elverta, tend to have even lower fees. Some new development areas such as Greenbriar in the City of Sacramento, have substantially lower fees for both single and multifamily development.

Figure 5: Fee Comparison for Single Family Prototype



Source: Sacramento County Special Districts staff, 2019

Figure 6: Fee Comparison for Multifamily Prototype



Source: Sacramento County Special Districts staff, 2019

A comment was received during the Housing Element review process regarding the offsite infrastructure costs to develop in some plan areas, including specifically the North Vineyard Station Specific Plan (NVSSP) area. Staff note that there have been units constructed in the Vineyard area including 1,400 units in the NVSSP. The adjacent Florin Vineyard Community Plan (FVCP) area is planned to accommodate 9,919 residential units of which 301 are constructed, 1,309 are approved and 935 units are pending approval.

County staff and the Board of Supervisors have been very focused on appropriately managing fee costs, while still ensuring that adequate infrastructure and services can be provided in these new growth areas. Since the initial assessment of potential governmental and non-governmental constraints, several specific actions have been undertaken.

On April 20, 2021, County staff presented to the Board recommendations for County participation in the Bond Opportunities for Land Development (BOLD) financing program and expansion of the County's existing participation in the Statewide Communities Infrastructure Program (SCIP). The BOLD and SCIP programs were created by the California Municipal Finance Authority (CMFA) and the California Statewide Communities Development Authority (CSCDA), respectively, to offer a means to finance new or continuing construction of infrastructure and public facilities through bonds issued by the CMFA and CSCDA. The BOLD and SCIP Programs are designed to help public agencies and land developers throughout the State work together to effectively finance public infrastructure projects and development impact fees. These two programs are another option to the existing ability for developers to form Mello-Roos community facilities districts to pay for infrastructure.

On March 23, 2021, the Board directed staff to update the Transportation Mitigation Strategy for the North Vineyard Station Specific Plan and to amend the Specific Plan to implement this strategy. This is intended

to build upon a prior action taken in June 2020 where the Board approved an Interim Transportation Mitigation strategy for the Florin Vineyard Plan area to facilitate development of at least 1,325 units. This action was based on the fact that the County has funding to deliver certain roadway improvements (South Watt Avenue/Elk Grove Florin Road widening and Jackson Road/Bradshaw Road intersection improvements) with other funding sources, thereby relieving the plan area developments from the cost of those major roadway improvements. The more recent March 2021 approach is intended to facilitate the near term construction of at least 4,070 units by deferring some road improvements such that plan area development does not have to carry those costs long term. This Transportation Strategy will be developed by the end of 2021 and formally adopted into the Plan during 2022.

Therefore; with the steps taken by Sacramento County to reduce infrastructure cost and facilitate the construction of housing units in the NVSSP and Florin Vineyard Plan area these costs have been lessened and are not a constraint to development.

Deferral and Waiver Programs

The County's impact fees may constitute a significant barrier to residential development. In order to delay or reduce these costs, the County has adopted the Fee Deferral Program for Residential Projects and the Affordable Housing Fee Deferral and Waiver Program.

Under the Fee Deferral Program (Sacramento County Code 16.120), single-family and multifamily development projects may receive deferrals for the following County fee programs: Antelope Public Facilities Financing Plan Area, North Vineyard Station Specific Plan Area, Vineyard Public Facilities Plan Area, and SCTDF and TIF. The Fee Deferral Program allows for a fee deferral period of up to 15 months from the date of issuance of permits.

The Board of Supervisors also adopted the Affordable Housing Fee Deferral and Waiver Program (Sacramento County Code 16.100) to reduce the fee burden for affordable housing projects. Qualifying projects are those with at least 10 percent of units affordable to very low-income households or at least 49 percent of units affordable to low-income households. Qualifying projects may receive deferrals or waivers for the following County fee programs: Antelope Public Facilities Financing Plan Area, North Vineyard Station Specific Plan Area, Vineyard Public Facilities Plan Area, and SCTDF and TIF. In addition, qualifying projects may also apply for fee deferrals or waivers from impact fees assessed by SASD, SRCSD, and SCWA. Waivers are capped annually at 200 units or 5 percent of residential permits issued in the County in the previous year, whichever is greater.

The County will consider adopting amendments to the existing fee deferral and waiver programs or adopting additional fee waiver or deferral programs to delay and/or reduce the development of affordable housing. Potential amendments include increasing the annual waiver cap. This action is included as program B10 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element.

PROCESSING AND PERMITTING PROCEDURES

This section describes and analyzes the types of permits, extent of discretionary review including required approval findings, procedures, and processing time required for residential development by zoning district. Non-discretionary staff level approvals are addressed in the following section. The section describes and analyzes the permit and entitlement process for a typical single-family unit, multifamily project, and accessory dwelling unit. It describes and analyzes all permits applicable to residential development, including conditional use permits and other mechanisms that place conditions and performance standards on development.

The County's hearing authorities involved in the consideration of discretionary housing developments ranging in authority from lowest to highest are: Zoning Administrator (a management-level staff acting as a hearing authority), Subdivision Review Committee, Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors. When a project is not principally permitted or does not meet development standards, it must receive approval from the designated hearing authority. Projects that are permitted and meet development standards are able to undergo a staff level Design Review, which is explained later in this section. Generally, the cost, complexity and timeframe required for approval rises with the level of hearing body. Since the

last Housing Element update, the County has completed a major update to its Zoning Code. The intent of the update was to lower the approval body authority required for entitlements and to allow more uses by right, streamline processing, reduce certain standards, and provide more certainty to developers.

Table 43 below summarizes the Zoning Code's required approval authority for various residential uses. For residential uses consistent with the General Plan, Community Plan and Zoning, the highest hearing body approval required is a Conditional Use Permit from the Planning Commission. The County does not require Board of Supervisors approval for any residential land uses that conforms with zoning. As shown, the County allows attached single-family and multifamily uses by right in all multi-family zones of 15-units to the acre and higher, all mixed-use zones and in the Limited Commercial (LC)⁹ and General Commercial (GC) zones. Single-family housing is allowed by right in all agricultural, residential, and multi-family zones and most mixed-use zones. The Zoning Code currently allows duplexes and halfplexes by right in zoning districts RD-15 through RD-40 and with a Use Permit from the Zoning Administrator in zoning districts RD-5, RD-7 and RD-10.

The County is exploring the potential for further amendments to the Zoning Code to permit multifamily projects by right in the BP zoning district. The County will also explore amendments to the Zoning Code to allow for more missing middle or naturally occurring affordable housing product types. These actions are included as implementation programs in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element. In addition to these changes, the County is exploring an increase in the number of zoning districts that would allow duplexes and halfplexes by right through the County's SB 2 Planning Grant Program.

⁹ Note that the LC zone is inclusive of the former Shopping Center (SC) zone.

Table 43: Zoning Code Table 3.1: Allowed Uses

| KEY | | | | UPZ=Conditional Use Permit by the Zoning Administrator | | | | UPB=Conditional Use Permit by the Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | Grey Boxes=Refer to Applicable Use Standards in Sections Identified | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|--|------------|-------------|------------|--|---------------------|------|------------|-----|-----|-----------|---|-----|------------|----|----|------------|-----|-----|----------|
| P=Permitted Primary Use | | | | UPP=Conditional Use Permit by the Planning Commission | | | | A=Permitted Accessory Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UPM=Minor Use Permit | | | | | | | | TUZ=Temporary Use Permit by the Zoning Administrator | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zoning Districts | Agricultural | | | Agricultural Residential | | Residential | | | | | Recreation | | | Mixed Use | | | Commercial | | | Industrial | | | Use Std. |
| Use, Service, or Facility | AG-20 through AG-160 | UR | IR | AR-10, AR-5 | AR-2, AR-1 | RD-1, RD-2 | RD-3, RD-4 | RD-5, RD-7, RD-10 | RD-15 through RD-40 | RM-2 | RR | O | C-O | NMC | CMC | CMZ | BP | LC | GC | MP | M-1 | M-2 | |
| Duplex or Halfplex | | | | | | | | UPZ ¹⁰ | P | P | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3.5.1.B |
| Multiple Family | | | | | | | | UPZ ¹¹ | P | | | | | P | P | P | UPP | P | P | | | | 3.5.1.C |
| Single Family Attached | | UPZ | UPZ | | | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | P | UPZ | UPZ | | | P | P | P | UPP | P | P | | | | 3.5.1.D |
| Single F a mily Detached | P | P | P | P | P | P | P | P | P | P | P | UPP | | P | UPM | P | UPP | | | | | | 3.5.1.E |
| Mobile/Mfg. Home | P | P | P | P | P | P | P | UPM | UPM | P | UPM | UPP | | | | | | | | | | | 3.5.1.G |

¹⁰ In the RD-5 and RD-7 zoning districts, permitted subject to issuance of a conditional use permit by the Zoning Administrator; in the RD-10 zoning district, the use is permitted by right. Permitted by right in any of the residential zones listed in this category for corner lots.

¹¹ Use is conditionally permitted, as noted, only in the RD-10 Zoning district. Not permitted in the other referenced zoning districts.

Table 43: Zoning Code Table 3.1: Allowed Uses

| KEY | | | | UPZ=Conditional Use Permit by the Zoning Administrator | | | | UPB=Conditional Use Permit by the Board of Supervisors | | | | | | | | Grey Boxes=Refer to Applicable Use Standards in Sections Identified | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|--|------------|-------------|------------|--|---------------------|------|------------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|---|------------|-----|-----|------------|-----|-----|----------|
| P=Permitted Primary Use | | | | UPP=Conditional Use Permit by the Planning Commission | | | | A=Permitted Accessory Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UPM=Minor Use Permit | | | | | | | | TUZ=Temporary Use Permit by the Zoning Administrator | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zoning Districts | Agricultural | | | Agricultural Residential | | Residential | | | | | Recreation | | | Mixed Use | | | Commercial | | | Industrial | | | Use Std. |
| Use, Service, or Facility | AG-20 through AG-160 | UR | IR | AR-10, AR-5 | AR-2, AR-1 | RD-1, RD-2 | RD-3, RD-4 | RD-5, RD-7, RD-10 | RD-15 through RD-40 | RM-2 | RR | O | C-O | NMC | CMC | CMZ | BP | LC | GC | MP | M-1 | M-2 | |
| Mobile Home Park | | | | | | | | | | P | | | UPZ | | | | UPP | UPP | | | | | 3.5.1.H |
| Res. Care Home ¹ | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | UPZ | UPM | UPM | UPZ | UPP | UPZ | P | P | P | P | P | P | | | | 3.5.1.I |
| Condo Conversions | | | | | | UPP | UPP | UPP | UPP | UPP | | | | UPP | UPP | UPP | UPP | UPP | UPP | | | | 3.5.1.J |
| Emergency Shelter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | P | | P | | 3.5.2.A |
| Farm Worker Housing | P | P | P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3.5.2.B |
| Single Room Occ. Unit | | | | | | | | | P | | | | | | | | | | P | | | | 3.5.2.C |
| Acc. Dwelling Unit/Junior | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | UPP | | A | A | A | UPP | A | A | | | | 3.9.3D |

Allowed in multifamily and RM-2 zones only if developed as a duplex or halfplex.

Permit Processing Times

The time it takes to review and approve a residential development proposal can increase the cost of construction due to inflation and the developer's carrying costs during this period. The County has monitored the length of time it takes to process several different types of applications, from General Plan amendments to use permits. Table 44 provides an estimated time for completion for these types of applications.

The County must comply with procedural requirements set forth in State law for environmental review, subdivision review, and planning actions, including General Plan amendments, rezoning, and use permits. State law establishes hearing requirements, review periods, public notification, and time limits for various actions with which local governments must comply. The requirements are not only mandatory but must be completed in a specific order.

The County has adopted several strategies to reduce approval times for residential development proposals and the impact that lengthy approval processes have on development costs. These strategies include using administrative review and approval for certain types of development proposals, permitting multifamily and special needs housing by right (without a conditional use permit) in specified zones, adopting specific conditional use permit criteria to reduce uncertainty in the decision-making process, and establishing the Project Review Committee to identify issues early in the process (described in more detail below).

| Table 44: PLANNING APPLICATION PROCESSING TIMES | |
|---|--|
| Application | Estimated Time for Completion¹ |
| General Plan Amendment – Board of Supervisors | 18-24 months |
| Community Plan Amendment and Rezone – Board of Supervisors | 12-24 months |
| Rezone – Board of Supervisors | 12-24 months |
| Tentative Subdivision Map – Planning Commission | 6-12 months |
| Parcel Map – Subdivision Review Committee | 4-8 months |
| Conditional Use Permit – Planning Commission | 8-12 months |
| Conditional Use Permit – Zoning Administrator | 6-10 months |
| Special Development Permit – Zoning Administrator | 5-10 months |
| Design Review – Planning Commission | 4-6 months |
| Design Review – Major | 90 days |
| Design Review – Minor | 60 days |
| ¹ Timelines are estimated from date of application submittal to the date of the final hearing authority's action on an entitlement request | |

Source: Office of Planning and Environmental Review staff, 2020

To minimize disruptions in the project review process, staff works with the applicant to achieve a completed application that conforms to the various procedural, design, and zoning requirements. Processing times vary depending on the size and complexity of the project, the completeness of the application, and the conformance of the project to the Zoning Code requirements. This process often takes place before the formal submittal of an application and review period begins.

Permit and Entitlement Process for Typical Residential Projects

Design Review is required for residential subdivisions and lot division requests over a certain size as described in County Zoning Code Section 6.3.2. Design Review is processed concurrent with the subdivision process. Design review is not typically required for new homes on existing lots and in most cases, the construction of a single-family residence is only subject to the issuance of a building permit provided the zoning and parcels are in place. However, there are certain limited areas in the County and circumstances where an applicant will have to attain additional entitlements, such as a use permit, or undergo a staff-level design review. This raises the cost of development for the applicant but not to a significant degree. For example, an applicant constructing a residence in the Parkway Corridor Combing Zone that is visible from the American River Parkway but outside of an erosion zone will be required to pay fees for a staff level design review, which is relatively inexpensive compared to discretionary entitlements (Table 42) and usually takes 1 to 3 months to complete.

Multifamily projects are typically subject to a design review using the adopted Multifamily Design Guidelines, and issuance of building permits if the zoning is in place. Projects that have 150 units or less are required to attain a “staff level” design review while projects comprising more than 150 units are subject to the approval of a non-discretionary design review by the Planning Commission. A staff level design review takes significantly less time and money to attain than that reviewed by the Planning Commission.

Pursuant to the Interim Accessory Dwelling Unit Standards, ADUs and JADUs that comply with development standards are allowed by right in most zones in Sacramento County (Table 43). ADUs and JADUs that do not meet required development standards must request deviations through the Special Development Permit process. This process is described in more detail below.

Project applications are initially reviewed by the Project Review Committee (PRC), which can identify potential problems early in the development process. Design review may initially increase the entitlement processing time for a multifamily project but the potential improvement of the project’s design increases public acceptance and reduces the length of the public hearing process. Staff gives priority and special handling to affordable housing projects in order to meet funding deadlines.

Project Review Committee

The purpose of the Project Review Committee (PRC) is to reduce permit processing times for residential and nonresidential projects. The PRC meets to identify issues early in the entitlement process. This committee is comprised of representatives from County departments and outside agencies involved in the development process. There are three components to PRC review: initial review to identify any potential problems, review of conditions of approval, and troubleshooting when needed.

Community Planning Advisory Councils (CPACs)

The County established CPACs to facilitate and invite direct citizen participation in the planning process. The councils provide a forum for the review of proposed amendments to plans, zoning matters and use permits. The council’s 14 geographical areas of responsibility correspond to the community planning areas established by the County. CPAC review is advisory only, and the County tries to reduce additional delay by scheduling the CPAC review concurrently with staff review of applications.

In summer 2020, the Board of Supervisors approved amendments to the CPAC process in order to streamline development approvals, reduce unnecessary continuances, comply with requirements of SB 330 allowing no more than five hearings for housing developments, and to provide more certainty to developers, while still maintaining direct citizen involvement. The major components of the process improvements include that CPACs cannot continue housing projects but must act upon them in one CPAC meeting and projects are no longer automatically bumped to a higher hearing authority when a CPAC recommends denial. This allows a controversial housing project to remain at the original hearing authority.

Conditional Use Permits

Conditional Use Permits (CUP’s) are required to insure the proper integration of uses that may be suitable only in certain locations or zoning districts because of their special nature and/or potential for becoming

nuisances. Certain uses may also only be suitable when they can be controlled or designed in a particular manner. Details regarding permitted and conditional residential uses for each zone are provided in Table 43. The County uses the CUP process to establish building standards and reasonable use conditions, not to regulate the users or occupants.

Potential concerns addressed by the use permit include factors such as noise, dust, dirt, litter, fumes, odors, vibrations, and traffic congestion. Conditional uses are those that need special review to determine their compatibility with the surrounding area and to establish special conditions to maintain harmony with the neighborhood.

The County's CUP process gives three different entities decision-making responsibility over CUP applications: the Zoning Administrator, the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. As projects become more complex and controversial, they are heard by the Planning Commission and/or Board of Supervisors.

The County may require guarantees in the form of bonds, cash deposits or other evidence of good faith to secure compliance with imposed conditions. Such conditions may include but are not limited to:

- dedication of right-of-ways;
- improvements of vehicle access to the subject property to County standards;
- regulation of the placement of the use or building on the subject property;
- regulation of height, number of stories;
- regulation of the nature, hours of operation, extent of use; and
- regulation of landscaping for the protection of adjoining and nearby properties.

Special Development Permits

The intent of a Special Development Permit is to balance opportunities for greater creativity and flexibility in design while still providing adequate protection of the environment and the health, safety and comfort of local residents. A Special Development Permit may be issued to: 1) encourage a creative and more efficient approach to the use of a specific area of land, 2) maximize the choice in the type of environment available to residents in the unincorporated County, 3) encourage more efficient allocation and maintenance of private open space by redistributing overall density, and 4) provide economy in housing opportunities.

The Zoning Administrator typically hears applications for Special Development Permits unless the project has bundled other entitlements requiring a higher hearing authority. However, the County is considering reducing the hearing authority for certain projects through the creation of a staff level Special Development Permit for multifamily, duplex/halfplex, and single-family projects which deviate from development standards but do not otherwise require an entitlement. This work is being accomplished through the County's SB 2 Planning Grants Program.

Density Bonus

Sacramento County Zoning Code Section 6.5.4 incorporates the State Density Bonus Law. State Density Bonus Law incentivizes affordable housing development by allowing projects to exceed maximum residential densities and by providing waivers from development standards. AB 1763 (2019) made significant changes to State Density Bonus Law. The changes include an increased density bonus allowance; changes to housing for veterans, foster youth, people experiencing homelessness, and college students; and inclusion of a waiver of the maximum density if the project meets certain criteria.

Zoning Code Section 6.5.5 includes provisions for the Housing Incentive Program (HIP), developed as a part of the previous 2013-2021 Housing Element. HIP provides density bonus incentives to multifamily developments that include units for individuals with special needs such as large households, people with disabilities, seniors, low-income households, and veterans. New developments of at least five housing units in areas zoned RD-20 and above or commercial within the unincorporated County may be eligible for a density bonus of up to 15 percent and a waiver of one multifamily development standard.

To comply with changes to State Density Bonus law, the County must amend Zoning Code Section 6.5.4. The County is also considering amendments to HIP to provide additional incentives for housing developers. These actions are included as program E4 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element.

Design Review

The Design Review Administrator (DRA) and the Design Review Advisory Committee (DRAC) review projects for conformance with Design Guidelines. The DRA review and approves staff-level non-discretionary Design Reviews while the DRAC reviews discretionary projects and provides the final hearing authority with a recommendation. Applicants whose project require a staff-level non-discretionary design review may first meet with the DRA in a pre-application meeting. After this meeting, the applicant submits application to PER for processing. The DRA reviews and approves the project's conformance with the Countywide Design Guidelines. For discretionary projects, the DRAC review occurs during the normal project review process. The DRAC prepares conformance recommendations that are included in the project staff report to the hearing authority, where the entitlements are considered as well as the design review.

Although a fee is charged for design review and design review requirements include some general standards for materials and construction quality that have the potential to increase costs, the improvement of the project's design increases public acceptance and may reduce the length of the public hearing process. In addition, as part of work related to the SB 2 Planning Grant Program, the County is currently revising the design guidelines to eliminate subjectivity and make them more objective in order to maximize developer certainty and minimize project delays.

Streamlined Approval for Affordable Housing

Sacramento County offers a streamlined approval process for affordable housing consistent with Government Code §65913.4 (SB 35, 2017). SB 35 requires cities and counties to streamline review and approval of eligible affordable housing projects by providing a ministerial approval process and exempting such projects from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). This process does not allow discretionary public hearings; only design review or public oversight is allowed, which must be objective and strictly focused on assessing compliance with criteria required for streamlined projects as well as objective design review of the project.

Applicants intending to invoke SB 35 streamlining and ministerial approval processes must first submit a SB 35 Application Form – Preliminary SB 35 Eligibility Determination to PER along with supporting documentation, as required. If the project is determined to be eligible for SB 35 streamlining, County staff will provide an approval letter within seven days and a development application can then be filed for formal processing. Once the full development proposal is filed, PER will approve or deny the project within 90 days after application submittal for projects of 150 or fewer units, or within 180 days for larger projects.

Sacramento County also offers a Preliminary Application Form for applicants seeking vesting rights for housing developments pursuant to SB 330 (2019). After submitting their Preliminary Application and filing fee, applicants have 180 calendar days to submit a complete planning entitlement application, or the Preliminary Application will expire. The County charges a planning review fee of \$165.11 for each SB 330 Preliminary Application Form.

NONGOVERNMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

This section describes nongovernmental constraints including land costs, construction costs, availability of financing, requests to develop housing at densities below that anticipated in the housing element, the length of time between approval and submittal of application for building permits, and public concerns.

LAND COSTS AND CONSTRUCTION COSTS

A study by the Turner Center for Housing Innovation¹² analyzed the costs of developing a market-rate, mid-rise, rental apartment building prototype in three different areas of California: the East Bay, the South Bay, and Sacramento. The Turner Center study determined land cost by using comparable sales of land in each of the three markets. According to the study, in Sacramento, the prototype was significantly less expensive due to lower construction and land costs.

Construction costs include labor, materials, and mechanical systems. These are also referred to as hard construction costs and they are the most significant component of any housing development. Single-story, wood-framed structures are the least costly to build, whereas multi-story steel-reinforced, poured-in-place concrete structures are the most expensive. According to the Turner Center study, the hard costs in the East Bay were the highest at \$46,169,455 (\$384,745 per unit). In the South Bay, the hard costs were similarly high at \$42,040,091 (\$350,334 per unit). In Sacramento, the hard costs were the lowest at \$29,599,500 (\$246,662 per unit).

The land costs are typically determined by the amount of funds that a developer has left over after accounting for their hard and soft costs, or costs associated with design. This cost includes costs associated with closing on the land, as well as due diligence reports. In the East Bay, the land cost for the prototype was the highest at \$7,858,500 (\$65,488 per unit). In the South Bay, the land cost was similarly high at \$6,045,000 (\$50,375 per unit). In Sacramento, the land cost was the lowest at \$4,231,500 (\$35,263 per unit).

While land and construction costs in the Sacramento area are lower than areas like the Bay Area and Los Angeles, the cost to build in California overall is high and continues to increase. According to a report on the cost to develop apartment buildings by the Turner Center¹³, the per-square-foot hard costs for constructing multifamily housing in California increased by \$44 between 2008-2019 and 2018, a 25 percent increase.

This increase is due, in part, to increasing costs of materials and labor trends. The increased cost of material are due to several factors, including global trade patterns and federal policy decisions, such as tariffs, as well as state and local regulations, such as building codes. In addition, the supply of construction workers in California has not kept up with the demand for construction since the recession. This shortage leads to increased labor costs and project delays, in instances where workers are either not available or leave during a job to take on a more profitable project.

AVAILABILITY OF FINANCING

The availability of financing is a critical factor that can influence the cost and supply of housing. Housing developments require capital used by developers for initial site preparation and construction and capital used by homeowners and investors to finance the purchase of units. Financing is largely impacted by interest rates. Small fluctuations in interest rates can dramatically influence the ability to qualify for a loan.

Mortgage interest rates are at historically low levels, around 3 percent for a 30-year fixed mortgage¹⁴. Those households that have not been monetarily impacted by the coronavirus pandemic may find that the current low rates make it easier to finance home purchases. Rates are expected to remain low in the coming

¹² Garcia, David. Turner Center for Housing Innovation, August 2019, *Making It Pencil: The Math Behind Housing Development*. <http://turnercenter.berkeley.edu/>.

¹³ Raetz, Hayley, et al. Turner Center for Housing Innovation, March 2020, *The Hard Costs of Construction: Recent Trends in Labor and Materials Costs for Apartment Buildings in California*, turnercenter.berkeley.edu/research-and-policy/hard-construction-costs-apartments-california/.

¹⁴ Bankrate, LLC. California Mortgage Interest Rates. <https://www.bankrate.com/mortgages/mortgage-rates/california/>. October 9, 2020.

years. While interest rates for development and construction are generally higher than interest rates for home purchase, financing for new construction is generally available at reasonable rates. However, the economic uncertainty resulting from the coronavirus pandemic may have lasting effects on financing throughout the planning period. Lenders may scrutinize applicants more closely than in the past, reducing the availability for financing despite affordable rates.

AB 879 REQUIREMENTS

AB 879 (2017) requires housing elements to include additional analysis of nongovernmental impediments such as the requests to develop housing at densities below that anticipated in the housing element and the length of time between approval and submittal of application for building permits.

Several large-scale residential projects are detailed in below in order to illustrate the length of time between approval and building permit application submittal. For each project listed below, the applicant waited one year or more after receiving project entitlements to apply for the first building permit for the development.

During the 2013-2021 planning period, the County received six requests to develop non-residential uses on commercially zoned sites that were included in the inventory. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes programs A6 and B7, which will incentivize residential development on commercially zoned properties and reduce the risk that commercial sites on the County's lower-income inventory develop with nonresidential uses.

The County also received two requests to develop at a lower density on a residentially zoned site in the inventory during the planning period. The Vineyard Creek Tentative Subdivision Map project is located in the Vineyard Community on a site identified in the previous Housing Element Land Inventory. The previous Housing Element anticipated that the site would develop at a density of 20 dwelling units per acre and could provide 128 units affordable to lower-income households. The developer requested to develop at 12.5 dwelling unit per acre instead, or 79 single-family units on small lots. County staff recommended denial of the project but the County Planning Commission approved the project based on the applicant's argument that it provided a "missing middle" product not otherwise available in the immediate area. The other request to develop at a lower density was for the Tierra del Sol project in the Antelope Community. The previous Housing Element anticipated that the site could provide 86 units affordable to lower-income households but the developer requested to develop 73 single-family townhomes, another "missing middle" product type. Because there were only a few projects during the planning period that requested to develop at a lower density than anticipated in the previous Housing Element, these requests do not constitute a barrier to housing production.

| Table 45: Length of Time Between Entitlement Approval and Building Permit Submittal | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Project Name | # Single-Family Units | # Multifamily Units | Date Entitlement Approved | Date Design Review Approved | Date of 1st Building Permit Application | Length of Time |
| The Cottages at Sunset | 15 | | 6/09/2015 | 9/2/2019 | 7/15/2019 | 4 years, 1 month |
| Barrett Ranch East | 482 | 196 | 6/06/2017 | 6/06/2017 | 9/14/2020 | 3 years, 3 months |
| Vineyard Creek Tentative Subdivision Map | 79 | | 11/13/2017 | 11/13/2017 | 11/19/2018 | 1 year |

PUBLIC CONCERNS

Affordable housing projects, as with other land use entitlement projects, are typically subject to review by citizen boards or public officials during hearings for project approvals. Public concerns about higher density and affordable housing, often referred to as a “Not in My Backyard” or NIMBYism, can lead to project denials or costly delays. Development in general evokes fears of more congested streets and a rising need for new infrastructure facilities such as schools. In particular, affordable housing development can evoke fears of decreased property values and increased crime rates and may act as a constraint to the provision of some types of housing. Empirical evidence suggests that affordable housing developments that are designed and managed well do not contribute to increases in crime rates or traffic or decreased in property values. The public perception remains, however, and must be addressed each time a new proposal for lower income housing is submitted to the County.

The County will seek to balance the need for public participation with its potential to affect housing development and, as discussed in the Processing and Permitting Procedures Section, has modified CPAC procedures to minimize excessive hearings and to minimize delays. In addition, the County will prepare an outreach strategy to educate members of the public and hearing bodies about affordable housing and emergency housing to increase community support for affordable housing. This action is included as program B5 in the Housing Action Plan Chapter of this Housing Element.

CHAPTER 8: LAND INVENTORY

The provision of an adequate supply of suitable sites for the development of a range of housing that varies sufficiently in terms of cost, design, size, location, and tenure to meet the housing needs of all segments of the County's population is one of the seven goals of this Housing Element. Pursuant to State housing element law (California Government Code Section 65583 and 65583.2), cities and counties are required to prepare a parcel-specific inventory of appropriately zoned, available and suitable sites that can provide realistic opportunities for the provision of housing for all income levels.

Sacramento County has assembled this sites inventory as mandated by state law to determine the number of new housing units the County can accommodate in the unincorporated area during the RHNA Projection Period of June 30, 2021 to August 31, 2029. The chapter also explains how the sites inventory, along with a rezone program, will accommodate the County's allocation of the region's housing needs or Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA).

REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ALLOCATION

The RHNA for the planning period is established for each region in the state by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), as detailed in the Housing Needs Assessment Chapter.

The overall allocation is divided into four income categories:

- Very low-income: up to 50 percent of median countywide income, which also includes extremely low-income at less than 30 percent of median countywide income (Health and Safety Code section 50105);
- Low-income: 50 to 80 percent of median countywide income (Health and Safety Code section 50079.5);
- Moderate-income: 80 to 120 percent of median countywide income (Health and Safety Code section 50093); and
- Above moderate-income: over 120 percent of median countywide income.

Due to unmet needs for housing, the State and Regional housing projections are substantially higher than in prior periods. The 2021-2029 RHNA for unincorporated Sacramento County is 21,272 new units, which is an increase of 7,428 units over the previous 2013-2021 planning period of 13,844 units. As a percentage of the 153,512 units in the SACOG region, Sacramento County is assigned approximately 14 percent of units. The unincorporated Sacramento County allocation is a one-percent increase from its regional share in the prior cycle. And, while the overall number of units allocated to the County is substantially increased (including the total number of affordable units needed), the share of very low and low income units decreased by 5.1 percent from 38.7 to 33.6 percent from the previous cycle allocation.

The countywide median income for Sacramento County was \$86,300 per year for a family of four in 2020 according to the California Department of Housing and Community Development. Based on the income definitions, Table 46 summarizes the income ranges for a 4-person household in each income category along with the share of the County's RHNA by income category:

**Table 46: SACOG ⁽¹⁾ Regional Housing Needs Allocation Summary, Unincorporated Sacramento County
Projected Needs Between 2021 and 2029**

| Income Category⁽³⁾ | Income Level ⁽²⁾ | RHNA |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Extremely Low | \$0 - \$25,900 | 4,466 |
| Very Low | 25,901 - \$43,150 | |
| Low | \$43,151 - \$69,050 | 2,692 |
| Moderate | \$69,051 - \$103,550 | 4,186 |
| Above Moderate | \$103,550+ | 9,928 |
| Total | | 21,272 |

Source: Sacramento County Planning and Environmental Review, October, 2020.

(1) Sacramento Area Council of Governments RHNA, March 19, 2020.

(2) California Department of Housing and Community Development 2020 State Income Limits
<https://www.hcd.ca.gov/grants-funding/income-limits/state-and-federal-income-limits/docs/Income-Limits-2020.pdf>

(3) Extremely Low, Very Low and Low income categories are combined into Lower-Income Category or sites.

SITES INVENTORY SUMMARY AND COMPARISON TO RHNA

Government Code Sections 65583 and 65583.2 require a parcel-specific inventory of appropriately zoned, available and suitable sites to provide opportunities for the provision of housing to all income segments within the community. The sites inventory addresses how the County can meet projected housing needs. While the inventory analyzes sites available for the construction of new housing at all income levels, particular focus and analysis is done to identify sites available at the lower income categories. Government Code Section 65583.2(c)(3) allows jurisdictions to use higher density zoning as a proxy for lower income affordability. Sites that are zoned to allow sufficient density can be assumed to accommodate affordable housing. Sacramento County is a metropolitan county with a “default density” of at least 30 units per acre for lower-income sites. Zoning designations allowing at least 30 units have appropriate zoning for lower-income sites and even though the RHNA has assigned units to very low- and low-income categories for the purposes of identifying sites these income categories are classified as “lower income sites”.

The subsequent section on Methodology provides further details.

The County's evaluation of adequate sites begins with a listing of individual sites by General Plan designation and zoning. The suitability analysis demonstrates these sites are currently available and unconstrained to provide development opportunities prior to 2029. To demonstrate the development viability of the sites, the analysis addresses the following:

- Sites have appropriate zoning.
- Development standards do not place an undue impact on projected development capacity and affordability.
- Existing constraints, including any known environmental issues, have been taken into consideration.
- Public services will be available to allow development within the planning period.

The analysis concludes that a total of 11,760.07 acres are available for residential development in the unincorporated County. These sites, along with the project construction of ADUs and JADUs, can accommodate 23,653 new housing units during the planning period. Table 47 summarizes the results of the inventory and breaks the inventory down by income category. The table shows a deficit of 2,884 units in the Lower-Income category that will be addressed by identified programs. The Lower-Income category includes low-income (LI), very low-income (VLI), and extremely low-income (ELI) levels.

Table 47: Inventory, Projected Supply and Oversupply/Shortfall as Compared to the 2021-2029 RHNA(1)

| Income Category | RHNA (units) | Projected Supply (units) ⁽²⁾ | ADUs (units) | <i>Vacant & Underutilized Sites (acres)</i> | Oversupply /Shortfall (units) ⁽²⁾ |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|--|---|
| Lower-Income | 7,158 | 4,050 | 224 | 580.43 | - 2,884 |
| Moderate | 4,186 | 7,486 | 172 | 1,311.66 | + 3,472 |
| Above Moderate | 9,928 | 12,117 | 4 | 9,867.98 | + 2,189 |
| Total | 21,272 | 23,653 | 400 | 11,760.07 | 2,777 |

Source: Sacramento County Planning and Environmental Review data current as of October, 2020.

(1) Sacramento Area Council of Governments RHNA dated March 19, 2020.

(2) Oversupply is determined by subtracting the RHNA unit requirement from the Projected Supply.

Breakdown of Inventory Summary by Income Category

A key component of the analysis is correlating the inventory with the projection of what units may be built at the various income categories. Sacramento County has historically had an excess inventory of land suitable for construction of units at the Above Moderate income level with the greatest challenge identifying sites at the lower income categories. Table 48 identifies income levels with the corresponding Zoning District(s) that can accommodate the units. Table 48 through Table 51 summarize the supply by income levels based on information in the Appendices.

Table 48: Zoning Districts by Income Category

| Income Level | Zoning District |
|---------------------|---|
| Lower-Income | RD-30, RD-40 LC, SC, GC, TC Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) Master Plans and Special Planning Areas (SPAs) |
| Moderate | RD-10, RD-15, RD-20, RD-25, RD-30, RD-40, RM ADUs |
| Above Moderate | AR-1, A-2, AR-2, A-5, AR-5, A-10, AR-10, RD-1, RD-2, RD-3, RD-4, RD-5, RD-7, RE-2, R-1A, B ADUs |

| Table 49: Lower-Income (ELI, VLI and LI) Housing Development Potential | | | | |
|--|----------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Zoning Category | Density (units/acre) | Projected Supply (units) ⁽¹⁾ | Vacant Land (acres) | Percent of Total Lower Income Acres |
| RD-30 | 18-33 | 539 | 35.96 | 6.0 |
| RD-40 | 30-44 | 230 | 7.95 | 1.2 |
| Commercial ⁽²⁾ | 35-46 | 934 | 22.09 | 3.8 |
| ADUs | N/A | 224 | N/A | N/A |
| Master Plans, SPA's and Large and Underutilized Parcels | 33-110 | 2,397 | 521.98 | 89.0 |
| | Total Available | 4,324 | 580.43 | 100 percent |
| | Demand | 7,158 | | |
| | Deficit | - 2,884 | | |

Source: Sacramento County Office of Planning and Environmental Review, 2020

(1) Units reflect historical development patterns within the unincorporated County.

(2) Projected buildout calculated at 25% of total site .

Source: Sacramento County Office of Planning and Environmental Review, 2020

| Table 50: Moderate Income Housing Development Potential | | | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Zoning Category | Density (units/acre) | Projected Supply (Units) | Vacant Land (acres) |
| RD-10 | 10 | 1,801 | 207.92 |
| RD-15 | 15 | 1,122 | 82.81 |
| RD-20 | 20 | 1,326 | 713.87 |
| RD-25 | 25 | 553 | 28.93 |
| RD-30 | 30 | 298 | 27.5 |
| RD-40 | 40 | 29 | 1.91 |
| RM | 8.5 | 100 | 13.92 |
| ADUs | N/A | 172 | N/A |
| Previous Inventory RD-20 and 25 | 20 and 25 | 2,257 | 237.25 |
| | Total Available | 7,658 | 1,321.66 |
| | RHNA | 4,186 | |
| | Oversupply | + 3,472 | |

| Table 51: Above Moderate Income Housing Development Potential | | | |
|---|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Zoning Category | Density | Projected Supply (units) | Vacant Land (acres) |
| AR-1 | 1 ac./unit | 282 | 249.03 |

| | | | |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|
| A-2, AR-2 | 2 ac./unit | 1,498 | 2,985.11 |
| A-5, AR-5 | 5 ac./unit | 447 | 2,212.86 |
| A-10, AR-10 | 10 ac./unit | 280 | 2022.51 |
| RD-1 | 1 unit/ac. | 27 | 21.24 |
| RD-2 | 2 units/ac. | 371 | 232.64 |
| RD-3 | 3 units/ac. | 202 | 75.05 |
| RD-4 | 4 units/ac. | 638 | 162.91 |
| RD-5 | 5 units/ac. | 6,010 | 1,517.71 |
| RD-7 | 7 units/ac. | 2,358 | 388.92 |
| ADUs | N/A | 4 | |
| | Total Available | 12,151 | 9,908.03 |
| | Demand | 9,928 | |
| | Oversupply | +2,189 | |

ADDRESSING A SHORTFALL – COUNTYWIDE REZONE PROGRAM

As shown in the previous tables, the County has sufficient residential capacity to accommodate its RHNA for moderate- and above-moderate income units but has a current shortfall of sites to accommodate its lower-income RHNA. The County only has appropriately-zoned sites to accommodate 4,324 lower-income units, compared to a RHNA of 7,158, a shortfall of 2,884 units.

- Sacramento County will accommodate an adequate inventory with the following mechanisms and programs:
- The inventory of vacant residentially and commercially zoned sites available for by right construction of new units by income category including some small and large parcels as documented with additional analysis;
- Inventory of non-vacant but underutilized sites available for by right construction of new units by income category;
- Estimating the construction of Accessory Dwelling Units;
- Programs to increase the vacant land inventory including:
 - Program A1 to identify and rezone at least 165 acres of land to allow multifamily residential uses by-right, at a minimum density of 20 units per acre and a maximum density that allows at least 30 units per acre by May 2024.
 - Program A2 to amend the Zoning Code to ensure that developments in which 20 percent or more of the units are affordable to lower income households are allowed by-right on vacant sites identified in the 4th and 5th cycle Housing Elements and non-vacant sites identified in the 5th Cycle Housing Element, even if the development exceeds 150 units by May 2024.

The County will be required to rezone a minimum of 142 acres to meet its RHNA obligation for 2,884 lower income units. When identifying rezone sites, preference will be given to properties in moderate and high resource areas, pursuant to AB 686. Additionally, sites that are between 0.85 and 10 acres; that are along transit routes or major roadway corridors and in proximity to commercial and employment centers; and that are currently served by both public water and sewer service or ability to hook up to services will be given priority for rezoning to accommodate affordable housing.

The County is also obligated to maintain adequate sites throughout the RHNA projection period through a provision in State law called “no net loss.” If sites that are identified in the inventory as meeting the lower-income RHNA get built with market rate development, those sites are essentially lost from the lower-income

sites inventory. State law mandates that the County would then need to identify a replacement site within 180 days. HCD recommends identifying additional capacity of 15-30 percent beyond the lower-income RHNA in order to create a buffer to deal with no-net-loss requirements. As part of the rezone effort, the County may decide to rezone additional sites beyond those needed to meet the RHNA in order to provide a buffer of lower-income sites in the event that sites are lost from the lower-income sites inventory. A 15 percent buffer will increase the rezone obligation to 164 acres.

Some of the sites that will likely be rezoned by program A1 to accommodate the lower-income RHNA are currently counted in sites inventory as moderate-income sites. As the rezone program is implemented and sites are reclassified as lower-income, the County will also ensure there remains adequate capacity to accommodate the moderate-income RHNA.

Rezone Program/Candidate Rezone Sites

Sacramento County has long relied primarily on zoning at RD-20, or 20 units per acre, combined with a local Housing Incentive Program to achieve actual densities above 20 units per acre, for the vast majority of the County's inventory to meet the lower income RHNA requirements. Many affordable projects in the County continue to occur on sites with this zoned density. However, State requirements for default densities do not allow the County to rely upon what historically has been the inventory to meet lower income requirements. This leaves the County with a substantial deficiency of 2,884 units in the lower-income inventory. Therefore, sites in the RD-20 and RD-25 category are now shown on the moderate-income level inventory. However, the County intends to return to relying on this pool of sites through Program A-1 and is showing these sites as candidate sites for the Rezone Program to increase their density to meet State requirements for density (30 units per acre) to allow them to be classified as available as affordable sites. These sites are identified in Tables C-15, C-16, C-17, C-22, and C-23 of Appendix C as candidate rezone sites. This would create a realistic potential for 3,001 units, which represents a surplus of 167 units. Although these sites were utilized in previous cycles, the County still considers them viable sites to provide housing to lower-income categories, as these sites do meet the AB-1397 criteria. Specifically, these sites are located along major roadways with public transportation and will have access to infrastructure during the planning period.

The County is committed to a more thorough analysis of vacant and underutilized sites as identified in Program A1 to rezone and create a potential surplus of sites. While these additional rezone sites have not been identified, the rezone will focus on identifying sites in the Communities of Antelope, Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms, Cordova, Fair Oaks, and Orangevale to affirmatively further fair housing that complies with the AB-1397 criteria.

STATE REQUIREMENTS FOR ADEQUATE SITES

Government Code Section 65583.2(c)(3) allows jurisdictions to use higher density zoning as a proxy for lower-income affordability. Sites that are zoned to allow sufficient density can be assumed to accommodate affordable housing. Sacramento County is considered a metropolitan county with a "default density" of at least 30 units per acre for lower-income sites. This corresponds to the Residential 30 and 40 (RD-30 and RD-40) Zoning Districts, which allow a maximum of 30 and 40 units per acre, respectively and the County's commercial districts that also allow 30 units per acre by right.

Historically, Sacramento County has relied substantially on sites zoned RD-20 and RD-25 to accommodate its lower-income RHNA as the majority of the County's multi-family zoned land is zoned RD-20. In fact, many recent and pending affordable housing projects are on sites zoned RD-20. Local and State density bonus provisions allow projects to exceed 20 units per acre. However, as the State will no longer allow this assumption, the County's lower-income inventory will have a substantial deficit until the County can achieve its rezone program. Sites in the RD-20 and RD-25 category are included in the Moderate Income inventory; however, as part of Program A-1, the Countywide Rezone Program, the County will ensure that sufficient sites at the default density will be made available with associated adjustments in the assumptions about which sites are available at the lower and moderate levels.

In addition to ensuring that minimum density requirements are met, there are additional State requirements for the inventory. In order to comply with these requirements, including AB-1397, affordable housing/higher density residential sites selected for inclusion in the affordable inventory were screened using the criteria, and a majority of the sites not meeting this criteria were eliminated from inclusion in the affordable inventory:

- Size: greater than ½ acre but less than 10 acres;
- Vacant sites are preferred; although pursuant to General Plan policies LU-6 and LU-11, the County may also consider converting underutilized portions of commercial projects or office parks to higher density residential uses in order to create a mixed use development;
- Transit stops (existing or proposed) should be located within 1/4 mile from the project site (preferably with headways of less than 30 minutes);
- Proximity to grocery stores and other services within 1 mile of project site;
- Parks, opens space or other recreational amenities within 1 mile of project site;
- Currently served by both public water and sewer service or ability to hook up to services; and,
- Sites that are not overly constrained by the following: natural resources such as wetlands, vernal pools, or a large number of Oak trees or other native trees; overhead power lines, ditches, or utility easements that substantially impact development on the site; or, have a significant portion of the site located within a floodplain.

The inventory and analysis must also address Fair Housing including sites located in moderate and high resource opportunity areas for affordable multi-family development.

Finally, the inventory must conduct an additional analysis if using sites from prior (the 4th and 5th cycle) Housing Elements. In order to continue to utilize these sites toward the lower-income inventory within the Housing Element period, the County has committed to Program A2 in the Housing Action Plan chapter.

METHODOLOGY FOR THE LAND INVENTORY AND CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Government Code Section 65583.2(c) requires local governments to calculate the projected residential development capacity of the sites identified in the Housing Element that can be realistically achieved. Appendix C identifies each site that is available and suitable for development and estimates the residential units that can be constructed.

This discussion includes sections addressing the Affordable Sites Analysis, the Moderate and Above Moderate Income Sites, Accessory Dwelling Units, Infrastructure Availability, Environmental Constraints and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing/Opportunity Sites.

AFFORDABLE SITES ANALYSIS

Realistic Capacity

For the sites listed as available at affordable levels, a site-by-site capacity analysis was conducted. This analysis estimates the realistic development capacity for each site; typical densities of existing or approved residential developments at a similar affordability levels; and, the current or planned availability and accessibility of sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities. The analysis relied on aerial photography, Google maps, and the Sacramento County Parcel Viewer GIS program to determine property lines, elevation contours, environmental constraints, and easements.

Major easements, environmental constraints, and multi-family setbacks were subtracted from the gross acreage of each parcel to provide a net or developable acreage. The net acreage is identified in the inventory and multiplied by the zoning density without the Housing Incentive Program (HIP). This provides a realistic estimate of the development capacity for each site because it is calculated based upon the constraints for each individual site. On the rare property with no constraints, multi-family setbacks were applied to the parcel to provide the net developable acreage.

The analysis also considered development capacity in the case where sites have non-typical development standards (e.g. local Special Planning Area or Neighborhood Preservation Area zoning) by apply the standards, densities, or coverage requirements specific to those cases. These sites are located in urban/infill areas where road capacity and infrastructure is available; therefore, no adjustments were needed to account for infrastructure availability.

The development capacity for the affordable inventory is calculated based on the net developable acreage of each site multiplied by the density. This is a realistic capacity because the affordable projects developed

in the 5th cycle Housing Element exceeded 100 percent of the density, by using the HIP Program and available density bonuses.

| Table 52: Capacity of Approved Affordable Multi-Family Projects | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------------------|
| Name | Year Issued Building Permit | Status | Total Units | Acres | Units/Acre | % of Max. Density |
| Anton Apartments | 2015 | Constructed | 148 | 5.16 | 28.7 | 143 |
| Mutual Housing on the Boulevard | N/A | Entitlement approved 9/11/2019 | 127 | 5.23 | 24 | 120 |
| Arbor Creek Senior Apartments | 2014 | Constructed | 60 | 2.25 | 26.6 | 133 |

Additionally, as discussed above, the inventory includes sites from the 4th and 5th cycle Housing Elements. In order to continue to utilize these sites toward the lower-income inventory within the Housing Element period, the County has committed to Program A2 in the Housing Action Plan chapter. This program requires the County to amend the Zoning Code by May 2024 to ensure that developments in which 20 percent or more of the units are affordable to lower income households are allowed by-right on vacant sites identified in the 4th and 5th cycle Housing Elements and non-vacant sites identified in the 5th Cycle Housing Element, even if the development exceeds 150 units.

Minimum Densities

By utilizing a minimum density calculation, the County acknowledges that it will ensure sites are built at a minimum residential density. Sacramento County General Policy LU-5 requires that residential projects meet an average overall density of 75% of zoned maximums, unless physical or environmental constraints make achieving the minimum densities impractical and the required no net loss findings under State law often require higher yields particularly for sites on the affordable inventory. Therefore, this policy is used to set the minimum density for sites on the inventory.

The previous Housing Element (2013-2021) created the Housing Incentive Program (HIP) to facilitate an increase in the actual density of projects. The HIP provides a by- right mechanism to increase density above the zoned maximum for the Residential 20, 25, 30 and 40 (RD-20, RD-25, RD-30, RD-40) Zoning Districts. HIP allows multifamily projects, in which 10 percent of the units will be set aside for lower income households or serve individuals/families with special housing needs, to increase densities by 15 percent in the Commercial zones and 10 percent in RD-25 through RD-40 Zoning Districts. This by right increase is in addition to density increases allowed under the County's density bonus ordinance (as required by Government Code Section 65915). Since the sites on the affordable inventory will accommodate the RHNA for lower-income households, these sites qualify for the HIP program and can receive a density increase over that allowed in the base Zoning District, thereby increasing the maximum density, resulting in a density range for sites on the affordable sites inventory.

An example of the by-right residential density increases pursuant to the HIP are as follows:

Table 53: Housing Incentive Program – Increase in Density by Zone

| Zone | Density Increase of 10% to 15% | Resulting Unit Increase |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| RD-20 ⁽¹⁾ | 23 du/ac | 3 |
| RD-25 ⁽¹⁾ | 27 du/ac | 2 |
| RD-30 | 33 du/ac | 3 |
| RD-40 | 44 du/ac | 4 |
| SC/LC, GC ⁽²⁾ | 33 or 46 du/ac | 3 or 6 |

Source: Sacramento County Planning and Environmental Review, 2020

- (1) The HIP permits increased density for these Zoning Districts; however, sites with this zoning are not included in the affordable sites inventory.
- (2) Commercially zoned sites assume a maximum density of either 30 or 40 dwelling units per acre depending on proximity to transit stops. HIP provides 15 percent density increase over the base.

Small Parcels (Less than one-half acre)

Government Code Section 65583.2 (c)(2)(A)(B) and (C) indicates that to include small parcels (less than one-half acre in size), there should be an opportunity to consolidate adjacent parcels to form sites larger than 0.5 acres. This was done for a small number of parcels in the prior planning period. For example, 043-0230-001 thru 004, 006 through 016 were merged and reconfigured and are now larger than 0.5 acres.

Two of these sites are carried forward into this inventory because common ownerships and zoning made it reasonable to assume that they could be consolidated with adjacent parcels and developed with multi-family densities. Specifically, 208-0142-022 is 0.38-acres and is adjacent to 208-0142-020 and which is a 1.93-acre parcel. Both parcels are currently on the inventory and the smaller parcel is necessary to provide access to the larger parcel.

A review of housing projects developed during the previous planning period indicates that there were several market rate multi-family projects that will consolidate multiple adjacent smaller parcels to develop multi-family units demonstrating that such consolidation is reasonable to assume.

A 45 unit multi-family development was approved on three parcels that are 0.29, 0.29, and 1.31-acres in size. In order for the construction of the proposed development as proposed consolidation of the existing parcels will need to occur.

The Housing Action Plan chapter includes program B11 to remove potential barriers to small parcel consolidations as a means to increase this type of development. The County is also considering updates to the multi-family development standards to increase the developable area of parcels. This is discussed in more detail in the Constraints Chapter of this element.

Commercial Properties for By Right Multifamily Housing

Some selected sites in the commercial zoning districts (LC, SC, GC and TC) are included in the affordable housing inventory as multi-family housing is permitted by-right in these zones. These sites are all greater than one acre and a conservative projection of 25 percent of the parcel acreage was assumed to calculate affordable residential capacity in recognition that commercial construction may also occur on these sites. This is a conservative estimate since the County's Zoning Code allows an entire site to be built with stand-alone multi-family developments and because other vacant commercial sites not included in the inventory could be used for affordable residential construction.

The current Zoning Code permits multi-family development in the Commercial (LC, SC, GC, and TC) zoning districts at an overall density of 30 dwelling units per acre. This meets the County's default density of 30 dwelling units per acre. Properties located within one-quarter mile of a transit stop may develop at a density of 40 dwelling units per acre. Additionally, the HIP provides a density increase allowance for residential projects within a commercial zoning district of 15 percent over the base project, resulting in a maximum density of 35 and 46 dwelling units per acre. Commercial properties identified for inclusion in the inventory are those that are most likely to develop with a residential component due to their location and size or past interest by a developer. The inventory specifically excluded commercial parcels where entitlement inquiries

or ownership lead County staff to conclude the parcels may develop exclusively with commercial uses. The inventory includes 22.09 acres of vacant LC, SC, GC, and TC zoned properties greater than one acre in size. These sites are viable candidates for multifamily development due to their proximity to other retail services and transit availability. Therefore, this inventory represents a reasonable assumption for residential development of commercially zoned parcels because it included the parcels most likely to develop residentially at a conservative estimate of 25 percent of the site, providing for the possibility for multiple permitted uses to develop on an inventory site.

The previous Housing Element assumed that 42 commercially zoned sites would develop with 526 units affordable to lower-income households. Of these, six sites (61 units) were lost to 100 percent commercial development and 1 site (12 units) developed as a mixed use development including affordable housing during the planning period. In addition, three sites were approved for affordable housing on commercially zoned sites that were not included in the affordable inventory. These units were on sites that developed 100 percent residentially and resulted in a total of 126 affordable units on commercial sites, resulting in a total of 138 units. While it is possible for commercially zoned sites to develop entirely with commercial uses, the prospects of 100 percent commercial projects are not likely to increase in the future due to declining retail trends and the impacts of COVID-19 on office use. County staff has seen an increase in requests for conversion of existing office buildings and new multi-family construction on Business and Professional Office (BP) zoned properties. While BP zoned properties are not currently included in the land inventory because they do not allow multi-family residential uses by-right, program B7 will leverage this trend and incentivize further residential development on these commercial sites. Program A2 will also incentivize development of affordable housing on commercial sites and remove potential barriers such as Use Permit requirements for multi-family developments that exceed 150 units. By assuming a 25 percent residential capacity, the commercial sites inventory contains a healthy buffer to accommodate the potential loss of residential capacity due to commercial development.

As shown in Table 54, the County has a documented record of approving affordable housing on commercially zoned parcels, further the entirety of each of these parcels is proposed to develop with residential uses.

| Table 54: Affordable Housing Projects Developed on Commercial Parcels | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Project | Zoning | Building Permit Issued | Status | Units | Acres |
| Courtyard Inn | North Watt SPA TOD Subdistrict 3 | Building Permit 2018 | Constructed | 92 | 4 |
| Victoria Park | LC (Limited Commercial) | Subdivision Map, Special Development Permit | Approved (2018) | 12 of 30 | 2.7 |
| Rashaad's Apartments | Folsom Blvd. SPA | Design Review | Approved (2020) | 7 of 59 | 2.2 |
| Saybrook | LC (Limited Commercial) | Design Review | Approved (2020) | 88 total <i>61 rehab & 27 new</i> | 4 |

Master Plan and Specific Plan Sites

The County has conducted a site analysis and adjusted assumptions to justify why certain sites in master plan areas that are currently on parcels at or larger than 10 acres are included in the affordable inventory and what assumption has been made about the site's capacity for affordable units. Nine sites are in locations where there is an adopted Master Plan, or Specific Plan that guarantees certain sites will be set aside for the construction of deed restricted affordable housing projects. Many of the Master Plan areas currently have parcels larger than 10-acres; however, subsequent large lot and subdivision maps will be processed achieving the proposed buildout at the identified density and parcel size in the adopted plan. Additionally, these Master Plans, including some with Development Agreement requirements, have identified the number of affordable units which are included in the inventory including commitments from developers to dedicate sites to the County or SHRA on the County's behalf in order to be built as affordable

housing sites. These sites are ensured to be built as affordable housing due to the commitments made in those master plans for site dedication or acquisition along with a commitment in the County's Affordable Housing Ordinance for 50% of collected Affordable Housing Fees to be spent in large developments (aka master plan areas).

General Assumptions

The inventory does not necessarily assume that the totality of each large site will accommodate affordable units as sites may be developed with a combination of market-rate and affordable units. Staff have taken into consideration local criteria including the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) which provides specific criteria in their "Multifamily Lending and Mortgage Revenue Bond Policies" regarding the number affordable units per site and reduced the assumed number of affordable units to generally align with local policies to not result in overconcentration of affordable units.

Large parcels included in the inventory have appropriate zoning and provide a development capacity that is consistent with the SHRA Multifamily Lending and Mortgage Revenue Bond Policies. Table C-27 and C-28 (Appendix C) identifies the large parcels and explains the assumptions associated with their inclusion in the inventory and analyzes each parcel. This shows examples of whether the inventory assumes a portion of the development capacity of a larger parcels at the lower income category with and the remaining development capacity at the moderate income category. For example, a parcel with a development capacity of 300 units might have 150-200 units included in the affordable sites inventory and 100-150 units in the moderate sites inventory.

The Zoning Code currently requires additional entitlements for multi-family developments greater than 150 units. Pursuant to program A2, the County will amend the Zoning Code to ensure that developments that have 20 percent or more of the units are affordable to lower income households are allowed by right on vacant sites in previous Housing Element cycles to eliminate this constraint and potentially encourage development on larger parcels.

Additional entitlements such as maps to divide larger parcels can lengthen the permitting process of a housing development. The County will expedite planning entitlements and building permits for affordable housing projects by prioritizing them to ensure meeting applicant's funding due dates for the duration of the Housing Element pursuant to program B3.

In addition to programs A2 and B3, the County has developed program A8 to incentivize affordable development on parcels greater than 10-acres.

The County does have a track-record of facilitating subdivision of larger parcels to accommodate housing as follows:

- The Southeast Watt subdivision on parcels 064-0080-001, 002, 039, and 040 was approved on parcels ranging in size from 4.53 to 27.45-acres to accommodate residential development.
- The Barrett Ranch East project was approved in 2017, consisting of the necessary entitlements including a small lot subdivision map to divide 128.2-acres on parcels 203-0120-018, 059, 065, 067 and 094 to accommodate residential development. This included a 6.9-acre parcel identified for multi-family development.

Non-Master Plan Sites

Table C-28 identifies parcels that are currently larger than 10-acres and outside of a Master Plan/Specific Plan area. These parcels are included in the Housing Inventory because although they are large, they meet the AB 1397 criteria for infrastructure and services and compared to other large parcels in the County need relatively few entitlements or actions to be available for construction of affordable housing. Further, they are large enough to be utilized for mixed use or mixed income developments.

APN 043-0220-031 is a vacant 14.49 acre Limited Commercial zoned parcel in South Sacramento that is targeted for re-use and redevelopment as part of the County's Commercial Corridor strategy (see discussion on page 130, methodology for Commercial properties). This site meets SHRA's multi-family lending guidelines and is assumed to accommodate 166 affordable units on a portion of the site with the remainder available for commercial use.

APN 073-0190-069 is 17.8 acres zoned to develop at 30 units per acre and is in the Highest Resource area in the County in the Community of Rancho Murieta. This site has oak trees and slope therefore, while the

total property is over 10-acres, the assumed developable acreage for affordable units is approximately 10-acres meeting the State criteria. The affordable units assigned to this parcel is 150, consistent with the SHRA Multifamily Lending and Mortgage Revenue Bond Policies.

APN 220-078-001 is a 10.46 acre site developed with a place of worship and 4.92-acres is undeveloped and could accommodate housing. This is a candidate rezone site and was included in previous Housing Elements based upon inquiries about multi-family development. Once the rezone is complete this site's units will be moved to the affordable inventory.

APN 203-0260-064 is a 40-acre site with split zoning. Only a 5.8-acre portion has zoning to accommodate multi-family development. This site is vacant and is a candidate rezone site and was included in previous Housing Elements. Once the rezone is complete this site's units will be moved to the affordable inventory

066-0100-024 is a 39.69 acre site with split zoning. An 8.3 gross acre portion is zoned for multi-family development. A valid map has been approved and will divide the multi-family zoned property into two separate parcels (4 and 4.3 acres). 7.6 net acres is available for development and no environmental constraints are on the subject area. This vacant site is a candidate for rezoning and was included in previous Housing Elements. Once the rezone is complete this site's units will be moved to the affordable inventory.

066-0070-052 is a 15.64 acre site. Road easements reduce the developable area to 13.5 acres. This vacant site is a candidate for rezoning and was included in previous Housing Elements. This site is located in a specific plan area and zoned to accommodate affordable housing. The Board has taken steps to facilitate development in this area. Once the rezone is complete this site's 150 units will be moved to the affordable inventory.

The units assigned to parcels that are identified as candidate rezone parcels are currently zoned RD-20 and designated at the moderate income level in the housing inventory and will be moved to the lower income inventory once the rezone to the RD-30 designation is complete. Program A8 is included in the Housing Action Plan to facilitate the development of parcels that are larger than 10 acres for affordable housing. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owners and affordable Housing developers to facilitate affordable housing development and parcel sizes that comply with SHRA multi-family lending guidelines for the parcels listed above.

Master Plan Sites

The Vineyard Springs Comprehensive Plan, North Vineyard Station Specific Plan, Florin Vineyard Comprehensive Plan, Glenborough at Easton and Easton Place are approved Master Plan with sites that may have been included in prior Housing Elements. The County has also recently approved two additional Master Plans with sites included in this inventory: the Mather South Community Master Plan (Mather South) on January 28, 2020 and the NewBridge Specific Plan (NewBridge) on October 6, 2020. Mather South (Figure 7 and Figure 8) and NewBridge are anticipated to achieve buildout over a 10 to 20-year period in four phases. For the purposes of this Element, only Phase 1 and 2 of these Master Plans are included in the inventory and distributed among all income categories. Additionally, units from the Cordova Hills Master Plan, adopted in 2013 are included in the affordable and moderate inventory because a portion of the plan area is anticipated to develop within the Housing Element period. The Mather South, NewBridge, and Cordova Hills have Development Agreements or mechanisms in place to assure the development of affordable units and the Glenborough at Easton and Easton Place Master Plans have identified their affordable sites commitment in their Master Plans. The large sites identified in these Master/Specific Plans for affordable housing have the zoning in place to develop affordable units and are identified as meeting at least a portion of their affordable housing obligations. Further, the sites in the affordable inventory are only the sites that were specified for affordable housing in the Master Plans. Other sites in the Master Plans that have higher density zoning (RD-30 and above) are not included in the affordable inventory and are counted as moderate inventory, so as not to over count sites in the affordable inventory. Table C-27 identifies the parcels in the Master Plan identified to accommodate lower income units, their final size and realistic units.

Mather South

Mather South is a Master Plan located within a portion of the former Mather Air Force Base, which was closed and relinquished to Sacramento County by the Department of Defense in 1990. Mather South proposes a total of 3,522 residential units on a total of 848 acres at full buildout; however, for the


purposes of the Housing Element inventory only the first two phases are counted, in which includes a total of 1,797 residential units at all income levels. Specifically, Phase one) will provide 943 units: 649 above-moderate; 94 moderate and 200 affordable units identified on a proposed 10-acre EC designated parcel. The project includes an Affordable Housing Strategy which consists of land dedication of 10 acres on Parcel EC in the central portion of the Plan Area, which will be used to for the construction of 200 affordable housing units. Phase two will provide 954 units with 193 being moderate income level and 671 being above moderate. In total, the inventory includes 200 lower- income units and 277 moderate units for Mather South. Phase one is where developers have indicated the Master Plan will begin due to the construction of backbone infrastructure to serve the project. Phase two and subsequent phases could begin or develop concurrent with Phase one. The Master Plans do not have a required start or end date for each phase of development and are subject to market conditions. The Master Plan document indicates a 10-20 year build out. Assuming the first two phases will develop in the Housing Element cycle is a conservative assumption for the development, especially as the affordable units identified in the Affordable Housing Strategy will develop in the Phase one.

Figure 7: Mather South Vicinity Map




Source Mather South Master Plan

Figure 8: Mather South Land Use Plan



Source Mather South Master Plan

Figure 9: Mather South Phasing Plan



Source Mather South Master Plan

The residential portion of the Master Plan includes 2,292 single-family homes ranging in densities from 5 to 10 dwelling units per acre (du/ac), and 1,230 multifamily homes ranging in densities from 10 du/ac to 20 du/ac. The residential portions are identified in the parcels described below in Table 55.

Table 55: Mather South Phasing Plan

| Use/Phasing Summary PHASE/RESIDENTIAL PARCEL | LAND USE DESIGNATION | GROSS ACRES | DWELLING UNITS |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Phase 1: | | | |
| Parcel R-1 | RD-10 | 9.39 | 94 |
| Parcel R-2 | RD-5 | 29.80 | 158 |
| Parcel R-3 | RD-8 | 15.38 | 123 |
| Parcel R-4 | RD-7 | 16.95 | 125 |
| Parcel R-5 | RD-5 | 21.85 | 127 |
| Parcel R-6 | RD-6 | 16.74 | 116 |
| Parcel EC | RD-20 | 10.00 | 200 |
| Phase Totals | | 120.11 | 943 |
| Phase 2: | | | |
| Parcel R-7 | RD-5 | 19.38 | 112 |
| Parcel R-8 | RD-10 | 18.31 | 183 |
| Parcel R-9 | RD-8 | 15.08 | 121 |
| Parcel R-10 | RD-5 | 20.50 | 102 |
| Parcel R-11 | RD-6 | 19.37 | 128 |
| Parcel R-12 | RD-7 | 28.04 | 208 |
| Phase Totals | 120.68 | | 854 |
| Phase 3¹: | | | |
| Parcel R-13 | RD-20 | 17.38 | 347 |
| Parcel R-14 | RD-10 | 10.37 | 104 |
| Parcel R-15 | RD-7 | 20.09 | 149 |
| Parcel R-16 | RD-6 | 10.15 | 66 |
| Parcel R-17a | RD-5 | 15.52 | 90 |
| Parcel R-17b | RD-5 | 17.05 | 90 |
| Phase Totals | 90.54 | | 846 |
| Project Phase 4 ¹ | | | |
| Parcel R-18a | RD-5 | 14.20 | 82 |
| Parcel R-18b | RD-5 | 16.36 | 87 |
| Parcel R-19 | RD-7 | 19.81 | 147 |
| Parcel R-20 | RD-6 | 25.12 | 166 |
| Parcel R-21 | RD-10 | 6.87 | 69 |
| Parcel R-22 | RD-8 | 11.84 | 95 |
| Parcel R-23 | RD-20 | 11.71 | 234 |
| Phase Totals | 105.91 | | 879 |
| RESIDENTIAL TOTALS | 437.24 | | 3,522 |

1) Phase 3 and 4 are not included in the inventory unit counts for Mather South.


NewBridge

The NewBridge Specific Plan (NSP) is located in the Vineyard community of unincorporated Sacramento County, southeast of Mather Airport, and just west of the City of Rancho Cordova. NewBridge includes three Planning Areas referred to as North, South, and West. Only those properties within the North and South Planning Areas, encompassing 790 acres, are proposed for development. NewBridge proposes a total of 3,075 residential units at full buildout; however, for the purposes of the Housing Element inventory only the first two phases are counted (Phase A and B -NewBridge North), in which includes 2,415 residential units at all income levels.

Phase A will provide 1,115 units. 895 above- moderate; 21 moderate and 220 lower-income units on Parcels N-11 and N-14 identified in the Affordable Housing Strategy between SHRA and the developer. Phase B will provide 1,300 units. 794 above-moderate and 485 moderate units. 220 lower-income units are included in the inventory on two five acres parcels identified in the Master Plan. Phase A is where developers have


indicated the Master Plan will begin due to the construction of backbone infrastructure to serve the project. Phase B and subsequent phases could begin or develop concurrent with Phase A. The Master Plans do not have a required start or end date for each phase of development and are subject to market conditions. The Master Plan document indicates a 10-20 year build out. Assuming the first two phases will develop in the Housing Element cycle is a conservative assumption for the development, especially as the 220 lower-income units will develop in the Phase A.

Figure 10: NewBridge Vicinity Map



Source NewBridge Master Plan

Figure 11: NewBridge Phasing Map and Affordable Housing Sites



Source NewBridge Specific Plan


Table 56: NewBridge Specific Plan Phasing Plan

| MAP DESIGNATION | LAND USE DESIGNATION | GROSS ACRES ¹ | UNITS WITHIN LAND USE DESIGNATION |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Development Project Phase A: | | | |
| Parcel N-2 | LDR | 63.0 | 300 |
| Parcel N-3 | LDR | 25.5 | 155 |
| Parcel N-6 | MDR | 30.1 | 230 |
| Parcel N-7 | MDR | 22.8 | 210 |
| Parcel N-11 | HDR | 5.0 | 110 |
| Parcel N-14 | HDR | 5.0 | 110 |
| subtotal | 151.7 | | 1,115 |
| Development Project Phase B: | | | |
| Parcel N-1 | LDR | 41.5 | 150 |
| Parcel N-4 | LDR | 20.8 | 129 |
| Parcel N-5 | LDR | 50.0 | 250 |
| Parcel N-8 | MDR | 15.3 | 120 |
| Parcel N-9 | MDR | 17.3 | 145 |
| Parcel N-10 | HDR | 6.7 | 171 |
| Parcel N-12 | HDR | 5.7 | 145 |
| Parcel N-13 | HDR | 7.4 | 190 |
| subtotal | 164.7 | | 1,300 |
| TOTAL ACREAGE AND UNITS IN RESIDENTIAL PORTION OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECT | 316.4 | | 2,415 |

Cordova Hills


Cordova Hills is located in the southeastern portion of Sacramento County on approximately 2,669 acres, adjacent to the City of Rancho Cordova and proposes a total of 8,000 residential units in six villages at full buildout. For the purposes of the Housing Element, only the Town Center is being counted towards the inventory, as the applicant has indicated this will be the first phase of development and is moving towards providing infrastructure to this portion of the Master Plan. Overall, the Affordable Housing Plan for Cordova Hills indicates that 15 percent of the total units in the development or approximately 1,044 affordable units will be provided on six sites spread throughout the planned villages and identifies HDR in the Town Center for affordable housing. The Town Center will provide 1,750 residential units at all income levels, with 150 units identified as affordable on Parcel HDR 2; 550 moderate units and 1,050 above moderate units. HDR 2 is identified as an 8-9 acre affordable site in the Cordova Hills Affordable Housing Plan. It is assumed only the Town Center will develop during the Housing Element cycle and only 150 of the potential 1,044 affordable housing units are included in the inventory.

Figure 12: Cordova Hills Vicinity Map




Source Cordova Hills Master Plan

Figure 13: Cordova Hills Villages and Phasing Map



Source Cordova Hills Master Plan

Figure 14: Cordova Hills Land Use Map



Source Cordova Hills Master Plan

Table 57: Cordova Hills

| Village | Commercial SF | HDR 2 30 - 40 DU/AC | HDR 1 23 - 30 DU/AC | RD20 20 DU/AC | MDR 7 - 15 DU/AC | LDR 4 - 7 DU/ AC | ER 1 - 7 DU/AC | FC | Total Units |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------------|
| Town Center | 956,779 | 150 | 400 | 150 | 760 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 1,750 |
| Ridgeline | 92,000 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 485 | 260 | 0 | 50 | 995 |
| University Village | 88,860 | 0 | 620 | 205 | 530 | 80 | 0 | 40 | 1,475 |
| East Valley | 111,200 | 0 | 200 | 230 | 725 | 520 | 0 | 65 | 1,740 |
| Creekside | 0 | 0 | 200 | 303 | 610 | 425 | 2 | 0 | 1,540 |
| Estates | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 145 | 0 | 500 |
| FRO Overlay | 90,580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 1,349,419 | 150 | 1,620 | 888 | 3,110 | 1,930 | 147 | 155 | 8,000 |

Note: Total University / College Campus Center Dorm Rooms are 1,010


Note: University / College Campus Center Business Conference Center has 100 units that accommodate one person per room

Source Cordova Hills Master Plan

Easton Place and Glenborough at Easton

The project consists of two proposed communities (Glenborough at Easton and Easton Place) located between the cities of Folsom and Rancho Cordova, adjacent to the Highway 50 and Light Rail. Glenborough at Easton is proposed as a 1,208± acre community with 3,239 dwelling units and a mixture of land uses, including residential, commercial, office, open space, park, and quasi-public uses. Easton Place is proposed as a 183± acre transit-oriented community. Together, the Glenborough at Easton and Easton Place communities include 4,883 dwelling units. Easton Place is organized into a series of three districts (Transit, Central, and Market) and provides a total 1,194 units at buildout. 318 lower-income units are identified on Parcels T1 and T2 in the Transit district and an additional 876 moderate units will be provided. The 318 lower-income units and 376 moderate units are included in the Housing Element inventory. The Master Plan identifies that Easton Place will meet its affordable housing obligation through an integrated plan that includes both Easton Place and Glenborough at Easton. The Master Plan identifies that 318 high-density attached units will be provided in Easton Place. These affordable sites will be accommodated on Parcels T1 and T2 that will ultimately be 5.4 and 5.6 acres in size respectively meet this requirement. The lower-income inventory only includes parcels T1 and T2 and not the entirety of Easton Place. These sites will be developed in the first phase of Easton Place, as identified by the developer.

Figure 15: Easton Place and Glenborough Vicinity Map



Source Easton Place Master Plan

Figure 16: Easton Place Land Use Map




Source Easton Place Master Plan

Table 58: Easton Place Master Plan

| Land Use | Units | Area (Acres) | Targeted Density | Commercial/Office* (Square Feet) | Floor Area Ratio Range ⁺ |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Transit District | | | | | |
| T1 High Density Residential | 432 | 5.4 | 80 du/ac | | |
| T2 High Density Residential | 336 | 5.6 | 60 du/ac | | |
| T3 Office/Commercial/Retail | | 6.5 | | 283,000 | 0.5-1.2 |
| T4 High Density Residential | 274 | 6.1 | 45 du/ac | | |
| T5 High Density Residential | 152 | 6.7 | 23 du/ac | | |
| Subtotal | 1,194 | 30.3 | | 283,000 | |

Glenborough at Easton (Figure 17) is an infill master plan that is adjacent to the Easton Place Master Plan. It proposes 21 residential neighborhoods and will provide a total of 3,239 units at buildout. 414 lower-income units, 2,286 above moderate and 539 moderate units are identified in the Housing Element inventory. 414 lower-income units are identified in Villages O2, K and Q which are high density and mixed Use villages. Villages J and K allow for a creative horizontal and vertical mixed use formats and will include residential, commercial and public facility uses. Development of Glenborough will generally occur from west to east with Phase 1 supplying 128 units in Village O2, and Phases 3 and 4 supplying 166 units in Village Q and 120 units in Village K, respectively. Subsequent phases could begin or develop concurrent with other phases. Master Plans do not have a required start or end date for each phase of development and are subject to market conditions. It is estimated that Glenborough will buildout during the Housing Element period because unlike other Master and Specific plans, small lot tentative maps were approved for each Village and backbone infrastructure largely exists due to its previous uses and surrounding uses in the area, as discussed on page 152 (Infrastructure Availability).

Figure 17: Glenborough at Easton Land Use Map



Source Glenborough at Easton Place Master Plan

Table 59: Glenborough at Easton Place Master Plan

| Land Use | Units | Acreage | Density | Comm/Office Sq. Ft. |
|---|--------------|----------------|------------|------------------------|
| Residential | | | | |
| Low Density Residential (1.0 - 7.0 du/ac) | 1,659 | 378.3 | 4.4 du/ac | |
| Medium Density Residential (7.1 - 12.0 du/ac) | 627 | 77.9 | 8.0 du/ac | |
| High Density Residential (12.1 - 25.0 du/ac) | 466 | 29.5 | 15.8 du/ac | |
| Subtotal | 2,752 | 485.7 | | |
| Commercial/Mixed Use/Office | | | | |
| Mixed Use | | | | |
| Village J | 224 | 28.5 | | 72,100 |
| Village K | 263 | 40.6 | | 151,100 |
| Commercial | | | | |
| Village O3 | | 2.4 | | 20,900 |
| Office | | | | |
| Villages H | | 13.5 | | 147,000 |
| Village S | | 23.6 | | 308,400 |
| Subtotal | 487 | 108.6 | | 699,500 |
| Parks | | | | |
| Neighborhood Parks | | 53.0 | | |
| Open Space | | | | |
| Alder Creek Open Space Corridor | | 270.2 | | |
| Open Space | | 121.0 | | |
| Community Resource Area | | 25.6 | | |
| Subtotal | | 416.8 | | |
| Public/Quasi-Public | | | | |
| Schools | | 40.2 | | |
| Roadways/Parkways | | | | |
| Roadways | | 60.5 | | |
| Parkways | | 43.5 | | |
| Subtotal | | 104.0 | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 3,239 | 1,208.3 | | 699,500 |

Source Glenborough at Easton Place Master Plan

This inventory does not include sites in the adopted master plan areas of Elverta Specific Plan or in the pending master plan areas of Jackson Township, West Jackson, Grandpark or Upper Westside. While development could occur in these areas during the planning period, due to lack of certainty over the timing of buildout and availability of infrastructure, sites in these areas are not currently presumed in the inventory.

Non-Vacant Sites

Local governments may rely on the potential for new residential development on underutilized sites to accommodate the RHNA. Underutilized sites are not vacant sites, but rather sites with obsolete uses that have the potential for redevelopment (e.g., vacant restaurant) and existing high opportunity developed areas with mixed-use potential or any other suitable underutilized land that is sufficiently large to accommodate additional development. The County has made an effort to permit residential uses on non-vacant sites through Housing Element Goal HE-1 to provide an adequate supply of land for housing with an objective of this goal to being to actively promote and facilitate the use/reuse of vacant and underutilized infill sites. To further this goal the County developed the "Commercial Center Re-Use" brochure to promote the reuse of underutilized commercial center with multi-family development. Additionally, Programs A6 and A7 encourage infill development in the County.

The non-vacant sites chosen for inclusion in the lower-income inventory meet the AB 1397 requirements for adequate sites. In addition, these sites are all larger than one-acre and a larger portion of the site is vacant. For example, the inventory contains sites that are only developed with parking lots or have a small portion used for a commercial or residential building. None of these underutilized sites have existing, vacated, or demolished affordable housing and none have been occupied by affordable housing in the last five-years. These existing residential uses are assumed to not be affordable to lower-income levels. The developable area of each site was calculated by measuring the area needed to create a lot around the existing structure and subtracting that area from the total site acreage. If the structure was clearly obsolete and not actively used, the entire site was considered available and a net developable acreage was calculated by applying setbacks and any constraints. The number of units was then determined by multiplying the developable area by the density. Commercial sites assumed that 25 percent of the parcel will be available for affordable multi-family development as a conservative estimate of the units.

Many of the non-vacant sites on the affordable inventory are overflow parking lots. During the previous planning period, a site with similar characteristics developed with affordable housing. Anton Apartments, a 148 unit affordable multi-family development was built on an overflow parking lot for an existing mall. The non-vacant inventory also contains commercial sites that either have a single building or a slab where a building was demolished. The site at 5700 Stockton Boulevard owned by SHRA is a former commercial motel site and associated parking lot that is moving forward with entitlements for an affordable multi-family development. Sites on the inventory are similar to these two examples and there are no known conditions or requirements that would preclude the overflow parking sites on the inventory from developing with affordable multi-family uses.

Table C-29 shows the underutilized parcels identified in the Sites Inventory that will be used to meet the County's RHNA obligation.

Pending Projects

The inventory contains sites with approved entitlements (Table C-25) and that are expected to develop in the Housing Element planning period with the unit count reflecting what has been entitled. These affordable sites are identified separately and will receive a portion of their funding from SHRA. Other sites that are available to moderate-income levels based on zoning have also been approved and are expected to be built in the Housing Element Planning period are currently in the process of obtaining improvement plan approval. These sites do not have pending building permits or are expected to have building permits by June 30, 2021.

MODERATE AND ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY

The County must also identify sites for development at moderate and above moderate-income levels. Sites in the Agricultural (AG-20 through AG-160), Agricultural Residential (AR-1 through AR-10) are typically larger parcels that range in size from 1-acre to 160-acres in the more rural areas and accommodate housing at the above moderate income levels. Residential zones RD-1 through RD-7 (1 to 7 dwelling units per acre) also provide housing for above-moderate income levels, as these are typically developed with detached single-family homes. Properties zoned RD-10, and RD-15 (10 and 15 dwelling units per acre) provide moderate-income housing sites. These can be larger sites or smaller parcels that are large enough for one or two units and are typically developed with duplexes, townhomes, or small lot single-family homes. Small sites with RD-20 through RD-40 zoning also provide moderate-income housing. For example, a 0.15 acre, RD-20 zoned parcel provides housing for two units in the inventory because the zoning and the parcel size can accommodate a duplex. Further, these smaller RD-20 zoned lots were typically developed with duplexes during the prior planning period. Additionally, sites that were previously on the affordable sites inventory with RD-20 and RD-25 zoning are being considered to provide housing for moderate income levels until Program A1 and A2 are complete making these parcels available for lower income groups.

Residential unit yield in single-family residential zones (RD-1 through RD-15) is based on an assumption of 85 percent buildout of maximum capacity. The County completed a survey of proposed and adopted subdivisions from 2017-2019 and determined that the proposed buildout was greater than 85 percent of

maximum capacity in almost all residential zones (see Table 60). For this reason, the 85 percent yield assumption is reasonable and feasible within the 2021-2029 planning period.

| Table 60: Densities of Recently Proposed and Approved Subdivisions ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Zone | Total Proposed Units | Total Acres | Units Per Acre | % of Maximum Capacity |
| RD-2 | 29 | 20.55 | 1.4 | 70.6 |
| RD-3 | 20 | 8.11 | 2.5 | 82.2 |
| RD-4 | 21 | 5.19 | 4.0 | 100 |
| RD-5 | 1202 | 236.78 | 5.0 | 100 |
| RD-7 | 211 | 31.32 | 6.7 | 96.2 |
| RD-10 | 27 | 3.38 | 8.0 | 80 |
| RD-15 | 113 | 7.44 | 15.2 | 101 |

Source: Sacramento County Office of Planning and Environmental Review, 2020 review years 2017-2019. (1) Capacity of proposed and adopted subdivisions was divided by the total acreage of the subdivisions to attain units per acre figure.

ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS

Consistent with Government Code Section 65583.1 the County will count Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU) and Junior Accessory Dwelling Units (JADU) constructed during this housing cycle toward meeting its RHNA given that they are an important source of affordable housing. The County has encouraged the development of ADUs by adopting development fee exemptions for ADUs that are 850 square feet or less and for multi-bedroom ADUs 1,000 square feet or less. The County has chosen to amend the development impact fees for ADUs in a manner that reflects the sizes incentivized in State law and utilizes methods to ensure collection of the smallest fee. Additionally, the County removed the requirement for the Accessory Dwelling Unit Administrative Permit (ADUAP), which was an administrative permit required to allow property owners to develop an ADU, further reducing costs and time for processing ADUs.

The County applied for Local Early Action Plan (LEAP) grant funding to create pre-approved construction drawings for ADUs to reduce the cost of development and encourage ADUs on parcels in Sacramento County.

In the 2021-2029 Housing Element, Programs E9 and E10 are intended to accelerate and promote ADU and JADU production.

A total of 89 ADUs were developed in the prior planning period from 2013-2021. Table 61 provides the number constructed each year.

| Table 61: Accessory Dwelling Units in the Prior Planning Period | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Year | Number of ADUs Developed |
| 2013 | 6 |
| 2014 | 8 |
| 2015 | 7 |
| 2016 | 19 |
| 2017 | 10 |
| 2018 | 17 |
| 2019 | 22 ⁽¹⁾ |
| Total | 89 |

Source: Sacramento County Planning and Environmental Review, 2020

(1) In addition to the 22 ADUs that were developed, four mobilehomes were developed as ADUs. This table accounts for 22 developed ADUs in 2019, the total number permitted including mobilehomes was 38, there is lag time between planning entitlements and building permits.

While the number of ADUs constructed have fluctuated from year to year, the County experienced an overall upward trend in the number of ADUs constructed in the 5th Housing Element Cycle, increasing from 6 in 2013 to 22 in 2019. In 2019, 38 ADUs were permitted with only 22 building permits were issued. There is often a lag between entitlements and building permits.

From 2018 to 2019 (the last full year of data), there was a 33 percent increase in the number of ADUs built. In 2020, the County implemented State Law requirements for ADU and JADU development and has experienced a 25 percent increase above 2019, with 29 building permits issued in 11 months. Anecdotally, staff have experienced a significant increase in inquiries about ADU construction particularly after permitting fees were reduced and permitting requirements were relaxed and staff expect greater interest once pre-approved construction drawings are available.

To project ADU development during the next Housing Element cycle County chose to follow Option 2 from the HCD Guidance.

Option 2: Where no other data is available, assume an average increase of five times the previous planning period construction trends prior to 2018. This option is a conservative estimate based upon statewide data on ADU development since the implementation of the new laws (safe harbor option).

The average ADUs permitted from 2013-2017 was 10 per year. A fivefold increase will be 50 units per year for a total projection of 400 ADUs from 2021 through 2029.

ADU and JADU Affordability

ADUs are an important source of affordable housing. Per the 2020 Zillow Rent Index for zip codes in Sacramento County, the average rent was \$1,793 whereas, SACOGs' January /February 2020 Survey of ADUs found that the average cost of rents for ADUs in Sacramento County was \$1,205. ADUs provide a valuable housing type to Sacramento County that can be missing in typical single family and multi-family developments. Due to their size (150 square feet-1,200 square feet) and type, either detached or attached and subordinate to a primary residence, they can provide housing to family members, students, elderly, in-home health care providers, disabled and renters at below market prices. Homeowners may also benefit from added income and an increased sense of security. ADUs also promote infill development and sustainable land use patterns by providing additional housing on parcels that are already developed rather than focusing on new greenfield development to provide housing which can be more costly.

SACOG provided local governments in the region with the SACOG Region Accessory Dwelling Unit Affordability Analysis dated March 2020 that provides assumptions for ADU affordability that can be used to assign ADUs to RHNA income categories in Cycle 6 (2021-2029) Housing Elements. The analysis supporting these assumptions was reviewed by HCD and found to be satisfactory.

Based on the SACOG analysis and the reasonable assumption that 400 ADUs will develop during the 2021-2029 planning period, the County anticipates that ADUs will be constructed for the following income categories:

| Table 62: Number of ADUs/JADUs Per Income Category | | |
|--|---------|-----------------|
| Income Category | Percent | Number of units |
| Lower-Income Categories | 56% | 224 |
| Moderate | 43% | 172 |
| Above Moderate | 1% | 4 |

Compliance with State Law and Development Standards

The County initially applied “Interim Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Standards” to ensure compliance with recently adopted State Laws. These standards were replaced by a formally amended ordinance in December 2020 resulting in compliance with State Law.

ADUs are a permitted accessory uses in all Agricultural (AG-20 through AG-160), Agricultural-Residential (AR-1 through AR-10), Residential (RD-1 through RD-40), Mixed Use (NMC, CMC, NMZ) Recreation Reserve (RR, O, C-O and Commercial (BP, LC, GC) Zoning Districts. ADUs are not permitted in the Industrial Zoning Districts.

To facilitate the construction of ADU/JADUs, Program E10 will promote their construction and evaluate whether the estimated number are being constructed during the Housing Element period. This provides the opportunity to evaluate and make any necessary adjustments to these programs to ensure their effectiveness.

INFRASTRUCTURE AVAILABILITY

There is sufficient water, sewer and dry utility capacity for the parcels listed in the affordable sites inventory. Affordable sites are on residential and commercial zoned parcels located within the County's Urban Policy Area (UPA) and Urban Services Boundary (USB). The USB is the ultimate growth boundary for the unincorporated area and the UPA defines the area within the USB expected to receive urban services in the near term. The development on the parcels would increase the demands on public services to some degree, but not beyond the planned service capacities of the providers.

When identifying affordable sites for inclusion in the inventory, potential sites were reviewed to determine whether sufficient water, sewer, and dry utilities are available or accessible. Services are considered available or accessible if there was existing infrastructure identified adjacent to or in close proximity to the sites.

The parcels located outside the UPA or USB are either agricultural-residential parcels that do not meet the required level of service for affordable sites or parcels located in small towns in the Delta Community where there are sufficient water and sewer service for future residential development. Parcels in these areas available to accommodate the RHNA for moderate and above-moderate units.

Water

Affordable sites on the inventory are within existing service areas that have surrounding infrastructure. Water purveyors serving the residentially zoned parcels may require infrastructure changes or improvements in order to serve various parcels. Because infrastructure exists, the water service could be provided during the time of project development.

Sewer

The residential and commercial parcels in the affordable inventory are in the service areas of the Sacramento Area Sewer District (SASD) and the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (SRCSD). SASD provides the local wastewater collection system for the unincorporated County which is connected to the regional conveyance system that was constructed by and is maintained by SRCSD. Sites identified in the affordable inventory may be served by either connecting to an existing lower lateral or main that is either directly adjacent to the parcels or will only require a short extension of services.

All sites listed in the affordable housing inventory will receive services in the term of the Housing Element.

Infrastructure to Master Plan Areas

Easton and Glenborough at Easton

Two major infill projects that were included in the last housing element affordable sites inventory are Easton Place and Glenborough at Easton. Sites meeting the criteria are included in the sites inventory for 1,208 units at the affordable and moderate-income levels. The infrastructure that will serve the land uses in Easton Place and Glenborough at Easton will consist of collector sewers, trunk sewers, and a possible sewer lift station. All collector and trunk sewer lines will initially transport wastewater to existing interceptor sewers along Folsom Boulevard adjacent to the project sites. Wastewater from the southwest portion of the project area will eventually flow to a proposed Aerojet/Laguna Creek interceptor. Until this new interceptor is built, existing interceptor sewers along Folsom Boulevard will be sufficient to serve the projects. Water is provided to the areas by the City of Folsom through existing service lines. Some extension of these lines will occur, but the infrastructure exists. Therefore, sufficient infrastructure is available to serve these infill projects.

Mather South Community Master Plan

As part of the documents associated with the Mather South Community Master Plan (Mather South), the County approved an Urban Service Plan and Public Facilities Financing Plan detailing how services, including sewer and water will be provided. Water is provided for the plan area by the Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40. Initial service to the area will be from the Vineyard Surface Water Treatment Plant by an existing 30-inch diameter pipeline. A grid of 8 inch to 12-inch mains will extend from the existing 30-inch diameter NSA Pipeline (Phase I) water main transmission main in Kiefer Boulevard, the existing 16-inch diameter water line in Zinfandel Drive (south of Douglas Road) and the existing 16-inch diameter water line in Sunrise Blvd. to serve development within the Plan Area. Sewer is provided by SASD and a System Capacity Plan approved in 2012 is reflected in the master plan. The proposed Zinfandel Drive trunk sewer line will provide sewer service to the Plan area. For the purposes of the sites inventory, only Phase 1 and 2 are considered, as that is reasonably expected to develop within the 6th Cycle Housing Element period and 477 lower and moderate income sites are counted.

NewBridge Specific Plan

The NewBridge Specific Plan (NewBridge) was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on October 6, 2020. The approved Urban Service Plan and Public Facilities Financing Plan detail how services including sewer and water will be provided. Water for the plan area is provided by the Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40 and 41 through the extension of existing transmission mains in the intersection of Sunrise and Kiefer Blvds. or at the intersection of Kiefer Blvd. and Eagles Nest Rd. adjacent to Phase A. SASD will provide sewer service and onsite improvements will discharge into the Mather East trunk sewer line in Eagles Nest Road. There is currently a sewer line in Kiefer Blvd. adjacent to the project site. For the

purposes of this sites inventory, only 732 lower and moderate income units within Phases A and B are included as a reasonable expectation for development within the Housing Element period.

Cordova Hills Master Plan

The Cordova Hills Master Plan (Cordova Hills) was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on March 12, 2013. The adopted Master Plan details how water and sewer services will be provided. Water for the Plan area is provided by the Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40 through the extension of existing transmission mains in Douglas Blvd. approximately 3,700 feet north of the site and a backbone transmission system will distribute water throughout Cordova Hills Plan area. Sewer service for Cordova Hills will ultimately be provided by the SRCSD Douglas Interceptor facility. Prior to the extension of this interceptor to the Plan area vicinity Cordova Hills will pump its wastewater to the existing Aerojet-Sunrise Douglas trunk sewer located in Douglas Road approximately 3,700 feet northwest of Cordova Hills. Discussions with the developer indicate the Town Center consisting of 550 lower and moderate income sites develop within the Housing Element period.

The inventory sites in Master Plan areas are included in the inventory because they will receive services in the term of the Housing Element.

Environmental Constraints

Each inventory site was reviewed using GIS data, Water Resources water and flood data, aerial photography, Sacramento County pictometry, Google Street View, and elevation contours to determine suitability and potential constraints to development.

Identified environmental constraints are accounted for in the inventory through a reduction in the developable area and the residential development capacity; however, it does not preclude their development. During the initial site review, any sites that were determined to have an environmental constraint that would significantly impact the development capacity of a site for multi-family development, such as significant tree canopy or flood hazard, was removed from consideration. There are no inventory sites in very high fire hazard areas, habitat preserves or floodways.

The following is a summary of the environmental constraints that have the potential to impact the viability of identified sites:

1. Protected Trees (primarily Oak Trees);
2. Slope;
3. Flood Zones (Local and Federal);
4. Natural resources, such as, wetlands and vernal pool habitats.

Protected Trees

Chapter 19.12 of the County Code attempts to preserve trees, particularly oaks and other heritage trees. During both ministerial and discretionary permitting processes, on-site oak trees are identified and may be required to be retained or may be allowed to be removed with compensation.

Depending on the location and design of a residential development, incorporating trees into landscaping or open space calculations for multi-family development may occur and result in a minimal reduction to residential densities. For oak trees that are on inventory sites, the area of the dripline is removed from the developable area used for calculating development capacity. Sites or portions of sites with extensive tree canopy where the presence of protected trees will be a significant barrier to multi-family development were not included in the affordable and moderate inventory.

Slope

Sacramento County has some areas with slopes that may require grading or retaining walls to develop a property although not to the extent of many other jurisdictions. The slope of potential inventory sites was reviewed as part of the selection process and sites known to have significant slope that could preclude

multi-family development at densities of RD-30 and above were not included as an inventory site. Development in these areas use a combination of grading, retaining walls and building techniques, such as, split-levels to accommodate residential development.

Slope on an inventory site was considered in determining the developable acreage and residential capacity by reducing the developable acreage to accommodate area for retaining walls.

Flood Zones

Sacramento County identifies both 100-year floodplain as mapped on a federal Flood Insurance Rate Map and local flood hazard areas as being areas potentially subject to flooding. The Sacramento County Floodplain Management Ordinance, Sacramento County Water Agency Code, and Sacramento County Improvement Standards require that the project be located outside or above the floodplain or flood hazard area. Sites on the inventory were reviewed to determine whether they were in a local or federal flood zone.

For parcels where the flood zone designation covers the entirety of the property, it is assumed the habitable structures will be elevated per the ordinance requirement. Properties known to be in identified floodways or the Delta where elevation above the base flood elevation may require several feet were not included in the inventory of affordable sites.

Natural Resources-wetlands and vernal pools

Using aerial photography sites on the inventory were reviewed to determine the presence of wetlands. For sites on the inventory known to contain wetlands, the wetland area has a required setback of 250 feet from the edge of the wetland area to ensure avoidance. The area has been subtracted from the parcel acreage used to project the residential capacity of the site.

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING/OPPORTUNITY SITES

State law, Government Code Section 65583(c)(10), requires the sites analysis to be analyzed with respect to Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) to ensure that affordable housing is dispersed equitably throughout the County rather than concentrated in areas of high segregation and poverty or low resource areas that have historically been underserved. This analysis is in the Assessment of Fair Housing, or Chapter 11, of this Housing Element.

EMERGENCY SHELTERS AND TRANSITIONAL HOUSING

Emergency Shelters provide shelter to those individuals experiencing unsheltered homelessness. The 2019 Point-In-Time (PIT) Homeless count conducted for Sacramento County and the incorporated Cities provides a single-night snapshot of nearly all individuals and families staying at emergency/transitional shelters in the county, as well as, other places not meant for human habitation. The count identified 711 individuals experiencing homelessness in unincorporated Sacramento County; therefore, this is the unmet need the County must accommodate. The County has 182 shelter beds available to temporarily house those experiencing homelessness; however, those shelter beds were presumably occupied and are not counted towards meeting the needs of unsheltered individuals. A detailed description of those experiencing homelessness and development standards for emergency shelters is provided in the Housing Needs Assessment Chapter and the Constraints Analysis Chapter of this element.

Methodology

The County must show there is enough land available to construct emergency shelters to house the unsheltered people experiencing homelessness. The County continues to implement Zoning Code provisions that permit homeless shelters in the General Commercial (GC) and (Light Industrial) M-1 zones. County funded shelters are allowed in any zoning district in the County.

Identified emergency shelter sites are at least 0.85-acres in size, within one-half mile of a transit line and 1,000 feet from schools, parks and single family residential zones.

GC zoned sites were assigned a density of 30 units per acre with the assumption that a maximum of 120 beds could be accommodated on an acre. However, a maximum of 100 beds per site was assumed because

that is the maximum number of beds permitted by right. There are at least 0.93 acres available in the GC zone with an estimated capacity of 100 beds.

The same locational criteria was used for identifying shelter sites in the M-1 zone. The M-1 zone does not have a residential development density. However, the estimated capacity for emergency shelters is 100 beds per site, as each identified site is larger than one acre and 100 beds is the maximum permitted capacity per site without a Use Permit. There are at least 23.66 acres available in the M-1 zone with an estimated capacity of 1,200 beds. Therefore, the County can accommodate the unmet need of 711 unhoused individuals with an excess of 589 beds.

Tables C-29 and C-30 in Appendix C list the vacant parcels that are available for emergency shelters.

The County continues to maintain an adequate supply of land for homeless shelters and has shifted away from large shelters and toward a “scattered site shelter” model as a part of its Full Service Re-Housing Shelter (FSRS) Initiative. Through this model, the County is able to assist people experiencing homelessness to stay in their community, keep pets, and room with partners and spouses. As of 2019, there are 15 of these sites located throughout the County. These sites provide 24-hour accommodations for up to 75 individuals at a time and serve approximately 250 to 300 persons annually.

FARMWORKER HOUSING

Farmworker housing is allowed by right in the Agricultural 20, 40, 80, 120 and 160 zones (AG-20, 40, 80, 120 and 160). There are a minimum of 3,000 parcels encompassing more than 245,000 acres that can accommodate farmworker housing without a use permit. This list includes parcels larger than two acres that are not owned by a public entity, railroad, conservation bank, or mining company. Additionally, Program D6 requires the County to amend the Zoning Code to allow Farmworker Housing by-right in all Zoning Districts where Agriculture is a primary use. The County will also consider amendments to the Zoning Code to increase the allowed maximum size of farmworker housing to serve larger families. Potentially providing additional parcels that may be developed with farmworker housing.

The number of possible sites and the acreage of these sites are more than sufficient to accommodate future farmworker housing.

CHAPTER 9: OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION

This chapter will inventory and analyze the opportunities to encourage the incorporation of energy-saving features, energy-saving materials, and energy-efficient systems and design in to Sacramento County's residential development. Planning to maximize energy efficiency and the incorporation of energy conservation and green building features can contribute to reduced housing costs for homeowners and renters, in addition to promoting sustainable community design and reduced dependence on vehicles. Such planning and development standards can also significantly contribute to reducing greenhouse gases.

Nationwide, residential energy accounted for about 21 percent of all energy use in 2019. Sacramento County's 2015 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Inventory indicates that residential energy consumption accounted for 25 percent of GHG emissions.

Opportunities for residential energy efficiency exist at all scales: the single dwelling unit, multifamily structures, the neighborhood, the community, and the region. Sacramento County currently has strategies, policies, and programs to address opportunities for energy efficiency at all of these levels.

GENERAL ENERGY EFFICIENCY CONCEPTS

There are many opportunities to implement energy-efficiency measures into both new home construction and retrofits of existing homes. The concept of energy efficiency in buildings is defined by the building envelope, which is everything that separates the interior of the building from the outdoor environment: the doors, shade screens, windows, walls, foundation, roof, and insulation. All the components of the building envelope must work together to keep a building warm in the winter and cool in the summer. Examples of design methods to reduce residential energy consumption can be categorized in three ways:

1. Building design that keeps natural heat in during the winter and keeps natural heat out during the summer. Such design reduces air conditioning and heating demands. Proven building techniques in this category include:
 - Location of windows and openings in relation to the path of the sun to minimize solar gain in the summer and maximize solar gain in the winter.
 - Use of "thermal mass," earthen materials such as stone, brick, concrete, and tiles that absorb heat during the day and release heat at night.
 - Use of window coverings, insulation, and other materials to reduce heat exchange between the interior of a home and the exterior.
 - Location of openings and the use of ventilating devices that take advantage of natural airflow (particularly cool evening breezes).
 - Use of eaves and overhangs that block direct solar gain through window openings during the summer but allow solar gain during the winter.
 - Zone heating and cooling systems, which reduce heating and cooling in the lesser used areas of a home.
2. Building orientation that uses natural forces to maintain a comfortable interior temperature and take advantage of solar heating. Examples include:
 - Incorporation of climate responsive design principles.
 - Locating structures and windows to take advantage of natural air circulation and evening breezes.
 - Southern exposure of rooftops to increase the potential for photovoltaic panels.
3. Use of landscaping features to moderate interior temperatures. Such techniques include:

- Use of deciduous shade trees and other plants to protect the home and reduce the heat island effect.
- Use of natural or artificial flowing water.

In addition, a number of technologies and methods of energy generation and efficiency have been developed or advanced over the last few decades. These include using:

- Solar energy and heat pumps to heat water.
- Radiant barriers on roofs, weather-stripping and other insulation to reduce heat gain and loss.
- Solar powered attic ventilation.
- Solar panels and battery storage to generate and store electricity.
- Dual pane windows and high-efficiency coatings on windows to repel summer heat and trap winter warmth.
- Energy efficient home appliances, including Energy Star® home appliances.
- Low-flow showerheads and faucet aerators to reduce hot water use.

REGULATORY AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

The County's energy efficiency strategies, policies and incentives are shaped by several State, regional, and local initiatives and programs. The most important initiatives and programs described in this chapter are:

- The California Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24);
- The State's emerging climate change strategies focused on reductions in greenhouse gas emissions;
- The Sacramento County Climate Action Plan;
- The Sacramento County 2030 General Plan;
- The Sacramento County Zoning Code and Design Guidelines.

CALIFORNIA BUILDING ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS - TITLE 24

Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations contains California's building standards for energy efficiency and is designed to reduce wasteful and unnecessary energy consumption in newly constructed and existing buildings. The California Energy Commission updates the Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24, Parts 6 and 11) every three years by working with stakeholders in a public and transparent process.

The most recently adopted standards are the 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards that took effect on January 1, 2020. They focus on four key areas: smart residential photovoltaic systems (now required for new residential development), updated thermal envelope standards (preventing heat transfer from the interior to exterior and vice versa), residential and nonresidential ventilation requirements, and nonresidential lighting requirements. The ventilation measures improve indoor air quality, protecting residents from air pollution originating from outdoor and indoor sources. The rulemaking process for the 2022 standards is already underway. By the end of the planning period represented by this housing element (2021-2029), the State will be utilizing the 2028 standards unless the timeframes established by the enabling legislation change.

As part of the County development and plan approval process, the County's Building Permits and Inspection Division checks all proposed residential units for design and construction compliance with the State's Title 24 Building Energy Efficiency Standards.

STATE GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS REDUCTION

The California Legislature adopted the California Global Warming Solutions Act in 2006 (Assembly Bill 32) and declared that “global warming poses a serious threat to the economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of California.” In adopting the act, the legislature found that human activity is one of the leading contributors to an increase in carbon dioxide, methane, and other “greenhouse gases” (GHGs). The State has declared that these gases are leading to an increase in average global temperatures and contributing to changes in climate throughout the world. The purpose of the act is to reduce GHG emissions to 1990 levels by 2020 (25 percent reduction over current levels) and then to reduce GHGs to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050.

On September 30, 2008, Senate Bill (SB) 375 was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger. SB 375 combines regional transportation planning with sustainability strategies in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in California’s urbanized areas. Existing law requires each regional transportation planning agency, which in Sacramento County’s case is the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), to adopt a Metropolitan Transportation Plan. SB 375 required the California Air Resources Board (ARB) to set performance targets for reduction of passenger vehicle emissions per capita in each of 16 Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in the state for 2020 and 2035. For the SACOG MPO, these targets were set at 7% below 2005 per capita emissions for 2020 and 16% below 2005 per capita emissions for 2035. MPOs are not required to meet the greenhouse gas emission targets established by ARB, but if they conclude it is not feasible to do so, they must prepare an Alternative Planning Scenario to demonstrate what further land use and/or transportation actions would be required to meet the targets. SB 375 also requires that the Metropolitan Transportation Plan for each MPO include a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) that integrates the land use and transportation components, and amends CEQA to provide incentives for housing and mixed use projects that help to implement an MTP/SCS that meets the ARB targets.

On September 8, 2016, Senate Bill (SB) 32 was signed by Governor Jerry Brown. SB 32 builds upon previous GHG reduction goals by requiring that ARB ensure that statewide GHG emissions are reduced by 40 percent below the 1990 level by the year 2030. Additionally, SB 32 emphasized the critical role that reducing GHG emissions would play in protecting disadvantaged communities and the public health from adverse impacts of climate change. Enactment of SB 32 was predicated on the enactment of Assembly Bill 197, which seeks to make the achievement of SB 32’s mandated GHG emission reductions more transparent to the public and responsive to the Legislature.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

Phase 1 –Strategy and Framework

The Sacramento County Board of Supervisors adopted the Climate Action Plan – Strategy and Framework Document on November 9, 2011 along with adoption of an update to the General Plan, which presented a framework for reducing GHG emissions and an overall strategy to address climate change. Additionally, it provided direction for developing the second phase of the Climate Action Plan (CAP).

Phase 2a - Government Operations

The Board of Supervisors adopted the Climate Action Plan – Government Operations (Government Operations CAP) on September 11, 2012. The Government Operations CAP identified the GHG emissions from the County’s operations (i.e. County-owned facilities, vehicles, and equipment) and measures to reduce these GHG emissions.

Phase 2b – Communitywide Climate Action Plan

The County began working on the Communitywide Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Climate Change Adaptation (Communitywide CAP) project in 2016. This project is intended to complete the second phase of the County’s multi-phase CAP process. The Communitywide CAP: 1) updates the unincorporated County’s GHG inventory and forecasts (completed 2016 which updates the inventory from 2005 to 2015),

2) determines the GHG reduction targets which are required, and 3) proposes measures to achieve the required GHG reductions for the entire County.

Additionally, to prepare for climate change effects (e.g. impacts related to precipitation, flooding, heat waves, wildfires, air quality, water supply, water quality, natural ecosystems, and agriculture), the Communitywide CAP will also develop an adaptation strategy.

The Phase 2b CAP is currently planned for completion in late 2021.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY GENERAL PLAN

The Sacramento County 2005-2030 General Plan was adopted November 9, 2011 and contains several General Plan Elements with goals, objectives and policies that set a framework for energy conservation. The most pertinent General Plan Elements include Energy, Land Use, Public Facilities and Environmental Justice.

Energy Element

The Energy Element consists of two major works — a Research report (Background Section) and a combined Policy Plan and Action Program. The Research Report provides background data for the Policy Plan.

The Energy Element sets the following goals:

- Reverse the historical trend of increasing per capita consumption of energy,
- Shift toward using a greater share of renewable sources of energy, and
- Shift seasonal and daily peak energy demands to increase the load factor of electrical generating facilities, while
- Maintaining or enhancing the general standard of living, the level of employment, and the quality of the environment.

In support of these goals, the Energy Element contains the following objectives:

- Reduce per capita consumption of energy.
- Reduce the reliance on non-renewable energy sources with emphasis on those in shortest supply.
- Reduce seasonal and daily peak electrical energy demand, and distribute future growth in electrical energy consumption throughout the day and year, thereby increasing the annual system load factor.
- Exercise county leadership.

Land Use Element

The Land Use Element's primary role is to ensure that the County's land resources are utilized in the most efficient, equitable and productive manner possible to provide a high quality of life for both current and future residents. This Element's policies and programs direct future development and investment toward previously urbanized communities and strategically-located new growth areas to:

- Maintain or improve the character of existing communities. Plan for commercial corridor improvements and protection of natural resource and habitat corridors. Participate in regional planning efforts aimed at implementing more compact land use patterns and an efficient multi-modal transportation system.
- Infill vacant parcels and intensify development on underutilized lands where appropriate to maintain or improve the quality, character and identity of existing neighborhoods and communities, as well as to relieve growth pressure on the urban fringe.

- Create “complete communities” that have a mixture of housing, jobs and retail amenities to reduce automobile dependence, support local commercial and employment opportunities, and create a jobs/housing balance.
- Stimulate reinvestment in targeted commercial corridors through comprehensive planning efforts with a strong focus on implementation.
- Provide the infrastructure and conditions necessary to encourage walking and biking as a means of travel, as well as to support enhanced transit service.
- Direct growth toward previously urbanized areas and strategic new growth areas to reduce sprawling development, strengthen existing communities, relieve traffic congestion, improve air quality, preserve open space and natural resources, protect valuable agriculture and rangelands, and realize economies of scale for infrastructure and services.

Given the increasing competition between communities for high quality and economically beneficial employment and retail uses, the Land Use Element as well as the other Elements have a common theme: efficient land and resource use. Energy is one of those resources and efficiency can be achieved by committing to a pattern of land use that concentrates development in configurations designed to protect valuable agricultural and rangelands, conserve natural areas and resources, reduce travel distances, reduce air pollutant emissions, conserve energy, and enhance the efficiency of providing infrastructure and services.

Public Facilities Element

The Public Facilities Element contains an Energy Facilities section with the following goal:

Appropriately sited energy facilities that efficiently and safely produce and distribute energy to Sacramento County residents without compromising environmental quality or human health.

The Energy Facilities section promotes the goals of the Air Quality and Energy Elements of the General Plan through support of alternative energy technologies that provide relatively clean, safe electricity.

Although Sacramento County is not the region's utility provider, the County does, however, recognize its responsibility for prudent infrastructure planning and implementation of conditions placed on plans and maps to support the conservation and efficiency initiatives of the Sacramento Metropolitan Utility District (SMUD) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). The County is currently collaborating with SMUD and PG&E in development of the County's Phase 2b Climate Action Plan and supports both utilities' renewable energy portfolio, energy source diversification, demand-side conservation, and de-carbonization plans.

Environmental Justice Element

Environmental Justice (EJ) seeks to minimize and equalize effects of environmental hazards among the entire community regardless of income, ethnicity or race. The California Government Code (Section 65040.12) defines environmental justice as “The fair treatment and meaningful participation of people of all races, culture and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies.”

Sacramento County adopted its Phase 2 Environmental Justice Element on December 17, 2019. The purpose of the Environmental Justice Element (EJ Element) is to address public health risks and environmental justice concerns of those living in disadvantaged communities (identified in the EJ Element as Environmental Justice Communities), many of which are the result of geographic or procedural inequities. The planning period for the current EJ Element is 2019 to 2029 and thereafter will be updated on the same track as the Housing Element.

The EJ Element is divided into sections that cover the following topical areas:

- Prioritizing Improvements and Programs That Address the Needs of Environmental Justice Communities

- Civil Engagement
- Crime Prevention
- Healthy Food Access
- Physical Activity
- Promote Public Facilities
- Reduce Pollution Exposure
- Safe and Sanitary Homes

Increasing energy efficiency has multiple co-benefits for Environmental Justice Communities (EJ Communities) and the County as whole. When energy efficiency rises, utility bills are lower thus allowing people to spend more income on healthy food and safe and sanitary housing. Additionally, when energy efficiency rises and demand is reduced, the pollution associated with energy generation is reduced. The EJ Element identified that EJ Communities are more vulnerable to the effects of climate change. Because of the links between energy consumption (GHGs) and climate change, it is important to prioritize EJ Communities in programs that are part of energy efficiency and Climate Action Planning. The following EJ Policy supports this:

Policy EJ-28. It is the policy of Sacramento County that programs developed as a part of a Climate Action Plan such as incentive programs, fee mitigation programs, adaptation and resiliency programs, and County-funded programs shall prioritize Environmental Justice Communities.

As part of developing the Phase 2b Climate Action Plan, the County is currently exploring the opportunity to utilize a fee in-lieu program for new development to fund energy efficiency upgrades in EJ Communities.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY ZONING CODE AND DESIGN GUIDELINES

The Sacramento County Zoning Code, provides a 25 percent density bonus for residential development projects that meet the following two energy efficiency conditions:

- The project would result in an energy savings beyond those obtained with conventional design and construction techniques.
- The amount of increased density is proportional to the amount of increased energy efficiency achieved that exceeds adopted regulations.

The Sacramento County Zoning Code also provides a density bonus for multi-family residential projects that provide small, on-site wind turbine generated energy.

Adopted in 2015, the Sacramento County Design Guidelines integrate development approaches to design and build healthy, sustainable, and inclusive neighborhoods. They promote a clean and safe environment, a strong economy, and good quality of life for all residents. They integrate Urban Greening, which is a systems approach to plan, plant, care, and manage flora, structures and spaces, which lead to increased forest canopy, reduced storm water runoff, improved air and water quality, energy conservation, open space and ultimately, more sustainable communities.

The guidelines incorporate a broad spectrum of sustainability practices that include: 1) green building and construction which can facilitate sustainability by generating jobs, 2) increasing energy efficiency, water conservation, air quality and waste reduction, and 3) improving housing quality and the physical environment. Sustainable design guidelines promote use of solar, cool roofs, tree shading, green streets, urban greening, low impact development storm water features using River Friendly Landscaping, and more.

The Guidelines address both single and multi-family structures. For single family structures the Guidelines recommend:

- Solar access for daylighting and solar panels should be considered when orienting buildings.

- Glazing should be located so as to maximize energy efficiency.
- Placement of windows should also consider the cooling benefits of Sacramento's delta breezes.
- Vary the design and elevation of front porches to accommodate outdoor furniture and active uses by occupants while maintaining private yard areas.
- Horizontal sliding doors on main entries are highly discouraged.
- The use of "cool roof" options, including lighter colored, high albedo coatings and other "cool roofing" materials and applications are encouraged to achieve energy efficiency inside homes and reduce the heat island effect.
- The use of rooftop solar or wind turbine installations (where allowable) should be integrated into the overall home image and be non-obtrusive on the neighborhood imagery.
- Installation of radiant heat barriers and insulation in attic and rafters is encouraged to increase energy efficiency and interior livability.

The Design Guidelines for multi-family developments recommend measures similar to those for single-family structures as noted above and additionally recommend the following:

- For street lights, use Sacramento Department of Transportation approved LED lights or other acceptable high energy efficiency lights.
- Lighting in parking areas should be LED lights or other acceptable high energy efficiency light, with automatic controls to dim lights after certain hours or when no one is present. Lighting shall be adequate to provide for a safe environment.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY PROGRAMS

SACRAMENTO COUNTY

To encourage more retrofitting of existing residences, the County has developed a Property Accessed Clean Energy (PACE) program. PACE is a way to finance energy efficiency, renewable energy, and water conservation upgrades to homes and buildings. PACE can pay for new efficient and/or renewable energy improvements such as heating and cooling systems, lighting improvements, solar panels, water pumps, insulation, and more for almost any property – homes, commercial, industrial, non-profit, and agricultural.

This unique financing mechanism is tied to the property, rather than the individual, and eligibility is based primarily on property value and equity.

PACE saves property owners money and makes their property more valuable. PACE pays for 100% of a project's costs. This financing amount is then repaid over 5 to 20 years (up to 25 years for qualifying solar projects), with an assessment or special tax added to the property tax bill. PACE financing also creates jobs, promotes economic development, and helps to protect the environment.

SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT (SMUD)

SMUD is the electrical provider for Sacramento County. SMUD provides technical and financial assistance for design, construction, and remodeling of housing. For new construction, SMUD offers design services, technical assistance and incentives for incorporating efficiency features. Incentives may also be available for upgrading air conditioning, lighting, and appliances to more efficient systems. SMUD has programs to incorporate advanced systems such as photovoltaic roof panels and ground source heat pumps into new projects. Design assistance may be available for retrofit projects.

Other ways in which SMUD works with its residential customers and local jurisdictions to promote energy efficiency include:

- An online Home Energy Analysis that allows customers to identify where their home uses energy and how it compares with similar homes.

- An online Home Energy Library that shows ways to save energy and money on everything from home cooling and heating systems to kitchen appliances, pools, and spas.
- Home energy audits and/or Home Energy Raters to inspect homes, perform tests and provide itemized lists of energy efficiency upgrades and cost effectiveness.
 - Consumer information containing a variety of tips for saving energy during winter heating and summer cooling periods.
 - Free shade trees that help cool homes naturally.
 - Various programs that help homebuilders construct homes that have been engineered and constructed with energy-saving windows, insulation, heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems.
 - Solar power programs that allow residential customers to purchase new homes with built-in or community solar systems.
 - Financing, rebates and other incentives that can reduce the cost of extremely efficient electric appliances and products.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

PG&E is the natural gas service provider for Sacramento County. PG&E provides efficiency services that are similar to those provided by SMUD. For new and existing homes, PG&E offers technical assistance for the installation or modification of gas service. Like SMUD, PG&E has rebate programs for new energy efficient appliances such as water heaters and central natural gas furnaces. Also like SMUD, PG&E has an online energy analyzer for home energy audits.

CONCLUSION

Sacramento County has taken steps to implement residential energy efficiency on four levels: (1) compliance with State building code standards (Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations); (2) ensuring that subdivision design maximizes passive and natural heating and cooling opportunities; (3) providing density bonuses to developments that, by project design, conserve energy; and (4) supporting numerous retrofit programs offered by SMUD and PG&E for existing housing units.

The County also implements its energy efficiency-related strategies through a host of regulatory and incentive programs (e.g., zoning, subdivision, and building codes) that promote mixed-use development, infill and reuse, transit-oriented development, subdivision designs that take advantage of natural heating and cooling, and development along the County's major commercial corridors.

Lastly, the County collaborates with other agencies, including but not limited to SMUD and PG&E, to ensure staff is implementing and sharing information on the latest and most innovative energy efficiency programs. These collaborations allow residents to access funds that might be available for activities such as weatherization, replacement of old roofs and appliances, tree planting, and installation of water conserving devices.

CHAPTER 10: PRESERVATION OF ASSISTED HOUSING UNITS

State Housing Element law requires a description and analysis of housing projects containing assisted units (i.e., financially subsidized) that are at-risk of losing long-term affordability provisions and converting to market rate/non-affordable units. As defined in Government Code Section 65863.10(a), assisted housing developments, or at-risk units, are defined as multifamily rental housing complexes that receive government assistance under prescribed federal, State, and/or local programs, or any combination of rental assistance and are eligible to convert to market-rate due to termination (opt-out) of a rent subsidy contract. These assistance programs include, but are not limited to, Housing Choice Vouchers, mortgage prepayment (e.g., FHA), or extending expiring rent/deed restrictions with the use of State or local funding programs.

Pursuant to Government Code section 65583(a)(9), this chapter includes the following:

1. an inventory of assisted housing units at-risk of converting to non-affordable units over the next 10 years (2021 to 2031) due to the termination of subsidy contracts, mortgage prepayment, or expiration of restrictions on use;
2. an assessment of conversion risk, cost of replacement versus preservation, and
3. a list of entities qualified to preserve at-risk apartments, and financing and subsidy resources available for preservation.

The findings of this chapter are as follows:

- (1) 1,161 units are listed as being at risk of converting to market rate housing due to expiration dates falling within the 2021-2031 period. As discussed, the expiration date does not directly correlate to actual conversion.
- (2) The owners of the HUD-subsidized properties may renew their Housing Assistance Payment contracts and therefore, the loss of affordability is not inevitable.
- (3) Of greater concern during this Housing Element period is the expiration of the regulatory agreements on the Low Income Housing Tax Credit units. In the earlier years of the program, the affordability period was for 30 years and many of the properties in the County were initially financed in the 1990s.
- (4) Viable preservation options include rent subsidies, acquisition and rehabilitation, and new construction of affordable housing. Federal, state, and local funding sources are available for these activities. Furthermore, several local affordable housing organizations are available to assist the County in preserving affordable units.
- (5) Since preparation of the previous Housing Element, the difference between an affordable rent and a Fair Market rent, the cost to subsidize an affordable unit and the total number of units expiring are all significantly higher. This, in conjunction with a higher demand for new construction of affordable units, will drastically stretch already limited funding sources.

AT-RISK UNITS

Approximately 78 SHRA-assisted, privately-owned rental housing projects, providing over 9,440 affordable units are located within the unincorporated area of the County. In general, once the period of restricted rent/occupancy expires, a property owner may charge market rents for the previously restricted units. There is a threat that low income occupants may have to find alternative housing if rents rise to market levels, although in properties with Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) vouchers, those residents are provided "enhanced" vouchers to subsidize their rent in market rate projects.

Generally, there are three circumstances that can result in the conversion of rent-restricted units to market rate units:

- **Prepayment of HUD Mortgages:** Section 221(d)(3), Section 202 and Section 236: Through Section 221 (d)(3) assistance, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) provides either below market interest rate loans or market-rate loans to privately-owned projects. Through Section 236 assistance, HUD provides financing to the owner to reduce the costs for tenants by paying most of the interest on a market rate mortgage. Additional rental subsidy may be provided to the tenant. Section 202 assistance provides a direct loan to non-profit organizations for project development and rent subsidy for low-income elderly tenants.
- **Opt-outs and Expirations of Housing Assistance Payment Contracts:** Housing Assistant Payment (HAP) contracts (also known as Section 8 contracts) are a federally funded program that provides for subsidies to owners of a pre-qualified project for the difference between the tenant's ability to pay and the contract rent. Opt-outs occur when the owner of the project decides not to renew an expiring contract. Profit-motivated owners typically decide not to renew their HAP contracts when the rental market tightens and market rents are escalating.
- **State and Local Regulatory Agreements:** Expiration of the low-income use period of various financing sources, such as Low-income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC), bond financing, density bonuses, California Housing Finance Agency (CALHFA), local housing trust funds, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program and Low/Mod Tax Increment Financing. In addition to federal subsidies, the State of California and the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA), on behalf of the County of Sacramento, provide subsidies or tax-advantaged funding to support the development of affordable housing. In return for receiving the development subsidies, owners of rental housing enter into regulatory agreements that require all or a portion of the units in a rental housing project to be reserved for lower income households. When those regulatory agreements expire without recapitalizing with new, subsidized financing, affordability covenants may also be lost.

The County's inventory of assisted units includes multifamily rental projects regulated by federal, state, and/or local programs. Such projects include those with HAP contracts with HUD, funded using Low Income Housing Tax Credits, Mortgage Revenue Bonds, and County subsidies.

Table 63 includes a list of multifamily projects that contain 1,161 housing units that have some sort of income restriction that is due to expire between 2021 and 2031. The inventory was created using a combination of data and information sources including SHRA, the California Housing Partnership Corporation (CHPC), and the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee.

Table 63: At-Risk Housing Units 2021-2031 (Unincorporated County)

| Project Name | For Profit or Nonprofit | Street Address | Program | Rent Restriction Expiration Date | Assisted Units | Total Units |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Eastern Gardens Cooperative | Nonprofit | 3045 Eastern Ave | HUD | 2024 | 112 | 112 |
| New Hope Senior Village | For Profit | 890 Village Run Drive | TCAC | 2025 | 55 | 56 |
| Albert Einstein Residences | Nonprofit | 5981 Devecchi Ave | HUD | 2026 | 78 | 78 |
| Hallmark Apartments | For Profit | 2856 Paseo Rio Way | Bond | 2027 | 25 | 100 |
| Larchmont Arms | For Profit | 7415 Larchmont Drive | TCAC | 2027 | 64 | 64 |
| Larchmont Gardens | For Profit | 7330 Watt Ave | TCAC | 2027 | 114 | 116 |
| Sac Veterans Center | Nonprofit | 7270 East Southgate Drive | SHRA | 2028 | 32 | 32 |
| Sienna Vista Shady Tree | For Profit | 4904 Little Oak Lane | TCAC | 2028 | 292 | 293 |
| Watercrest Apartments | For Profit | 4400 Elkhorn Boulevard | TCAC | 2029 | 205 | 206 |
| Vintage Woods Senior | Nonprofit | 8780 Madison Avenue | TCAC | 2031 | 184 | 185 |
| Unit Totals | | | | | 1,161 | 1,242 |
| Definitions/Abbreviations: SHRA: HOME, or locally-generated funding HUD: Housing and Urban Development Housing Assistance Payment Contract (Fed) TCAC: Tax Credit Allocation Committee – Low Income Housing Tax Credits (State) | | | | | | |

Source: California Housing Partnership Corporation, SHRA, CTCAC

PRESERVATION STRATEGIES

In addition to identifying units at risk of converting to market rate housing, Government Code section 85583(a)(9)(B) requires a comparison of costs to replace lost units through construction or rehabilitation to the cost of preserving the existing units. Preservation of rental housing at risk of affordability loss is the

County's first priority for funding through SHRA's Multifamily Lending Program. By providing capital subsidies for developers of housing interested in acquiring and rehabilitating at-risk projects, the County makes significant effort to ensure affordable units are not lost from the County's inventory. While less likely, the County may also consider offering rental assistance as a form of preservation as discussed, below.

1. **Purchase of Affordability Covenants:** The first option to preserve the affordability of at-risk projects is to provide an incentive package to owners to maintain the projects as low-income housing. Incentives could include restructuring the existing loan, and/or supplementing the HAP fair market rent to market levels, if market rents are substantially more than the HUD allowed fair market rent, and/ or providing a low interest loan or grant to finance project rehabilitation. It is difficult to estimate the cost of purchasing affordability covenants due to the number of variables in such a purchase.
2. **Transfer of Ownership:** Long-term affordability of low-income units can be secured by transferring ownership of at-risk projects to non-profit or for-profit affordable housing developers. By doing so, these units would be eligible for refinancing using a range of affordable housing financing programs, including low income housing tax credits and tax-exempt mortgage revenue bonds that ensure affordability for at least 55 years from the time of funding. Transactions also include rehabilitation of the project to modernize the property and to extend the useful life of the major systems. Upon review of recently financed acquisition/rehabilitation projects funded in the County within the past three years, shown in Table 64, the average cost of acquiring and rehabilitating affordable housing units is \$282,900 per unit.
3. **Rental Assistance:** Operating subsidies may also be used to preserve the affordability of housing. Similar to Housing Choice vouchers, the County, through a variety of potential funding sources, could provide operating subsidies to project owners or tenant-based subsidies to lower income households within projects with expired use restrictions. The level of subsidy required to preserve at-risk affordable housing through rent subsidies is estimated to equal the Fair Market Rent for a unit minus the housing cost that would be affordable to a lower-income household. Table 65 estimates the rent subsidies required to preserve the housing affordability for a theoretical project. Based on the estimates and assumptions shown in the table, approximately \$5,241,624 annually would be required to preserve the current at-risk inventory of 1,161 units. This estimate of per-year subsidy costs is indicative of the dramatic increase in the cost of housing, stagnant wage growth, and escalating rents that occurred over the past several years. In addition, there are 525 more units considered at risk of affordability loss during this Housing Element period than in the prior period. As an example, the County's analysis of rental housing subsidies, as described in the 2013-2021 housing element update, concluded that \$592,116 annually was required to preserve the affordability of 636 units.

To estimate replacement housing costs for units potentially lost from the affordable housing market, the County reviewed three affordable housing projects that have received a commitment of subsidy from SHRA. These projects are located in the City of Sacramento and the City of Citrus Heights and will be new construction projects. The numbers provided are based on significant pre-development work including architectural drawings by experienced developers of affordable housing. The projects have not been constructed; however, the cost estimates are valuable for assessing replacement costs associated with the potential loss of affordable units from the inventory. Table 66 outlines the total and per unit costs for these projects.

| Table 64: Estimated Acquisition/Rehabilitation Cost | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Acquisition/Rehabilitation | Number of Units | Total Cost | Cost/Unit |
| Crossroad Gardens | 70 | \$18,562,057 | \$265,172 |
| Southwind Court | 88 | \$17,918,083 | \$203,615 |
| Sierra Sunrise Senior | 119 | \$41,600,226 | \$349,582 |
| Average | 92 | \$126,026,789 | \$282,900 |
| Total for all 1,161 "at risk" units (based on average cost) | | | \$328,446,900 |

| Table 65: Potential Rent Subsidies | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | 1 Bedroom | 2 Bedroom | 3 Bedroom | Total |
| Per Unit Affordable Rent ¹ | | | | | |
| A | Low Income (60% AMI) | \$971 | \$1,165 | \$1,346 | |
| B | Very Low Income (50%) | \$809 | \$971 | \$1,122 | |
| C | Average (A & B) | \$890 | \$1,068 | \$1,234 | |
| D | Per Unit Fair Market Rent ² | \$1,072 | \$1,349 | \$21,946 | |
| E | Monthly Per Unit Subsidy (D-C) | \$182 | \$2,811 | \$712 | |
| F | Annual Subsidy/Unit (E * 12) | \$2,184 | \$3,372 | \$8,544 | |
| | Total "At Risk" Units³ | 581 | 348 | 232 | 1,161 |
| | Total Annual Subsidy | \$1,268,904 | \$1,173,456 | \$1,982,208 | \$4,424,568 |

Source: U.S. HUD, Fair Market Rents 2020; Ascent 2020.
¹ Affordable rent calculation is based on 1.5 persons per bedroom.
² 2020 HUD Fair Market Rent.
³ Assumes 50% of total "At Risk" units are 1-bedroom, 30% are 2-bedroom, and 20% are 3-bedroom.

| Table 66: Estimate Replacement Cost | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| New Construction Project | Number of Units | Total Cost ¹ | Cost/Unit |
| Lavender Courtyard (Sacramento) | 53 | \$31,298,544 | \$590,539 |
| Mirasol Village B/E (Sacramento) | 123 | \$60,279,401 | \$490,076 |
| Sunrise Pointe (Citrus Heights) | 47 | \$23,301,506 | \$495,777 |
| Average | 74 | \$38,293,150 | \$517,475 |
| Total for all 1,161 "at risk" units (based on average cost) | | | \$600,788,475 |
| ¹ Total development costs as estimated by developers of proposed, affordable rental projects – new construction. | | | |

Source: City Council and Board of Supervisors Staff Reports for listed projects, 2019 and 2020.

In summary, the three cost estimating scenarios find the relative preservation cost to be:

- Acquisition and rehabilitation: \$328,446,900
- Rent subsidy: \$4,424,568 annually or \$243,351,240 over 55 years (the typical length of a regulatory agreement)
- Replacement through new construction: \$600,788,475

The above analysis reveals that replacement and preservation of the identified 1,161 at-risk units is costly, regardless of the preservation method. Providing a rent subsidy program may appear to be the least costly option; however, such an approach does not also preserve the physical aspects of the housing nor does it have the potential to leverage private-sector financing or create jobs. However, while federal and state funding is not as plentiful as in years past, there are still programs available for acquisition, rehabilitation, and new construction of affordable housing.

RESOURCES FOR PRESERVATION OF ASSISTED HOUSING

There are a number of resources available to finance the acquisition and preservation of existing affordable housing. The most important is HUD's willingness to renew and extend Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) contracts, which has been consistent. State Housing and Community Development (HCD) also administers programs to finance the acquisition of at-risk projects, and SHRA as well as the California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA) underwrite tax-exempt bond issuances that developers can couple with low income housing tax credits. Local funding resources including HOME Investment Partnership Program funding, Community Development Block Grant funds, Permanent Local Housing Allocation program and local housing trust funds.

The County is fortunate to have many, active and experienced affordable housing developers who are interested in preserving their own portfolios and potentially acquiring at-risk properties from developers who are no longer interested in providing affordable housing. SHRA maintains a list of developers and stakeholders and regularly updates these individuals regarding opportunities for housing funding and available land. HCD also maintains a list of organizations called qualified entities that have been pre-approved by HCD to participate in acquisition of at-risk properties. The entities registered for Sacramento are listed in Table 67. There are an additional 70 organizations on the list that are qualified for all counties.

| Table 67: Qualified Entities, Sacramento County | |
|---|-----------------|
| Company | City |
| ACLC, Inc | Stockton |
| Affordable Housing Foundation | San Francisco |
| Eskaton Properties Inc. | Carmichael |
| Housing Corporation of America | Laguna Beach |
| Norwood Family Housing | Sacramento |
| ROEM Development Corporation | Santa Clara |
| Rural California Housing Corp | West Sacramento |
| Sacramento Valley Organizing Community | Sacramento |
| Satellite Housing Inc. | Berkeley |
| Volunteers of America National Services | Sacramento |

Source: HCD 2020.

The Housing Action Plan chapter includes several that address the preservation of at-risk properties, including actions to monitor expiring subsidy contracts and regulatory agreements and prioritizing lending to preserve at-risk units.

CHAPTER 11: ASSESSMENT OF FAIR HOUSING

AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING

In 2018, the Governor signed AB 686 into law. AB 686 requires that all public agencies administer programs and activities related to housing and community development in a manner that affirmatively furthers fair housing and to not take any action that is materially inconsistent with this obligation. AB 686 also requires local governments to include an assessment of fair housing within their housing elements.

Public agencies may affirmatively further fair housing by taking actions that:

- Foster inclusive communities
- Address segregated living patterns with integrated living patterns
- Transform racially and ethnically concentrated areas of poverty into areas of opportunity
- Maintain compliance with civil rights and fair housing laws

This chapter acts as an assessment of fair housing practices, pursuant to Government Code Section 65583 (c)(10), and discusses three documents which together begin the County's approach to addressing systemic issues of racism and inequity; the Resolution Declaring Racism as a Public Health Crisis; the Environmental Justice Element; and the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice prepared for the Sacramento Valley Region. It examines existing conditions and demographic patterns —concentrated areas of poverty within the county, concentrated areas of low- and median- income housing, and concentrated areas of low and high opportunity — to compare how past discriminatory housing practices inhibit fair housing practices in the county today.

Environmental Justice Communities

Many programs use Environmental Justice Communities (EJ Communities) as geographic areas of focus. The EJ Element's geographic focus of analysis and policies is EJ Communities - areas that are considered disadvantaged compared to other parts of the unincorporated County. Staff used two sources to determine the extent and boundaries of EJ Communities. Consistent with Senate Bill 1000, staff utilized the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (more commonly known as CalEnviroScreen). The other source utilized was the Sacramento Area Council of Governments' (SACOG) Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (MTP/SCS). State law requires SACOG to conduct an environmental justice and Title VI analysis as part of the MTP/SCS to determine whether the MTP/SCS equitably benefits low-income and minority communities (Sacramento Area Council of Governments, 181). SACOG refers to these areas as Low Income High Minority (LIHM) Areas.

Staff then combined CalEPA's disadvantaged communities with SACOG's LIHM areas to delineate EJ Communities. There are four EJ Communities: North Highlands/Foothill Farms, North Vineyard, South Sacramento and West Arden-Arcade. The North Highlands/Foothill Farms EJ Community includes Old Foothill Farms. These EJ Communities include Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAPs) and TCAC areas of High Segregation and Poverty.

FAIR HOUSING ENFORCEMENT AND OUTREACH

Local Data and Knowledge

Fair housing complaints can be used as an indicator to identify characteristics of households experiencing discrimination in housing. Pursuant to the California Fair Employment and Housing Act [Government Code Section 12921 (a)], the opportunity to seek, obtain, and hold housing cannot be determined by an individual's "race, color, religion, sex, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, ancestry, familial status, source of income, disability, veteran or military status, genetic information, or any other basis prohibited by Section 51 of the Civil Code."

Fair housing issues that may arise in any jurisdiction include but are not limited to:

- housing design that makes a dwelling unit inaccessible to an individual with a disability;
- discrimination against an individual based on race, national origin, familial status, disability, religion, sex, or other characteristic when renting or selling a housing unit;
- and, disproportionate housing needs including cost burden, overcrowding, substandard housing, and risk of displacement.

The County of Sacramento works with many cities in its jurisdiction to conduct outreach related to fair housing. The County provides fair housing resources on the County website and directs residents to appropriate agencies and resources for fair housing assistance. The County also participates in the Renter's Helpline, a regional telephone and internet-based resource that provides counseling and mediation services for residents of Sacramento County to help resolve a housing crisis or dispute. The program counselors deal directly with concerns regarding landlord-tenant disputes and help refer fair housing issues to the appropriate agency. Renter's Helpline service providers also perform outreach to County residents through social media posts, TV and radio advertisements, community events, and trainings.

Table 68 shows county data from the Renter's Helpline, including the unincorporated county, for the years 2015-2019. In the years shown, calls from residents in the unincorporated county accounted for almost half of all calls countywide.

| Table 68: Renter's Helpline Records 2015-2019 | | | |
|---|------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | Countywide | Unincorporated County | |
| 2015-2016 | Number | Number | Percent |
| Calls | 7,182 | 3,076 | 42.8% |
| Dispute Resolutions | 61 | 48 | 78.7% |
| Potential Fair Housing Violations | 63 | 58 | 92.1% |
| 2017-2018 | | | |
| Calls | 8,302 | 3,369 | 40.6% |
| Dispute Resolutions | 61 | 48 | 78.7% |
| Potential Fair Housing Violations | 85 | 29 | 34.1% |
| 2018-2019 | | | |
| Calls | 8,079 | 3,616 | 44.8% |
| Dispute Resolutions | 134 | 58 | 43.3% |
| Potential Fair Housing Violations | 114 | 48 | 42.1% |

Source: Project Sentinel, 2020.

In spring and fall of 2020, seven focus groups meetings were held to inform stakeholders about the Housing Element Update and to receive knowledge from 19 organizations that include local/regional advocates and service providers. A summary of the focus groups meetings is in the Introduction Chapter and Appendix A. During these focus group meetings, the County received the following feedback related to fair housing.

- For immigrants and refugees in the County, the challenges that were discussed were language barriers, lack of immediate income for security deposits, and lack of housing for large families. Focus group attendees recommended that the County provide short-term affordable housing

options with low barriers to entry, provide housing assistance, and make housing requirements flexible.

- For people experiencing homelessness, the challenges that were discussed included general opposition from the public, nimbyism, and xenophobia. Focus group attendees recommended that the County create broader community education efforts to help the public accept different types of housing, provide services on site at emergency and supportive housing options, protect housing and service providers for people experiencing homelessness, and provide leadership from the “top-down” for homeless programs.
- For people with disabilities, the challenges that were discussed were housing affordability, aging housing stock, limited affordable and accessible housing stock, a lack of understanding of renters’ rights, and physical, systemic and economic barriers. Recommendations to the County were to expand the existing Universal Design program, incentivize development of additional accessible units, develop a system to share new accessible units that are built with stakeholders, and encourage higher than the minimum Building Code requirements for developing accessible units.
- For tenants, focus group attendees recommended that the County consider methods to keep people in their homes such as eviction protections, homeless diversion programs, aging in place programs, funding for increased accessibility in the existing housing stock.

This feedback directly resulted in the creation of the following Housing Element programs: B8 Missing Middle Housing, B5 Affordable Housing Education, D1 Universal Design, D2 Accessible Unit Tracking, D10 Tiny Homes, D14 Housing for People Experiencing Homelessness, E3 Affordable Housing Ordinance Amendment, and G1 through G6 specific to Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing.

OUTREACH FOR REGIONAL ANALYSIS OF IMPEDIMENTS TO FAIR HOUSING CHOICE (AI)

Local Data and Knowledge

In addition to outreach conducted for the Housing Element, the Sacramento Valley Fair Housing Collaborative had a community engagement process including focus groups with residents and stakeholders, engagement at local events, and a resident survey as part of research to inform for the Sacramento Valley Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI).

RESIDENT SURVEY

The resident survey was available in Chinese, Korean, Russian, Spanish, and Vietnamese. It was offered online and accessible to participants using assistive devices (e.g., screen readers), and in a postage-paid paper mail-back format. Additionally, residents who would prefer to take the survey by phone could do so by calling the project team’s 800 number. Outreach and promotional efforts included broad and targeted activities. The survey was promoted directly to residents and the participating partners asked local organizations to encourage clients, residents, consumers, and members to participate in the survey through various platforms. Sacramento County’s Communications and Media staff promoted the availability of the resident survey on the County’s social media channels, including NextDoor posts reaching more than 60,000 Sacramento County residents.

There were 3,388 total participants in the resident survey. Of those participants, approximately 2,129 participants were residents in the County of Sacramento including the cities of Citrus Heights, Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova, and Sacramento City. About 224 were county residents who did not reside in an incorporated city. This section reports on survey data for Sacramento County and excludes responses from residents of Citrus Heights, Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova, and the City of Sacramento.

The major findings from the resident survey are summarized below:

Housing Challenges. Among Sacramento County survey respondents, 42 percent worry about rent increasing to an amount they cannot afford; 32 percent struggle to pay rent (e.g., sometimes paying late, not paying other bills to pay rent, not buying food or medicine); 37 percent want to buy a house but cannot

afford the down payment, and 25 percent worry about property taxes increasing to an amount they cannot afford

Displacement Experience. Overall, 28 percent of survey respondents had been displaced from a housing situation in Sacramento County in the past five years. When examined for members of protected classes and by income, experience with displacement varies widely. African American, Hispanic, and Native American respondents, large families, households with children, and respondents whose household includes a member with a disability experienced higher displacement rates than regional survey respondents overall. Additionally, lower income households are much more likely than higher income households to have experienced displacement in the past five years. Higher income households that did experience displacement were more likely to have been displaced due to the landlord selling their home.

Experience with Housing Discrimination. Overall, 17 percent of survey respondents said that they experienced discrimination when they were looking for housing in the region. Among the jurisdictions, about one in four residents of Sacramento, Elk Grove, and Sacramento County experienced housing discrimination. Among members of protected classes, African American respondents, Native American respondents, and households that include a member with a disability had the highest rates of housing discrimination experiences. Respondents who believed they experienced discrimination when looking for housing in the region provided the reasons why they thought they were discriminated against. The top five reasons for discrimination were as follows: race/ethnicity (29 percent), income/income too low (23 percent), age (18 percent), familial status/having children (18 percent), and disability status (16 percent).

FOCUS GROUPS

In partnership with the participating jurisdictions and nonprofit organizations throughout the region, the project team facilitated six resident focus groups and six stakeholder focus groups. Focus groups consisted of 80 residents representing various stakeholders working in the fields of housing, real estate and development, supportive services, fair housing advocacy, and economic development.

As with survey participants, housing costs and lack of available housing to rent or buy are significant challenges for residents. Other common challenges discussed by focus group participants include barriers to renting due to rental requirements, poor housing conditions, overcrowding, lack of options for voucher holders, and difficulties with publicly supported housing policies and practices.

Poor Housing Conditions. Resident focus group participants shared stories of poor housing condition, ranging from units in need of repair, problems with mold, and pest infestations. Many shared that they were afraid to request repairs or remediation out of fear of being evicted or having the rent increase. Evictions resulting from code enforcement actions were described in nearly all resident focus groups, regardless of the community where they were held.

Overcrowding. Participants in a number of resident focus groups discussed how they live with extended family, roommates, or other friends in order to afford their housing. Sometimes two or more households share a unit; large families have an especially difficult time finding affordable housing that is large enough to accommodate their family.

Minimum income requirements. High deposit requirements and requirements that tenants have incomes of at least 3X (three times) the rent are very common among Sacramento Valley housing providers. Residents described these policies as a significant barrier to housing choice.

Lack of options for voucher holders. Focus group participants who are voucher holders described the difficulty they have experienced when faced with finding a new place to rent. Stakeholders also described that too few landlords in the region accept Section 8 vouchers and that more landlords or properties are opting out of the program altogether.

Lack of affordable accessible housing units. Residents with disabilities who participated in focus groups discussed the challenges they experienced when trying to find housing they could afford that met their accessibility needs. For residents with disabilities, particularly those relying on disability benefit income, finding affordable housing that also meets their accessibility needs in the home is incredibly

difficult. Market rate units that are accessible and financially out of reach. Older units that may be naturally occurring affordable housing, including properties in less transit-rich environments are rarely accessible. In addition to other challenges, residents who rely in part on disability benefit income must carefully balance their employment earnings. For many, especially those with cognitive difficulties, this is a difficult task with serious consequences for their housing situation. Lack of ADA accessible infrastructure or infrastructure in disrepair further narrows where residents with mobility disabilities can seek housing. Overall, one in three (35 percent) households surveyed through the AI that include a member with a disability live in a home that does not meet the needs of the resident with a disability.

HISTORY OF SEGREGATION IN THE REGION (OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS)

The majority of the data used in this assessment is from the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) prepared for the Sacramento Valley Fair Housing Collaborative in February 2020. The regional study assessed fair housing in cities and unincorporated jurisdictions of Sacramento, Placer, and Yolo counties. The complete AI is available on the County's Fair Housing webpage¹⁵.

The AI includes a summary of the history of housing discrimination in the Sacramento Region based on research by Jesus Hernandez, PhD at the University of California, Davis. Sacramento County, like many other places across the nation, has a past history of systemic segregation and exclusion in housing including practices of:

- Mortgage redlining, leading to disinvestment in low-income areas and area primarily inhabited by People of Color;
- Racially restrictive covenants on housing developments, restricting the access of residents of color to certain areas of the region; and
- Urban renewal programs aimed at redeveloping "blighted" areas or areas primarily inhabited by People of Color.¹⁶

Dr. Hernandez has documented racial covenants, which prohibited People of Color from purchasing or renting a home, in the Sacramento region as early as the 1920s. By the 1940s, these covenants were being reinforced by mortgage redlining practices, which excluded people of color from receiving government-backed home loans with favorable terms.

Due to covenants and redlining, many of Sacramento's people of color were living in the West End neighborhood by 1950. The City then targeted this neighborhood with urban renewal efforts to redevelop "blighted" areas with commercial development. People of color who were displaced from the West End then resettled in other non-covenant restricted areas. This resettlement and the redlining practices that followed resulted in a southwest to northeast pattern of racial covenants that extended beyond the City of Sacramento into the unincorporated County of Sacramento and the region at large (as shown in Figure 9).

Specifically, Dr. Hernandez found that racial covenants spanned from Sacramento's Pocket neighborhood in the southwest including River Park, East Sacramento and Arden- Arcade, and Fair Oaks in the northeast Figure 18. These covenants caused communities of color to settle in areas to the north and south of the City of Sacramento and were prevented from moving to more desirable neighborhoods while non-Hispanic white residents expanded to the northeast suburbs. These government practices of exclusion and disinvestment prevented People of Color from attaining the same intergenerational wealth that white


¹⁵ <https://www.saccounty.net/FairHousing/Pages/default.aspx>

¹⁶ Hernandez, Jesus. (2009). Redlining Revisited: Mortgage Lending Patterns in Sacramento 1930-2004. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. 33. 291-313. 10.1111/j.1468-2427.2009.00873.x.

families achieved. This history is partly why in 2016, the net worth of a median white household (\$171,000) was 10 times that of a median Black household (\$17,150) in America¹⁷.

Mortgage redlining, racially restrictive covenants, and urban renewal programs created the historic patterns of segregation in the Sacramento region but single-family zoning districts are another part of the reason that the region is still segregated today. According to SACOG's Housing Policy Toolkit Appendix: History and Context, Sacramento's historic redlining map of low-risk areas are still predominately zoned as single-family today. These areas also correspond directly with high opportunity areas, or areas identified by HCD as having access to jobs, high-performing schools, and low pollution levels. Single-family zoning districts prevent residential uses that are more affordable from developing in opportunity areas.

Figure 18: Areas with Historic Racially Restrictive Covenants



Source: Hernandez Jesus. (2009) Redlining Revisited: Mortgage Lending Patterns in Sacramento 1930-2004.

Local Data and Knowledge

The unincorporated Sacramento County has similar trends and patterns related to concentrated areas of affluence and racial segregation that occurred elsewhere in the region, state and even the country. The population has changed throughout County history to reflect significant historical events/trends. The

¹⁷ Brookings Institute, "Examining the Black-White Wealth Gap" (2020).

Sacramento region experience substantial change with the impact of the Gold Rush and creation of the rail lines. The non-white immigrants that were hired for mining work then shifted to the agricultural work, domestics, or merchants. This growing population experienced strong anti-immigrant sentiment. During the late 1800s and early 1900s, the rise of large community builders across the U.S. transformed home construction into a nationwide industry with restrictive covenants used as the primary method by which they implemented their planning and design visions (Weiss 1987:3). These covenants places limits on who could use the land (reside), place limits on exchange (ownership), and dictate how the land could be used.

When the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) was created in the 1930s, it marked the shift in local residential mortgage financing. Since FHA financing aided both construction and sales of new homes, developers of new communities in Sacramento during this period readily complied with FHA mandates for racial restrictions on residency. The demand for affordable home financing made possible by the FHA-insured mortgage program created a new market for community builders and expanded the boundaries of racially excluded space beyond the city limits. Developers of new suburban tracts used racial covenants to attract buyers and advertised the use of “wise restrictions” along with FHA Title II financing to assure buyers of the safety of their investment. FHA loan programs during this period helped institutionalize long-standing local realtor practices of racial segregation and played an important role in shaping the city’s residential geography during the 1930s and 1940s. Lenders were unable to sell loans to Fannie Mae unless the mortgaged property had race covenants in place. Consequently, race covenants in property deeds became a standard practice and a necessary condition in the rapidly growing Sacramento housing industry.¹⁸

These trends coincide with the establishment of the Carmichael Colony in Carmichael and the Fair Oaks Sunset Colonies in the early late 1800 to early 1900’s as farming communities and their conversion to suburban communities post World War II with racially restrictive covenants.

CURRENT PATTERNS OF INTEGRATION AND SEGREGATION

Race and Ethnicity

The Sacramento Valley region has grown similarly to the other counties in the SACOG region and has correspondingly increased in diversity. In 2017, non-Hispanic White residents made up 55.7 percent of the population within the Sacramento Valley region, compared to 73 percent in 1990. Figure 9 displays regional patterns of racial and ethnic segregation as of 2010. The 2010 patterns of settlement indicate that most non-White residents (including Black, Asian/Pacific Islander, as well as Hispanic/Latino residents) who live in the unincorporated county live near the cities of Sacramento and Elk Grove. The population within rural, agricultural areas tends to primarily be non-Hispanic White with a greater presence of Hispanic/Latino residents than any other community of color.


Between 2010 and 2018, the percent of the total non-White population for most census block groups in the county increased from 21-40 percent in 2010 to 41-60 percent in 2018. As shown in Figure 10, people of color now comprise a large majority of the population within many block groups correlating to identified communities. The percentage of non-White residents is predominately clustered in the more urban communities of Arden Arcade, South Sacramento and North Highlands/ Foothill Farms. The proportion of people of color is also increasing in the unincorporated areas of Rio Linda and Antelope. These are the areas of the County that historically did not have racially restrictive covenants. The population becomes more White in the suburban areas of Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms, Fair Oaks and the predominately agricultural communities of Southeast and Cosumnes. Portions of these suburban areas were subject to racially restrictive covenants. Orangevale, a suburban/rural residential community located at the northeast corner of the County is the least diverse portion of the County, with portions being less than 20 percent non-White.

As shown in Figure 19, there are far more predominately White census tracts than any other race or ethnicity and these tracts generally follow the Interstate-80 Highway and are outside of city centers in Carmichael, Fair Oaks (areas subject to racially restrictive covenants) and Orangevale. Most Hispanic/Latino

majority census tracts are in the southern areas of the county in the Florin and Rio Vista areas. Asian residents are most predominant in the Florin area as well, north of Elk Grove. Additionally, there are two census tracts with predominant Black populations located in the unincorporated county outside of the northern boundary of the City of Sacramento in the Natomas community.


¹⁷ Hernandez, J. 2021 Race and Place in Sacramento: A Report for the City of Sacramento to support preparation of the Environmental Justice Element of the Sacramento 2040 General Plan Update. JCH Research. Sacramento

Figure 19: Sacramento Racial and Ethnic Distribution, 2013




Source: HCD AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, 2021

Figure 20: Racial Demographics, Sacramento County, 2018



Source: HCD AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, 2021

Figure 21: Racial Predominance, Sacramento County



Dissimilarity Index

A common measure of the magnitude of segregation within a city or county is the dissimilarity index (DI). The DI measures the degree to which two specific groups are distributed across a geographic area. The DI varies between 0 and 100 and measures the percentage of one group that would have to move across neighborhoods to be distributed the same way as the second group. A dissimilarity index of 0 indicates conditions of total integration under which both groups are distributed in the same proportions across all neighborhoods. A dissimilarity index of 100 indicates conditions of total segregation such that the members of one group are located in completely different neighborhoods than the second group.

It is important to note that the DI provided by HUD uses non-Hispanic White residents as the primary comparison group. That is, all DI values compare racial and ethnic groups against the distribution of non-Hispanic White residents and do not directly measure segregation between two minority groups (e.g., Black and Hispanic segregation).

The AI found that segregation in Sacramento County is “moderate” as defined by the DI (Figure 22). However, the AI also notes that segregation in the county has increased each decade since 1990. Overall, the DI shows that 36 percent of all “minority” households would need to relocate neighborhoods in order to be evenly dispersed with non-Hispanic White households. About half (48.5 percent) of all Black households would need to move neighborhoods (census tracts) to be proportionally even. Black segregation has been “above moderate” in the balance of Sacramento County since 1990 and has actually increased in recent years, which means that most Black households live in concentrated, neighboring, or segregated communities. The concentration of Black households, and many communities of color, over a 30-year time period is largely due to the long-term effects of redlining and mortgage discrimination.

The segregation of Asian residents also rose to “moderate” from 2012 to 2013 (the most recent year data are available).

Figure 22: Dissimilarity Index, 2013

| Jurisdiction | Minority/NHW Dissimilarity Index | | Hispanic/NHW Dissimilarity Index | | Black/NHW Dissimilarity Index | | Asian/NHW Dissimilarity Index | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | Index | Rating | Index | Rating | Index | Rating | Index | Rating |
| Citrus Heights | 18.54 | Low | 20.64 | Low | 25.50 | Low | 18.52 | Low |
| Elk Grove | 27.10 | Low | 19.63 | Low | 28.80 | Low | 34.68 | Low |
| Davis | 17.96 | Low | 16.62 | Low | 21.90 | Low | 23.63 | Low |
| Rancho Cordova | 17.87 | Low | 18.52 | Low | 25.16 | Low | 36.80 | Low |
| Roseville | 15.92 | Low | 20.19 | Low | 19.41 | Low | 29.67 | Low |
| Rocklin | 12.74 | Low | 13.44 | Low | 21.48 | Low | 24.21 | Low |
| Balance of Sacramento | 36.41 | Low | 36.76 | Low | 48.52 | Moderate | 45.19 | Moderate |
| City of Sacramento | 37.80 | Low | 39.56 | Low | 44.92 | Moderate | 43.73 | Moderate |
| W. Sacramento | 19.26 | Low | 27.57 | Low | 29.52 | Low | 24.27 | Low |
| Woodland | 21.58 | Low | 22.69 | Low | 30.89 | Low | 39.69 | Low |

Note: NHW is Non-Hispanic White.

Source: Decennial Census 2010 pulled from the HUD Exchange and Root Policy Research.


Disability

The U.S. Census Bureau defines disability as one of the following: hearing difficulty, vision difficulty, cognitive difficulty, ambulatory difficulty, self-care difficulty, and independent living difficulty. As was discussed in the Special Housing Needs section of Chapter 6, People with Disabilities, the average

proportion of unincorporated county residents (total non-institutionalized) living with a disability in 2018 (10 percent) was higher than that of the state (8 percent). Thirty-seven percent of the 43,662 people with a disability in 2018 had an ambulatory difficulty (26 percent) or a self-care limitation (11 percent), which makes it harder to live independently.

Figure 23 shows the population of persons with a disability by census tract in the county using American Community Survey data from 2015-2019. At a regional level, the county is relatively homogenous in that most census tracts have 20 percent or less of their less population living with a disability. Many smaller tracts throughout the northern part of the county have less than 10 percent of people living with a disability. Conversely, there are a few areas with ≤ 40 percent of people living with a disability. The County currently administers a number of programs that serve people with various special needs, including those that have developmental disabilities.

Figure 23: Percent of Population with a Disability, Sacramento County



Source: HCD AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, 2021

Income

Sacramento County is a rapidly growing region of the state and known as a desirable place to raise a family. Like any county, Sacramento has a mix of residents with low- to extremely low- income individuals and families and higher income individuals and families. In 2019, the whole of Sacramento County had a median household income of \$67,151, which was lower than the state (\$75,235). Approximately 17.7 percent of households in the county earned less than \$25,000 in 2019 while 32 percent of households earned more than \$100,000. Compared to 16.4 percent of households earning less than \$25,000 and 37.7 percent earning more than \$100,000 in the state, Sacramento is relatively average for households with lower and higher incomes indicating a need for a variety of housing types affordable to differing incomes but achievable for households with lower to moderate incomes.

Figure 24 shows the geographic distribution of households by median household income by block groups in Sacramento County. Many block groups in the county are less than or equal to the 2020 State Median Income (\$87,100). Households with the highest incomes mostly live outside of the incorporated cities in the more rural parts of the county and in the unincorporated suburban communities to the northeast of the City of Sacramento. This is particularly the case in Arden Arcade, Carmichael, Fair Oaks and Orangevale on larger suburban parcels in closest proximity to the American River. The Planned Community of Rancho Murieta in the eastern portion of the County and the Natomas in the north portion are also areas with the highest incomes. Households with lower incomes are generally north and south of the City of Sacramento in the Arden Arcade and South Sacramento communities and into the Rio Linda/North Highlands area. These areas of income generally follow the areas with racially restrictive covenants in that the wealthier areas tend to be more White and in areas where the covenants were historically in place.

Figure 25 further displays this information by showing the percentage of low to moderate income households by census tract. As displayed in the figure, tracts with the highest percentage of low and moderate income households are located in and around the City of Sacramento (Arden Arcade, South Sacramento, Rio Linda and North Highlands communities) while the lowest percentages (or households with higher incomes) are located in the outer areas of the county. (Rancho Murieta and Southeast community) were properties are larger and predominately rural in nature.

As shown in Figure 26, which displays poverty status by census tract in the county, the areas with the highest percent of population with incomes below the poverty level (40 percent or more) are north and south of the City of Sacramento. While poverty exists throughout the county, it is most concentrated in the unincorporated communities near the City of Sacramento.

Figure 24: Distribution of Median Income by Block Group, Sacramento County, 2015-2019




Figure 25: Percent of Low to Moderate Income Households by Census Tract, Sacramento County, 2015-2019





Figure 26: Poverty Status, Sacramento County, 2015-2019



Familial Status

During the 2015-2019 ACS survey period, Sacramento County had a larger proportion of family households (34.0 percent) compared to the state as a whole (31.3 percent). About 20.4 percent of the total households in Sacramento County (543,025) were married-couple family households with children under 18 years old in the home. Figure 27 displays the percent of children in married couple households in the county of Sacramento. The map generally shows a wide distribution in the percent of children throughout the county who live in households with married-couple families. Lower percentages of children live in married-couple households in the unincorporated communities near the City of Sacramento. It is also clear that higher percentages of children are in married-couple households in the outer county and in the cities of Folsom, Rancho Cordova, and Elk Grove.

Sacramento County had a higher proportion of single parent households with children (10.1 percent) than the state on average (8.7 percent) between 2015-2019. About 7.2 percent of households were headed by a single female. Figure 28 shows the regional distribution of the percent of children in female-headed households with no spouse present. The map indicates less than 20 percent of children in most census tracts throughout the county live in single female headed households with larger percentages located in Arden Arcade, South Sacramento and North Highlands communities. Although the low proportion of children in single-female headed households does not indicate a distinct fair housing issue, this could be a result of the limited supply of housing in the greater county region that is affordable for single-headed, one-income households with children.

Figure 27: Percent of Children in Married Couple Households, Sacramento County





Figure 28: Percent of Children in Female-Headed Households, No Spouse Present; Sacramento County




RACIALLY OR ETHNICALLY CONCENTRATED AREAS OF POVERTY

Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAPs) are neighborhoods in which there are both racial concentrations and high poverty rates. R/ECAPS are used to identify areas where residents may have historically faced discrimination and continue to be challenged by limited economic opportunities. HUD defines R/ECAPs as census tracts with:

- a non-White population of 50 percent or more (majority-minority) or, for non-urban areas, 20 percent, AND a poverty rate of 40 percent or more; OR
- a non-White population of 50 percent or more (majority-minority) AND the poverty rate is three times the average poverty rate for the county, whichever is lower.

Figure 29 displays R/ECAPs in Sacramento County. According to HUD's AFFH tool, the Sacramento Valley region had 22 RECAPs, most which are in the Cities of Sacramento, Davis, and Rancho Cordova. In the unincorporated county, R/ECAPs are located adjacent to the City of Sacramento in the Arden Arcade, North Highlands and South Sacramento communities. These areas are included in the Environmental Justice Communities of North Highlands, West Arden Arcade, South Sacramento and North Vineyard.

Figure 29: Locations of R/ECAPs, Sacramento County, 2013




Source: HUD AFFH Data and Map tool and Root Policy Research.

RACIALLY OR ETHNICALLY CONCENTRATED AREAS OF AFFLUENCE

Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Affluence (RCAAs) are generally understood to be neighborhoods in which there are both high concentrations of non-Hispanic White households and high household incomes. As was discussed previously and shown in Figure 30, non-Hispanic Whites are the most predominant racial/ethnic group in the county, with more predominance outside of city centers and

generally following the Interstate-80 Highway. Similarly, this pattern also applies to households with annual incomes above \$100,000. Figure 20 displays RCAAs in Sacramento County using income and demographic data from the 2015-2019 ACS. Within the unincorporated county, there are three adjoining census tracts in the Arden Arcade community that meet the definition of RCAAs to include neighborhoods such as Sierra Oaks, Wilhaggen, Del Dayo, and Mariemont/Gordon Heights. There are also a few census tracts within the City of Sacramento and the City of Folsom. These census tracts have: (1) an average total White population that is 1.25 times higher than the average total White population in the SACOG region and (2) a median income that is 1.5 times higher than the SACOG area median income (\$86,017).

Figure 30: Location of RCAAS, 2019



Source: American Community Survey (ACS), 2015 - 2019; Original data sourced from PlaceWorks, 2021.

ACCESS TO OPPORTUNITY

Across the nation, affordable housing has been disproportionately developed in minority neighborhoods with high poverty rates, thereby reinforcing the concentration of poverty and racial segregation in low opportunity and low resource areas. Several agencies have developed “opportunity indices” to assess and measure geographic access to opportunities, including HUD; the University of California at Davis, Center for Regional Change; and HCD in coordination with the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee (TCAC). For the purpose of this assessment, the opportunity index prepared by HCD and TCAC is used to analyze access to opportunity in Sacramento County.

HCD/TCAC Opportunity Areas

HCD and TCAC prepare opportunity maps to determine areas with the highest and lowest resources. The TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps are intended to display the areas, according to research, that offer low-income children and adults the best chance at economic advancement, high educational attainment, and good physical and mental health. The primary function of TCAC is to oversee the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program, which provides funding to developers of affordable rental housing. The opportunity maps play a critical role in shaping the future distribution of affordable housing in areas with the highest opportunity.

As shown in Figure 31, most census tracts in the northern unincorporated county (Rio Linda/Elverta, Antelope, and North Highlands communities) are considered low or moderate resource areas by TCAC’s composite score for economic, educational, and environmental opportunities. Many areas in the southern and eastern portions (Cosumnes and Southeast communities) of the unincorporated county are considered high or highest resource.

Educational Opportunity

Education scores in the county show mixed outcomes (Figure 32). The areas north and south of the City of Sacramento, and in the southern county, have the lowest education scores per census tract and are found to have less positive educational outcomes. While unincorporated communities near the cities of Elk Grove and Folsom are shown to have more positive education outcomes. These patterns are generally indicative of patterns across the state.

Proximity to Jobs

The 2014-2017 U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development’s (HUD) job proximity index quantifies the accessibility of a given neighborhood to all jobs within a core-based statistical area (CBSA). Figure 33 shows the job opportunity index ratings for Sacramento County. As shown in the figure, the areas with the closest proximity to jobs (shown in blue) are in and around the Cities of Sacramento and Rancho Cordova. Unincorporated areas in the south, west of Elk Grove, and in the North Highlands area (indicated on the map in red) have the furthest proximity to jobs and must commute further from their homes to their places of work. These are the Delta, Southeast, and Cosumnes communities that are rural in nature and generally lack commercial or industrial job centers requiring many of the residents commute to Sacramento or Elk Grove or be employed in agricultural industries.

Environmental Health

The TCAC/HCD Opportunity Maps measure environmental opportunity using the exposure, pollution burden, and environmental effect indicators from the California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool (more commonly known as CalEnviroScreen 3.0). The areas of less positive environmental outcomes (a score of less than 0.25) align with the Environmental Justice Communities identified by the County Environmental Justice Element, including: South Sacramento, North Highlands, West Arden Arcade, and Vineyard. The Environmental Justice Communities were identified using CalEnviroScreen 3.0 scores based on environmental, health, and socioeconomic factors as well as SACOG’s MTP/SCS, which

identified neighborhoods with high percentages of low income and/or “minority” residents. There are also other areas in the County which have scores of less than 0.25 such as the Cosumnes and Delta Communities, which are rural in nature, and in the Natomas Community. Environmental outcomes tend to be more positive (score of 0.75 and higher) in parts of the Rio Linda, Antelope, Vineyard, Southeast Area Communities of the County as well as part of the incorporated cities of Elk Grove, Folsom and the City of Sacramento.

Access to Transportation

The Low Cost Transportation Index, developed by HUD, estimates the percentage of income that residents use to pay for transportation, measured at the census tract scale. The higher an index score, the lower the cost of transportation. Index scores can be influenced by factors such as access to public transportation, housing density, and proximity of employment centers and other services.


As a whole, Sacramento County has an average index rating of 66, meaning it has lower transportation costs than 66 percent of the nation. In comparison, the average score for California is also 66. As shown in **Figure 34**, transportation is more affordable to residents in the City of Sacramento, South Sacramento, Arden-Arcade, and in the North Highlands and Carmichael communities along the Interstate-80 corridor. These areas have higher index scores (79-99) than the rest of the county and therefore lower transportation costs. Costs are lower because these areas are in closer proximity to the main Interstate Highway, services, and employment centers. They also have ample public transportation choices and have some of the higher housing densities in the county. There was not a clear pattern of disparity associated with the location of low transportation cost neighborhoods and race or ethnicity.

In contrast, there are lower scores in the Natomas, Rio Linda, Cordova/Folsom, and southern Delta communities (40-58), indicating less affordable transportation. This is largely due to their distance from services and employment centers. These areas are also further than ½ mile away from existing light rail and bus stops (**Figure 34**).

It is also important to provide good access to affordable and reliable transportation for people with disabilities to bolster opportunities for education, employment, healthcare, and housing. Figure 35 shows the existing light rail and bus stops within a ¼ mile radius with the percent of people with a disability by census tract in the county. In most census tracts of the county, 20 percent or less of the population has a disability. For residents with disabilities living in the northern county, public transportation is relatively accessible as indicated by the existing light rail and bus stops within a ¼ of a mile. For residents with disabilities in the southern and southeastern communities of the county, travelling via public transportation can be more problematic. South County Transit (SCT) provides four types of service as follows:


- Dial-A-Ride curb-to-curb service within the city limits of Galt between 6:30 am and 6:30 pm Monday through Friday, and between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm on Saturday.
- The *Hwy 99 Express* providing service connecting Galt with the Lodi Transit Center, Elk Grove, and South Sacramento operating Monday through Friday from 5:20 am to 7:20 pm.
- The *Delta Route* providing service from Isleton and other Delta communities to Galt, with connecting service via the Hwy 99 Express to Lodi, Elk Grove, and Sacramento. The Delta Route runs 5 times a day Monday through Friday.
- The *Galt to Sacramento Commuter Express* providing direct service from Galt to midtown and downtown Sacramento on Wi-fi equipped motor coaches running 3 times a day, Monday through Friday.

Figure 31: Locations of TCAC/HCD Opportunity Areas




Source: TCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, 2020

Figure 32: TCAC Opportunity Areas – Education Score by Census Tract




Source: TCAC/HCD Opportunity Area Map, 2020

Figure 33: Job Opportunity Index by Block Group, 2014-2017




Source: HUD, 2014-2017. HCD AFFH Mapping Tool, 2021.

Figure 34: Low Cost Transportation Index



Source: Data downloaded from the Office of Policy Development and Research in 2021 and SACOG in 2021

Figure 35: Population with a Disability and Access to Transit



Source: Data downloaded from the HCD AFFH Viewer in 2021 and SACOG in 2021

DISPROPORTIONATE HOUSING NEEDS AND HOUSING PROBLEMS

Disproportionate housing needs show how access to the housing market differs for members of different classes. Housing problems may include housing cost burden, overcrowding, or substandard housing. Black and Hispanic households in the region have the highest rates of experiencing a housing problem such as cost burden or crowding, while White, non-Hispanic households are the least likely to experience housing problems across the region.

Households that include a member with a disability may experience housing challenges related to needed modifications to the home or accommodations from their housing provider. In Sacramento County, 42 percent of households that include a member with a disability live in a home that does not meet accessibility needs of the member with a disability, which is higher than the regional amount of 35 percent. In Sacramento County, 20 percent of households with disabled members include roommates/friends, nearly twice the regional share (11 percent), which may be indicative of an insufficient supply of affordable and accessible housing for disabled residents. Among Sacramento County resident survey respondents whose household includes a member with a disability, more than one in four (27 percent) are precariously housed, the third highest rate among the participating jurisdictions.

Overpayment

As previously described, overpayment or cost-burdened is defined as households paying more than 30 percent of their gross income on housing related expenses, including rent or mortgage payments and utilities. As shown in Table 22, 59.5 percent of all lower income owner-occupied households and 73.3 percent of lower income renter households in Sacramento County were overpaying for housing in 2017. Figure 36 shows the trends of overpayment for renters in the county from 2010-2014 and Figure 37 shows the same trends from 2015-2019. Since 2010-2014, more renter households per census tract are overpaying throughout the county. Conversely, the average percentage of homeowners overpaying has decreased since 2014 (see Figure 38 and Figure 39). A large percentage of renters who live near the Cities of Sacramento and Elk Grove in the South Sacramento, Vineyard and the southern Delta communities are overpaying for housing; however, almost every county tract has at least 20 percent of renters overpaying for housing. Meanwhile an average 20-40 percent of homeowners per census tract are overpaying throughout the county.

Figure 36; Overpayment by Renters, 2010-2014




Figure 37: Overpayment by Renters, 2015-2019




Figure 38: Overpayment by Homeowners, 2010-2014






Figure 39: Overpayment by Homeowners, 2015-2019



Overcrowding

Overcrowding of residential units, in which there is more than one person per room, can be a potential indicator that households are experiencing economic hardship and are struggling to afford housing. According to ACS data from 2013-2017, at least 8.6 percent of renter households and 2.5 percent of owner households are overcrowded (Figure 40). Figure 30 shows the trends of overcrowded households in the county and most tracts in the county are less than or equal to the statewide average of 8.2 percent. However, there are higher rates of overcrowding in the unincorporated areas north and south of the City of Sacramento (the South Sacramento, Arden Arcade and North Highlands communities) and east and west of the City of Galt the same areas where persons experience increased poverty levels and are overpaying for rent.

Figure 40: Overcrowded Housing



Displacement Risk


The rising cost of housing is becoming an increasingly important housing security issue in the Greater Sacramento region, especially for renters. Gentrification, or the influx of capital and higher-income residents into working-class neighborhoods, is often associated with displacement, which occurs when housing costs or neighborhood conditions force people out and drive rents so high that lower-income people are excluded from moving in. As determined in the AI, 28 percent of unincorporated Sacramento County respondents had been displaced from a housing situation in the Sacramento Valley in the past five years. The most common reasons for displacement—rent increased more than I could pay, personal reasons, landlord selling home, and living in unsafe conditions. African American, Hispanic, and Native American respondents, large families, households with children, and respondents whose household includes a member with a disability all experienced higher displacement rates than regional survey respondents overall.

According to the UC Berkeley Urban Displacement Project, a census tract was flagged as a sensitive community if it met the following criteria as both vulnerable and experiencing market-based displacement pressure:

1. Proportion of very low-income residents was above 20 percent in 2017; and
2. The census tract meets two of the following criteria:
 - a. Share of renters is above 40 percent in 2017;
 - b. Share of people of color is above 50 percent in 2017;
 - c. Share of very low-income households (50 percent AMI or below) that are also severely rent burdened households is above the county median in 2017; or
 - d. Nearby areas have been experiencing displacement pressures.

Areas identified as sensitive contain populations that could be particularly susceptible to displacement in the face of exacerbated market-based pressures at the neighborhood-level. According to these metrics, several census tracts in the unincorporated county are susceptible to displacement partly because of the high proportion of households that are renters and/or low to moderate income. Susceptible census tracts are largely located along the Interstate-80 corridor of the county, around the North Highlands area, and south of the City of Sacramento (generally South Sacramento, Arden Arcade, Carmichael, and the Delta communities) (Figure 41). The Housing Element sites inventory provides for numerous sites in the South Sacramento, North Highlands and Florin area that are sensitive to displacement and have high concentrations of poverty in order to increase the amount of affordable housing and reduce the susceptibility to displacement.

Figure 41: Communities Sensitive to Displacement



OTHER RELEVANT FACTORS

Regional Rates of Homeownership and Loan Denial


Rates of home ownership often vary widely by race and ethnicity, both within local jurisdictions and throughout larger regions. As shown in the AI, the homeownership gap for People of Color in Sacramento County is 36 percentage points for Black ownership, 21 percentage points for Hispanic ownership, and 9 percentage points for Asian ownership. The regional AI also identified that while all groups of color throughout the region generally experience higher rates of mortgage loan denials than non-Hispanic White applicants for each loan purpose (i.e., home improvement, purchase, or refinance), the average denial rate for the county was 17 percent.

In 2017, there were 89,838 loan applications filed in the Sacramento region for owner-occupied homes, 4.7 percent of loans were subprime, which is slightly higher than the national rate of 4 percent. There was a regional denial rate of 17 percent. These denial rates varied substantially by individual census tract. Figure 32 shows the region's denial rate by census tract.

The AI found that Hispanic households are more likely than any other group to receive a subprime loan. Subprime mortgages are a type of housing loan most often given to individuals that have weak credit history. Subprime mortgages carry higher interest rates, and thereby are more expensive, because there is a pre-determined higher risk of default. In Sacramento County, 10 percent of loans originated with Hispanic borrowers as well as non-Asian People of Color were subprime. This is twice the subprime rates of non-Hispanic White borrowers and Asian borrowers. Despite efforts to reform long-standing practices of discrimination in the housing credit system, patterns of inequality still exist. The Great Recession and housing crisis brought to light the unusually high concentration of non-White residents with subprime mortgages and property foreclosures across the country.

According to the AI, low-income households and those receiving Section 8 housing choice vouchers were most likely to experience being denied housing to rent or buy. African American (53 percent), Native American (49 percent), and Hispanic respondents (42 percent) were more likely than non-Hispanic White (27 percent) or Asian survey respondents (21 percent) to have experienced denial of housing to rent or buy. Large families, households that include a member with a disability, and households with children under age 18 all experienced housing denial at rates higher than the region overall. Common reasons for being denied housing among survey respondents included income (including type of income), credit, and eviction history.

Figure 42: Loan Denial Rates by Census Tract, 2017



Source: FFIEC HMDA Raw Data, 2017; Root Policy Research, 2020.

SITES INVENTORY

Communities

Unincorporated Sacramento County is very diverse in its land uses. It has areas dominated by large parcels utilized for agricultural purposes, suburban single-family areas, and denser urban areas with uses ranging from apartments to mansions. This variation in development has led to the 14 community areas within the County

Antelope is a residential community at the northeastern edge of the County identified as Moderate Resource. It became a focus of development in 1985 and was considered an affordable community for young families to buy their first house. Barrett Ranch, the largest remaining undeveloped property, was entitled in 2017 with several major homebuilders currently building the site out with new homes. This development also includes multi-family sites identified for affordable development. Antelope has some larger undeveloped parcels that are identified as candidate rezone sites and are in the county-wide rezone program.

Arden Arcade is one of the most fully developed communities in Sacramento County and offers the most diverse range of housing types, everything from apartments to mansions. This community area includes neighborhoods within all of the resource types. Portions of this community are predominately non-White

and lower to moderate income with 30-40 percent below the poverty line. Conversely, in close proximity are other portions of this community that are greater than 50 percent White and earn greater than the Area Median Income (AMI). Arden Arcade is the only community in the County with a Concentrated Area of Affluence. Developing affordable housing in this community presents a challenge due to the lack of adequately sized vacant parcels.

Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms is a fully developed community 10 miles northeast of downtown Sacramento. It contains low, moderate and high resource areas. Fair Oaks Boulevard is subject to a corridor plan that actively promotes multi-family and mixed-use developments. The Fair Oaks Boulevard Corridor, Manzanita Avenue and Auburn Boulevard are Moderate Resource areas and a focus of the countywide rezone effort. The highest resource area, along the American River Parkway, is developed with single family residences on larger suburban lots and has limited bus service and in some areas less proximate access to commercial or other amenities typically required to compete for affordable housing funding making it difficult to site affordable housing.

Cordova is situated in the geographic center of the County and was split in half by incorporation of Rancho Cordova in 2003. This community is largely Moderate Resource with Low Resource and High Segregation and Poverty areas along Folsom Boulevard. This community is home to the former Mather Air Force Base, with the former airfield primarily used for cargo transportation. Portions have also been extensively mined for sand and gravel, especially in the area of Kiefer and Bradshaw Roads. Large master plans, such as Easton, Glenborough and Mather South, are located in this community and account for a number of affordable sites in the County's inventory. The County has also identified affordable sites near the light rail corridor in this community.

Cosumnes is located in the central eastern portion of the County with the rural communities of Sloughouse, Wilton, and Rancho Murieta. This area is primarily used for agricultural purposes and has extensive biological resources such as vernal pools and oak woodlands. While Cosumnes is considered High and Highest Resource, it is largely outside the Urban Policy Area (UPA) and lacks infrastructure making it infeasible to identify sites compliant with Government Code section 65583.2. The Cordova Hills Master Plan and Rancho Murieta have limited sites that are included in the lower income inventory.

Delta is one of the most fertile and picturesque regions of the County, with agriculture and tourism being the prominent activities. It contains the legacy communities of Locke, Ryde, Courtland, Freeport, Hood and Walnut Grove. While some communities have infrastructure, it is outside the UPA and transit service is limited. Due to these constraints, only moderate and above-moderate sites are identified in this community.

Fair Oaks is a mix of suburban and semi-rural neighborhoods identified as Moderate and High Resource. Multi-family development tend to be clustered along the Sunrise, Fair Oaks and Madison Corridors. Access to a consistent bus route is limited to the Sunrise and Hazel corridors presenting a challenge to finds sites that are in a Moderate or High Resource area while meeting the siting criteria of Government Code section 65583.2.

Natomas is located in the northwest portion of the County. Large portions of unincorporated Natomas are outside the UPA and are not expected to receive urban services in the foreseeable future making it unlikely to develop with affordable housing. There are two master plans in the entitlement process proposing affordable sites, but these require an expansion of the USB and UPA and are not anticipated to see development of units during the Housing Element cycle. Sites for above-moderate housing are included in the housing inventory.

North Highlands/Foothill Farms is identified as High Segregation and Poverty and Low Resource. This community is home to the McClellan Business Park at the former air base that is primarily used for industrial and logistic type businesses. The North Watt Corridor Plan for Watt Avenue will provide for higher density multi-family and mixed-use developments along this corridor. A focus of the County is funding infrastructure

improvements along this corridor to facilitate development as this plan has the capacity for more than 5,000 units. The focus for affordable units in this community is the Watt, Walerga, and Roseville corridors, which still contain vacant parcels. Additional infill multifamily housing units are moving forward on land within the McClellan Field site as either naturally occurring affordable housing or at moderate income levels.

Orangevale is a suburban and semi-rural community that is identified as Moderate and High Resource. This is a desirable community for affordable housing, but finding appropriate sites presents a challenge in part because bus service is only provided along the Hazel, Greenback and Madison corridors. The area north of Oak Avenue contains larger parcels outside the UPA lacking water and sewer infrastructure. This limits the areas for available affordable multi-family development to portions of Hazel, Greenback and Madison corridors, which have limited vacant parcels.

Rio Linda/Elverta contains two large rural residential areas and an active equestrian community, in addition to typical suburban and multi-family housing types. It is identified as Moderate and Low Resource community. The Rio Linda core has been the focus for siting lower income housing sites because of the access to bus service, infrastructure and amenities. The Downtown Rio Linda SPA identifies RD-20 zoned sites that are candidate rezone parcels. The area north of Elverta Road is identified as Moderate Resource; however, it cannot accommodate affordable sites due to the lack of infrastructure in the area. Affordable sites in the Elverta Specific Plan in the previous Housing Element cycle were removed due to the infrastructure uncertainty.

South Sacramento is the most densely populated and diverse of the County's communities. This community is majority Hispanic and Asian. It is also a community with high segregation and poverty with some areas having 30-40 percent of the population below the poverty line. Much of the County's efforts to provide affordable housing are in this community due to the availability of larger undeveloped parcels, acquisition of such sites by affordable builders, the benefits of investments to improve neighborhoods that can come from publicly funded affordable projects, and a focus on reducing displacement and rent over payment. This community has received public infrastructure improvements in an effort to improve multi-modal transportation opportunities for residents. Stockton Boulevard has been identified by both the County and the City as an area with potential for higher density multi-family and mixed-use developments. The County currently has grant funding to explore ways to increase the development potential along the County portions of Stockton Boulevard.

Southeast has little commercial and no urban development. Rural residential development, vineyards and pasture dominate this area. While this community is identified as High Segregation and Poverty and High and Highest Resource, affordable units cannot be placed in this community because it lacks infrastructure, transportation and amenities; therefore, Southeast is assumed to accommodate only above-moderate inventory.

Vineyard has the potential to accommodate significant development, as it has been the focus of several master plans to create new neighborhoods. These plans include the Vineyard Springs Comprehensive Plan, the North Vineyard Station Specific Plan, and the Florin Vineyard Gap Comprehensive Plan. Of these three plans, only the Vineyard Springs area has seen significant development. This community is considered Low, Moderate and High Resource. Given development is largely greenfield, road and water and sewer infrastructure are provided by large residential developers at a greater cost than infill development. The County has taken steps to facilitate development in the North Vineyard Station Specific Plan by amending development triggers. The community has numerous candidate rezones sites and additional affordable units will be provided by developers through a combination of land dedication and fees.

Resource Categories

The County has land area in all of the categories (High Segregation & Poverty to Highest Resource), as identified on the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map. About 49 percent of the land area countywide is considered High or Highest Resource. Most of the land area classified as Highest Resource category is located in the

Cosumnes, Southeast and Delta portions of the County. These areas are rural and do not comply with the AB 1397 criteria for lower-income sites as they lack sewer and water infrastructure, bus service, and proximity to commercial services. One site in the community of Rancho Murietais included in the lower-income sites inventory. However, the remaining sites in these areas are on the above-moderate income level inventory and do not provide sites for the lower-income inventory. The High Resource category also presents a challenge for identifying lower-income sites. The land in this classification is located in the Southeast, Vineyard, Fair Oaks and Orangevale areas of the County. These areas have less access to bus service, water and sewer infrastructure and commercial services than the lower resource areas of the County making it difficult to comply with the AB 1397 criteria for lower-income sites.

The areas of the County with larger, vacant sites available for multi-family development are located in communities of South Sacramento, Vineyard, North Highlands, Antelope, and to a lesser extent Rio Linda/Elverta and Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms. These areas are categorized as High Segregation and Poverty, Low Resource and Moderate Resource. Some adopted Master Plans (Mather South, NewBridge, Easton, Glenborough, and Cordova Hills) are located in the Moderate and High Resource category and will include affordable housing, expanding access to employment opportunities, transportation, and other amenities for lower-income households.

Figure 38 shows the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map in relation to the County's Urban Policy Area (UPA), i.e. the area expected to receive urban services within the time-frame of the current General Plan (2005-2030). Areas outside the UPA, especially the Delta, Cosumnes, Southeast, portions of Natomas, Rio Linda-Elverta and Orangevale lack public sewer and water, making these areas noncompliant with the AB 1397 criteria for siting lower income housing sites. This map identifies the portions of the County that are expected to have urban services during the Housing Element period and presents a more realistic view of where potential development will occur in the County.

Table 69 shows the more realistic percentages of land when narrowed down to areas within the urban area (within the UPA) and also compares that to the percentage of total land based on the TCAC opportunity map. When considering the land area within the UPA, the Highest Resource area is only 8 percent of the County's urban land area as compared to 31 percent of all land. The High Resource category is 20 percent of the urban land area as compared to 18 percent of total land area. The Moderate Resource area is 30 percent of the urban land area as compared to 13 percent of total land area. Table 69: Resource Areas Within the Total County and UPA below compares the land area within each TCAC opportunity category for the entire County and the area inside the UPA.

| Table 69: Resource Areas Within the Total County and UPA | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Resource Category | Percent of Total County | Percent of County Inside UPA | Change |
| High Segregation & Poverty | 7 percent | 11 percent | +3 percent |
| Low Resource | 28 percent | 26 percent | -2 percent |
| Moderate Resource | 13 percent | 30 percent | +17 percent |
| High Resource | 18 percent | 20 percent | +2 percent |
| Highest Resource | 31 percent | 8 percent | -23 percent |

Source: 2021 TCAC Opportunity Maps

Potential Effect on Access to Opportunity as compared to the Entire County Land Area

Figure 43 shows the distribution of the County's sites inventory against the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map. Figure 46 and Figure 47 identify the percent of the lower-income, moderate-income, and above moderate-income sites in each opportunity category and the percent of the County land area in each opportunity category. The majority of the lower-income units are located in the Moderate Resources area, followed by

High Segregation and Poverty and Low Resource areas. Similarly, the majority of the candidate rezone sites (Figure 45) are located in Low Resource and High Segregation and Poverty areas. The majority of moderate- and above-moderate income units are located in the Moderate Resource area, followed by Low Resource and Highest Resource areas. The Highest Resource category has the most land area in the County at 31.1 percent, but one of the lowest percentage of lower-income units (14.3 percent) for the reasons provided above. Conversely, 21.2 percent of the above moderate-income units are located in the Highest Resource category. The County has a disproportionately high percentage of lower-income units (24.7 percent) in the High Segregation and Poverty versus the land area (6.7 percent).

Potential Effect on Access to Opportunity (Within the Urbanized Land Area (UPA))

As described above, focusing on the areas of the County served by infrastructure tells a more accurate story. Only 8% of land within the urban area or UPA is categorized as Highest Resource with an additional 20% of land in the High Resource area; and much of the land is either built out or has been subdivided for single family homes. Figure 48 shows the distribution of the County's sites inventory in relation to the TCAC/HCD Opportunity Map showing the opportunity areas within the UPA. Figures Figure 46 and Figure 47 identify the percent of the lower-income, moderate-income, and above moderate-income sites in each opportunity category and the percent of the County's UPA land area in each opportunity category.

Transitioning to a comparison of the relationship of the land inventory to the Resource areas further refines the analysis. The majority of the lower income units are still located in the Moderate Resource area (30 percent) followed by High Segregation and Poverty (17.4 percent) and Low Resource areas (11 percent). However, it should be noted that the percentage of units in the Highest Resource area increased to eight percent. The majority of moderate- and above-moderate income units are located in the Moderate Resource area, followed by Low Resource and Highest Resource areas. The majority of moderate- and above-moderate income units are located in the Moderate Resource area, followed by Low Resource and Highest Resource areas.

The County understands the need for the rezone program to not contribute to disparities in access to opportunity and to instead improve that access. Policy HE 7.1.3 commits the County to rezone parcels in both the Highest and High Resource areas as well as targeting Moderate Resource areas. This is intended to increase the supply of affordable sites in these areas to affirmatively further fair housing choice. The County's rezone program will accommodate an additional 2,884 lower-income units by both rezoning existing multifamily zoned sites at 20 units per acre (RD-20) to meet State density requirements (e.g. RD-30) and by identifying sufficient new sites to meet the minimum requirements and also providing some oversupply.

Several factors are at play related to the County's ability and approach to focusing new sites in the Highest and High Resource areas. First, as previously noted, a significant portion of the rezone program includes rezoning existing sites from 20 units per acre to 30 units per acre. Because these are existing sites, they cannot be relocated into a higher Resource Area. In addition, appropriate sites (e.g. vacant, comply with AB 1397 criteria, etc.) tend to be scarcer in the Highest and Higher Resource areas.

For example, the largest Highest Resource area within the UPA is a portion of the Arden Arcade area including Sierra Oaks, Wilhaggan, Del Dayo, Mariemont/Gordon Heights and surrounding neighborhoods. This area is developed with single family homes and is not well served by transit. Other High Resource areas include portions of Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms and Fair Oaks. These areas are also largely situated between Fair Oaks Boulevard and the American River outside the Fair Oaks Boulevard Corridor Plan in areas developed with large single family homes that are not served by transit. The High Resource areas in Orangevale are north of Oak Avenue which is outside the UPA and adjacent to the City of Folsom. This area is developed with a mix of suburban and rural estate (ag-res) properties including for keeping of horses and other hobby livestock. The area east of Hazel Avenue and north of Greenback Lane lacks access to consistent transit service and commercial services, in general.

Most of the land within the High and Highest Resource categories are largely developed or lack access to infrastructure or consistent transportation services (e.g. do not meet the AB 1397 criteria). Figure 34

illustrates the location of transit services and the County-wide rezone effort sites will be within the area that is served by transit, which further limits the areas for affordable inventory sites.

Therefore, Program A1 specifies that at least 30 percent of the remaining lower-income RHNA will be sited in Highest and High resource areas. While specific rezone sites have not been identified, the rezone will focus on identifying sites in the Communities of Antelope, Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms, Cordova, Fair Oaks, and Orangevale that are inside the UPA and comply with the AB 1397 criteria.

Finally, a number of the County's key sites most likely to be funded and built as deed-restricted affordable project projects are within several adopted Master Plans and Specific Plans (due to requirements in those adopted Plans for sites to be dedicated to SHRA with payment of fees to facilitate affordable housing construction.) While these new master plans may not be currently within mapped Highest and High Resource areas, the County will be ensuring the buildout of complete communities with a mix of housing types and amenities including affordable housing as part of the design of the new community. This range of housing types, including multifamily, commercial services, and expanded transit is designed to reduce disparities in access to opportunity (Program A4).

Those Housing Element programs intended to address the barriers to fair housing choice identified by the regional AI are included below in the Fair Housing Barriers and Contributing Factors section. However, there are several priority programs that are particularly intended to address inequitable access to opportunities, patterns of segregation, and disproportionate housing needs. These programs are programs A1 Countywide Rezone Program, A4 Master Plans and Multi-Family Housing, B8 Missing Middle Housing (or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing), B11 Rezones, Consolidations/Mergers, E5 Mortgage Credit Certificate Allocations, and E6 Down Payment Assistance and Homebuyer Education and Counseling. The Housing Action Plan Chapter includes a program called Missing Middle Housing or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing (program B8), which requires the County to explore Zoning Code amendments to allow for more missing middle housing types in single family zoning districts. The objective of the program is to develop 400 unit of missing middle housing in High and Moderate Resource areas. The Housing Action Plan Chapter also proposes a Rezones, Consolidations/Mergers program (program B11) intended to facilitate affordable housing development on small parcels or parcels with different zoning districts that may provide naturally occurring affordable housing in commercial or single-family areas that may otherwise remain undeveloped. The Housing Action Plan Chapter also includes Mortgage Credit Certificate Allocations (program E5) and Down payment Assistance (program E6). During the planning period, these programs will provide down payment assistance to 360 moderate- and lower-income households and will be actively promoted in the Environmental Justice Communities of the County.

In addition to these programs, the Constraints Chapter of this element describes current efforts by the County through the SB 2 Planning Grants Program to expand residential uses in single family zoning districts. Some of these efforts include considering changes to the setbacks for multifamily residential development adjacent to single-family development; and considering reducing the hearing authority for certain residential projects through creation of a staff level Special Development Permit.

Figure 43: Inventory Distribution by TCAC Opportunity Area




Figure 44: Lower Income Site Distribution by TCAC/HCD Opportunity Category




Figure 45: Candidate Rezone Sites by TCAC Opportunity Area




Figure 46: Lower, Moderate and Above-Moderate-Income Unit Distribution by TCAC Opportunity Area




Figure 47: Lower, Moderate and Above – Moderate Income Unit Distribution by TCAC Opportunity Area Inside the UPA





Figure 48: TCAC/HCD Opportunity Sites in UPA with Income Category Sites



Potential Effect on Patterns of Segregation

Figure 49 overlays the inventory on a map of the percent of residents that are non-white by census block group. The inventory has the potential to contribute to existing patterns of segregation as many lower-and moderate-income sites are located in areas identified as greater than 41 percent non-white and many above moderate-income sites are located in areas identified as 40 percent or less non-white.

Similarly, as shown in Figure 50, many lower-income and moderate income sites are in areas of the County where 75 percent or more of the population is already at a low- or moderate-income level.

Program A1 will ameliorate this impact by adding additional lower-income sites in High and Moderate Resource areas, which coincide with predominately White census block groups and areas with higher incomes. Program B8 may also result in more naturally occurring affordable housing products in single-family zoning districts. As discussed earlier in this chapter, single-family zoning districts coincide with historically segregated areas. In addition, through program E3, the County has committed to evaluating the Affordable Housing Ordinance and pursuing a feasibility study related to revising the inclusionary provisions. Inclusionary housing provisions have the potential to create integrated, mixed-income communities and to introduce lower-income residents to areas of opportunity.

Figure 49: Income Category Site Distribution by Racial Demographics






Figure 50: Income Category Site Distribution by Low to Moderate Income Population



Potential Effect on Disproportionate Housing Needs

Figure 51 overlays the inventory on a map of communities sensitive to displacement. Many of the same areas which are considered Low Resource areas or areas of High Segregation and Poverty also face disproportionate housing needs such as overpayment, overcrowding, and displacement risk. The County must, therefore, balance the need to create affordable housing opportunities in High and Moderate Resource areas with the need to create affordable housing opportunities in areas which are at risk of displacement and face overpayment and overcrowding. By providing lower-income sites in these areas, the inventory may help to ameliorate the impact of disproportionate housing needs.

Figure 51: Income Category Site Distribution by Communities Sensitive to Displacement



Racism as Public Health Crisis

The Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution declaring racism as a public health crisis in Sacramento County on November 17, 2020. The resolution celebrates the diversity of the County, points to a growing body of research demonstrating that racism is a social determinant of health, and commits the County to eliminating health disparities and achieving health equity for all residents. The resolution specifically commits the County to identifying and implementing solutions to eliminate institutional, structural and systemic racial inequity in all community services provided by the County including homelessness and housing, land use and environment. The approval of the resolution and acknowledgment of the County's responsibility for racial equity constitutes a first step to remedy the health crisis. In preparing this Housing Element, County staff has applied a racial equity lens and identified policies and programs to address barriers to housing choice that create and maintain segregated living patterns and health disparities.

Environmental Justice Element

In addition to providing opportunity for lower-income housing development in areas with more resources, higher income levels, and more positive environmental outcomes, the County must also act to improve areas with less resources, higher poverty rates, and less positive environmental outcomes. In order to meet this need, the Board of Supervisors adopted the Phase 2 Environmental Justice Element on December 17, 2019. The Environmental Justice Element identifies four Environmental Justice Communities (EJ Communities), including North Highlands, North Vineyard, South Sacramento, and West Arden Arcade. The Environmental Justice Element contains policies and implementation measures to address pollution exposure and air quality, access to public facilities, food access, safe and sanitary homes, promotion of physical activity, promotion of civic engagement, and crime prevention.

The Environmental Justice Element in concert with the Housing Element provides policies and programs that address the needs of EJ Communities. One of the keystone policies of the element, EJ-1, is intended to address systemic inequity and divestment by prioritizing EJ Communities for infrastructure and amenities, with the ultimate goal of transforming EJ Communities into areas of opportunity. In addition, policy EJ-2 of the Environmental Justice will result in a comprehensive Community Outreach Strategy in support of an equitable approach to public outreach for all aspects of County governance and delivery of service. This complements Housing Element policies HE 7.1.3 and HE 7.1.5 and is consistent with the Housing Element's goal to promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities for county residents.

The Environmental Justice Element also identified refugee and immigrant populations as needing special consideration in the Housing Element. The Housing Needs Assessment Chapter describes the needs of these populations in more detail. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes several programs that were developed for these populations such as the Refugee Academy and Options to Remove Barriers to Fair Housing Choice (programs G4 and G6).

FAIR HOUSING BARRIERS AND CONTRIBUTING FACTORS

The AI identified the primary housing barriers and the factors that contributed to those barriers. The AI found that Sacramento County residents are less likely to worry about rent and property taxes compared to respondents regionwide and none of the top housing challenges experienced by County residents are higher than those of the region overall.

- **Barrier:** The harm caused by segregation is manifest in disproportionate housing needs and differences in economic opportunity.
 - **Contributing factors:** Past actions that denied housing opportunities and perpetuated segregation have long limited opportunities for many members of protected classes. This continues to be evident in differences in poverty rates, homeownership, and access to economic opportunity throughout the region.
 - **Disproportionate impact:** Black and Hispanic families are more likely to live in and be persistently challenged by poverty. They also have much lower rates of homeownership and, as such, are denied wealth-building that for many decades was afforded to other

residents. Across the board, all People of Color experience higher rates of loan denial than non-Hispanic White applicants for each loan purpose (i.e., home improvement, purchase, or refinance).

- **Addressed by Strategy 1a** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Affordable rental options in the region are increasingly limited.
 - **Contributing factors:** 1) Growth in the region—particularly demand for rental housing—has increasingly limited the areas where low income households can live affordably, evidenced by the high rates of households with disproportionate housing needs. This perpetuates the limited economic opportunity that began with segregation. 2) Constraints on affordable housing development and preservation, ranging from lack of funding, the cost of development or preservation, public policies and processes¹⁸, and lack of adequate infrastructure for infill redevelopment, all constrain the affordable rental market. 3) Suburban areas in the Sacramento Valley are rarely competitive for state or federal affordable housing development funds, further straining the capacity for creation or preservation of affordable rental housing. 4) For residents participating in the Housing Choice or other housing voucher programs, too few private landlords accept vouchers¹⁹. This leads to concentration of vouchers in certain neighborhoods and lack of mobility for voucher holders.
 - **Disproportionate impact:** African American and Hispanic households are most likely to experience housing problems (e.g., cost burden, overcrowding, and homes in substandard condition). For those who have vouchers, they are more likely to live in Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAP neighborhoods) or neighborhoods with lower access to economic opportunity.
 - **Addressed by Strategies 2b, 2d, 2e** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Residents with disabilities need for and lack of access to affordable, accessible housing.
 - **Contributing factors and disproportionate impact:** 1) Insufficient number of mobility and sensory accessible units affordable to people living on SSI/SSDI (i.e., ADA accessible market rate units are unaffordable to those who need them most). 2) Much of the naturally occurring affordable housing stock is older and not accessible to residents with mobility disabilities. 3) Lack of transit access outside of the downtown core further limits the pool of accessible, affordable housing options for transit-dependent residents.
 - **Addressed by Strategy 2c** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Stricter rental policies further limit options.
 - **Contributing factors and disproportionate impacts:** 1) “3x income requirements” for rental units have a discriminatory effect on persons with disabilities whose income is primarily Social Security and Disability Insurance (SSDI), as well as renters who receive income from “unearned” sources such as child support. 2) Voucher tenants are not

¹⁸ Stakeholders discussed a range of public policies and processes that they believe contribute to a lack of affordable rental housing by making it more costly to develop rental housing. In some instances, public policies for environmental review or public comment are wielded by the public to prevent or decrease the density of development. These include CEQA, length of time required to navigate public permitting process (not specific to any jurisdiction; all considered about the same), loss of redevelopment agencies, and prevailing wage requirements.

¹⁹ Effective January 1, 2020, SB 329 and SB 322 require landlords to accept Section 8 Housing Choice vouchers, VASH vouchers, and other forms of rent assistance as part of the applicant’s source of income.

protected under California's source of income protections. 3) Onerous criminal background checks that do not take into account severity of a crime or time period in which it was committed disproportionately impact persons of color, persons with mental illness, and persons in recovery.

- Organizations representing the refugee and immigrant communities also identified this as barrier for these groups during additional stakeholder focus group outreach conducted to prepare this Element.
- **Addressed by Strategy 2a** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Disparities in the ability to access homeownership exist.
 - **Contributing factors:** 1) Past actions that have limited economic opportunity for certain residents (i.e., redlining, lending discrimination, other barriers to wealth). 2) Disparities in access to lending, including home improvement and refinance products.
 - **Disproportionate impact:** Analysis of lending data finds that denial rates for Hispanic applicants (24 percent) and other non-Asian minority groups (24 percent) were significantly higher than for non-Hispanic White applicants (15 percent), and gaps persist (albeit narrower) after controlling for income. Across the board, all minority groups experience higher rates of loan denial than non-Hispanic White applicants for each loan purpose (i.e., home improvement, purchase, or refinance).
 - **Addressed by Strategy 1a and 2f** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Public transportation has not kept up with growth.
 - **Contributing factors:** Outside of the downtown Sacramento "grid" public transportation has not kept up with regional growth and lacks inner and intra city connections. Costs are high, especially for very low income households.²⁰
 - **Disproportionate impact:** A lack of access to affordable public transportation (e.g., routes, connections, days/hours of service) is the 2nd most frequently cited barrier to economic opportunity mentioned by members of protected classes. (Lack of affordable housing was consistently the top barrier identified by residents and stakeholders.)
 - **Addressed by Strategy 3a** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Educational inequities persist in the region.
 - **Contributing factors and disproportionate impacts:** 1) Housing prices near high performing schools and school districts are out of reach for many low and moderate income families. 2) In north and south Sacramento and in Woodland, children from predominantly African American and Hispanic neighborhoods are less likely to attend proficient schools. 3) Impact of 2013 education equity reforms (e.g., Local Control Funding Formula, Smarted Balanced Assessment System, educator prep standards) not yet fully realized. 4) Disparities in discipline/suspension rates of African American, Latino, and special needs children.
 - **Addressed by Strategy 2e** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).

²⁰ Note that all community engagement and publicly available data on access to public transit was collected prior to SacRT Forward implementation on September 8, 2019. Implementation should be carefully monitored to assess impacts on members of protected classes and the extent to which this impediment is mitigated with implementation of SacRT Forward.

- **Barrier:** Disparities in labor market engagement exist.
 - **Contributing factors and disproportionate impact:** 1) Unequal school quality across the region disproportionately disadvantages low and moderate income families. 2) Lack of economic investment directed to building skilled earning capacity in communities of color. 3) Lower rates of educational attainment with persons of color. 4) Lack of market rate job opportunities for people with disabilities.
 - **Addressed by Strategy 3b** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).
- **Barrier:** Residents with disabilities lack access to supportive services and a spectrum of housing options to enable them, especially those with mental illness, to achieve and maintain housing stability.
 - **Contributing factors and disproportionate impact:** 1) Lack of affordable housing. 2) Significant state budget cuts since the 1990s with little progress toward funding restoration. 3) Lack of funding for case management, mentors, other peer-supported services to support navigating systems and independent living skill development. 4) Loss of naturally occurring affordable housing options, including boarding homes, other small group living environments.
 - **Addressed by Strategy 3c** (discussed further in the section below titled Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items).

Table 70: Regional Goals and Sacramento County Action Items

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|---|
| Patterns of Segregation based on race and incomes perpetuated by R/ECAP's and Concentrated Areas of Affluence | <p>Past actions that denied housing opportunities and perpetuated segregation (redlining, racially restrictive covenants) have long limited opportunities for many members of protected classes. This continues to be evident in differences in poverty rates, homeownership, and access to economic opportunity throughout the region.</p> <p>Black and Hispanic families are more likely to live in and be persistently challenged by poverty. They also have much lower rates of homeownership and, as such, are denied wealth-building that for many decades was afforded to other residents. Across the board, all People of Color experience higher rates of loan denial than non-Hispanic White applicants for each loan purpose (i.e., home improvement, purchase, or refinance).</p> | <p>Strategy 1a. Support development or resale of affordable homeownership opportunities through a variety of approaches, such as developer incentives, providing assistance and resources to support low-income homebuyers, continuing to administer existing down payment assistance loans, and affirmatively marketing to under-represented potential homeowners.</p> <p>Implement the Housing Incentive Program (HIP) to encourage the construction of affordable housing and housing for special needs groups as identified in State housing element law. The County will consider amendments to the program to further incentivize housing and to meet the housing needs of County residents. The County will also amend the Zoning Code to incorporate changes to State Density Bonus Law. The target for this program is 100 additional units. (Program E4).</p> <p>Mortgage Credit Certificate Allocations: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will seek Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) allocations from the State of California and funding from the California</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Housing Finance Agency to provide low interest loans and down payment assistance for first-time low- and moderate-income homebuyers. The County will promote the MCC program to areas sensitive to displacement and the residents of the Environmental Justice Communities, who are most likely to have been historically excluded from homeownership by mortgage redlining practices. This program will assist 20 households annually (Program E5).</p> <p>Down Payment Assistance and Homebuyer Education and Counseling: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will continue to apply to State HCD for the CalHOME Program to provide down payment assistance. The County will promote the CalHome Program to areas at sensitive to displacement and the residents of the Environmental Justice Communities and who are most likely to have been historically excluded from homeownership by mortgage redlining practices. SHRA will also continue its contracts with approved housing counseling agencies that offer homebuyer education, counseling and post purchase counseling for all homebuyer programs administered by SHRA. Assist 25 households annually. Provide homebuyer education and counseling to 150 households annually. (Program E6.)</p> <p>In order to facilitate development of affordable housing units, the County will adopt amendments to the existing Affordable Housing Fee Deferral and Waiver Program. The County may also consider adopting additional fee waiver or deferral programs. The target is to defer or waive fees for 300 units annually (Program B10.)</p> <p>Master Plans and Multifamily Housing: The County will adopt master plans, including specific and comprehensive plans, which provide a variety of residential densities, including those densities that support multifamily housing. To facilitate the development of housing for lower-income households, master plan communities must:</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>Develop a minimum of 30 percent of the proposed dwelling units at a density of at least 30 dwelling units per acre (RD-30) or greater.</p> <p>Include multifamily zoned (RD-30+) parcels 3 to 10 acres in size.</p> <p>Pursuant to General Plan Policy LU-120 master plan contribute their "fair share" of adequate sites for the lower income inventory.</p> <p>Provide a variety of housing types and densities, including single-family homes, duplexes, triplexes, accessory dwelling units, townhomes, condominiums, apartments and similar multi-family units, in a variety of settings including both residential neighborhoods and mixed use nodes. (Program A4)</p> <p>Accessory Dwelling Unit Promotion and Compliance: The County will promote the production of ADU's/JADU's by providing the public a brochure on ADU/JADU standards and permitting requirements, as well as a technical manual on designing, building and renting accessory dwellings that will be available at the Building Assistance Center (BAC). This information will also be available on a webpage devoted to ADU/JADU on the County's website. Outreach to the Building Industry Association (BIA) will also occur to promote the development and production of ADU's/JADU's as part of residential developments. The County will track the creation of ADU's/JADU's and will determine if it is meeting production estimates by the planning period midpoint. Targeted outreach to promote ADU construction will also occur in moderate, high, and highest resource areas of the County. The County will review and ensure that the ADU ordinance is in full compliance with state law and amend the County's ordinance as necessary to ensure ongoing compliance. (Program E9)</p> <p>Environmental Justice Element and Place Based Strategies</p> <p>The Environmental Justice Communities are North Highlands, West Arden Arcade, South Sacramento, and North Vineyard. These communities correspond with areas identified in</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>the Housing Elements as being disproportionately segregated and lower income.</p> <p>The Environmental Justice Element contains Goals and Policies relating to:</p> <p>Increasing youth activities.</p> <p>Increasing job opportunities</p> <p>Increasing access to healthy foods</p> <p>Increase access to safe and sanitary homes</p> <p>These Goals and Policies intend to implement a place-based –strategy that affirmatively furthers fair housing in the High Segregation and Poverty and Low to improve these communities to provide greater access to quality, affordable housing.</p> |
| Affordable rental options in the region are increasingly limited. | <p>1) Growth in the region—particularly demand for rental housing—has increasingly limited the areas where low income households can live affordably, evidenced by the high rates of households with disproportionate housing needs. This perpetuates the limited economic opportunity that began with segregation.</p> <p>2) Constraints on affordable housing development and preservation, ranging from lack of funding, the cost of development or preservation, public policies and processes²¹, and lack of adequate infrastructure for infill redevelopment, all constrain the affordable rental market.</p> <p>3) Suburban areas in the Sacramento Valley are rarely competitive for state or federal affordable housing development funds, further straining</p> | <p>Strategy 2a. Encourage reasonable policies for tenant criminal history, rental history, and credit history. Educate landlords and developers who benefit from public funding and development incentives to adopt reasonable policies on tenant criminal history, and to consider applicants with poor rental/credit histories on a case-by-case basis, as detailed in the April 4, 2016 HUD Guidance on Criminal History²³</p> <p>Strategy 2b. Increase affordable housing opportunities. Implement strategies that improve progress in meeting the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) in all four income levels (very low, low, moderate, above moderate)</p> <p>Strategy 2e. Encourage residential infill opportunities. Increase residential infill opportunities through changes in zoning and long range plans, including opportunities to add to the housing stock through “gentle density”</p> |

²¹ Stakeholders discussed a range of public policies and processes that they believe contribute to a lack of affordable rental housing by making it more costly to develop rental housing. In some instances, public policies for environmental review or public comment are wielded by the public to prevent or decrease the density of development. These include CEQA, length of time required to navigate public permitting process (not specific to any jurisdiction; all considered about the same), loss of redevelopment agencies, and prevailing wage requirements.

²³ https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/HUD_OGCGUIDAPPFHASTANDCR.PDF

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|---|
| | <p>the capacity for creation or preservation of affordable rental housing.</p> <p>4) For residents participating in the Housing Choice or other housing voucher programs, too few private landlords accept vouchers²². This leads to concentration of vouchers in certain neighborhoods and lack of mobility for voucher holders.</p> <p>African American and Hispanic households are most likely to experience housing problems (e.g., cost burden, overcrowding, and homes in substandard condition). For those who have vouchers, they are more likely to live in Racially or Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAP neighborhoods) or neighborhoods with lower access to economic opportunity.</p> | <p>(affordable attached homes and innovative housing solutions).</p> <p>Contract with fair housing service providers to provide the Renters Helpline (or an equivalent service), a telephone and web-based resources that provides fair housing education, landlord-tenant mediation, and legal guidance. The Renters Helpline refers complaints of housing discrimination to other fair housing providers and State/Federal agencies involved in fair housing. The County will continue to promote fair housing information and the Renters Helpline through the Fair Housing Information and Resources webpage. The target is for this program will help resolve at least 30 disputes for the County.(Program G3)</p> <p>Tenant Protections: The County will study just-cause eviction ordinances or other programs to help keep precariously housed tenants in their homes and present findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. (Program G5.)</p> <p>Research Options to Remove Barriers to Fair Housing Choice. The County will study Fair Chance Housing Ordinances or other methods to limit the use of criminal records by property owners during the screening of prospective tenants. The County will study best practices for affordable alternatives to up-front payments of security deposits or other prepaid rent such as grants or a low- or no-interest loan to the tenant. The County will present best practices and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. (Program G6.)</p> <p>Evaluate the effectiveness of the Affordable Housing Ordinance in producing affordable housing units by 2023 and consider amendments based on the evaluation. Increase the effectiveness of the Affordable Housing Ordinance by modifying the owner-builder exemption, which is costly for staff and the public to implement and reduces the amount of funds collected to build affordable housing. Ensure the</p> |

²² Effective January 1, 2020, SB 329 and SB 322 require landlords to accept Section 8 Housing Choice vouchers, VASH vouchers, and other forms of rent assistance as part of the applicant's source of income.

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|---|
| | | <p>ordinance generates funds equivalent to 10 percent of the new units constructed in the County. (Program E3)</p> <p>Countywide Rezone Program: To accommodate the remaining lower-income RHNA of 2,884 units, the County will identify and rezone at least 164 acres of land to allow multifamily residential uses by-right, at a minimum density of 20 units per acre and a maximum density that allows at least 30 units per acre. The County will rezone sites equivalent to 30 percent of the remaining lower-income RHNA along commercial corridors in High and Highest and moderate resource areas to affirmatively further fair housing choice. (Program A1)</p> <p>Infill Program: The County will further work on a program to encourage infill development in the County that will ultimately increase and accelerate the production of housing by identifying and assessing infill sites and removing identified barriers through accomplishing the following tasks. Incentivizing infill in the moderate, high and highest opportunity areas will enhance housing mobility and affordability in high opportunity areas.</p> <p>Define infill sites.</p> <p>Assess/develop a comprehensive inventory of residential and commercial infill sites.</p> <p>Analyze existing regulations and codes to assess the impediments to development.</p> <p>Develop incentives/strategies to maximize infill opportunities in the unincorporated areas of Sacramento County, especially Environmental Justice Communities and Moderate, High, and Highest Resource areas of the County.</p> <p>Analyze and address disconnects between the Zoning Code and the Design Guidelines.</p> <p>Identify and provide incentives to develop Business Professional Office (BP), Limited Commercial (LC) and Shopping Center (SC) zoned properties with multi-family residential projects.</p> <p>This program targets development of 200 units during the planning period. (Program A6)</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|--|---|---|
| | | <p>Grants for Infill Development: The County will continue to pursue grant opportunities that remove or reduce barriers to infill development. Apply for at least one grant per year. (Program A7)</p> <p>Missing Middle Housing (or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing): The County will consider amendments to the Zoning Code to allow for more missing middle housing (or naturally occurring affordable housing) types in a broader range of zoning districts, including single family zoning districts, and amendments to development standards to accommodate these housing products. This program targets development of 400 missing middle housing units in moderate or high resource areas during the planning period. (Program B8)</p> <p>Rezones, Consolidations/Mergers: The County will consider reducing or eliminating fees for Rezone entitlements to RD-30 and above to facilitate developments where at least 20 percent of the units are affordable. The County will develop siting criteria for these projects. The County will also consider reducing or eliminating consolidation fees for multi-family developments where a consolidation is necessary to facilitate development of the parcels and at least 20 percent of the proposed units are affordable. If these fee reductions are not adopted, the County will implement other measures to streamline or incentivize rezones, consolidations or mergers for developments where at least 20 percent of the units are affordable. This program targets facilitating the development of 250 affordable units (Program B11).</p> <p>See also Programs D10 Tiny Homes and E9 ADU's.</p> |
| <p>Residents with disabilities need for and lack of access to affordable, accessible housing.</p> <p>Residents with disabilities lack access to supportive services and a spectrum of housing options to</p> | <p>1) Insufficient number of mobility and sensory accessible units affordable to people living on SSI/SSDI (i.e., ADA accessible market rate units are unaffordable to those who need them most).</p> <p>2) Much of the naturally occurring affordable housing stock is older and</p> | <p>Strategy 2c. Increase housing units that are both accessible and affordable to people with disabilities. Identify strategies for increasing units that are accessible to people with mobility and/or sensory disabilities in housing elements. Increasing accessible opportunities for people with disabilities may include providing resources for accessibility modification of existing units.</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|--|--|
| enable them, especially those with mental illness, to achieve and maintain housing stability. | <p>not accessible to residents with mobility disabilities.</p> <p>3) Lack of transit access outside of the downtown core further limits the pool of accessible, affordable housing options for transit-dependent residents.</p> <p>1) Lack of affordable housing.</p> <p>2) Significant state budget cuts since the 1990s with little progress toward funding restoration.</p> <p>3) Lack of funding for case management, mentors, other peer-supported services to support navigating systems and independent living skill development. 4) Loss of naturally occurring affordable housing options, including boarding homes, other small group living environments.</p> | <p>Strategy 3c. Reduce housing instability by closing service gaps. Partner with mental health, recovery, and disability service providers to develop strategies for filling gaps in services and housing types to prevent housing instability and risk of reinstitutionalization.</p> <p>Financial Assistance for Emergency Repairs and Retrofitting of Homes: The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will provide financial assistance for emergency repairs and disabled retrofitting for homes occupied by seniors and lower income residents designed to achieve neighborhood improvement objectives. Priority will be given to funding repairs in Environmental Justice Communities and those sensitive to displacement. Target repair of 432 homes for very low- and low-income households through Emergency Repair Grants and Retrofit Assistance Grants or similar grants. (Program C2)</p> <p>Universal Design: The County will convene a working group consisting of advocates and service providers for people with disabilities and seniors, the Building Industry Association, and other stakeholders to advise the County on a Universal Design program. The program will include an evaluation of the lack of new accessible units, incentives to encourage Universal Design concepts, and targeted outreach to developers. Target the development of 450 accessible units over the Housing Element period. (Program D1)</p> <p>Housing Incentive Program: The County will implement the Housing Incentive Program (HIP) to encourage the construction of affordable housing and housing for special needs groups as identified in State housing element law. The County will consider amendments to the program to further incentivize housing and to meet the housing needs of County residents. The County will also amend the Zoning Code to incorporate changes to State Density Bonus Law. Incentivize multifamily developers to increase the number of affordable units and/or units for special needs groups in their projects. This program targets developing 100 additional units during the Housing Element period. (Program E4)</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>In-Home Supportive Services: The County will continue to administer the In-Home Supportive Services program. This program serves aged, blind, or disabled persons what are unable to perform activities of daily living and cannot remain safely in their homes without help.</p> <p>Supportive Living Uses: The County will amend the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses, including boarding houses, transitional housing, scattered shelters, residential care homes, and nonconforming dwelling units to take a more comprehensive approach to supportive housing consistent with changes to State law to increase housing, especially for special needs groups, including people with developmental disabilities. The Zoning Code amendments are as follows:</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to add a definition of “family” and amend the definition of “single household” consistent with the County’s obligation to AFFH.</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to allow Low Barrier Navigation Center developments by right in mixed-use zones and nonresidential zones permitting multifamily uses.</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to allow for the approval of 100 percent affordable developments that include a percentage of supportive housing units, either 25 percent or 12 units, whichever is greater, to be allowed without a conditional use permit or other discretionary review in all zoning districts where multifamily and mixed-use development is permitted consistent with Government Code section 65651.</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to include methods to increase housing options for persons with disabilities that have tangible regulatory policies, as required by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to expand the definition of the existing residential care home category, revise the boarding house category, and create new categories to address non-licensed recovery residences and skilled nursing in-home settings.</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to clearly define supportive and transitional housing and ensure</p> |

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|--|--|
| | | <p>these housing types are permitted as a residential use in all zones allowing residential uses subject only to the restrictions that apply to residential dwellings of the same type in the same zone.</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code requirement to clearly define scattered shelters and facilitate removal of barriers to better address the needs of our homeless population.</p> <p>Amend the Zoning Code to provide consistency with the corresponding regulations administered by the appropriate State agency, with the intention to provide for more efficient regulation of residential related uses.</p> <p>Review and amend the Zoning Code, as necessary, to ensure requirements for group homes of more than six persons are consistent with State law and fair housing requirements. (Program D9)</p> |
| Stricter rental policies further limit options | <p>1) "3x income requirements" for rental units have a discriminatory effect on persons with disabilities whose income is primarily Social Security and Disability Insurance (SSDI), as well as renters who receive income from "unearned" sources such as child support.</p> <p>2) Voucher tenants are not protected under California's source of income protections.</p> <p>3) Onerous criminal background checks that do not take into account severity of a crime or time period in which it was committed disproportionately impact persons of color, persons with mental illness, and persons in recovery.</p> | <p>Strategy 2a. Encourage reasonable policies for tenant criminal history, rental history, and credit history. Educate landlords and developers who benefit from public funding and development incentives to adopt reasonable policies on tenant criminal history, and to consider applicants with poor rental/credit histories on a case-by-case basis, as detailed in the April 4, 2016 HUD Guidance on Criminal History²⁴.</p> <p>See programs G3. Renters Helpline and G5 Tenant Protections</p> <p>Research Options to Remove Barriers to Fair Housing Choice. The County will study Fair Chance Housing Ordinances or other methods to limit the use of criminal records by property owners during the screening of prospective tenants. The County will study best practices for affordable alternatives to up-front payments of security deposits or other prepaid rent such as grants or a low- or no-interest loan to the tenant. The County will present best</p> |

²⁴ https://www.hud.gov/sites/documents/HUD_OGCGUIDAPPFHASTANDCR.PDF

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|---|
| | | practices and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. (program G6) |
| Public transportation has not kept up with growth | <p>Outside of the downtown Sacramento “grid” public transportation has not kept up with regional growth and lacks inner and intra city connections. Costs are high, especially for very low income households.²⁵</p> <p>A lack of access to affordable public transportation (e.g., routes, connections, days/hours of service) is the 2nd most frequently cited barrier to economic opportunity mentioned by members of protected classes. (Lack of affordable housing was consistently the top barrier identified by residents and stakeholders.)</p> | <p>Strategy 3a. Improve infrastructure and public transportation access in disadvantaged communities (as applicable). Upgrade underground infrastructure that is required to develop residential units. Advocate for or improve the availability and frequency of public transportation to connect disadvantaged communities to jobs, schools and essential services.</p> <p>Seek and Attain Funding for Improved Public Infrastructure. The County will continue to seek and attain funding for improved public infrastructure and services, including water, sewer, curbs, gutter, sidewalks, landscaping, and lighting to revitalize commercial corridors as Notices of Funding Availability (NOFA) are released. Improvements will be prioritized in Environmental Justice Communities and areas sensitive to displacement based on identified needs. This program targets applying for two grants per year. (Program C8)</p> |
| Educational inequities persist in the region | <p>1) Housing prices near high performing schools and school districts are out of reach for many low and moderate income families.</p> <p>2) In north and south Sacramento and in Woodland, children from predominantly African American and Hispanic neighborhoods are less likely to attend proficient schools.</p> <p>3) Impact of 2013 education equity reforms (e.g., Local Control Funding Formula, Smarted Balanced Assessment System, educator prep standards) not yet fully realized. 4) Disparities in discipline/suspension rates of African American, Latino, and special needs children.</p> | <p>Strategy 2e. Encourage residential infill opportunities. Increase residential infill opportunities through changes in zoning and long range plans, including opportunities to add to the housing stock through “gentle density” (affordable attached homes and innovative housing solutions). See Programs</p> <p>A1. Countywide Rezone Program</p> <p>A6. Infill Program</p> <p>A7. Grants for Infill Development</p> <p>B8. Missing Middle Housing (or Naturally Occurring Affordable Housing)</p> <p>B11. Rezones, Consolidations/Mergers</p> <p>E 9. ADU's</p> |

²⁵ Note that all community engagement and publicly available data on access to public transit was collected prior to SacRT Forward implementation on September 8, 2019. Implementation should be carefully monitored to assess impacts on members of protected classes and the extent to which this impediment is mitigated with implementation of SacRT Forward.

| Assessment of Fair Housing Identified Issue/Barrier | Contributing Factor/Disproportionate Impact | Meaningful Actions/Metrics |
|---|---|--|
| Disparities in labor market engagement exist. | <p>1) Unequal school quality across the region disproportionately disadvantages low and moderate income families.</p> <p>2) Lack of economic investment directed to building skilled earning capacity in communities of color. 3) Lower rates of educational attainment with persons of color. 4) Lack of market rate job opportunities for people with disabilities.</p> | <p>Strategy 3b. Connect low-income residents to job opportunities. Improve connections between low-income populations, especially Public Housing residents, and employment opportunities.</p> <p>This strategy will be implemented, in part, through SHRA's Section 3 program that requires SHRA to ensure that employment, recruitment, and training are directed to public housing residents and other low-income persons, to the greatest extent feasible.</p> |

APPENDIX A: OUTREACH MEETING SUMMARIES

| Sacramento County Housing Element Focus Group Summary May, June and October 2020 | |
|--|--|
| Housing for People with Disabilities - May 5, 2020 | |
| Challenges Identified | |
| Housing affordability; aging housing stock; limited affordable and accessible housing stock; lack of training for HUD housing providers; multiple disputes with landlords/property owners regarding disability requirements (e.g., clear path of travel, accessible parking spaces, common spaces etc.); renters do not know their rights; physical, systemic and economic barriers. | |
| Additional Data Sources | |
| Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) data for reasonable accommodations; data from housing providers, advocates, or legal aid groups to understand the type of reasonable accommodation requests being received (both the overall volume and range of issues). | |
| Resources | |
| 211 phone line and Resources for Independent Living (RIL) Sacramento has a resource list available online. | |
| Best Practices/Recommendations to the County | |
| Expand on existing Universal Design program; incentivize development of additional accessible units; develop a system to share new accessible units that are built with stakeholders; encourage higher than the minimum Building Code requirements for developing accessible units; streamline the process for developing accessible units – consider fee waivers or deferrals; resources to educate landlords and solutions for long term tenants; incentivize modifications to existing housing stock to make it accessible. | |
| Disability Advisory Committee - May 5, 2020 | |
| Challenges Identified | |
| New home construction is still inaccessible and costly to modify/retrofit after the fact; affordability; Universal Design to include aging in place; housing is not near mass transit; difficulty finding housing utilizing Section 8 vouchers; need more subsidized housing; reasonable accommodations are hard to obtain as a renter; the need for more housing units that are affordable and accessible. | |
| Additional Data Sources | |
| Veteran Affairs; Wellness and Recovery Center North. | |
| Resources | |
| County and State resources; Alta Regional; Capital People First; Disability Rights California; California Congress of Seniors; AARP; RIL Sacramento. | |
| Best Practices/Recommendations to the County | |
| Increase accessibility in existing multi-family units/communities; consolidate Housing Element resources in one location – e.g. 311; training for homeless housing managers to understand disabled needs in shelters; revise In Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program to obtain more qualified caretakers, update the list of caretaker and provide clients with sufficient hours. | |
| Farmworker Housing - June 4, 2020 | |
| Challenges Identified | |
| Lack of affordable housing; overcrowding; substandard housing conditions; distance from services and resources with no transportation; housing provided by employer which means that workers are unwilling to report substandard housing issues for fear of losing job. | |

| |
|---|
| <i>Additional Data Sources</i> |
| Surveys from farmworker groups; farm labor contractors; Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) data on Rural-Urban Connections; American Communities Survey (ACS); CA Rural Legal Assistance; Agriculture Commissioner crop data; and California Institute of Rural Services. |
| <i>Resources</i> |
| N/A |
| <i>Best Practices/Recommendations to the County</i> |
| To see what other jurisdictions are doing about this population; promote ADU development; utilize motels/hotels for temporary farmworker housing; proactive inspection process with code enforcement (do not wait for complaints); USDA Financing opportunities (developers, homebuyers, counseling programs); statewide rural development administration; conduct a farmworker housing study; H2A ordinance. |
| Senior Housing - June 10, 2020 |
| <i>Challenges Identified</i> |
| Lack of affordable housing; the type of housing available has accessibility issues and does not meet the social and emotional needs of older adult residents; senior housing placed on the outskirts of communities away from amenities and social activities/interactions; existing housing has long wait lists; unregulated private room rentals lead to lack of safety; need to welcome and honor various cultural communities within older adult housing; and housing is too expensive for a fixed income. |
| <i>Additional Data Sources</i> |
| SACOG data; ACS data. |
| <i>Resources</i> |
| Programs of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) Medicare program to allow elderly to stay in their community and receive medical and social services including access to healthy food and transportation. |
| <i>Best Practices/Recommendations to the County</i> |
| Encourage multigenerational complexes (CR Health Foundation) because it will help to draw away the stigma that older adults need help and creates socialization for all levels; explore Medicare funding to create cohousing; encourage affordable housing; remove single family density zoning; and, allow multifamily/higher density housing projects to be permitted by right. |
| Goals, Policies and Programs - May 7, 2020 |
| <i>Revisions to Existing Policies</i> |
| Increase Housing Trust Fund fees; re-evaluate a fee only program (Affordable Housing Ordinance); conduct a Housing Trust Fund nexus study to look at funding for senior and permanent supportive housing – not just work force housing; implement a requirement to build affordable housing; strengthen streamlining for multi-family and affordable projects; Community Planning Advisory Councils (CPAC) need to be revised to reduce barriers to housing; use CDBG funds to help low and moderate income homeowners create accessory dwelling units (ADU) and pair with loan deferrals or a forgivable loan program when ADUs are rented out to low-income households. |
| <i>New Program Ideas</i> |
| Programs needed to keep people (particularly renters) in their homes such as eviction protections; homeless diversions due to income insecurity; aging in place programs; funds to increase accessibility in existing housing stock; Universal Design; prepare presentation on the cost/benefit of Universal Design to provide to developers; Countywide age-friendly communities initiative; tenant protection (such as just cause eviction protections); revisit and effectively utilize County Rent Escrow Account Program; infill development and rezones to increase capacity near transit; Housing Incentive Program (HIP) amendments; the need for fair housing analysis in Housing Element, access programs, strengthen rental housing inspection program, and a recommendation to talk with people experiencing homeless to understand their lived experience; Housing Element needs direct responses to COVID-19 impacts. |
| <i>Existing Programs to be Continued</i> |

| |
|---|
| Encourage Transportation Oriented Design Action Plan and development. |
| <i>Best Practices/Recommendations to the County</i> |
| City of Napa is funding ADUs using CDBG funds; City of Sacramento is reforming Planning Commission approval process for multi-family projects; the Housing Element Updates are aspirational and performance measures/goals need to be provided to demonstrate measurable improvements. |
| Housing and Services for People Experiencing Homelessness - May 28, 2020 |
| <i>Barriers to Housing and Services</i> |
| Nimbyism and xenophobia; general opposition; broader community education effort is needed to help the public to accept different types of housing; lack of local match money. |
| <i>Incentives</i> |
| Reduce fees and provide housing incentives; provide a cohesive set of standards across jurisdictions; permit tiny homes and alternative housing types; and allow more flexibility in the types of housing, such as campgrounds, because not everyone does well in traditional housing. |
| <i>Data Sources</i> |
| Recommend reaching out to surrounding colleges (e.g., Sacramento State and community colleges) because schools count students that are homeless; County Board of Education. Both of these sources use a different definition of homelessness than U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). |
| <i>County's Role</i> |
| Have open discussion with communities about what it would take to support homeless services; provide services on site so that it would accessible to those that need it; protection for housing and service providers; leadership from the "top down" for homeless programs; do not deviate from the Housing Element plans despite objections; offer services everywhere in Sacramento region; provide a consistent approach to permitting facilities across jurisdictions. |
| Housing for Immigrants and Refugees - October 28, 2020 |
| <i>Challenges Identified</i> |
| No income for security deposit; no credit; language barriers; lack of housing for large families; negative eviction records; difficult to qualify for affordable housing; affordable housing application process is long and confusing; |
| <i>Data Sources</i> |
| Census data; data from service provider organizations (although, there are privacy concerns so the data may not be available). |
| <i>Languages Spoken</i> |
| Pashto; Dari; Farsi; Russian; Ukrainian; Arabic; Spanish. |
| <i>Geographic Distribution</i> |
| Service providers try to place immigrants and refugees in areas where their families already are or where there is availability of affordable options; North Highlands; South Sacramento; Rancho Cordova; Carmichael; Arden Arcade; Citrus Heights; Roseville; Placer County. |
| <i>Best Practices/Recommendations to the County</i> |
| Short-term affordable housing options with low barriers to entry; provide housing assistance and make housing requirements flexible; more naturally occurring affordable housing. |
| Goals, Policies and Programs Report Back - October 29, 2020 |
| <i>Any Topics Missing from the Goals or Programs</i> |
| Universal design ordinance; tenant education for people with disabilities and seniors; educate Code Enforcement related to access barriers. |

Additional Feedback

Concern over the use of General Fund instead of other dedicated fund sources to implement programs related to accessibility; include Universal Design in preapproved ADU plans; outreach to unhoused population.

**Sacramento County Housing Element Webinars
May 2020**

Public Webinar - May 13, 2020

Community Representation

Arden Arcade

Housing Assets within the Community

Tree lined streets; large lots; real community feel; diversity of housing options.

Housing Challenges within the Community

Need various housing options to keep residents housed; disparity of how to address the homelessness.

County's Role

Offer incentives to build affordable housing and higher densities.

Public Webinar - May 27, 2020

Community Representation

Elverta, Citrus Heights, and Fair Oaks.

Housing Assets within the Community

Access to nearby green spaces (e.g., Dry Creek trail), parks, and mixed-use projects.

Housing Challenges within the Community

Homeless camps throughout the community and public spaces; lack of resources for senior housing.

County's Role

Provide programs/consideration of modular housing to include in multifamily projects; construction period on modular housing create a cost benefit for developers; get people experiencing homelessness into housing; assist seniors with keeping their home.

**Sacramento County Housing Element CPAC Workshop Summary
October 2020 – November 2020**

The Office of Planning and Environmental Review (PER) gave a presentation on the 2021-2029 Housing Element and asked the following discussion questions at each CPAC meeting. The table below summarizes the questions and comments from CPAC members in response to the presentation.

- Do you have any questions about the proposed Housing Element programs? Do you have any feedback for the proposed Housing Element programs?
- Which of the proposed Housing Element programs do you think should be given the highest priority?
- Is there anything not covered in the Housing Element programs that the County can do to meet the housing needs of your community?

| |
|---|
| Delta CMAC |
| <i>If the primary residence is built in a floodplain, can an Accessory Dwelling unit be built at the same elevation? FEMA base flood elevation and levy issues are a barrier to housing development in the Delta.</i> |
| The County may not be able to permit ADUs in floodplains due to health and safety concerns. |
| <i>What will the Housing Element and the Countywide Rezone Program do to the existing Special Planning Areas (SPAs)?</i> |
| The Housing Element will likely not supersede the SPAs. As drafted, the program would allow densities of 30 dwelling units per acre in the RD-20 and RD-25 zoning districts. The Countywide Rezone Program and any other changes to SPAs would require subsequent public outreach and action by our hearing bodies, including the CPACs. |
| <i>There are people living in buildings that are not meant for residential use in east Walnut Grove due to a lack of housing. It is affecting the amount of parking available for commercial uses.</i> |
| <i>There are not enough farmworkers in the Delta because of a lack of housing. Most farmworkers are coming from Galt and Stockton.</i> |
| <i>Employment opportunities are limited in the Delta because the work is seasonal.</i> |
| <i>The County should have a code like Napa or Sonoma County [for farmworker housing].</i> |
| Staff reviewed the Napa County and Sonoma County zoning codes and met with Sonoma County staff to discuss farmworker housing. Staff is exploring amendments to the zoning code to increase the amount of zoning districts that allow farmworker housing. |
| Cordova CPAC |
| <i>How was the RHNA determined? Is the County meeting the current RHNA?</i> |
| The Housing Element is the County's plan for meeting its fair share of the regional housing need. This process is called the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) and it starts at the state level with the Department of Housing and Community Development calculating the overall future housing needs for each region in the state over the planning period. The regional council of governments within each region must then develop a methodology to distribute that overall regional housing need among all of the cities and counties within the region. In our case, the regional agency is Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG). SACOG was responsible for distributing 153,512 units throughout their six-county region. |
| The County continues to fall short of the 2013-2021 RHNA, especially for the low- and very low-income categories. By the end of the 2019, 156 units of the 2,208 needed for low-income (7.1%) and 134 units of the 3,149 needed for very low-income (4.3%) had been permitted (i.e. building permits have been issued). County staff submits an Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors and HCD annually to document this progress. County staff presented the 2019 Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors on April 7, 2020. The 2019 Annual Report is available on the County's webpage under item 31: http://www2.agendonet.saccounty.net/BoardOfSupervisors/Meetings/ViewMeeting?id=6321&doctype=1 |
| <i>When will the results of the tenant protection study (Tenant Protection Program) be available?</i> |
| In the draft Housing Action Plan Chapter, the proposed target date for Program G5 Tenant Protections is December 2024. |
| <i>The CPAC requested an additional two weeks to review the documents and provide comments and priority ranking to staff.</i> |
| Staff provided these materials as a part of the CPAC packet and sent them out to the CPAC again following the presentation. |
| <i>Support for Affordable Housing Ordinance Program. Both in lieu fees and inclusionary housing are beneficial.</i> |
| <i>General support for list of programs. Suggest programs to facilitate vertical mixed-use development and to require the County look for new funding sources for housing.</i> |

| |
|--|
| <i>County staff should present to the Rosemont Community Association.</i> |
| South Sacramento CPAC |
| <i>Do you have a breakdown of the number of people in each income category in each community of the County?</i> |
| The County is using data that the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) gathered for all jurisdictions in the region and that the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) approved for our use. This data package does not have a breakdown of the number of people in each income category in each of the 14 County communities. |
| <i>Was the impact of COVID-19 considered?</i> |
| Staff has reviewed and incorporated data related to the impact of the coronavirus pandemic to the extent that the data is available. In particular, staff has been able to use data from the Turner Center for Housing Innovation in the Housing Needs Assessment Chapter. Staff is proposing Program G5 Tenant Protections in part because of the coronavirus's disproportionate impact on renters in Sacramento County. |
| <i>Was the County able to use the data from the 2020 Census?</i> |
| No. The 2020 Census data will not be available in time to meet May 2020 deadline for HCD certification. |
| <i>Were any tenant protections other than just-cause eviction ordinances considered for inclusion in the Tenant Protection Program? Is the County working with groups that represent tenants?</i> |
| Staff highlighted just cause eviction ordinances in the Tenant Protection Program because stakeholders suggested just cause eviction ordinances during a focus group meeting. However, it also allows for some flexibility for the County to study other protections. The County met with Sacramento Housing Alliance (SHA) and Legal Services of Northern California. Staff is also available to meet with other groups and organizations who are interested in providing feedback. Please contact HousingElement@saccounty.net to request more information. |
| <i>Does the current Zoning Code allow for densities higher than RD-25?</i> |
| The current Zoning Code allows for densities as high as 40 dwelling units per acre in RD-40 zoning districts. However, there are not many parcels zoned RD-40. |
| <i>Are you going to carry forward all of the 21 proposed program in the CPAC packet? How will these programs be funded?</i> |
| Yes, we are planning to carry forward all of the proposed programs for approval. Since the CPAC packet was prepared, staff added more proposed programs based on feedback received during outreach. A complete list of new programs and existing programs that are being carried forward is available on the County's Housing Element webpage. The programs will be funded primarily through the County General Fund, the Local Early Action Planning Grant, and the Senate Bill 2 Planning Grant Program. |
| <i>Are there any programs that will result in mixed income housing?</i> |
| The Affordable Housing Ordinance Amendment program may result in additional mixed income housing depending on the result of the economic feasibility study. Several existing programs incentivize developers to provide a certain percentage of their units as housing affordable to moderate- or low-income levels. See the response to Rio Linda/Elverta CPAC question 1 |
| <i>Suggest that the County work with other groups that represent tenants such as ACCE and Sacramento Tenants Union.</i> |
| Carmichael CPAC |
| <i>What is the process for the Countywide Rezone Program? Will the Holsapple site and the site at Watt and Arden Manor be included in the inventory?</i> |
| The County identifies sites that are suitable for affordable multi-family development and if they do not already have proper zoning, they will go through a separate rezone process that the County must complete within three |

years of the Housing Element adoption. The rezone will follow a normal rezone process with input from the CPACs, Planning Commission, and approval by the Board of Supervisors. The Holsapple site is currently on the inventory and will remain. Watt and Arden Manor is not on the inventory because the area does not contain suitable vacant parcels for inclusion.

Southeast CPAC

How do you determine where to place higher density units for the Countywide Rezone Program? Is there a potential for conflict if development of high density is on the County boundaries?

State Law and HCD guidance has specific criteria for affordable multi-family sites. They should be 0.5-10-acres in size; have access to infrastructure, and be in proximity to transportation and schools, and services. There is the possibility that properties identified for inclusion on an inventory may be annexed into a neighboring city; however, sites on the inventory are expected to develop with the 2021-2029 period, so cities need to consider this when looking at their parcels especially with regard to parcels within their Sphere of Influence.

How is construction of affordable housing funded?

Affordable housing developers fund housing development using a mix of funds from local, State, and federal sources. They typically apply for funds from local governments in order to be competitive for resources such as the federal and state Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Programs. In Sacramento County, there are two local sources of funds for affordable housing; one is the Housing Trust Fund Ordinance and the other is the Affordable Housing Ordinance. The Housing Trust Fund Ordinance sets a fee on all nonresidential development while the Affordable Housing Ordinance sets a fee on the development of all market rate units.

More information on the Affordable Housing Ordinance is available here:

<https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/Affordable-Housing-Ordinance-Amendments-Project.aspx>

3.Concern about ADUs and want to ensure that they are adequately maintained

The CPAC expressed they would like a mechanism to ensure ADUs are maintained. Staff indicated this was largely done through the Code Enforcement inspection process.

Interest in inclusionary housing, specifically near more developed communities (such as the City of Galt).

The Southeast CPAC expressed an interest in exploring a stronger inclusionary housing component for the Affordable Housing Ordinance, especially for developers of large subdivisions near more developed communities.

Rio Linda/Elverta CPAC

What is the process to incentivize affordable housing?

The County currently offers several incentive programs for affordable housing such as impact fee waivers and deferrals, the Housing Incentive Program, the State density bonus, the SB 35 permit streamlining application, and the SB 330 preliminary application. In addition to these existing programs, the County is proposing several incentives in the draft Housing Action Plan under Goal HE 2.

When will the community find out which parcels will be rezoned as a part of the Countywide Rezone Program?

The target date for the Countywide Rezone Program in the draft Housing Action Plan is January 31, 2022.

Support for tiny homes because they could provide affordable home ownership opportunities, especially for seniors.

North Highlands/Foothill Farms CPAC

Is there an increased demand to build ADUs as rentals?

The County collects data on the number of ADUs that receive building permits each year. The number of ADUs has increased steadily from 6 in 2013 to 22 in 2019 and staff anticipates additional increases due to changes to State law as well as changes to the Sacramento County Zoning Code. The County does not have data on the tenancy (rent or own) of ADUs.

Will the County work with other organizations to develop educational materials for the Affordable Housing Education Program?

County staff anticipates working closely with partners at SHRA as well as stakeholders such as the Sacramento Housing Alliance (SHA) to develop education materials.

Are there any programs proposed related to homelessness?

Policies HE 4.3.1 through 4.3.6 in the draft Housing Action Plan Chapter were developed based on the six key solution areas identified by the County Homeless Plan, which was adopted on December 12, 2018. The County Homeless Plan will continue to be the main strategy document to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes several programs to support the County Homeless Plan efforts by requiring the County to update the Plan regularly, to develop metrics to measure its effectiveness, and to report regularly using those metrics.

Are there any programs that support homeownership for moderate- and low-income households?

Program E6 of the draft Housing Action Plan states that SHRA will seek Mortgage Credit Certificate allocations from the State to provide low interest loans and down payment assistance for first-time low- and moderate-income homebuyers. Furthermore, Program E10 states that SHRA will continue to provide financial support for self-help or seat equity housing programs, such as those offered by Habitat for Humanity.

Interest in encouraging developers to provide cohousing opportunities.

Concern about property owners and management companies in North Highlands that do not take care of rental properties and take advantage of tenants.

Orangevale CPAC

What is a JADU? Suggest including a glossary of technical terms.

A JADU, also known as a Junior ADU, is a residential unit intended for ongoing habitation, located completely within the primary dwelling and sharing some cooking, common area, and/or sanitary facilities with the primary dwelling.

Is the Office of Planning and Environmental Review (PER) coordinating with the Department of Transportation (SacDOT) on the Countywide Rezone Program to ensure that it will fit with future traffic projections?

PER staff will coordinate with SacDOT on both the Housing Element Update and the Countywide Rezone Program.

Concern that rent control restrictions may be burdensome to property owners and may reduce rental supply.

Support for ADU Streamlining Program and suggest that the County promote this program to homeowners. Suggest that the County provide additional information so that homeowners can research costs to build ADUs.

Fair Oaks CPAC

Support for ADU Streamlining Program. Programs that streamline and incentivize housing should be given priority.

Is the County coordinating with other jurisdictions to meet the RHNA?

Each city and county is required to identify vacant or underutilized sites to meet its own assigned RHNA, so there is not much overlap. Most city and county coordination is with regard to services for people experiencing homelessness.

The CPAC indicated they would like to see a program that educated the public about affordable housing.

Antelope CPAC

Will the Countywide Rezone Program come back to the CPACs?

Yes. The Countywide Rezone Program will return to the CPACs for their recommendation before going to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors.

Affordable Housing Education Program should be given the highest priority to increase public acceptance of affordable housing.

Arden Arcade CPAC

Will the Housing Element programs change if Costa-Hawkins is repealed?

County staff was mindful of State propositions that were on the November ballot. Proposition 21, Local Rent Control Initiative, failed to pass. It would have replaced the Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act and would have allowed local governments to enact rent control on housing that was first occupied over 15 years ago.

Can Project Homekey and Roomkey count toward the RHNA?

Housing funded through Project Homekey and Roomkey could only be counted toward the RHNA if they result in permanent (and not interim) housing. The County Department of Human Assistance (DHA) administers Project Roomkey funds. The County has not applied for Project Homekey funds.

Concern about increasing fees such as the Housing Trust Fund fee.

Concern about adequate infrastructure for new housing, including grocery stores to prevent food deserts.

Concern about coronavirus pandemic impact on both renters and owners.

Vineyard CPAC

How wide are the streets going to be going into these houses? Concern about lack of coordination between SacDOT and PER.

The Housing Element is a high-level policy document and does not prescribe street widths. SacDOT would review street widths at the time that subdivision applications are received. Street widths are dictated improvement standards on the County Engineering Section webpage. In addition, PER staff will coordinate with SacDOT on both the Housing Element Update and the Countywide Rezone Program.

How will the Housing Element address equity?

The Housing Element will include an assessment of fair housing, pursuant to SB 686. This assessment will include a discussion of the barriers to fair housing choice and solutions identified by the Analysis of Impediment to Fair Housing Choice (AI). More information on the AI is available here:

<https://www.sacounty.net/FairHousing/Pages/default.aspx>

The County will also seek to rezone land in opportunity areas to accommodate multifamily housing. See the response Question 1 under Natomas CPAC below for more information.

Is the County encouraging infill development around transit?

The County will encourage infill development around transit areas through both Program A6 (Infill Program) and Program A7 (Grants for Infill Development) of the draft Housing Action Plan.

Highest priority should be given to Goal 3 Conservation and Rehabilitation of Existing Housing and Neighborhoods. We should allocate resources toward improving and investing in existing neighborhoods and improving the impression of low-income housing.

A6 Infill Program should be prioritized.

B7 Residential Uses on BP Zoned Properties should be prioritized. Housing should be close to employment centers.

Natomas CPAC

Many multifamily and affordable housing projects are located in South Sacramento, Rio Linda, Antelope, North Highlands. Will the rezone result in multifamily and affordable housing in other areas like Folsom or Citrus Heights?

The County must affirmatively further fair housing pursuant to SB 686. In order to accomplish this, the County will be rezoning land in moderate and high resource areas (or opportunity areas) to accommodate affordable multi-family housing at densities of 30 dwelling units per acre (RD-30). Proposed Policy H.E. 7.1.3 in the Draft Housing Action Plan would commit the County to this endeavor. The Cities of Folsom and Citrus Heights will be responsible for their own RHNA allocations.

What zoning districts would the County permit tiny homes in?

Program D11 requires County staff to research tiny homes and to develop Zoning Code amendments based on that research. Further research is necessary because of the complexity of current Building Code and State HCD requirements. At this time, we do not know which zoning districts will ultimately allow tiny homes. We may allow them in the Mobile Home Park Combining Zoning District or in zoning districts that allow multifamily development as use by right.

Support for tiny homes because they could provide affordable home ownership opportunities, especially for seniors.

Cosumnes CPAC

Concern about ADU streamlining and impacts existing infrastructure, like water supply, due to demands generated by ADUs.

**Sacramento County Housing Element Webinar Summary
November 2020**

Polling Results

Which Sacramento County Community do you live in?

November 10, 2020

Unincorporated County (11%); Incorporated City (61%); Other (28%)

November 12, 2020

Unincorporated County (36%); Incorporated City (56%); Other (8%)

Do you rent or own your home?

November 10, 2020

Rent (28%); Own (50%); Other (22%)

November 12, 2020

Rent (56%); Own (36%); Other (8%)

How did you hear about this meeting?

November 10, 2020

Social Media (11%); Email (44%); Friend (6%); Other (39%)

November 12, 2020

Social Media (4%); Email (60%); Friend (12%); Other (16%)

Have you heard of a Housing Element? There is no wrong answer!

November 10, 2020

Yes (89%); No (11%)

November 12, 2020

Yes (52%); No (40%)

Do you support the County considering changes to the Affordable Housing Ordinance?

November 10, 2020

Yes, support (57%); No, do not support (keep the ordinance the same) (0%); Neutral or no opinion (keep the ordinance the same) (43%)

November 12, 2020

Yes, support (66%); No, do not support (keep the ordinance the same) (9%); Neutral or no opinion (keep the ordinance the same) (25%)

Do you think the proposed timing of 2023 is appropriate?

November 10, 2020

November 12, 2020

| | |
|--|--|
| Yes. 2023 is the right time (14%); No. It should happen sooner (57%); No. It should happen later (0%); Neutral, no opinion (29%) | Yes. 2023 is the right time (9%); No. It should happen sooner (66%); No. It should happen later (9%); Neutral, no opinion (16%); |
| <i>Do you support the County adopting a just cause eviction ordinance?</i> | |
| <u>November 10, 2020</u> Yes, support (91%); No, do not support (0%); Neutral or no opinion (9%) | <u>November 12, 2020</u> Yes, support (71%); No, do not support (8%); Neutral or no opinion (21%) |
| <i>Are there other programs the County should consider implementing to ensure tenant protections?</i> | |
| <u>November 10, 2020</u> Resource fund of some sort for tenants facing landlord violations and retaliations. Housing discrimination fines and fees. Habitability fines and fees for landlords who refuse to remediate their properties. Provide LSNC and McGeorge Law School or the local Bar Assn the funds to provide universal free legal assistance for low- and moderate-income renters. Make sure there are inspections for health and safety during this pandemic. No guarantee that things will be fixed during rent moratorium. Providing automatic legal assistance to tenants would greatly balance the power advantage that landlords have in an eviction. | <u>November 12, 2020</u> Emergency ordinance to keep people from getting evicted unfairly during a pandemic. This could be in place at least until the study is complete so that people impacted by Covid are not evicted, which could cause more community spread. Rent control, especially for Manufactured Home Communities. Devote resources to provide support to tenants who are struggling because of COVID related income losses and health impacts. Target assistance to those who are disproportionately impacted (people of color, lowest income people). Support nonprofit housing developers/owners who are struggling to keep tenants housed and pay their bills/loans/etc. Build more regulated, affordable rental housing. County should consider a program to provide loans for building ADUs, that would be forgivable if the owner agrees to keep the unit affordable for 10 years. Will the County consider expanding and increasing the rental assistance funding [Sacramento Emergency Rental Assistance] program? |
| <i>Would you be supportive of allowing a variety of missing middle housing types in your neighborhood?</i> | |
| <u>November 10, 2020</u> Yes, support (91%); No, don't support (0%); Neutral, no opinion (9%) | <u>November 12, 2020</u> Yes, support (81%); No, don't support (15%); Neutral, no opinion (4%) |
| <i>Are you interested in building an ADU on your property?</i> | |
| <u>November 10, 2020</u> Yes, absolutely (36%); Yes, possibly (36%); Probably not (27%) | <u>November 12, 2020</u> Yes, absolutely (23%); Yes, possibly (38%); Probably not (8%) |
| <i>If so, would you be interested in the pre-approved construction drawings?</i> | |
| <u>November 10, 2020</u> Yes, absolutely (55%); Yes, possibly (27%); Probably not (18%); No or never (0%) | <u>November 12, 2020</u> Yes, absolutely (31%); Yes, possibly (42%); Probably not (23%); No or never (4%) |
| Questions and Answers – November 10, 2020 | |
| <i>How is accessibility figured into this?</i> | |
| We understand that people with disabilities need housing options that are both accessible and affordable. We are proposing several programs in the Draft Housing Action Plan related to accessibility and Universal Design. Program D1 Universal Design requires the County evaluate the lack of new accessible units, create an incentive program to encourage universal design concepts and conduct targeted outreach to developers. Program D2 Accessible Unit | |

Tracking requires the County to track the development of accessible units and provide the addresses and number of units to Resources for Independent Living Sacramento for inclusion in their online tool for people with disabilities.

Slide 12, Why is the data 5 years out of date and are there approximations whether it has improved or worsened over the last few years?

The County is using data that the Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG) gathered for all jurisdictions in the region and that the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) approved for our use. Housing cost burden data comes specifically from the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) dataset from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). There is some lag time between the time that the data is collected and the time that HUD releases the data. There is a more recent CHAS dataset available now; but at the time that SACOG prepared the data package, this was the most recent data available.

How many participants are in this workshop?

There were 54 attendees at the November 10, 2020 workshop. The County advertised the webinars by posting on social media outlets such as Facebook and NextDoor; advertising with community-based organizations that have collaborated with us over the year; partnering with the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency to advertise to low-income renters on their email list; and, emailing the Housing Element Update listserv that includes 1,540 people.

Were the goals of the last Housing Element met by Sac County?

The County successfully implemented most of the programs included in the 2013-2021 Housing Element. However, the County continues to fall short of the 2013-2021 RHNA, especially for the low- and very low-income categories. By the end of the 2019, 156 units of the 2,208 needed for low-income (7.1%) had been permitted (i.e. building permits have been issued). Additionally, 134 units of the 3,149 needed for very low-income (4.3%) had been permitted. County staff submits an Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors and HCD annually to document this progress. County staff presented the 2019 Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors on April 7, 2020. The 2019 Annual Report is available on the County's webpage under item 31: <http://www2.agendonet.saccounty.net/BoardOfSupervisors/Meetings/ViewMeeting?id=6321&doctype=1>

Will something be done to help those on extremely low income and high risk?

The programs in the housing element are intended to facilitate housing for all income levels, including extremely low-income. We anticipate that the Program E3 Affordable Housing Ordinance and Program G5 Tenant Protections will be especially impactful to extremely low-income households as they are intended to both increase the supply of affordable housing and prevent displacement.

How do you work with the city to develop and find buildings and new developers to provide more housing?

Each city and county is required to identify vacant or underutilized sites to meet its own assigned RHNA, so there is not much overlap. Most city and county coordination is with regard to services for people experiencing homelessness.

Does housing [Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency] still have the program for buying a home?

Yes, the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) still provides a down payment assistance program. The Draft Housing Action Plan includes Program E6 Down Payment Assistance that requires SHRA to apply to HCD for funding to provide down payment assistance. More details are available here: <https://www.shra.org/homebuyer-resources/>

How can Sac County ensure tenants are protected from predatory rent increases?

The County, in partnership with SHRA and other cities in the County boundary, funds the Renters Helpline, a countywide telephone and web-based resources that provides fair housing education, landlord-tenant mediation, and legal guidance. See the response to Question 9 below for the Renters Helpline contact information. The County is also proposing Program G5 Tenant Protections in the Draft Housing Action Plan. This program requires the County to study just-cause eviction ordinance and other tenant protections (such as rent stabilization) and present findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

[Slide 39, COVID-19 Tenant Relief Act] What do you do if the landlord doesn't acknowledge the program?

Contact the Renters Helpline by calling 916-389-7877 or by visiting their webpage at www.rentershelpline.org.

The elderly is in a crisis and the County needs to focus on their needs.

The Draft Housing Action Plan includes several programs that are intended to incentivize the creation of affordable housing for seniors (Program D3) and to provide financial assistance for emergency repairs and disabled retrofitting for senior homeowners (Program C2). In addition, the County has applied for a grant with a specific focus on keeping the seniors at risk of becoming homeless in housing. This grant has not been awarded yet, but would commit approximately \$300,000 to this effort.

What is the plan to rezone wealthier neighborhoods to RD30 to comply with equity issues and state law?

The County must affirmatively further fair housing pursuant to SB 686. In order to accomplish this, the County will be rezoning land in moderate and high resource areas (or opportunity areas) to accommodate affordable multi-family housing at densities of 30 dwelling units per acre (RD-30). Proposed Policy H.E. 7.1.3 in the Draft Housing Action Plan would commit the County to this endeavor.

40,000 apply for SHRA voucher and only e.g. 7,000 "win" the lottery, and it only opens once in many years. This is a huge problem for low income residents. Also, can some more money be allocated to incentivize more apartments to accept the voucher?

It is very frustrating that the federal government doesn't provide us enough resources so that everyone who needs one doesn't get a voucher. SHRA does have a landlord incentive program and has also hired someone to do landlord outreach. We have also adjusted the rents to be higher in areas where market rates are higher - it's called Small Area Fair Market Rents. There is more information on our website at www.shra.org.

[Slide 35, Affordable Housing Ordinance] Shouldn't we require a percentage of affordable units equal to the low-income target set by SACOG? So if SACOG says that the county needs 35% of its future housing units affordable, we would want to require 35% of all developments to be affordable.

Per Government Code §65850.01, jurisdictions must perform an economic feasibility study for inclusionary housing programs that require that more than 15% of the total units in a residential rental development be affordable to lower-income households. The study must demonstrate that the ordinance does not unduly constrain the production of housing. The proposed Affordable Housing Ordinance program requires the County to perform an evaluation of the current ordinance as well as an economic feasibility study for changes to the ordinance.

What about aging low resource neighborhoods where a large percentage low-income people live? How will we get funds to build new high quality affordable housing there? Is county going to issue a bond like City of Sac?

Policy EJ-1 of the County's Environmental Justice Element requires the County to prioritize improvements and programs that address the needs of Environmental Justice Communities (or communities with large percentages of low-income residents and residents of color). County staff has used this policy to pursue grant funds for projects in EJ Communities and to prioritize infrastructure improvements in EJ Communities. The County's Environmental Justice Element is available online here: <https://planning.saccounty.net/PlansandProjectsIn-Progress/Pages/Environmental-Justice-Element.aspx>.

In addition to improving existing EJ Communities, the County recognizes the need to provide housing opportunities for low-income residents and residents of color in opportunity or moderate/high resource areas. See the response to Question 11 above.

In order to fund additional affordable housing, the County is proposing to evaluate and amend the Affordable Housing Ordinance (Program E3). Concurrent with the Housing Element Update, the County is also pursuing updates to the Housing Trust Fund Ordinance, which collects fees on non-residential development to build affordable housing. The County is not proposing to issue a bond to fund housing at this time.

Questions and Answers – November 12, 2020

[Slide 30, Housing Element Goals] The County must also reduce constraints to re-habituating, preserving and maintaining affordability of as well. Will you be analyzing those issues too?

The County will be conducting an analysis of constraints and an analysis of housing units that are at risk of losing their affordability and converting to market rate consistent with State law. The Draft Housing Action Plan contains goals, policies, and programs aimed at conservation and rehabilitation of existing housing (Goal HE 3) and preserving affordable housing (Goal HE 4). Everyone is invited to review and provide comments on the Draft Housing Action Plan, which is available on the County's website:

https://planning.saccounty.net/applicants/Documents/Draft%20Housing%20Action%20Plan%20for%20Web_English.pdf

How many participating and how far did the word get out about this?

There were 22 attendees at the November 12, 2020 workshop. See the response to Question 4 (Questions and Answers – November 10, 2020) above for more details about how we advertised the meeting.

At some point, can you address how residents can become more energy mindful?

The Housing Element will include a discussion of opportunities for energy conservation, but that is not a topic of discussion at today's workshop. The Sacramento County Zoning Code does provide for increases in density for projects that are determined to be energy efficient.

How many affordable, accessible projects beyond two mentions?

SHRA has funding cycles for affordable projects listed on their website. SHRA's Multifamily Lending and Mortgage Revenue Bond Policies require that at least 5 percent of all subsidized units also be accessible. See the response to Question 2 above (Questions and Answers – November 10, 2020) for a discussion of proposed programs related to accessibility.

How do proposed tenant protections work with landlords who have mortgages to pay?

Proposed Program G5 commits County staff to studying various tenant protection programs, including just-cause eviction ordinances, and presenting recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. As a part of the study, County staff will assess the impact of various tenant protections on landowners. The intent of tenant protections that County staff will bring forward will be to prevent displacement, not to cause undue financial hardship to landlords. The County recognizes that any tenant protection program would receive input from both tenants and landlords.

How long would this process of study and recommendations take [for a just cause eviction ordinance or other tenant protections]? There are best practices available from other communities that could expedite such research, or not require as much. Would it make more sense to present best practices of keeping people in their homes during a pandemic?

In the draft Housing Action Plan Chapter, the proposed target date for Program G5 Tenant Protections is December 2024. However, County staff recognizes the immediacy of the need for tenant protections due to the coronavirus pandemic. If timing and funding becomes available, this work may commence sooner.

When will we get to review the complete draft housing element and how long will we have to provide comments?

Staff anticipates publishing a draft Housing Element in December 2020. Staff will then amend the draft based on public comment before submitting the draft to HCD in January 2021. The draft will be posted on the Housing Element Update webpage: <https://planning.saccounty.net/applicants/Pages/HousingElement.aspx>

8. We didn't hear much on improving access to housing for people experiencing homelessness. Is that being planned for?

Policies HE 4.3.1 through 4.3.6 in the draft Housing Action Plan Chapter were developed based on the six key solution areas identified by the County Homeless Plan, which was adopted on December 12, 2018. The County Homeless Plan will continue to be the main strategy document to make homelessness rare, brief and non-recurring. The Housing Action Plan chapter includes several programs to support the County Homeless Plan efforts by requiring the County to update the Plan regularly, to develop metrics to measure its effectiveness, and to report regularly using those metrics.

Additional Comments – November 10, 2020

[Slide 5, polling question #2] I want to know why people that were in the Project Roomkey weren't transitioned to PERMANENT housing?

I'm back on the streets!

[Slide 38, Tenant Protections] *The first thing I do pay my rent, even going without bare necessities. I'm very concerned as things getting worse and I'm terminally ill. I suppose this is a question for my worker but thought I'd ask because in late December I will only be eligible for 2 bedroom was told I'd have to pay extra for the extra room how on earth will I do that.*

[Slide 38, Tenant Protections] *I was homeless 2x since March for the first time in my life. All in the beginning of the pandemic with 2 kids due to their father's mental health breakdown and domestic violence. I found no help. All of the resources were out of date or nonexistent. My 2 kids and I slept at the hospital in the parking lot many nights for safety. No shelters had room or just wasn't accepting anyone due to covid.*

I am happy to say we moved into an apt in September thanks to EDD.

As a recipient it is hard to get into anything right now I've tried in preparation of losing a 3 bedroom voucher

[Slide 45, Missing Middle Housing + ADUs] *ADUs are often used for home offices, play rooms, visitors or air bnb. If the county gives fee. waivers or expedited review or other concessions beyond state law, we should get a further public benefit such as requiring use for voucher holders, very low income people, etc.*

Additional Comments – November 12, 2020

[Slide 5, polling question #2] *For the record: I own the home I live in, but rent the site where it sits. IE: I live in a Manufactured Home Community.*

APPENDIX B: PROGRAM EVALUATION

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| STRATEGY HE I: ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF LAND FOR HOUSING | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy HE 1.1: Adequate Land for Housing | | | | |
| Policy HE-1.1.1: The County will provide an adequate supply of land for housing affordable to all income groups with public services and facilities needed to facilitate the development of housing to accommodate projected housing needs based on the SACOG Regional Housing Needs Plan. | | | | |
| Policy HE-1.1.2: The County will preserve the supply of sites zoned for multifamily housing. | | | | |
| A-1. Biennial Monitoring of Vacant Multifamily Parcels | HE-1.1.1 | Inventory monitoring and make available inventory information. | Planning and Environmental Review (PER) staff prepared reports in May 2015, December 2017, January 2020, and December 2021. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be amended and continued. |
| A-2. Encourage Multifamily Projects on LC and SC Zones | HE-1.1.1 | At least 25 percent of vacant LC and SC zoned acreage that develop between 2013 and 2021 to be developed with multifamily units. | The County continues to permit multi-family dwellings as a matter of right in the LC and SC zones, subject only to design review. While multifamily use is a matter of right, so is commercial use. Because there is no requirement that these sites be developed for multifamily use, there is no way to ensure that 25 percent of vacant LC and SC zoned properties develop with multifamily units. Of the 42 LC and SC parcels identified in the Vacant Land Inventory, six received building permits for | The objective was not achieved during the planning period. This program will be replaced with a program that incentivizes residential uses on commercial properties. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | nonresidential projects, and only one received entitlement approval for residential development between 2013 and 2019. | |
| A-3. Permitting Multi-Family Dwellings as a Matter of Right in RD-15 through 40, LC and SC Zones | HE-1.1.1 | Encourage the construction of multifamily uses in appropriate zones while allowing for review of the quality and design of such uses. | The County continues to permit multifamily projects consistent with County standards as a matter of right in RD-15, RD-20, RD-25, RD-30, and RD-40 zones, subject only to design review to assure compliance with appropriate development standards. Multifamily projects of 150 units or less in the LC, SC and GC zones are also permitted by right subject only to design review. Because multifamily uses are allowed by right in these zones pursuant to the Zoning Code, it is not necessary to include this program in the 2021-2029 Housing Element update. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be discontinued. |
| A-4. Master Plans and Multifamily Housing | HE-1.1.1 | Require a minimum percentage of the proposed dwelling units in at least 4 master plan (specific or comprehensive plans) to be developed at a density of at least 20 dwelling units per acre. | Each of the Master Plans which are proposed outside of the UPA must comply with General Plan Land Use Element Policy LU-120 which requires that at least 34.8 percent of all dwelling units in New Growth Areas be developed at RD-20 densities or higher. Currently, the Jackson Township, NewBridge, West Jackson Highway, and Grand Park draft Master Plans meet this requirement. The NewBridge Plan is subject to a Development Agreement and | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The objective will be amended for clarity and the program will be continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>required to comply with an Affordable Housing Strategy whereby the developer will meet its affordable housing requirement by dedicating two 5-acre parcels for the development of a 210 unit affordable housing development.</p> <p>The Mather South Plan is subject to a Development Agreement and required to comply with an Affordable Housing Strategy whereby the developer will meet its affordable housing requirement through land dedication and payment of an affordability fee. The developer will dedicate a 10-acre parcel for the development of a 200 unit affordable housing development and pay an affordability fee to meet its remaining affordable housing obligation.</p> | |
| A-5. Multifamily Sites within Master Plans – 3 to 10 Acres in Size | HE-1.1.1 | Include multifamily zoned parcels between 3 and 10 acres in size in master plans. | All multifamily sites (RD-20 and higher) are between 3 and 10 acres in size in the draft land use plans of the Jackson Township, Newbridge, West Jackson Highway and Grand Park Master Plans. | The program will be amended to include updated language and the program will be continued. |
| A-6. Evaluate the Viability of Adopted Master Plans to increase multifamily densities | HE-1.1.1 | Determine viability of amending adopted master plans to increase allowable densities. | Due to the surplus of land available for multifamily development during the planning period, PER staff have not evaluated the viability of amending adopted master plans to increase minimum densities. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be amended and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| A-7. The County will not allow multifamily-designated land listed in the land inventory to be developed for residential land uses with densities of less than 17 units per acre or non-residential land uses, unless certain findings can be made. This is consistent with State Government Code Section 65863 "No Net Loss Provision". | HE-1.1.2 | Preserve the supply of multifamily zoned land to accommodate the County's lower income housing needs. | PER staff tracks the amount of land available for multifamily developments in order to maintain consistency with no net loss provisions. A small amount of surplus land is available for the development of multifamily projects. | The objective has been achieved for the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |
| A-8. The County will use the annual housing element reporting process to monitor and evaluate the relationship between specific density ranges and affordable housing prototypes likely to be developed in both the suburban and semi-rural portions of the County. | HE-1.1.1 | Monitor and evaluate the relationship between specific density ranges and affordable housing prototypes. | <p>2015: the Anton Apartments project for very low- and low-income categories consists of 148 units on 5.16 acres which results in a density of 28.7 units per acre.</p> <p>2018: the Courtyard Inn project for very low- and low-income categories consists of 92 units on 4 acres which results in a density of 23 units per acre.</p> <p>The Cemo Circle Apartment project for above moderate income levels consists of a density of 21 units per acre. The Orange Grove Apartment Homes project for above moderate income levels consists of a density of 24 units per acre. The Mark V Apartments project for above moderate income levels consists of a density of 24 units per acre.</p> <p>2019: the Mutual Housing on the Boulevard project for very low- and low-income categories consists of 127 units on 5.23 acres which results in a density of 24 units per acre.</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-1.2: Use of Infill and Underutilized Sites | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE-1.2.1: Promote and facilitate the build-out of vacant and underutilized urban land through infill, reuse, and redevelopment activities, as appropriate, for housing. | | | | |
| A-9. Supporting Infill Development | HE 1.2.1 | The Department of Community Development facilitating the development of 5 infill projects that have a residential component. | The following affordable housing infill projects have been built during the planning period: Anton Arcade Apartments (building permits issued 2015), Courtyard Inn (building permits issued 2018-2019). Other noteworthy infill projects include Barrett Ranch East (entitlement approved 2017), Gibson Crossing Tentative Subdivision Map Extension of Time (2017), and Winding Meadow Village Time Extension (2017). | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be replaced with a new program to provide stronger support for infill development. |
| A-10. Grants for Infill Development | HE 1.2.1 | The County will continue to apply for grants for infill projects as grant opportunities become available. | County staff consistently applied for Housing-Related Parks Program (HRPP) grants from 2014 through 2016 (when the program was discontinued). In particular, the Board of Supervisors authorized the use of 2014 Housing-Related Parks Program grant funds to support the Farm to Future project on June 6, 2017. The project includes an affordable and supportive housing project with a small public park and a working farm that will serve as a job training center. County staff continues to seek grants in support of infill. | Although one grant source was discontinued, the objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be continued. |
| A-11. Providing Opportunities for Public Input on Infill Projects | HE 1.2.1 | Attain neighborhood and community acceptance of quality residential or mixed use infill projects through the | PER staff continues to provide opportunities for input on infill projects via CPAC meetings and other public hearings, as needed. It | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | CPACs and/or a public outreach process. | is not necessary to include this program in the 2021-2029 Housing Element update. | program will not be continued. |
| A-12. Adoption of Commercial Corridor Plans in Infill Areas | HE 1.2.1 | The County will adopt at least 1 commercial corridor plan that will encourage development of vacant and underutilized infill parcels. | On November 17, 2015, the County Board of Supervisors approved the Downtown Rio Linda Special Planning Area Ordinance which is a Commercial Corridor Plan in an established infill area. On December 13, 2017 the County Board of Supervisors approved the Fair Oaks Boulevard Complete Street Corridor Plan. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |
| A-13. Infill Technical Assistance Program | HE 1.2.1 | Administer a technical assistance program to assist applicants in designing a house for infill properties. | This program was discontinued due to a lack of financial resources and staffing. | The objective was not achieved for the planning period. The program will not be continued. |
| STRATEGY HE 2: REDUCTION OF CONSTRAINTS TO HOUSING PRODUCTION | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy HE 2.1: Reduction in Permit Processing Times | | | | |
| Policy HE 2.1.1: The County shall reduce uncertainty, risk, and delay in the planning, environmental, and permitting process. | | | | |
| Policy HE 2.1.2: Sacramento County shall target 45–60 days from the submission of a complete application as a maximum review time for multifamily projects that require staff level Development Plan Review. The Planning and Environmental Review Division of the Department of Community Development shall provide expedited review, with no additional charge, for projects in which at least 20 percent of the units are affordable to very low- and low-income households, as certified by the Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| B-1. Meet with Housing Developers on Development Approval Timelines and Process Improvements | HE 2.1.1 | Reduce uncertainty, risk, and delay in the planning, environmental, and permitting process. | PER staff hosted three separate workshops with affordable housing developers, market rate developers, and the Building Industry Association in 2018 and 2019. During these meetings, staff provided developers with incentive program information and developers provided staff with process improvement feedback. PER staff will implement several of the suggestions through new Housing Element programs. PER staff is also in the process of implementing several of the suggestions using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program. These suggestions include amendments to the Housing Incentive Program (HIP) to increase flexibility, amendments to the Design Guidelines to include objective standards, amendments to the Zoning Code to streamline housing approvals and increase development standard flexibility. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be amended with updated language, combined with program B-11 and continued. |
| B-2. Project Review Committee (PRC) | HE 2.1.1 | Reduce uncertainty, risk, and delay in the planning, environmental, and permitting process. | The Project Review Committee (PRC) continues to review projects early in the application process so that they can identify project issues. This process reduces the average processing time by 60 days. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be amended with updated language and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| B-3. Expediting of Affordable Housing Projects | HE 2.1.1, HE 2.1.2 | Reduce delay in the review of affordable housing projects. | PER staff routinely gives priority and special handling to affordable housing projects. In addition, affordable housing project applicants may use the SB35 Streamlining Eligibility Determination Application Form or the Housing Crisis Act of 2019 - SB 330 Preliminary Application Form both of which are available on the website. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be updated and continued. |
| B-4. Master Environmental Impact Reports for Commercial Corridor Plans | HE 2.1.1 | Prepare a Master Environmental Impact Report when needed for commercial corridor plans. | No commercial corridor plans with Master Environmental Impact Reports were approved during the planning period. For those corridor plans which were approved within the planning period, Master Environmental Impact Report were not necessary. | The objective was not achieved for the planning period. The program will not be continued. |
| B-5. Design Guidelines, New Zoning Code and User's Handbook Accessible to Public | HE 2.1.1 | Develop an online tool that makes the Design Guidelines, the new Zoning Code and the Users Handbook conveniently accessible to the public. | On July 22, 2015, the Board of Supervisors adopted the County Development Code that became effective on August 22, 2015. The Development Code is comprised of the Design Guidelines, the updated Zoning Code and the Zoning Code Users Handbook. All materials are available on the County's website. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be replaced with a new program regarding current efforts to increase the usability of the Development Code. |
| Sub-Strategy HE 2.2: Review of Development Standards | | | | |
| Policy HE-2.2.1: The County will encourage flexibility in density for residential projects. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE-2.2.2: The County will encourage flexibility in parking standards for residential projects. Parking requirements for certain types of residential projects will be reduced. | | | | |
| Policy HE-2.2.3: Support the flexibility of development standards, or flexibility within the adopted development ordinances, to accommodate residential projects that are unique or provide special housing arrangements, including affordable housing for lower income households. | | | | |
| B-6. Adoption of the Updated Zoning Code | HE 2.2.1, HE2.2.2, HE 2.2.3 | Adopt the updated Zoning Code | On July 22, 2015, the Board of Supervisors adopted the Updated Zoning Code that became effective on August 22, 2015. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will not be continued. |
| B-7. Multifamily Design Guidelines | HE 2.2.1, HE2.2.2, HE 2.2.3 | Implement the adopted Interim Multiple-Family Residential Design Guidelines | The Board of Supervisors adopted the Countywide Design Guidelines on July 22, 2015. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will not be continued. |
| B-8. Staff Level Development Plan Reviews for Multifamily Projects in the LC Zone | HE 2.2.1 | Remove use permit requirement for certain multifamily projects. | In the updated Zoning Code, the Design Review requirement applies to multifamily projects in the LC, SC and GC zones that are 30 dwelling units per acre or less. The threshold increases to 40 dwelling units per acre if the property is located within one-quarter mile of a transit stop. Despite the removal of the use permit barrier and as reported under Program A-2, several of the LC and ZC zoned parcels in the County's land inventory were developed for commercial uses instead of residential uses while many were left undeveloped during the planning period. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| B-9. Special Development Permits | HE 2.2.3 | Issuance of Special Development Permits as a mechanism to ensure flexibility in development standards contained in zoning and subdivision ordinances. | PER continues to issue Special Development Permits for multifamily projects to ensure flexibility in development standards. PER staff is in the process of implementing several amendments to the Multifamily Development standards to facilitate housing using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will not be continued. |
| B-10. Monitoring State Housing Legislation | HE 2.1.1, HE 2.2.3 | Monitor State housing and land use related legislation. | PER continues to monitor state housing and land use legislation with the assistance of a legislative analyst. As PER will continued to monitor legislation, it is not necessary to include this program in the 2021-2029 Housing Element update. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will not be continued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE 2.3: Review Residential Development and Permit Fees | | | | |
| Policy HE-2.3.1: Balance “full cost recovery” for planning and environmental review with the need to maintain reasonable fees that impact housing affordability. | | | | |
| Policy HE-2.3.2: The County will continue to defer and/or waive development impact fees for residential projects that have at least a minimum number of housing units on site that are affordable to very low- and low-income households, as certified by Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency up to the approved annual quota of the number of units. | | | | |
| B-11. Consulting With Builders Before Increasing Planning and Environmental Fees | HE 2.3.1 | Periodically review application processing and infrastructure fee schedules and mitigate the cost impact of fees. | PER staff meets regularly with Building Industry of America. The Building Industry of America and the Builders Exchange are always consulted before increasing planning and environmental fees. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The objective will be amended for clarity and the program will be combined with |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | | program B-1 and continued. |
| B-12. Fee Waiver Program for Affordable Housing Projects | HE 2.3.2 | Reduce the cost impact of development fees for qualifying very low-income housing units. | <p>2014: The Arbor Creek Senior Apartment Project (59 units), Avery Gardens (49 units)</p> <p>2017: Bow Street Apartments I (46 units), Bow Street Apartments II (29 units)</p> <p>2018: 6130 Merry Lane (1 unit), Gardens at Quail Run (63 units)</p> <p>2019: Gardens at Quail Run (63 units)</p> <p>2020: Arena Senior Apartments (18 units)</p> <p>During the planning period, the total amount of fee waived was \$3.9 million.</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be combined with program B-13 and continued. |
| B-13. Fee Deferral Program for Affordable Housing Projects | HE 2.3.2 | To delay the cost impact of development fees for qualifying low- and very low-income housing units until a housing project begins to generate income. | <p>2015: Anton Arcade (147 units)</p> <p>2017: Bow Street Apartments I (3 units), Bow Street Apartments II (19 units)</p> <p>2018: Gardens at Quail Run (32 units)</p> <p>2019: Gardens at Quail Run (32 units)</p> <p>2020: Arena Senior Apartments (196 units)</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be combined with program B-12 continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | During the planning period, the total amount of fees deferred was \$2.2 million. | |
| STRATEGY HE 3: CONSERVATION AND REHABILITATION OF EXISTING HOUSING AND NEIGHBORHOODS | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy HE-3.1: Establishment and Maintenance of Programs for Housing Rehabilitation | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.1.1: Utilize federal, state, local, and private funding programs offering low interest loans or grants, and private equity for the rehabilitation of rental properties for lower income households. | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.1.2: Encourage the rehabilitation and preservation of substandard homes in need of rehabilitation owned and occupied by lower income households, especially those left vacant due to foreclosure. | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.1.3: Support efforts to alleviate the individual and community problems associated with mortgage default and foreclosures. | | | | |
| C-1. Multifamily Housing Loan Program | HE 3.1.1, HE 3.1.2 | HOME, CDBG, Housing Trust Funds (for boarded properties), Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Low-Income Housing Tax Credits 60 rehabilitated units annually, including units substantially rehabilitated and units preserved. | 2014: SHRA financed 60 new construction units (Arbor Creek Senior). 2015: SHRA financed the rehabilitation of 160 units (Olivewood and Ethan Terrace Apartments). 2016: SHRA financed the rehabilitation of 47 units (Sutter Place). 2017: SHRA financed the rehabilitation of 158 units (Crossroad Gardens and Southwind Court Apartments). 2018: SHRA continued work on Courtyard Inn and Southwind | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>projects with 180 units closed financing in 2017; 109 new restricted units and 71 units preserved and affordability extended 57 years</p> <p>2019: SHRA committed funding for the rehabilitation of Pacific Rim Apartments which includes 32 units, 31 of which are restricted to low and very-low income households. Southwind Court rehabilitation of 88 affordable units was completed.</p> | |
| C-2. Financial Assistance for Emergency Repairs Program (ERP) and Disabled Retrofitting of Homes | HE 3.1.1, HE 3.1.2, 4.1.1, 4.1.6 | Repair 432 homes for very low- and low-income households through Emergency Repair Grants and Retrofit Assistance Grants or similar grants. | <p>2014: 73 single-family homes repaired and/or retrofitted.</p> <p>2015: 100 single family homes repaired and/or retrofitted.</p> <p>2016: 96 single-family homes repaired and/or retrofitted (combined City and County)</p> <p>2017: 73 single-family homes repaired and/or retrofitted.</p> <p>2018: 43 homes were repaired or retrofitted</p> <p>2019: 96 homes were repaired or retrofitted in the County.</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be continued. |
| C-3 Home Assistance Repair Program for Seniors (HARPS) Program | HE 3.1.2, 4.1.1, 4.1.6 | Publicize the HARPS and ERPA programs | <p>2014: 148 single-family homes repaired.</p> <p>2015: 65 single-family homes repaired.</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>2016: 153 single-family homes repaired (combined City and County)</p> <p>2017: 156 single-family homes repaired.</p> <p>2018: 96 homes were provided repair assistance</p> <p>2019: Safe at Home - safety services to improve accessibility (41 units); and Minor Home Repair Program (39 unit)</p> | |
| C-4. Homebuyer Education, Counseling and Post Purchase Counseling | HE 3.1.3 | <p>The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will continue its contracts with the Sacramento County Home Loan Counseling Program, Sacramento Neighborworks, and programs offered by other non-profit organizations in their homebuyer education, counseling and post purchase counseling, including default/foreclosure counseling efforts. SHRA will continue to reimburse these agencies for their counseling services.</p> <p>Quantitative Objective: Serve 600 households annually.</p> | <p>2014: SHRA provided funding for homebuyer education/counseling services for 73 homebuyers in the County.</p> <p>2015: SHRA provided funding for homebuyer education/counseling services for 14 homebuyers in the County</p> <p>2016: SHRA provided funding for homebuyer education/counseling services for 23 homebuyers in the County.</p> <p>2017: SHRA provided funding for homebuyer education/counseling services for 12 homebuyers in the County.</p> <p>2018: SHRA provided funding for homebuyer education/counseling services for 6 homebuyers in the County.</p> <p>2019: SHRA provided funding for homebuyer education/counseling</p> | <p>The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be amended to clarify that it relates to SHRA's homebuyer programs only. The program will be combined with program E-7 and continued.</p> |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>services for 12 homebuyers in the County</p> <p>Note that these numbers do not include home buyer education programs offered by entities that SHRA partners with, such as NeighborWorks Sacramento.</p> | |
| C-5. Conversion of Older Motels to Affordable Housing | HE 3.1.1 | Support revitalization of older commercial corridors with rehabilitation and provision of new housing opportunities. | SHRA provided a \$6,016,973 loan commitment for acquisition and a \$2.5 million loan commitment for the construction and permanent financing of Courtyard Inn which will result in 92 new units. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be amended to be combined with Program C-6 and continued. |
| C-6. Funding for New Owners of Motels | HE 3.1.1 | <p>The Sacramento Housing and Redevelopment Agency (SHRA) will assist, as funding is available, new owners of motels to obtain financing for their acquisition, rehabilitation and/or conversion.</p> <p>Rehabilitative units as a result of this program will be included in the annual objective of Program C1</p> | This program is redundant to Program C-5 above. | The program will be combined with Program C-5. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-3.2: Conserve the Quality of Residential Neighborhoods Through Zoning Enforcement and Code Enforcement and Reduce Loss of Housing | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.2.1: Protect the quality and stability of residential neighborhoods through the enforcement of local regulations relating to the proper use and development of properties throughout the community. | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.2.2: Promote efficient public outreach programs to enhance the rehabilitation of substandard housing. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE 3.2.3: Support mechanisms to prevent the loss of housing by demolition, conversion to other uses, long-term vacancy, arson, vandalism, or malicious mischief, and support programs that return vacant housing to residential use. | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.2.4: Assist lower income households displaced as a result of demolition of unsafe, substandard dwelling that cannot be economically repaired. | | | | |
| C-7. Code Enforcement and Vehicle Abatement | HE 3.2.1 | Reduce the number of abandoned vehicles, accumulation of junk and rubbish and illegal structures in residential neighborhoods. | The County Code Enforcement Division continues to enforce existing regulations and programs regarding zoning code violations, abandoned vehicles, outdoor storage, and substandard or illegal buildings. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be combined with program C-12 and continued. |
| C-8. Rental Housing Inspection Program | HE 3.2.1 | Have all rental properties in the unincorporated County be inspected for compliance with the Housing Code within 5 years. | The County Code Enforcement Division continues to implement the Rental Housing Inspection Program (RHIP). During the planning period, the fee which supports the program increased, the registration process was migrated to be completely online and paperless, and the mobile application went live. Since these updates, Code Enforcement Officers have been able to conduct more field work, inspections have doubled, and property owner education has been streamlined. | The objective was partially achieved during the planning period and the objective will be amended to apply to multifamily rental properties specifically. |
| C-9. Special Planning Areas and Neighborhood Preservation Areas | HE 3.2.1 | Enhance and preserve unique new communities through SPAs and NPAs. | The County continues to enforce existing Special Planning Area (SPA) and Neighborhood Preservation Area (NPA) ordinances. PER staff identified several SPAs and NPAs as barriers to housing. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be replaced with a program that will update or rescind SPAs and NPAs |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | This program will be revised for the 2021-2029 Housing Element update. | identified as barriers to housing production. |
| C-10. Referral of Mobile Home Park Inspections Referred to State HCD | HE 3.2.1 | Improve mobile home park conditions. | The County Code Enforcement Division continues to refer requests for mobile home inspections to the State automatically. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |
| C-11. Distributing Brochures to Owners of Rental Units Cited for Health and Safety Violations | HE 3.2.2 | Increase the level of property maintenance through tenant and property owner education, thereby reducing the need for code enforcement. | The County Code Enforcement Division distributes brochures to both tenants and property owners. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be continued. |
| C-12. Vacant and Abandoned House Monitoring Program | HE 3.2.3 | Increase neighborhood safety and eliminate blighting conditions | The County Code Enforcement Division continues to implement both the Vacant, Open and Accessible program and the Substandard Housing Program. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be amended with updated language, combined with program C-7 and continued. |
| C-13. Information for Displaced Tenants | HE 3.2.4 | Paying for the relocation expenses of lower income residents and seeking reimbursement by property owner. Provide information for displaced tenants on available services and programs. | In a relocation scenario, Code Enforcement requires the property owner to relocate the tenants when there is a major priority issue. If the owner refuses, Code Enforcement partners with other County Departments to assist tenants with relocation. As of 2020, the County is currently in the process of updating its relocation process. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| C-14. Permitting the Use of Alternative Materials, Designs and Methods of Construction | HE 3.2.1 | Ensure rental housing complies with health and safety codes. | The Building Permits and Inspection Division (BPI) continues to apply the California Green Building Code requirements that permit the use of alternate materials, designs and methods of construction. BPI processes several Alternative Means and Methods Requests a year. Because BPI is required to perform this task, it is unnecessary to include this program in the 2021-2029 Housing Element Update. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-3.3: Revitalization of Deteriorated Neighborhoods Through Public Facility Improvements and Local/Private Sector Investment | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.3.1: Provide improved public infrastructure and services, including water, sewer, curbs gutter, sidewalks, landscaping, and lighting where these services will improve the physical quality of blighted or declining neighborhoods, or facilitate appropriate infill. | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.3.2: Maintain local/private sector involvement in preserving and revitalizing older neighborhoods through local lending institutions and the Community Reinvestment Act. | | | | |
| C-15. Seek and Attain Funding for Improved Public Infrastructure and Services to Revitalize Commercial Corridors | HE 3.3.1 | Revitalize commercial corridors with infrastructure improvements | The Department of Transportation continues to receive funding through the SACOG Regional Program, the Highway, Safety Improvement Program, and the Community Development Block Grant Program for the following types of projects: complete streets (curb, gutter, sidewalks, landscaping, and bike lanes); sidewalk infill; curb ramp upgrades; street lighting. | The objective was achieved during the planning period. The program will be amended to prioritize infrastructure improvements in certain corridors. The program will be continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| C-16. Federal Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) | HE 3.3.2 | Increase investment in minority and low-income areas. | <p>This program allows for the placement of public deposits from the County's Pooled Investment Fund with a qualified bank. Banks are selected based on their lending activity in low and moderate income neighborhoods.</p> <p>The Department of Finance invested \$15 million in several banks as a part of the CRA program in 2014. The program was discontinued from 2015 through 2017 due to unfavorable financial conditions. The program picked back up again in 2018 when DOF invested another \$15 million in several banks. In 2019, DOF started accepting Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) deposits, which allowed them to double the CRA deposits for a total of \$30 million. In 2020, DOF streamlined the application process for banks and increased the maximum deposit. This resulted in increase in total CRA deposits from \$30 million in 2019 to \$80 million in 2020.</p> | The program will be amended to clarify that it pertains to the County's Community Reinvestment Act Program and not the Federal Community Reinvestment Act. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-3.4: Implement Design Review | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.4.1: The County will require high quality design in residential projects. | | | | |
| Policy HE 3.4.2: Ensure that the structural design, aesthetics and site layout of new development is compatible and interconnected with existing residential development. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE 3.4.3: Infill projects shall be integrated into the surrounding neighborhoods and communities to the greatest extent feasible. | | | | |
| C-17. Implement Design Review Program | HE 3.4.1, HE 3.4.2, HE 3.4.3 | Ensure that new commercial and mixed use development is compatible with existing residential development by implementing design review. | The Design Review Administrator and the Design Review Advisory Committee review commercial, multifamily and mixed use projects for Design Review conformance and neighborhood compatibility. PER staff is in the process of implementing amendments to the Design Review Program using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program to improve objective standards consistent with SB 35 (2017). Because Design Review is required for new commercial, mixed use, and multifamily projects, it is not necessary to include this program in the 2021-2029 Housing Element update. | The objective was achieved during the planning period and the program will be discontinued. |
| C-18. Adopt Single Family Design Guidelines | HE 3.4.1, HE 3.4.2, HE 3.4.3 | Ensure that residential neighborhoods are developed with high quality design. | On July 22, 2015, the Board of Supervisors adopted the Countywide Design Guidelines. | The objective was achieved during the planning period and the program will not be continued. |
| STRATEGY HE 4: IMPROVEMENT OF HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR SPECIAL NEEDS GROUPS | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy HE-4.1 Housing for “Special Needs” Population | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE 4.1.1: Support efforts to improve accessibility for both dwelling units and residential neighborhoods to meet the special needs of persons with disabilities. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.2: The County will encourage housing for people with disabilities near public transportation, shopping, medical and other essential support services and facilities. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.3: Support activities that serve the housing needs of special needs groups with a continuum of housing options, from independent living, to housing with supportive services, to institutionalized care. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.4: Provide adequate and affordable housing for senior citizens. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.5: The County will encourage housing for seniors to be near public transportation, shopping, medical and other essential support services and facilities. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.6: Support activities that increase the ability of the elderly to remain in their homes or to locate other housing to rent or purchase. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.7: Ensure housing that is provided to migrant farm workers is decent, safe, and affordable. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.8: Support the use of available federal, state, and local resources to provide and enhance housing opportunities for farm workers. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.9: Support programs that address long-term solutions to homelessness, including work skills assessment, job training/placement, permanent housing and supportive services. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.1.10: Promote the construction of affordable housing that meets the needs of female heads of households. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE 4.1.11: Support the development of housing to meet the needs of large households. | | | | |
| D-1. At Least 5 Percent of Multifamily Project Units Accessible for persons with mobility impairments. | HE 4.1.1 | Increase number of accessible units in multifamily projects. | The California Building Code already requires a higher percentage of units to be accessible. A Zoning Code Amendment requiring 5 percent of units to be accessible would be unnecessary. | The objective has been achieved. The program will not be continued. |
| D-2. Universal Design | HE 4.1.1 | Publicize Universal Design standards | PER staff displays Universal Design brochures at the building assistance counters during the planning period. However, an education effort which is more targeted to the developers and to BPI staff would be more effective. | The objective has been achieved. The program will be replaced with a new program related to universal design. |
| D-3. Adopt Reasonable Accommodation Ordinance | HE 4.1.1 | Adoption of a reasonable accommodation ordinance or procedures. | The Reasonable Accommodation Ordinance was adopted in 2013 and incorporated into the Zoning Code. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be discontinued. |
| D-4. Accessible Pedestrian Facilities | HE 4.1.1 | Increase accessibility of residential streets and neighborhoods for persons with disabilities. | The Transportation Department continues to require accessible pedestrian facilities in new residential developments. The County has adopted the Pedestrian Master Plan which establishes goals and strategies to increase pedestrian safety and improve walkability. The County is in the process of adopting an updated ADA Transition Plan that will establish the priority of the various | The objective has been achieved. The program will be discontinued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | accessible pedestrian facility improvements identified in the plan. | |
| D-5. Department of Transportation Study to Improve Accessibility at Transit Stops | HE 4.1.1 | Increase accessibility of transit areas for persons with disabilities | In 2019, the County Department of Transportation completed a survey of transit stops (not including Light Rail Stations). This is being used for the County's ADA (American Disabilities Act) Transition Plan. This Plan is used to prioritize the construction of accessibility features at transit stops as funding becomes available. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be discontinued. |
| D-6. Outreach Program on Housing and Services Available for Persons with Developmental Disabilities | HE 4.1.3 | Implement an outreach program on housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities. | The County did not implement an outreach program to inform families within the County of housing and services available for persons with developmental disabilities due to a lack of staffing and expertise. | The objective was not achieved. The program will be discontinued. |
| D-7. Continuum of Housing Options for Persons with Special Needs | HE 4.1.3 | Support shared housing and development of small-scale residential care facilities, support for 671 individuals with HIV/AIDS annually, and housing assistance for 174 persons annually with HIV/AIDS (through a number of community based providers funded by HOPWA). | <p>HOPWA Achievements 2019:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short-Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance – 1,762 • Transitional Housing Services – 561 clients • Transitional housing units – 40 per year • Resource center - 40 households per year • Tenant Based Rental Assistance - 13 <p>HOPWA serves a multi-county area including the Counties of Sacramento, Yolo, El Dorado and Placer. The data provided is for the entire grant.</p> | The objective has been achieved. The City of Sacramento is the designated HOPWA grantee. Because the County is no longer the grantee, this program will be discontinued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| D-8. Design Guidelines and Siting Criteria for Senior Housing and Care Facilities | HE 4.1.4, HE 4.1.5 | Develop design guidelines and siting criteria for the evaluation of new senior housing projects. | <p>The Board of Supervisors adopted the Countywide Design Guidelines on July 22, 2015.</p> <p>On July 17, 2018, the Board of Supervisors initiated amendments to the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses. PER staff is in the process of implementing by-right supportive housing amendments to the Zoning Code to facilitate housing using funds from the Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) Grant.</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will not be continued. |
| D-9. Incentives for Senior Housing | HE-4.1.3, HE 4.1.4, 4.1.6 | Offer incentives to builders to provide housing and care choices for seniors of all income levels. These incentives include grants and financial help for developers of senior housing, including multifamily developer assistance programs administered by SHRA. | <p>2014: SHRA financially assisted in the construction of Arbor Creek Senior Apartments (60 units).</p> <p>2015-2016: SHRA continued its commitment to offering incentives to builders to provide housing and care choices for seniors of all income levels.</p> <p>2017: SHRA financially assisted in the construction of Southwind Court Apartments (88 units), which is not restricted to seniors, but is occupied by seniors.</p> <p>2018: SHRA continued to work on the Southwind Court Apartments preservation of 71 units not age-restricted but serves mainly seniors.</p> <p>2019: The County Housing Authority committed to issuing Mortgage Revenue Bonds for the rehabilitation of Sierra Sunrise</p> | The objective was achieved. The program will be amended to clarify the objective. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | Senior apartments (119 units) which is 100% affordable. | |
| D-10. In-Home Supportive Services | HE 4.1.3, HE 4.1.6 | Continue the In-Home Supportive Services program. | The Department of Child, Family and Adult Services continues to provide financial assistance through the In-Home Supportive Services program. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be continued. |
| D-11. The County in its updated Zoning Code, will list Supportive Housing and Transitional Housing as residential uses in the updated Zoning Code's land use tables. | HE 4.1.3, HE4.1.4 | List Supportive Housing and Transitional Housing in Zoning Code. | On July 22, 2015, the Board of Supervisors adopted the updated Zoning Code. Supportive Housing and Transitional Housing are defined as Residential Care Home in the updated Zoning Code. In addition, on July 17, 2018, the Board of Supervisors initiated amendments to the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses. PER staff is in the process of implementing amendments to facilitate housing using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program as well as the Local Early Action Planning (LEAP) Grant. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be discontinued. |
| D-12. Allow Different Housing Types for Seniors on Same Parcel | HE 4.1.3, HE4.1.4 | Provide flexibility for senior housing in the updated Zoning Code to support aging in place. | On July 17, 2018, the Board of Supervisors initiated amendments to the Zoning Code related to supportive living uses. PER staff is in the process of implementing amendments to facilitate housing using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be discontinued. |
| D-13. Annual Inspection of Farm Labor Camps | HE 4.1.7 | Ensure suitable living conditions at farm labor camps. | The Sacramento County Environmental Management Department continues to inspect | The objective has been achieved. This |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | Farm Labor Camps to ensure that they meet health and safety standards. | program will be continued. |
| D-14. Review Housing Needs of Farm Workers | HE 4.1.7, HE 4.1.8 | Biennially review housing needs of farm workers starting in July 2013. | PER staff performed a review of housing needs for farm workers in 2017 and in 2019. PER coordinated with the Sacramento County Environmental Management Department, the Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner, and local farm labor contractors. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be continued. |
| D-15. The County through the Planning and Environmental Review Division will amend its Zoning Code to list farm labor camps in the use tables. Farm labor camps that have 36 or fewer beds or 12 or fewer units will be allowed in the General Agricultural zones without a use permit. Larger farm labor camps will require use permits. This is consistent with State Health and Safety Code Section 17021.6. | HE 4.1.8 | List farm labor camps in the Zoning Code use tables and allow smaller camps without a use permit. | On July 22, 2015, the Board of Supervisors adopted the updated Zoning Code. Farm Labor Camps that have fewer than 36 beds in group quarters or 12 single-family household units are an allowed use by right in the updated Zoning Code. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be discontinued. |
| D-16. Maintaining Adequate Supply of Land for Homeless Shelters | HE 4.1.9 | Maintain an adequate supply of land for homeless shelters. Continue to allow homeless shelters by right in the GC and M-1 zones subject to appropriate development, location (near transit) and operations standards. | The County continues to implement Zoning Code provisions that permit homeless shelters in the GC and M-1 zones. In addition, County funded shelters are allowed in any zoning district in the County. PER staff is in the process of implementing amendments to the Zoning Code related to homeless shelters using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program. While the County continues to maintain an adequate supply of land for homeless shelters, it | The objective has been achieved. This program will be revised to be a policy. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>should be noted that the County has shifted away from large shelters and toward a “scattered site shelter” model as a part of its Full Service Re-Housing Shelter (FSRS) Initiative. Through this model, the County is able to assist people experiencing homelessness to stay in their community, keep pets, and room with partners and spouses. As of 2019, there are 15 of these sites located throughout the County. These sites provide 24-hour accommodations for up to 75 individuals at a time and serve approximately 250 to 300 persons annually. As of September 2019, 137 households have exited from this program into permanent housing.</p> | |
| D-17. Allowing Single Room Occupancy Units in Multifamily and GC Zones | HE 4.1.9 | Maintain an adequate supply of land for SROs. Continue to allow homeless shelters by right in the GC zone subject to development standards and location requirements. | In the updated draft Zoning Code, Single Room Occupancy (SRO) Units are allowed by right in multifamily zones and the General Commercial (GC) zone and are subject to location requirements. | This program will be discontinued. |
| D-18. Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness | HE 4.1.9 | Develop affordable housing opportunities with supportive services for homeless persons in need; assist homeless men, women and children through the Continuum of Care to achieve independent living in permanent housing, ending their homelessness. | On December 12, 2018, the County Board of Supervisors adopted the Sacramento County Homeless Plan to be eligible for the State’s No Place Like Home (NPLH) program to fund new permanent supportive housing. The goals of the Plan are to prevent people from becoming homeless, improve response to the street crisis and improve quality of life, | This program will be amended to reflect new homelessness planning efforts. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | expand and improve shelter and interim housing, expand targeted permanent housing, leverage and coordinate mainstream and other resources, and strengthen system leadership and accountability. | |
| D-19. Mather Community Campus, Laverne Adolfo Program, Winter Shelter Program | HE 4.1.9 | Provide temporary shelter, housing and comprehensive job training for the homeless. | <p>The Mather Community Campus houses several programs for people experiencing homelessness including housing, recovery, and perinatal programs. Those programs related to housing include:</p> <p>Laverne Adolfo Program: From 2014 through 2019, the LaVerne Adolfo Housing Program served between 103 and 145 adults and between 31 and 47 children annually.</p> <p>Single Adult Program: this program provided job training and employment programs annually to up to 180 single adults. In 2020 the program was modified to focus on interim shelter and will no longer provide job training.</p> <p>The Family Transitional Housing Program: this program provides transitional housing for families. This program also provides longer-term support for families in need of additional services to assist with securing stable housing. The program serves up to 25 families.</p> <p>The Winter Sanctuary Program administered by First Step Communities provided 100 beds</p> | The objective has been achieved. This program will be discontinued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | seasonally for those who were not able to receive assistance through other programs from 2014 through 2019. In 2020, the Collaborative Shelter Program replaced the Winter Sanctuary Program. The objective of the Collaborative Shelter Plan is to improve housing outcomes for shelter participants through coordination between the County and the City of Sacramento. | |
| D-20. Shelter Plus Care Program | HE 4.1.9 | Provide emergency housing for the homeless. | From 2014 through 2019 The Shelter Plus Care Program served between 562 and 641 families annually. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be continued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-4.2: Increase the Supply of Affordable Rental Housing for Extremely Low-Income Households While Preserving the Existing Supply | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.2.1: Facilitate the development of new Extremely Low-Income (ELI) rental units. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.2.2: Maintain and preserve existing stock of ELI units. | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.2.3: Assist extremely low-income households through the use of portable and project based housing vouchers, including Housing Choice Vouchers (HCV) and Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) vouchers. | | | | |
| D-21. ELI Buy-down Program | HE 4.2.1 | Buy down rental units within a project built to provide units for the extremely low income level | SHRA bought down the affordability of 41 of the 102 units in the Arbor Creek project which was constructed in 2013. Since that time, there have not been sufficient funds generated by the | The objective partially achieved during the planning period and will be discontinued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | Affordable Housing Ordinance for this program, especially given the other funding priorities. Most affordable housing developers already include units for extremely low income levels in affordable housing projects in order to compete for State funding. Therefore, this program is no longer necessary. | |
| D-22. No Net Loss Goal in Annual Contribution Contract (ACC) Units | HE 4.2.2 | Prevent the loss of units in SHRA's public housing stock. | From 2013 through 2020, 19 single family homes have been removed from SHRA's public housing stock and all 19 have been sold to qualified first-time homebuyers as part of the Welcome Home Program. | The objective partially achieved during the planning period and will be updated. |
| D-23. Project-Based Rental Subsidies such as Housing Choice Voucher Program | HE 4.2.3 | Ensure availability and choice of housing for extremely low-income households. | The number of extremely low income families housed with assistance from the Housing Choice Voucher Program has steadily increased from 11,600 in 2014 to 12,500 in 2018. | The objective has been achieved. This program will be amended to distinguish between Project-Based Rental Subsidies and Housing Choice Vouchers and continued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-4.3: Enforcement of Anti-Discrimination Programs | | | | |
| Policy HE 4.3.1: The County shall continue to provide funding for equal housing opportunity programs such as those operated by the Human Rights and Fair Housing Commission and/or other fair housing enforcement organizations to support their mission and programs. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| D-24. Distribute Information on Fair Housing | HE 4.3.1 | Increase the level of public awareness regarding housing discrimination. | The program refers to former Regional Human Rights and Fair Housing Commission which was replaced with the Renters Helpline. The County contracts with Sacramento Self-Help Housing, Inc. (SSHH) and several subconsultants to provide the Renters Helpline. SSHH markets the program through social media, community events, bus advertisements, and radio advertisements. Renters Helpline information is also disseminated by County staff at the building assistance center, by Code Enforcement staff during rental housing inspections, and by 311 staff. In addition, the County maintains a Fair Housing webpage with information about the Renters Helpline. | This program will be amended to reference the Renters Helpline. It will be combined with D-25 and continued. |
| D-25. Referring Complaints of Housing Discrimination | HE 4.3.1 | Increase the resolution of housing complaints and reduce housing discrimination. | <p>During the 2015-2016 contract year, the Renters Helpline received 7,182 calls countywide, 3,076 (43%) of which were from the unincorporated County. The Renters Helpline completed 61 dispute resolutions countywide, 48 (79%) of which were from the unincorporated County. 63 cases were opened for investigation for potential fair housing violations countywide, 58 (92%) of which were from the unincorporated County and the City of Sacramento.</p> <p>During the 2016-2017 contract year, the Renters Helpline received</p> | This program will be amended to reference the Renters Helpline. It will be combined with D-24 and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|------------|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>8,331 calls countywide, 3,652 (44%) of which were from the unincorporated County. The Renters Helpline completed 42 dispute resolutions countywide, 14 (33%) of which were from the unincorporated County. 85 cases were opened for investigation for potential fair housing violations countywide, 29 (34%) of which were from the unincorporated County.</p> <p>During the 2017-2018 contract year, the Renters Helpline received 8,302 calls countywide, 3,369 (41%) of which were from the unincorporated County. The Renters Helpline completed 61 dispute resolutions countywide, 48 (79%) of which were from the unincorporated County. 98 cases were opened for investigation for potential fair housing violations countywide, 41 (42%) of which were from the unincorporated County.</p> <p>During the 2018-2019 contract year, the Renters Helpline received 8,079 calls countywide, 3,616 (45%) of which were from the unincorporated County. The Renters Helpline completed 134 dispute resolutions countywide, 58 (43%) of which were from the unincorporated County. 114 cases were opened for investigation for potential fair housing violations countywide, 48 (42%) of which</p> | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | were from the unincorporated County. | |
| D-26. Updating Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice and Implementing its Recommendations | HE 4.3.1 | Improve the level of reporting to measure success in reducing housing discrimination. | SHRA, on behalf of the Unincorporated County of Sacramento (which includes the small cities of Folsom, Isleton and Galt) and City of Sacramento, partnered with the cities of Woodland, Davis, Rocklin, Roseville, West Sacramento, Citrus Heights, Rancho Cordova, Elk Grove and the Housing Authorities of Sacramento, Roseville/Rocklin and Yolo to conduct an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI). The regional AI was approved by the Board of Supervisors on October 22, 2019. | The objective has been achieved. The program will be amended to focus on implementation of the goals, strategies and action items of the AI. |
| STRATEGY HE 5: PRESERVATION OF EXISTING AFFORDABLE HOUSING STOCK AND PROVISION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy HE-5.1: Preservation of Affordable Housing Stock | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.1.1: Preserve the affordability of subsidized rental housing whenever possible through a combination of regulatory and financial incentives. | | | | |
| E-1. Preserve Affordable Housing at Risk of Becoming Market-Rate | HE 5.1.1 | Preserve as many units as possible that are "at risk" of conversion. | In 2016, SHRA provided gap funds for the rehabilitation of Sutter Place which resulted in the preservation of 46 affordable units. In 2017, SHRA provided gap funds for the rehabilitation of Southwind Court Apartments which resulted in the preservation of 70 affordable units | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be amended and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>and the conversion of 17 market rate units into affordable units.</p> <p>2019: By committing to provide gap financing and issue Mortgage Revenue Bonds on Pacific Rim Apartments (32 units) and committing to issue Mortgage Revenue Bonds on Sierra Sunrise Senior Apartments (119 units), SHRA is ensuring long-term continued affordability as well as the useful life of the structures.</p> | |
| E-2. Distribute Financing and Housing Voucher Program Information to Rental Property Owners and Managers | HE 5.1.1 | Preservation of existing affordable rental units. | See achievements listed for program E-1 above. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be combined with E-1 and continued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE-5.2: Provision of Affordable Housing Stock | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.2.1: The County will continue to implement its affordable housing program. | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.2.2: Support the use of federal, state, and local programs for the purchase of affordable housing (new and existing) and assist low- and moderate-income households to purchase such dwelling units. Promote a partnership between the public and private sector for the provision of affordable housing, with an objective of increasing homeownership for low- and moderate-income families. | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.2.3: Support programs that provide assistance to developers who construct affordable rental units. | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.2.4: Support the development of residential accessory dwelling units as a means to increase the overall supply of affordable housing. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE 5.2.5: Discourage the conversion of existing rental housing units, primarily those serving the needs of very low- and low-income households, to market-rate condominiums. | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.2.6: Identify new funding sources for the provision of affordable housing. | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.2.7: Continue to implement a density bonus ordinance that provides for a greater number of dwelling units and other incentives in exchange for housing units affordable to lower income households. This ordinance will be amended to comply with State law. | | | | |
| E-3. Amending Affordable Housing Ordinance | HE 5.2.1 | Review the effectiveness of the Affordable Housing Ordinance in producing affordable dwelling units and its impact on the production of market-rate housing. | Pursuant to the Affordable Housing Ordinance, the affordability fee on all new market rate units is updated annually based on existing market conditions. No amendments were made to the Ordinance during the planning period; however, the County will consider amendments to the Affordable Housing Ordinance following the Housing Element Update. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be replaced with a new program. |
| E-4. Housing Incentive Program (HIP) to Encourage the Construction of Housing for Special Needs Groups. | HE 4.1.1, HE 4.1.4, HE 4.1.6, HE 4.1.11, HE 5.2.1 and HE 5.2.2 | Incentivize multifamily developers to increase the number of affordable units and/or units for special needs groups in their projects. | In 2018, the County approved a HIP applications which resulted in 5 additional units for a total of 45 units. Staff advertised the County's Housing Incentive Program (HIP) online, at the building assistance centers, and during meetings with developers. PER staff is in the process of implementing amendments to HIP to broaden its applicability using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program. | The objective was partially achieved for the planning period. The program will be amended and continued. |
| E-5. Mortgage Credit Certificate (MCC) Allocations | HE 5.2.2 | Assist annually 280 households with MCCs and 50 households with Cal | 2014: SHRA applied for and received an allocation of MCCs from the California Debt Limit | The objective was partially achieved during the planning |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | HOME First Time Homebuyer loans to become homeowners. | <p>Allocation Committee. The allocation of MCCs is for the County of Sacramento, along with the participating cities of Citrus Heights, Elk Grove, Folsom, Galt, Isleton, Rancho Cordova and Sacramento. Seven (7) MCCs and 22 CalHome loans were issued.</p> <p>2015: SHRA continued with its 2014 allocation of MCCs from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC). 25 MCCs and 13 CalHome loans were issued.</p> <p>2016: SHRA applied for and received an allocation of MCCs from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC). 16 MCCs and 23 CalHome loans were issued. The 2014 MCC allocation was fully expended.</p> <p>2017: SHRA continued with its 2016 allocation of MCCs and applied for and received a new allocation of MCCs from the California Debt Limit Allocation Committee (CDLAC). 40 MCCs and 12 CalHome loans were issued.</p> <p>2018: SHRA issued all remaining authority of its prior allocation of MCCs and a new application was prepared in December 2018 (and submitted in January 2019). 21 MCCs were issued on behalf of \$5.6 million of total mortgage loans.</p> | period. The program will be modified with a more reasonable quantitative objective. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | 2019: SHRA issued 28 MCC's and provided down payment assistance to two low income homebuyers. | |
| E-6. Building Equity Growth in Neighborhoods (BEGIN) Program | HE 5.2.3 | 2 new homeownership units annually. | The State Department of Housing and Community Development did not publish a Notice of Funding Availability for the BEGIN program during the planning period. | The objective was not achieved because the BEGIN program was discontinued. This program will be replaced with a new program. |
| E-7. Gap Financing to Construct Rental Affordable Housing | HE 5.2.3 | Assist 64 units financed per year (512 units over eight years). | <p>2014: SHRA provided gap financing for the construction of Arbor Creek Senior Apartments (60 units) which benefits extremely low- and very low- income households over a 55-year affordability restriction period.</p> <p>2015: SHRA provided gap financing for the construction of Anton Arcade Apartments (148 units). Anton Arcade benefits very low- and low-income households over a 55-year affordability restriction period.</p> <p>2016: SHRA provided gap financing for the rehabilitation of Sutter Place Apartments (47 units), which benefits extremely low-income households over a 20-year-period and very low- and low-income households over a 55-year affordability restriction period.</p> <p>2017: SHRA provided gap financing for the construction of Courtyard Inn (92 units) and the</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period. Programs E-7, E-8 and E-9 will be combined and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>rehabilitation of Southwind Court Apartments (88 units). Southwind Court Apartments will supply housing for low, very low and extremely low-income households over a 55-year restriction period. The Southwind Court rehabilitation preserved 70 affordable units and converted 17 market rate units to affordable. Courtyard Inn will supply housing for low and very low-income households over a 55-year restriction period.</p> <p>2019: Committed \$2,455,000 in gap financing to rehabilitate Pacific Rim Apartments.</p> | |
| E-8. Mortgage Revenue Bonds | HE 5.2.3 | Increase the availability of financing for new affordable housing construction through multifamily mortgage bonds. | <p>2014: the County Housing Authority issued Mortgage Revenue Bonds for Woodhaven Senior (104 units) located in the City of Sacramento and Arbor Creek Senior (60 units) Apartments.</p> <p>2015: the County Housing Authority issued Mortgage Revenue Bonds for Anton Arcade (148 units), Olivewood Apartments (68 units), Mutual at Foothill Farms (98 units) and Ethan Terrace Apartments (92 units) located in the County of Sacramento.</p> <p>2017: the County Housing Authority issued Mortgage Revenue Bonds for Crossroad Gardens (70 units) and held a Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period. Programs E-7, E-8 and E-9 will be combined and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>Act (TEFRA) hearing for Kensington Apartments (301 units).</p> <p>2018: \$12.0 million in new tax-exempt bonds funds to Southwind Court Apartments projects.</p> <p>2019: Committed to issuing up to \$7,000,000 in Mortgage Revenue Bonds for the rehabilitation of Pacific Rim Apartments and committed to issuing up to \$23,000,000 in Mortgage Revenue Bonds for rehabilitation of Sierra Sunrise Senior Apartments.</p> | |
| E-9. Financially Support Developers Applying for State or Federal Funding Programs | HE 5.2.3 | Increase housing opportunities for lower income households, and use locally controlled funding to leverage funding from state and federal programs. | <p>2014: SHRA provided gap funds of nearly \$6.8 million for the Arbor Creek Senior Apartments and leveraged nearly \$18.2 million in conventional and tax credit financing for Woodhaven Senior and Arbor Creek Senior Apartments.</p> <p>2015: SHRA provided gap funds of nearly \$18.2 million for Anton Arcade, Ethan Terrace, Olivewood, and Mutual at Foothill Farms and leveraged nearly \$53.1 million in conventional and tax credit financing for both projects.</p> <p>2016: SHRA provided gap funds of \$1,570,000 for Sutter Place and leveraged over \$7.5 million in conventional and tax credit financing. SHRA also applied to HUD for competitive VASH vouchers and received 75 vouchers to be dedicated to a</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period. Programs E-7, E-8 and E-9 will be combined and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | <p>project and increase the supply of affordable housing.</p> <p>2017: SHRA provided gap funds of approximately \$18 million for Courtyard Inn, Crossroad Gardens, Southwind Court Apartments and leveraged over \$46.8 million in conventional and tax credit financing for all three projects.</p> <p>2018: The Courtyard Inn and Southwind Court Apartments projects leveraged \$32 million in tax credit equity.</p> <p>2019: SHRA committed \$2,455,000 in new funding for Pacific Rim apartments. This local commitment leveraged \$3,350,261 in tax credit equity and \$2.3 million in private financing.</p> | |
| E10. Condominium Conversion Ordinance | HE 5.2.5 | Reduce the conversion of market-rate rental housing occupied by lower income households to condominiums. | The County continues to implement its condominium conversion ordinance as contained in the Zoning Code. An analysis of the potential impact of the conversion on the displacement of low-income tenants with appropriate mitigation measures is required. The ordinance ensures tenant relocation assistance. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be revised to be a policy. |
| E11. New Funding Sources for Affordable Housing | HE 5.2.6 | Identify new funding sources and increase the availability of funding to support affordable housing. | SHRA continues to monitor new State funding programs such as SB 2, and SB 3. The County has applied for SB 2 funds and is awaiting approval. SHRA has successfully applied for VASH vouchers in 2016 and LHTF in | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The will be revised to be a policy. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | 2021. During the planning period, developers in Sacramento County have successfully applied for AHSC and NPLH. | |
| E12. Promote and Encourage Residential Accessory Dwellings | HE 5.2.4, and HE 4.1.2 and HE 4.1.4 (Under Sub-Strategy HE 4.1: Housing for "Special Needs" Populations) | Support the development of residential accessory dwelling units by promoting accessory dwellings and amending the Zoning Code. At least 100 units will be constructed during the planning period. | In 2018, major modifications were made to the Zoning Code to comply with State legislation aimed at removing barriers for accessory dwellings. These included: Raising the maximum square footage of accessory dwellings allowed administratively to 600 or 800 s.f., depending on lot size; modifying the review process for accessory dwellings that are requesting deviations from development standards from a Use Permit to a Special Development Permit; allowing accessory dwellings on lots of less than 5,200 s.f., with a Special Development Permit; adopting required parking reduction allowances; and, adopting required allowance for administrative approval of accessory dwellings created from existing permitted square footage. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be replaced. |
| E13. Density Bonus Ordinance | HE 5.2.7 | Encourage the development of affordable housing and amend the Zoning Code incorporate changes to the State Density Bonus Law. | PER keeps apprised of changes to the State Density Bonus Law. In addition, PER staff is in the process of implementing amendments the Zoning Code to broaden the applicability of HIP and to update the State Density Bonus section using funds from the SB 2 Planning Grant Program. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be replaced. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| E14. Self-Help Housing Programs | HE 5.2.2 | Increase homeownership opportunities for low-income families. Give 3 properties to Habitat for Humanity in 2013 and at least 1 property in 2014. | In 2014, SHRA conveyed five properties on 43 rd Avenue to Habitat for Humanity of Greater Sacramento. In addition, SHRA conveyed Housing Authority property on 46 th Street to Habitat for Humanity of Greater Sacramento and Mutual Housing for the development of a mix of single family homes and multifamily apartments. In 2019, Habitat Humanity closed loans on the five 43rd Avenue houses. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The objective will be amended and the program will be continued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE 5.3: Mixed Use Development in Infill Parcels, Commercial Corridors, Master Plans and Near Light Rail Stations in Order to Provide More Opportunities for Affordable Housing | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.3.1: When feasible, integrate housing with compatible non-residential uses in an effort to locate affordable housing near employment opportunities and take maximum advantage of infill development opportunities. | | | | |
| E15. Mixed-Use Zone | HE 5.3.1 | Approve the updated Zoning Code to establish a mixed use zone. | The Board of Supervisors adopted the updated Zoning Code on July 22, 2015. The Zoning Code includes three mixed use zones, one of which requires residential use. Several of the adopted corridor plans and Special Planning Areas (SPA), such as the Downtown Rio Linda SPA, also include mixed use zoning districts. PER is also proposing a new infill program as a part of the 2021-2029 Housing Element. | The objective was achieved for the planning period. The program will be discontinued. |
| Sub-Strategy HE 5.4: Ensuring that Services and Amenities are in Close Proximity to New and Existing Multifamily Housing and Low Income Areas. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| Policy HE 5.4.1: The County will encourage the locating of multiple-family projects near public transportation, shopping, recreation and other amenities. | | | | |
| Policy HE 5.4.2: The County will encourage the provision of safe, convenient opportunities to purchase or grow fresh fruits and vegetables by ensuring that sources of healthy foods are accessible in all neighborhoods. | | | | |
| E16. Discouraging the Clustering of Multifamily Projects in Service-Deficient Areas | HE 5.4.1 | Avoid clustering of multifamily projects in one area of a community where services are limited. | Multifamily areas in the draft land use plans for master plans in the Jackson Highway area are well integrated with other land uses, particularly land uses that will provide services to multifamily residents (parks, schools, neighborhood shopping centers). | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will discontinued. |
| STRATEGY HE 6: PROMOTE THE EFFICIENT USE OF ENERGY IN RESIDENCES AND IMPROVE THE AIR QUALITY OF SACRAMENTO COUNTY | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy 6.1: Promote the Efficient Use of Energy and Reduce the Long-Term Operational Cost of Housing | | | | |
| HE Policy 6.1.1: Whenever feasible, incorporate energy-efficient site design, such as proper orientation to benefit from active and/or passive solar heating and cooling, into master planning efforts. | | | | |
| HE Policy 6.1.2: The County will encourage residential developers/builders to maximize energy efficiency through building design and through the use of energy efficient materials, equipment, appliances, strategies and techniques. | | | | |
| HE Policy 6.1.3: The County will encourage owners of existing homes to retrofit their residences with energy efficient materials, equipment, and appliances. | | | | |
| F1. Include SMUD Staff in Project Review Committee (PRC) Process | HE 6.1.1, HE 6.1.2 | Have SMUD staff participate in PRC meetings and in the preparation of master plans. | SMUD officials continue to participate in the Project Review Committee (PRC) process. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | | the program will be continued. |
| F2. Distribute SMUD Information | HE 6.1.2, HE 6.1.3 | Distribute SMUD information on energy efficiency and energy incentive programs and services at Downtown County permit counters and at County service centers | PER continues to distribute flyers from SMUD on energy efficiency incentive programs at the Building Assistance Center. The County's webpage also includes a link to this information. | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be continued. |
| F3. Implementing Green Building Measures | HE 6.1.2, HE 6.1.3 | Increase energy efficiency in existing and new residences. | <p>During the planning period, the State adopted more stringent CALGreen standards; therefore, the County did not need to require Tier 1 compliance for additional energy efficiency.</p> <p>The County continues to advertise green buildings on its Green Sacramento County webpage.</p> <p>On November 5, 2013, the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors adopted the Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) program for all commercial and residential property owners. In 2015, the County amended its PACE program to allow for an open competitive market for third party PACE Providers. As of 2019, there are nine PACE Providers authorized to operate in the unincorporated County. Between program inception and December 2018 there were 7,269 projects that were completed and/or funded through the PACE Program resulting in an estimated 110.42 million dollars in energy savings</p> | The objective was achieved for the planning period and the program will be amended and continued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|--|------------|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| | | | and a 147 thousand metric Ton reduction in Greenhouse Gases (CO2). | |
| STRATEGY HE 7: PROMOTE THE HEALTH AND SAFETY OF RESIDENTS | | | | |
| Sub-Strategy 7.1: Promote Public Health, Safety and Livability through Community Design and the Built Environment | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.1: Advance a built environment that promotes and supports physical activity. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.2: Advance a built environment that promotes and supports improved air quality and multiple transportation modes. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.3: Advance a built environment that promotes and supports access to locally-grown fresh food, parks, schools, shopping, jobs, health care and community services. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.4: The County will continue to support walking, bicycling and transit use, reducing auto dependency in order improve the health of its citizens and improve the region's air quality. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.5: The County will continue to support walking and bicycling by requiring complete streets (bike lanes, and sidewalks separated from the roadway with tree planted landscaping) in transit priority areas and in new communities and developments wherever practicable. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.6: The County will endeavor to protect homes from major sources of outdoor air pollution and will mitigate for impacts by improving indoor air quality in residences, planting trees that help to filter particulates and other measures. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.7: The County will encourage the provision of safe, convenient opportunities to purchase local fresh fruits and vegetables by ensuring that sources of healthy foods are accessible to neighborhoods. In urbanized communities access should be within a half-mile of transit priority areas. | | | | |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| HE Policy 7.1.8: The County will advance residential subdivision and commercial building design that supports crime prevention by utilizing Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles. | | | | |
| HE Policy 7.1.9: The County will participate in the Healthy Sacramento Coalition, whose goal is to reduce tobacco use, obesity, death and disability due to chronic disease, reduce health disparities, build a safe and healthy physical environment, and improve the social and emotional well-being of Sacramento County residents. | | | | |
| G-1. Healthy Communities and Crime Reduction Measures | HE 7.1.1, HE 7.1.3, HE 7.1.7, HE 7.1.8 | Incorporate Healthy Communities and crime reduction measures into the County's Design Review Guidelines. | The County's Design Review Guidelines that incorporated healthy communities and crime reduction measures were adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on July 22, 2015. The County has gained national recognition from the Center for Active Design. | The objective was achieved and the program will be discontinued. |
| G-2. Encourage Pedestrian and Bicycle Activity in Residential Areas | HE 7.1.1, HE 7.1.2, HE 7.1.3, HE 7.1.4 | Provide and encourage walking, bicycling and use of transit in residential communities. Diminish auto dependency. Improve air quality and minimize environmental impacts. | <p>The County continues to support walking, bicycling, and transit use in order to minimize auto dependency and improve air quality. As of 2019, SacDOT is in the process of updating and consolidating the Bikeway Master Plan and the Pedestrian Master Plan into one Active Transportation Plan.</p> <p>The County has developed a comprehensive trails plan that includes all four of the master plans in the Jackson Highway area. The County has also conditioned these four master plan areas to fund a comprehensive transit system with 15 minute headways during peak hours (30 minute headways during other operating hours) in the Jackson Highway area.</p> | The objective was achieved and the program will be discontinued. |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| G-3. Fresh Produce Near Existing Neighborhoods | HE 7.1.3, HE 7.1.7 | Encourage providers of fresh produce to locate near existing and new neighborhoods. | <p>The Office of Planning and Environmental Review prepared the Urban Farm Ordinance that allows the growing of fresh produce as a primary use in urban areas. The Ordinance also allows produce stands of up to 120 sq. ft. in urban areas with a temporary use permit (use permit to be heard by Zoning Administrator for produce stands larger than 120 sq. ft.). The ordinance was approved by the County Board of Supervisors on January 24, 2017.</p> <p>The Board of Supervisors adopted the Phase 2 Environmental Justice Element on December 17, 2019. As a result, this program was moved to the Environmental Justice Element. The Element includes other new policies and implementation measures intended to increase access to healthy foods, especially in Environmental Justice Communities.</p> | This program has been moved to the Environmental Justice Element. |
| G-4. Community Gardens Allowed in Multifamily Open Space Area | HE 7.1.3, HE 7.1.7 | Amend the Zoning Code to include community gardens in multifamily projects. | The Board of Supervisors adopted the updated Zoning Code on July 22, 2015. The updated Zoning Code allows community gardens to be counted toward the open space requirements for multifamily projects. | The objective was achieved and the program will be discontinued. |
| G-5. The County will participate in the Healthy Sacramento Coalition, whose goal is to reduce tobacco use, obesity, death and disability due to chronic disease, reduce health disparities, build a safe and healthy physical environment, | HE 7.1.1, HE 7.1.3 | Participate in the Healthy Sacramento Coalition. | Due to loss of funding, the Healthy Sacramento Coalition modified its goals away from those listed under program G-5 during the planning period. However, the County has | The objective was partially achieved during the planning period. This program will be discontinued |

| HOUSING ELEMENT EVALUATION | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Program | Implements Which Policy(ies) | Objective | Achievements | Evaluation |
| and improve the social and emotional well-being of Sacramento County residents. | | | continued to partner with other organizations to advance healthy communities. Partner organizations include Kaiser, San Francisco Federal Reserve Bank, California Endowment, California State Department of Public Health and Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). | because the Healthy Sacramento Coalition modified its goals. |
| G-6. Training in Reducing Crime Through Environmental Design | HE 7.2.1 | Review of development plans to discourage crime. | The Sacramento County's Sheriff's Department has staff that are trained in CPTED that attend the County's Project Review Committee and Subdivision Review Committee meetings for the purposes of reviewing projects and to suggest changes to better address CPTED. | The objective was achieved. This program has been moved to the Environmental Justice Element. |
| G-7. Incorporate Reducing Crime Through Environmental Design (CPTED) in the County's Design Review Guidelines. | HE 7.2.1 | Review of development plans and uses to discourage crime and increase safety. | The Board of Supervisors adopted the updated Zoning Code on July 22, 2015. The updated Zoning Code includes CPTED guidelines. | The objective was achieved. This program has been moved to the Environmental Justice Element. |

APPENDIX C: VACANT LAND INVENTORY

Tables C-1 through C-13 provide the Above-Moderate Land Inventory.

| TABLE C-1 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL 1 (AR-1)⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| 0620030004 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.59 | 1 |
| 0620030015 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.78 | 1 |
| 0620030028 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 0630120012 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 0630120024 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.11 | 1 |
| 1210021001 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.75 | 1 |
| 1210022001 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.77 | 1 |
| 1220340001 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.93 | 1 |
| 1220340012 | Vineyard | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.93 | 1 |
| 1420100110 | Delta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 2.66 | 2 |
| 1480072007 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 12.12 | 12 |
| 1480072009 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 9.53 | 9 |
| 1480240002 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 9.77 | 9 |
| 1500042037 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.55 | 1 |
| 1500042063 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.55 | 1 |
| 1500060058 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.21 | 1 |
| 1500060075 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 1500060083 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.99 | 1 |
| 1500060084 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.99 | 1 |
| 1500371014 | Southeast | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.28 | 1 |
| 2030040007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 12.34 | 8 |
| 2030080038 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 24.57 | 15 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------|-----|--------|----|
| 2030010016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 143.41 | 97 |
| 2030080039 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 6.88 | 5 |
| 2070012032 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.74 | 1 |
| 2070012046 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.09 | 1 |
| 2070012048 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.64 | 1 |
| 2070012050 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.44 | 1 |
| 2070012055 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 2.19 | 2 |
| 2070051042 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.25 | 1 |
| 2080121010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.57 | 1 |
| 2080121013 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2080121017 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 10.00 | 10 |
| 2080122022 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.78 | 1 |
| 2080133002 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 5.00 | 5 |
| 2080134005 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2080141012 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 3.74 | 3 |
| 2080141026 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.70 | 1 |
| 2080154025 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 11.90 | 11 |
| 2080161016 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.44 | 1 |
| 2080162003 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 3.62 | 3 |
| 2080162006 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 6.37 | 6 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2080172002 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.91 | 1 |
| 2130014023 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2130014024 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2130014038 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.85 | 1 |
| 2130014039 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.85 | 1 |
| 2130031034 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 2.04 | 2 |
| 2130051020 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 2.38 | 2 |
| 2130081007 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 2.06 | 2 |
| 2130102030 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.09 | 1 |
| 2130102031 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.08 | 1 |
| 2130102032 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2130102038 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.07 | 1 |
| 2130112041 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.16 | 1 |
| 2130112042 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2130112018 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 3.00 | 3 |
| 2130161019 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.69 | 1 |
| 2130170024 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.14 | 1 |
| 2130190039 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.01 | 1 |
| 2130190040 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.18 | 1 |
| 2130190041 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.14 | 1 |
| 2130291030 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.95 | 1 |
| 2130360031 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.35 | 1 |
| 2130540013 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 2150062006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 4.70 | 4 |
| 2150062029 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 3.86 | 3 |
| 2150071010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 2190410009 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.09 | 1 |
| 2250131006 | Natomas | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.42 | 1 |
| 2250131009 | Natomas | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.02 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------|--------------|-----|---------------|--------------|
| 2250132003 | Natomas | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.06 | 1 |
| 2250132004 | Natomas | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2270151023 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.99 | 1 |
| 2270160025 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.68 | 1 |
| 2270160023 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2460130067 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 2460170004 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.99 | 1 |
| 2460170044 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.53 | 1 |
| 2460170075 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.12 | 1 |
| 2460170076 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2460182038 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.06 | 1 |
| 2460182044 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.84 | 1 |
| 2460182045 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 2.06 | 2 |
| 2460390001 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 0.95 | 1 |
| 2460390007 | Fair Oaks | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.01 | 1 |
| 2570200027 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.06 | 1 |
| 2570210011 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.43 | 1 |
| 2570220011 | Orangevale | AR-1 | 1.0 | 1.52 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 351.17 | 282 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel, footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) AR-1 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the planning period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory.
- (3) AR-1 zoning permits one dwelling unit per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints were assessed and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-2 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL 2 (AR-2)^{(1) (2)} GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity⁽³⁾ |
| 0730090062 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 117.62 | 58 |
| 0730180027 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 202.71 | 101 |
| 0730180029 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 39.81 | 19 |
| 0730190047 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.48 | 4 |
| 0730460007 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 1 |
| 0730460014 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 17.93 | 8 |
| 0730790023 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 236.36 | 119 |
| 0730800003 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 218.03 | 109 |
| 0730800006 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 11.03 | 5 |
| 0730800007 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.01 | 1 |
| 0730800008 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 92.75 | 46 |
| 0730800009 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 65.08 | 32 |
| 1260070034 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.87 | 1 |
| 1260070047 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.05 | 1 |
| 1260070062 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.27 | 1 |
| 1260070063 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.27 | 1 |
| 1260070074 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 10.45 | 5 |
| 1260070086 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.08 | 1 |
| 1260070095 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.18 | 2 |
| 1260070097 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.47 | 1 |
| 1260070098 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.47 | 1 |
| 1260070101 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.46 | 1 |
| 1260070102 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.45 | 1 |
| 1260141007 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.19 | 1 |
| 1260141008 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.45 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------|---|
| 1260220005 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.32 | 1 |
| 1260241004 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 7.16 | 3 |
| 1260500002 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.95 | 1 |
| 1260500004 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.07 | 1 |
| 1260540002 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.22 | 1 |
| 1320030080 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.30 | 1 |
| 1340143005 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.70 | 1 |
| 1340160032 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1340173007 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.44 | 1 |
| 1340322005 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.95 | 1 |
| 1360050057 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.78 | 1 |
| 1360101064 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 0630060021 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 1210030057 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.07 | 1 |
| 1210130011 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.33 | 1 |
| 1220030008 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.30 | 1 |
| 1220040001 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.97 | 1 |
| 1220070003 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.38 | 1 |
| 1220070005 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.38 | 1 |
| 1220070014 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.65 | 1 |
| 1220070032 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.36 | 1 |
| 1220100016 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.04 | 1 |
| 1220140014 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.11 | 1 |
| 1220140015 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.11 | 1 |
| 1220140016 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.11 | 1 |
| 1220160021 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.13 | 1 |
| 1220170022 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.02 | 1 |
| 1230090015 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.53 | 1 |
| 1230200019 | Vineyard | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1340190029 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 8.39 | 4 |
| 1340190030 | Cosumnes | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.67 | 4 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 1420100017 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.66 | 1 |
| 1420100052 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 1460180007 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.28 | 1 |
| 1480041006 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.93 | 4 |
| 1480041028 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.07 | 1 |
| 1480064026 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.27 | 1 |
| 1480113046 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.71 | 1 |
| 1480113049 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.56 | 1 |
| 1480115080 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480115081 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480115082 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480132058 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 10.95 | 5 |
| 1480152092 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.97 | 1 |
| 1480152093 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480152102 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.75 | 1 |
| 1480160033 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 12.92 | 6 |
| 1480160034 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.00 | 4 |
| 1480160068 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.20 | 2 |
| 1480180096 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.10 | 1 |
| 1480190026 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 19.34 | 9 |
| 1480190066 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.87 | 1 |
| 1480190067 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480190068 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480190071 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.42 | 1 |
| 1480212007 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.49 | 1 |
| 1480221003 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1480240012 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 8.47 | 4 |
| 1480350007 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.85 | 1 |
| 1480730008 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.68 | 1 |
| 1480730010 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.52 | 1 |
| 1481100002 | Southeast | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 1560020038 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.76 | 1 |
| 1560080040 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 1560080041 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.61 | 1 |
| 1560080042 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.12 | 1 |
| 1560080070 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.06 | 1 |
| 1560220001 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.40 | 1 |
| 1560220002 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.25 | 1 |
| 1570100025 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.59 | 1 |
| 1570100081 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.51 | 1 |
| 1570100082 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.64 | 1 |
| 1570100083 | Delta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.63 | 1 |
| 2010093010 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.22 | 2 |
| 2010093011 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2020010019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.55 | 1 |
| 2020010030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 15.92 | 7 |
| 2020020003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.00 | 2 |
| 2020020009 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.65 | 2 |
| 2020020013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.20 | 1 |
| 2020020022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.66 | 1 |
| 2020121033 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.40 | 1 |
| 2020121053 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2020121056 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.98 | 1 |
| 2020121057 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.97 | 1 |
| 2020121058 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.96 | 1 |
| 2020131008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.82 | 1 |
| 2020132022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.60 | 1 |
| 2020132023 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.77 | 1 |
| 2020132025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.43 | 1 |
| 2020132033 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2020133032 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.81 | 1 |
| 2020145002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 8.37 | 4 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 2020170005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.30 | 1 |
| 2020272010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.71 | 1 |
| 2020272011 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.10 | 2 |
| 2020281004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 10.00 | 5 |
| 2020281005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.43 | 4 |
| 2020282017 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.89 | 1 |
| 2020282018 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.12 | 1 |
| 2020291001 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 7.90 | 3 |
| 2020291011 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2020291012 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2020292004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.52 | 1 |
| 2020301015 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.41 | 1 |
| 2020302005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 6.98 | 3 |
| 2020310010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 2020310030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.00 | 2 |
| 2020310036 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.66 | 1 |
| 2020310038 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2020310039 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.84 | 1 |
| 2020330036 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 2030030003 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2030030005 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 2030030007 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.80 | 1 |
| 2030030008 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 2030030009 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2030120018 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 7.96 | 3 |
| 2030120048 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2030120074 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.30 | 1 |
| 2030250010 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.70 | 2 |
| 2030250025 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.62 | 2 |
| 2030260019 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 11.70 | 5 |
| 2030260041 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2030260052 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.01 | 1 |
| 2030260053 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2030260057 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2030260064 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.95 | 1 |
| 2030270001 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.68 | 1 |
| 2030270002 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 2030270006 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2030270007 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2030460004 | Antelope | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.85 | 1 |
| 2032060004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.60 | 1 |
| 2060010008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2060010016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.09 | 1 |
| 2060010023 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.23 | 1 |
| 2060010031 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.30 | 1 |
| 2060040035 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.98 | 1 |
| 2060040045 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2060090038 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.29 | 1 |
| 2060090041 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.87 | 1 |
| 2060090042 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.87 | 1 |
| 2060100012 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 2060100019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 2060100024 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.19 | 1 |
| 2060100028 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2060100029 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 2060130026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.91 | 1 |
| 2060142020 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.01 | 1 |
| 2060200003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 2060200008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.96 | 1 |
| 2060200060 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.68 | 1 |
| 2060440002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.02 | 1 |
| 2070041021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.66 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 2070041023 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.68 | 1 |
| 2070170016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 12.50 | 6 |
| 2070170037 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 6.88 | 3 |
| 2070180007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.52 | 1 |
| 2070221022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.55 | 4 |
| 2070230008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 1 |
| 2070230009 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.66 | 1 |
| 2070230051 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.96 | 1 |
| 2070240013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 2070240043 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.84 | 2 |
| 2130180024 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 9.93 | 4 |
| 2140010030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.06 | 1 |
| 2140010054 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.70 | 1 |
| 2140010055 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2140021021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.31 | 1 |
| 2140021023 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.31 | 1 |
| 2140021026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.17 | 1 |
| 2140021028 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.41 | 1 |
| 2140022016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.76 | 1 |
| 2140080017 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.57 | 1 |
| 2140080022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.92 | 1 |
| 2140092033 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2140101001 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.19 | 2 |
| 2140101018 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.18 | 1 |
| 2140102013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.88 | 1 |
| 2140111004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 10.00 | 5 |
| 2140112024 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.41 | 1 |
| 2140112025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.41 | 1 |
| 2140121019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.04 | 1 |
| 2140123032 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.50 | 1 |
| 2140123043 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2140130010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.49 | 1 |
| 2140130021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.94 | 1 |
| 2140130024 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2140130025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2140130026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.98 | 1 |
| 2140171022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 1 |
| 2140173008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.02 | 1 |
| 2140173010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2140182018 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.40 | 1 |
| 2140182022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2140191009 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.38 | 1 |
| 2140191019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.57 | 1 |
| 2140191026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.93 | 1 |
| 2140192026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.07 | 1 |
| 2140192027 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.21 | 1 |
| 2140350002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.79 | 1 |
| 2140350003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.15 | 1 |
| 2140350004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.85 | 1 |
| 2150010005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 2150020066 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.96 | 1 |
| 2150020069 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.29 | 1 |
| 2150111010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 2150120002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.44 | 1 |
| 2150120007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 2150120016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.77 | 1 |
| 2150120061 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.53 | 1 |
| 2150130053 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2150130054 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2150140003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.62 | 1 |
| 2150140004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.28 | 1 |
| 2150140021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.39 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|----|
| 2150140022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.39 | 1 |
| 2150140070 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 1 |
| 2150140081 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.40 | 1 |
| 2150140082 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.91 | 1 |
| 2150161006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.30 | 2 |
| 2150201031 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.69 | 1 |
| 2150202004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.17 | 1 |
| 2150202005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.17 | 1 |
| 2150212010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.70 | 1 |
| 2150212011 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.57 | 1 |
| 2150212041 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.46 | 1 |
| 2150220025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.08 | 2 |
| 2240200005 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 11.65 | 5 |
| 2240200021 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.43 | 1 |
| 2240200038 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.19 | 1 |
| 2240290005 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.29 | 1 |
| 2240290037 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.66 | 1 |
| 2240760017 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 7.41 | 3 |
| 2240760018 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 7.01 | 3 |
| 2240760020 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.00 | 2 |
| 2240760034 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.17 | 2 |
| 2240760046 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2240770004 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.46 | 1 |
| 2240770014 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2240800008 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2240800011 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.61 | 1 |
| 2240800013 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.01 | 1 |
| 2250121003 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.24 | 1 |
| 2270010002 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 24.80 | 12 |
| 2270010041 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.66 | 1 |
| 2270010042 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2270010043 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 1 |
| 2270010044 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 1 |
| 2270010063 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.46 | 1 |
| 2270020035 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.18 | 1 |
| 2270020047 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.72 | 1 |
| 2270032002 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 2270040006 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.67 | 1 |
| 2270040021 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 2270040023 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.10 | 1 |
| 2270050019 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.60 | 1 |
| 2270050023 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.38 | 1 |
| 2270050024 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.54 | 1 |
| 2270050025 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.75 | 1 |
| 2270050026 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.53 | 1 |
| 2270050028 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.74 | 1 |
| 2270050031 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.34 | 1 |
| 2270080004 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2270080014 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.86 | 1 |
| 2270080031 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.58 | 1 |
| 2270110023 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.27 | 1 |
| 2270110038 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.48 | 1 |
| 2270110044 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.03 | 1 |
| 2270110057 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.14 | 1 |
| 2270110059 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 1 |
| 2270120034 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.91 | 1 |
| 2270142004 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.92 | 1 |
| 2270152010 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.63 | 1 |
| 2270170016 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2270170035 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.21 | 1 |
| 2270170036 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.35 | 1 |
| 2270170042 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.88 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------|--------------|-----|---------------|--------------|
| 2270180038 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.30 | 1 |
| 2270290003 | Orangevale | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.15 | 1 |
| 2740250010 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 0.60 | 1 |
| 2740250012 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.26 | 1 |
| 2740250014 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 1.86 | 1 |
| 2740250015 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 3.87 | 1 |
| 2740260005 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 2.62 | 1 |
| 2740260038 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 4.44 | 2 |
| 2740260039 | Natomas | AR-2 | 0.5 | 5.57 | 2 |
| | | Total | | 1848.4 | 926 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) AR-2 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the planning period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory.
- (3) AR-2 zoning permits one dwelling unit per 2 acres and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-3 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL 5 (AR-5) ^{(1) (2)} GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| 0730131002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.02 | 1 |
| 0730132001 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 0730132007 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 8.48 | 1 |
| 0730141006 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.26 | 1 |
| 0730142001 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 7.50 | 1 |
| 0730142012 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.18 | 1 |
| 0730142013 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 0730151002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 8.62 | 1 |
| 0730152009 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.85 | 1 |
| 0730152010 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 0730152011 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 0730161001 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.36 | 1 |
| 0730161002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.40 | 1 |
| 0730161004 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.07 | 1 |
| 0730172003 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 6.53 | 1 |
| 1260131014 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.76 | 1 |
| 1260131017 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.52 | 1 |
| 1260173035 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.16 | 1 |
| 1260173043 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 6.72 | 1 |
| 1260210031 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.21 | 1 |
| 1260270002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.31 | 1 |
| 1260270003 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.31 | 1 |
| 1260270004 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.31 | 1 |
| 1260290049 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 11.98 | 2 |
| 1260290050 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.81 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----|-----|-------|---|
| 1260300027 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.60 | 1 |
| 1260300029 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.99 | 1 |
| 1260300060 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.76 | 1 |
| 1260390008 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 6.78 | 1 |
| 1260520001 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.12 | 1 |
| 1280032030 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1280032051 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.01 | 1 |
| 1280032058 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1280041022 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.78 | 1 |
| 1280041029 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.72 | 1 |
| 1280041069 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1280041084 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.62 | 1 |
| 1280041088 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1280041089 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.78 | 1 |
| 1280041091 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1280041092 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1280050008 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1280050011 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 8.44 | 1 |
| 1280050012 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1280050050 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.47 | 1 |
| 1280050107 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.95 | 1 |
| 1280070070 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.87 | 1 |
| 1280360002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1280360003 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1340141014 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.25 | 1 |
| 1340141019 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.67 | 1 |
| 1340143028 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.43 | 1 |
| 1340173045 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.85 | 1 |
| 1340173048 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.76 | 1 |
| 1340211037 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.59 | 1 |
| 1340211038 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 3.65 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|-----|-----|-------|---|
| 1340322020 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 6.15 | 1 |
| 1340324002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1340324041 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1340331010 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 8.54 | 1 |
| 1341040002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1341070001 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.35 | 1 |
| 1341070002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1341070003 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.57 | 1 |
| 1360010022 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360010026 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.23 | 1 |
| 1360010029 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.66 | 1 |
| 1360020022 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1360020026 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.75 | 1 |
| 1360060013 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.18 | 2 |
| 1360060050 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.33 | 1 |
| 1360060051 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.12 | 1 |
| 1360060066 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.53 | 1 |
| 1360070011 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1360080065 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.68 | 1 |
| 1360110006 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 3.00 | 1 |
| 1360110048 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 18.30 | 3 |
| 1360110083 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 3.00 | 1 |
| 1360130008 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1360130049 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.97 | 1 |
| 1360130054 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.24 | 1 |
| 1360130059 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.43 | 1 |
| 1360141008 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 7.97 | 1 |
| 1360141014 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.04 | 1 |
| 1360142004 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.73 | 1 |
| 1360150072 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.55 | 1 |
| 1360150091 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|---|
| 1360160042 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1360160045 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.08 | 1 |
| 1360160048 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.11 | 1 |
| 1360170009 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360170037 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.40 | 1 |
| 1360170043 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360170049 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 3.33 | 1 |
| 1360170070 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.77 | 1 |
| 1360170086 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1360180015 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360180040 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.28 | 1 |
| 1360180041 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360190005 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 1360230020 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.69 | 1 |
| 1360230054 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.90 | 1 |
| 1360230075 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.78 | 1 |
| 1360230076 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360240021 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1360240025 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.02 | 1 |
| 1360240029 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.67 | 1 |
| 1360240030 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.69 | 1 |
| 1360240031 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360240032 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360260004 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 1360260005 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 1360260015 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1360260018 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 15.00 | 3 |
| 1360260022 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 1360260023 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1360260035 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.62 | 1 |
| 1360260038 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.79 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|---|
| 1360271054 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360300046 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.59 | 1 |
| 1360310004 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.43 | 1 |
| 1360340040 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.68 | 1 |
| 1360340041 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.68 | 1 |
| 1360340043 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.68 | 1 |
| 1360340046 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.68 | 1 |
| 1360340051 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.80 | 1 |
| 1360340053 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 7.74 | 1 |
| 1360400002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.55 | 1 |
| 1360410002 | Cosumnes | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.78 | 1 |
| 1380020020 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.76 | 1 |
| 1380020026 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.02 | 1 |
| 1380070030 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.86 | 2 |
| 1380070033 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 17.71 | 3 |
| 1380070034 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.84 | 2 |
| 1380090050 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.30 | 1 |
| 1380123006 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.47 | 1 |
| 1380123009 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.90 | 1 |
| 1380123011 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380123015 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.85 | 1 |
| 1380123018 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 1380123019 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1380123025 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380123036 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380123069 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.79 | 1 |
| 1380123072 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.45 | 1 |
| 1380140042 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 1380240018 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1380240058 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.36 | 1 |
| 1380240060 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.77 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-----|-----|----------------|--------------|
| 1380240072 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 15.65 | 3 |
| 1380250036 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.02 | 1 |
| 1400070013 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.55 | 2 |
| 1400070014 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 7.52 | 1 |
| 1400070019 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.77 | 1 |
| 1400070021 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.77 | 1 |
| 1400080042 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1400080043 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1400090044 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1400100040 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.85 | 1 |
| 1400130004 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 1400130008 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 1400130016 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 1400130029 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1400130036 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.28 | 1 |
| 1400140009 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 1400140018 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.25 | 2 |
| 1520010010 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 1520010015 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 10.20 | 2 |
| 1520010050 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.94 | 1 |
| 1520010051 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 4.94 | 1 |
| 1520010071 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 0.92 | 1 |
| 1520080069 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 9.23 | 1 |
| 1520230014 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 7.09 | 1 |
| 1520230017 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 7.52 | 1 |
| 1520270029 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 5.43 | 1 |
| 1540020017 | Southeast | A-5 | 0.2 | 3.97 | 1 |
| Total | | | | 1003.61 | 211 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel, footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) AR-5 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the planning period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory.
- (3) A-5 zoning permits one dwelling unit per 5 acres and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-4 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL 5 (AR-5)^{(1) (2)} GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| 0650041015 | South Sacramento | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.86 | 1 |
| 0650042028 | South Sacramento | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.91 | 1 |
| 0650042043 | South Sacramento | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.30 | 1 |
| 0650042045 | South Sacramento | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.29 | 1 |
| 0650160011 | South Sacramento | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1220040009 | Vineyard | AR-5 | 0.2 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 1220040010 | Vineyard | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1220090021 | Vineyard | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.81 | 1 |
| 1220160003 | Vineyard | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.16 | 1 |
| 1230230001 | Vineyard | AR-5 | 0.2 | 1.44 | 1 |
| 1260440002 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 3.97 | 1 |
| 1260440005 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.76 | 1 |
| 1260440007 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 6.31 | 1 |
| 1260440012 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.72 | 1 |
| 1320331003 | Delta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 9.60 | 1 |
| 1340141031 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 15.03 | 3 |
| 1360091042 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360091043 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360091044 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.55 | 1 |
| 1360091056 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 1.67 | 1 |
| 1360091064 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.44 | 1 |
| 1360091065 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.66 | 1 |
| 1360170073 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|-------|----|
| 1360170074 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1360190028 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 6.25 | 1 |
| 1360250051 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360330003 | Cosumnes | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1380020007 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.42 | 1 |
| 1420100082 | Delta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 0.94 | 1 |
| 1480033009 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 9.22 | 1 |
| 1480033010 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 14.22 | 2 |
| 1480042041 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 11.42 | 2 |
| 1480042049 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.99 | 1 |
| 1480042059 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.01 | 1 |
| 1480042060 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.01 | 1 |
| 1480042062 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.59 | 1 |
| 1480051005 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 1480051016 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 58.00 | 11 |
| 1480051058 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1480051059 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1480051060 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1480051077 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.79 | 1 |
| 1480052001 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 10.01 | 2 |
| 1480052002 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 10.01 | 2 |
| 1480052003 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 1480052004 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 1480065002 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.52 | 1 |
| 1480065005 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.71 | 1 |
| 1480065007 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.57 | 1 |
| 1480090054 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 9.73 | 1 |
| 1480114006 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.80 | 1 |
| 1500060064 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.42 | 1 |
| 1500060081 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 6.06 | 1 |
| 1500060082 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.52 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|--------|----|
| 1520161011 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.77 | 1 |
| 1520260131 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1520300002 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.84 | 1 |
| 1520300003 | Southeast | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.84 | 1 |
| 2020070002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 51.00 | 10 |
| 2020070006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 78.00 | 15 |
| 2020070013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 20.00 | 4 |
| 2020070019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 3.76 | 1 |
| 2020070020 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 24.08 | 4 |
| 2020070034 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 44.00 | 8 |
| 2020070036 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 2020080007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 18.10 | 3 |
| 2020080010 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 1.25 | 1 |
| 2020080034 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 1.85 | 1 |
| 2020080042 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 18.75 | 3 |
| 2020080043 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 1.23 | 1 |
| 2020080051 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 0.53 | 1 |
| 2020170019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 79.80 | 15 |
| 2020170024 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 165.70 | 33 |
| 2030010001 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 20.81 | 4 |
| 2030010003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 19.16 | 3 |
| 2030010015 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 87.17 | 17 |
| 2030020012 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 0.93 | 1 |
| 2030020013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 9.70 | 1 |
| 2030040004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.51 | 1 |
| 2030040008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.19 | 1 |
| 2030040015 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 2030040016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 10.00 | 2 |
| 2030040022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 0.86 | 1 |
| 2030090043 | Antelope | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.94 | 1 |
| 2030090044 | Antelope | AR-5 | 0.2 | 4.85 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| 2030040023 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 19.14 | 3 |
| 2030080027 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.86 | 1 |
| 2030080044 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.23 | 1 |
| 2250122001 | Natomas | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.49 | 1 |
| 2270050030 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 3.49 | 1 |
| 2270070005 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 5.50 | 1 |
| 2270070006 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 7.60 | 1 |
| 2270070007 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 15.60 | 3 |
| 2270070008 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 15.50 | 3 |
| 2270070009 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 7.42 | 1 |
| 2270070010 | Orangevale | AR-5 | 0.2 | 7.30 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 1209.25 | 236 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) AR-5 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the planning period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory.
- (3) AR-5 zoning permits one dwelling unit per 5 acres and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-5 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL 10 (A-10) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| 0640072008 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.76 | 1 |
| 0640072019 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.84 | 1 |
| 0640072024 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.56 | 1 |
| 0640072032 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.94 | 1 |
| 0650042031 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.26 | 1 |
| 0650042040 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.12 | 1 |
| 0650042041 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.12 | 1 |
| 0650042042 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 16.33 | 1 |
| 0650042062 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 6.63 | 1 |
| 0650070021 | South Sacramento | A-10 | 0.1 | 21.45 | 2 |
| 0720270133 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.86 | 1 |
| 0730111002 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.10 | 1 |
| 0730111003 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.14 | 1 |
| 0730111004 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.81 | 1 |
| 0730111010 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.45 | 1 |
| 0730111011 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.39 | 1 |
| 0730112004 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.87 | 1 |
| 0730112006 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.24 | 1 |
| 0730113004 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.40 | 1 |
| 0730114008 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.45 | 1 |
| 0730114012 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.60 | 1 |
| 0730115003 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.05 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 0730122006 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.60 | 1 |
| 1150091012 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 1150091022 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 8.25 | 1 |
| 1150091032 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.55 | 1 |
| 1150091037 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1150091057 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 17.75 | 1 |
| 1150091067 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 3.18 | 1 |
| 1150091074 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 3.13 | 1 |
| 1150091078 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 1150091088 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.69 | 1 |
| 1150092003 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 1150092005 | Vineyard | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 1260060009 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 21.26 | 2 |
| 1260160023 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.12 | 1 |
| 1260160024 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.02 | 1 |
| 1260190008 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.02 | 1 |
| 1260190009 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.01 | 1 |
| 1260270043 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.33 | 1 |
| 1260270045 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 15.55 | 1 |
| 1260290001 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.63 | 1 |
| 1260290002 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.61 | 1 |
| 1260290018 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 4.13 | 1 |
| 1260290023 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 1.46 | 1 |
| 1280172005 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.93 | 1 |
| 1280172006 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.33 | 1 |
| 1280172008 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.70 | 1 |
| 1280173001 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.76 | 1 |
| 1280174001 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 15.84 | 1 |
| 1280174010 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.79 | 1 |
| 1320222005 | Delta | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.72 | 1 |
| 1320222007 | Delta | A-10 | 0.1 | 8.79 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 1340141011 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 8.42 | 1 |
| 1340171005 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.12 | 1 |
| 1340171009 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1340171010 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 16.25 | 1 |
| 1340171011 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.57 | 1 |
| 1340174004 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 4.84 | 1 |
| 1360020032 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.59 | 1 |
| 1360091048 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360102002 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.46 | 1 |
| 1360102005 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 8.65 | 1 |
| 1360102006 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.67 | 1 |
| 1360102007 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360102008 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360102011 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 13.28 | 1 |
| 1360102012 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360102020 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.84 | 1 |
| 1360102021 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 15.83 | 1 |
| 1360130011 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360130016 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360130027 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.04 | 1 |
| 1360130029 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 7.82 | 1 |
| 1360130057 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.08 | 1 |
| 1360130058 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.08 | 1 |
| 1360150001 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.24 | 1 |
| 1360170007 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360170013 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360170020 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360170022 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1360260008 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360300018 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360300028 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.60 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 1360300037 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.94 | 1 |
| 1360300038 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.89 | 1 |
| 1360300049 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.14 | 1 |
| 1360320019 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1360320032 | Cosumnes | A-10 | 0.1 | 6.00 | 1 |
| 1380020008 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.17 | 1 |
| 1380112003 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1380112004 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1380112008 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 1 |
| 1380112021 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 1380112023 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.40 | 1 |
| 1380112026 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112027 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112033 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380112034 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380112035 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380112036 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380112046 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112047 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112048 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112049 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112066 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1380112076 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1380112077 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1380112078 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1380112087 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 1380112088 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.39 | 1 |
| 1380121012 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.38 | 1 |
| 1380121013 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380121014 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.35 | 1 |
| 1380121015 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.31 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|-------|---|
| 1380121018 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380121021 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380121026 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.64 | 1 |
| 1380121036 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1380121037 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 0.58 | 1 |
| 1380121040 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.09 | 1 |
| 1380150024 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1380150084 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 16.00 | 1 |
| 1380200033 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.50 | 1 |
| 1380200034 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.52 | 1 |
| 1380200056 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 4.99 | 1 |
| 1380210016 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 3.00 | 1 |
| 1380210024 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 20.00 | 2 |
| 1380210033 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.00 | 1 |
| 1380210049 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 12.00 | 1 |
| 1380210067 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.14 | 1 |
| 1380210075 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1380220012 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 1.00 | 1 |
| 1380220016 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1380230009 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 1380230010 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1380230019 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1380230021 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1380230061 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.48 | 1 |
| 1380230062 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 18.16 | 1 |
| 1380230072 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 9.67 | 1 |
| 1520050005 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 11.78 | 1 |
| 1520080065 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1520090008 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1520090015 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1520110007 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|--------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| 1520110052 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.24 | 1 |
| 1520120012 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1520120028 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 18.89 | 1 |
| 1520120036 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1520120045 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.92 | 1 |
| 1520130010 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 1520130014 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1520130054 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 5.23 | 1 |
| 1540010002 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.31 | 1 |
| 1540010008 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540020017 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 6.02 | 1 |
| 1540020023 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1540030030 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 6.00 | 1 |
| 1540050015 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 16.00 | 1 |
| 1540050016 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 1540070022 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070023 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070024 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070026 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070027 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070028 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070029 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070031 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.00 | 1 |
| 1540070032 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.14 | 1 |
| 1540070033 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.20 | 1 |
| 1540070034 | Southeast | A-10 | 0.1 | 2.25 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 1186.35 | 175 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) A-10 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the planning period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory.
- (1) A-10 zoning permits one dwelling unit per 10 acres and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-6 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL 10 (AR-10) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| 0650051008 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 0650051009 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| 0650051018 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.82 | 1 |
| 0650051021 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 12.83 | 1 |
| 0650051022 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.68 | 1 |
| 0650051024 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.47 | 1 |
| 0650051025 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 3.83 | 1 |
| 0650051030 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 0650051032 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 0650051045 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 13.18 | 1 |
| 0650051047 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.89 | 1 |
| 0650052013 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.90 | 1 |
| 0650052028 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 12.83 | 1 |
| 0650080034 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.63 | 1 |
| 0650290001 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 2.43 | 1 |
| 0650290003 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.64 | 1 |
| 0650290006 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.91 | 1 |
| 0650290010 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.55 | 1 |
| 0650290011 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 7.41 | 1 |
| 0650290017 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.59 | 1 |
| 0650290019 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.01 | 1 |
| 0660090015 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0660100091 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.02 | 1 |
| 0660100102 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.80 | 1 |
| 0660110006 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.47 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|-------|-----|-------|---|
| 0660110007 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.12 | 1 |
| 0660110008 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.10 | 1 |
| 0660110009 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.47 | 1 |
| 0660110010 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.47 | 1 |
| 0660110011 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.10 | 1 |
| 0660110012 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.12 | 1 |
| 0660110013 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.13 | 1 |
| 0660110020 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.15 | 1 |
| 1150142002 | South Sacramento | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.04 | 1 |
| 1150142012 | South Sacramento | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.81 | 1 |
| 1210010015 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 6.93 | 1 |
| 1210010030 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.40 | 1 |
| 1210010051 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 36.09 | 3 |
| 1210040016 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.94 | 1 |
| 1210040017 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.94 | 1 |
| 1210040019 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.95 | 1 |
| 1210040020 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.94 | 1 |
| 1210050001 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.96 | 1 |
| 1210050013 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 13.87 | 1 |
| 1210050021 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 12.98 | 1 |
| 1210050024 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 3.91 | 1 |
| 1210050043 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 2.60 | 1 |
| 1210050044 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.59 | 1 |
| 1210050046 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.16 | 1 |
| 1210050049 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.84 | 1 |
| 1210050050 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 5.94 | 1 |
| 1210050054 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 13.69 | 1 |
| 1210060020 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 2.07 | 1 |
| 1210060022 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 17.42 | 1 |
| 1210070005 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 3.13 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|-------|-----|-------|---|
| 1210070007 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.55 | 1 |
| 1210070008 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.53 | 1 |
| 1210070018 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.90 | 1 |
| 1210070022 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 3.20 | 1 |
| 1210080002 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.90 | 1 |
| 1210080004 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.83 | 1 |
| 1210080006 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 2.98 | 1 |
| 1210080007 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 5.08 | 1 |
| 1210080008 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 13.00 | 1 |
| 1210080023 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.60 | 1 |
| 1210080028 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 19.60 | 1 |
| 1220010011 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.55 | 1 |
| 1220010013 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.17 | 1 |
| 1220010014 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.83 | 1 |
| 1220020009 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 14.30 | 1 |
| 1220020013 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 8.16 | 1 |
| 1220050033 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.71 | 1 |
| 1220080001 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.47 | 1 |
| 1220080010 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1220100001 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.96 | 1 |
| 1220790002 | Vineyard | AR-10 | 0.1 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 1320181023 | Delta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.30 | 1 |
| 1320181024 | Delta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 3.63 | 1 |
| 1320261008 | Delta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.75 | 1 |
| 1320261009 | Delta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.65 | 1 |
| 1320261010 | Delta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.61 | 1 |
| 1320261011 | Delta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.66 | 1 |
| 1480041001 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 20.83 | 2 |
| 1480041004 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1480041026 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 13.02 | 1 |
| 1480042006 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.56 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|--------------|
| 1480042024 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 14.85 | 1 |
| 1480064002 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 20.00 | 1 |
| 1480064003 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.18 | 1 |
| 1480064004 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.47 | 1 |
| 1480064009 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 1480064012 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.36 | 1 |
| 1480065013 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 17.68 | 1 |
| 1520280003 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.73 | 1 |
| 1520280005 | Southeast | AR-10 | 0.1 | 12.91 | 1 |
| 2010110024 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 13.39 | 1 |
| 2020010025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.85 | 1 |
| 2020010026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 9.65 | 1 |
| 2020010027 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 5.65 | 1 |
| 2020010034 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 4.69 | 1 |
| 2020010047 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 1.07 | 1 |
| 2020030030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | AR-10 | 0.1 | 5.00 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 836.16 | 105 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) AR-10 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the planning period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory.
- (3) AR-10 zoning permits one dwelling unit per 10 acres and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-7 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL DENSITY 1 (RD-1) ⁽¹⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|----------------|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽²⁾ | Infrastructure |
| 1150112026 | South Sacramento | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.96 | 1 | Y |
| 1420080061 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.08 | 1 | Y |
| 1420080092 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.65 | 1 | Y |
| 1580060001 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 | N |
| 1580060011 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 1 | N |
| 1580060013 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.46 | 1 | N |
| 1580060014 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 1 | N |
| 1580060025 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 1 | N |
| 1580060038 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.46 | 1 | N |
| 1580060047 | Delta | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.47 | 1 | N |
| 2360070018 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.92 | 1 | Y |
| 2460121027 | Fair Oaks | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 2600120070 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.96 | 1 | Y |
| 2600120071 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.93 | 1 | Y |
| 2600120072 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2600410011 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 2600410013 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.17 | 1 | Y |
| 2600410021 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----|--------------|--------------|---|
| 2600460003 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.02 | 1 | Y |
| 2710193002 | Arden Arcade | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2730030048 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.14 | 1 | N |
| 2730250048 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.18 | 1 | N |
| 2730400002 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Y |
| 2730030048 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.14 | 1 | Y |
| 2740220063 | Natomas | RD-1 | 1.0 | 1.13 | 1 | N |
| 2740220065 | Natomas | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.81 | 1 | N |
| 2920200078 | Arden Arcade | RD-1 | 1.0 | 0.42 | 1 | Y |
| | | Total | | 21.24 | 27 | |
| | | | | Acres | Units | |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory
- (2) RD-1 zoning permits one dwelling unit per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-8 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL DENSITY 2 (RD-2)⁽¹⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|----------------|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽²⁾ | Infrastructure |
| 050030104 4 | South Sacramento | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 1 | Y |
| 051006202 6 | South Sacramento | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.10 | 2 | Y |
| 051006202 8 | South Sacramento | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.69 | 1 | Y |
| 051007100 8 | South Sacramento | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 051011102 1 | South Sacramento | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 051011103 9 | South Sacramento | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.32 | 1 | Y |
| 142018001 4 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.91 | 1 | Y |
| 142018001 9 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | Y |
| 150033302 2 | Southeast | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.88 | 1 | N |
| 150033302 3 | Southeast | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.87 | 1 | N |
| 157008102 4 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 3.08 | 4 | N |
| 157008101 7 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.62 | 4 | N |
| 157021100 6 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.06 | 1 | N |
| 157021200 1 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.17 | 1 | N |
| 157021200 6 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.29 | 1 | N |
| 157022100 1 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.06 | 1 | N |
| 157022100 2 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.30 | 1 | N |
| 157022100 4 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 157022200 7 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | N |
| 157022201 3 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.23 | 1 | N |
| 157022201 4 | Delta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.25 | 1 | N |
| 201025000 4 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | N |
| 201025000 5 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.34 | 2 | N |
| 201025003 1 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | N |
| 201026001 7 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.70 | 1 | N |
| 201026003 3 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.74 | 1 | N |
| 201026003 5 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | N |
| 201026003 8 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.69 | 1 | N |
| 201027002 6 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.06 | 3 | N |
| 201027006 1 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | N |
| 201028006 7 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | N |
| 201033002 1 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.40 | 4 | N |
| 201033002 3 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.49 | 2 | N |
| 201033002 5 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.54 | 1 | N |
| 201033004 0 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.23 | 2 | N |
| 202010102 3 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 5.58 | 9 | Y |
| 202011104 0 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.08 | 1 | Y |
| 202014101 5 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | Y |
| 202014102 2 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 202017002 4 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 8.23 | 8 | Y |
| 202036000 1 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2020360003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Y |
| 2130201080 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.91 | 1 | Y |
| 2130211001 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.00 | 3 | Y |
| 2130211020 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.01 | 1 | N |
| 2130211031 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.54 | 2 | Y |
| 2130211033 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.82 | 4 | Y |
| 2130212011 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.67 | 1 | Y |
| 2130241034 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.47 | 1 | Y |
| 2130241035 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 2130253042 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 2130373061 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 2130430015 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 2130450039 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.49 | 4 | Y |
| 2130450043 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.47 | 1 | N |
| 2130460055 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 2130470046 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | N |
| 2200023004 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 2200023005 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 2200023006 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 1 | Y |
| 2200032049 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 2200032050 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2200032060 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.66 | 1 | Y |
| 2230012008 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 1 | Y |
| 2230030037 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.44 | 2 | Y |
| 2230042030 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.15 | 2 | N |
| 2230042066 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 2230091010 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.87 | 1 | Y |
| 2230092028 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.18 | 3 | Y |
| 2230092031 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.05 | 3 | Y |
| 2230092040 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | N |
| 2230101047 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.56 | 1 | Y |
| 2230690005 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 2250090047 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.03 | 1 | N |
| 2250090048 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.10 | 1 | N |
| 2250190010 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.16 | 1 | N |
| 2250200012 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.64 | 1 | N |
| 2250210032 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.77 | 1 | N |
| 2250210036 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.73 | 1 | N |
| 2250210046 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.35 | 2 | N |
| 2250210047 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.32 | 2 | N |
| 2250210048 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.44 | 2 | N |
| 2250260002 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.70 | 1 | N |
| 2250260006 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.68 | 1 | N |
| 2250260008 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.76 | 1 | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 225026000 9 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.86 | 1 | N |
| 225036000 3 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.83 | 1 | N |
| 225036001 3 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.17 | 1 | N |
| 230004100 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.22 | 2 | Y |
| 230009104 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 230009200 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.51 | 4 | Y |
| 230009202 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.47 | 2 | Y |
| 230020302 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 230020302 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 230021101 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.92 | 1 | Y |
| 230021101 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.23 | 2 | Y |
| 230023001 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.30 | 1 | Y |
| 230032103 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 230047401 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.89 | 1 | Y |
| 230088000 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 240008100 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.78 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 240008400 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.41 | 1 | Y |
| 240011101 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.02 | 1 | Y |
| 240011102 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.07 | 1 | Y |
| 240011107 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.47 | 1 | Y |
| 240011108 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 240013110 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |
| 240013110 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 240022200 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.24 | 2 | Y |
| 240022201 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 240022203 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.06 | 1 | Y |
| 240022205 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.67 | 1 | Y |
| 240022303 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 240022303 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 240022304 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 240022304 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.58 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 240026204 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 240026204 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 240026204 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 240026204 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 240031201 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 1 | Y |
| 240032001 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.71 | 1 | Y |
| 240032005 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 240032007 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 240051200 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.87 | 1 | Y |
| 240056008 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 1 | Y |
| 240056008 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.90 | 3 | Y |
| 240056009 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.72 | 4 | Y |
| 240056009 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.73 | 1 | Y |
| 240056009 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.60 | 1 | Y |
| 240056009 8 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.87 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 240056009 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.36 | 2 | Y |
| 240063000 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.31 | 1 | Y |
| 240068000 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.57 | 1 | Y |
| 240069002 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 240069002 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.41 | 1 | Y |
| 240069002 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.41 | 1 | Y |
| 242017200 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.26 | 2 | N |
| 242017501 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.66 | 1 | N |
| 242017501 8 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.92 | 3 | N |
| 242017502 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.99 | 1 | Y |
| 242022102 4 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.34 | 1 | N |
| 242022104 2 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.95 | 1 | Y |
| 242022203 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 1 | Y |
| 242022203 8 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 1 | Y |
| 242025102 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.83 | 3 | Y |
| 242036401 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.85 | 1 | Y |
| 242046001 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 242046001 8 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.47 | 1 | Y |
| 242059000 4 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.92 | 1 | Y |
| 244011004 4 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.65 | 1 | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 244011004 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.37 | 1 | N |
| 245012101 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | Y |
| 245013203 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.41 | 1 | Y |
| 245014001 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 245024000 8 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.49 | 4 | Y |
| 245024000 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 246003002 3 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.54 | 1 | Y |
| 246008005 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 246009303 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |
| 246011101 1 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.15 | 1 | Y |
| 246011101 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.17 | 1 | Y |
| 246011103 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 1 | Y |
| 246011105 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 2.50 | 4 | Y |
| 246013010 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | Y |
| 246014202 3 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.74 | 1 | Y |
| 246014203 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.30 | 1 | Y |
| 246015200 8 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 1 | Y |
| 246017005 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 4.77 | 8 | Y |
| 246017007 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 246017007 6 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.14 | 1 | Y |
| 246019208 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 246019208 8 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.63 | 1 | Y |
| 246019209 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 246019209 6 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 246019209 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.56 | 1 | Y |
| 246020011 6 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 246021202 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.47 | 1 | Y |
| 246022101 9 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.64 | 1 | Y |
| 246023301 9 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 246031202 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.77 | 1 | Y |
| 246040203 3 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 246044100 4 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.56 | 1 | Y |
| 246044102 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.30 | 2 | N |
| 246044102 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.16 | 1 | N |
| 246058000 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.76 | 1 | Y |
| 246065001 6 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.48 | 1 | N |
| 246077000 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.68 | 1 | Y |
| 246077001 3 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 1 | Y |
| 247017100 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 247022002 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 247023203 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |
| 247023203 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|----|---|
| 247024004 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.53 | 2 | Y |
| 248002002 9 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.72 | 1 | N |
| 248002005 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 8.06 | 13 | Y |
| 249017004 6 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.56 | 1 | Y |
| 249017004 8 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.85 | 1 | Y |
| 249017005 0 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.79 | 1 | Y |
| 253021005 9 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 3.26 | 5 | Y |
| 253036000 5 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.62 | 1 | Y |
| 256036001 6 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.88 | 1 | Y |
| 258013007 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.88 | 1 | Y |
| 259025005 4 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.09 | 1 | N |
| 259025006 2 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | N |
| 259025006 3 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | N |
| 259029102 6 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | N |
| 259034000 6 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 259038000 8 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 1 | Y |
| 260003103 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.67 | 1 | Y |
| 260011303 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.56 | 1 | Y |
| 260011303 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | Y |
| 260012000 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.16 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 260012005 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.83 | 1 | Y |
| 260012007 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 1 | Y |
| 260020004 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.57 | 1 | Y |
| 260020004 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.49 | 1 | Y |
| 260020005 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 260020005 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 260025004 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 260025006 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.47 | 2 | Y |
| 260025007 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.37 | 1 | Y |
| 260025007 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 260027002 8 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.39 | 1 | Y |
| 260034001 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.29 | 1 | Y |
| 260040000 8 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.29 | 2 | Y |
| 261004000 7 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.42 | 1 | Y |
| 261016003 0 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 261017007 7 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | N |
| 261021000 1 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.17 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 261022000 1 | Orangevale | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 261032001 3 | Fair Oaks | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.16 | 1 | Y |
| 273003001 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 273005104 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.57 | 1 | Y |
| 273014202 8 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 273015000 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 273017004 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Y |
| 273017005 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.40 | 2 | Y |
| 273017008 0 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.52 | 1 | Y |
| 273017009 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.66 | 1 | Y |
| 273018303 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.71 | 1 | Y |
| 273022003 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 273022003 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 273023002 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.76 | 1 | Y |
| 273028402 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.91 | 1 | Y |
| 273028403 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.06 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|----|
| 273037000 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 273037000 2 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.53 | 1 | Y |
| 274002100 7 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.36 | 2 | NN |
| 274025002 9 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.58 | 1 | NN |
| 274069000 2 | Natomas | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.92 | 1 | NN |
| 281022202 8 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.23 | 2 | Y |
| 281028100 6 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Y |
| 281028100 8 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 281029201 9 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 1 | Y |
| 283009001 1 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |
| 283009002 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.80 | 1 | Y |
| 283009006 8 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.55 | 1 | Y |
| 283024200 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.73 | 1 | Y |
| 283024200 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.59 | 1 | Y |
| 283032101 9 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.31 | 1 | Y |
| 283057000 3 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 283057000 4 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 283057000 7 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.82 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|--------------|---|
| 289004200 5 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.72 | 1 | Y |
| 289014002 2 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 294003100 8 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.71 | 1 | Y |
| 294004700 4 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 0.62 | 1 | Y |
| 289016201 3 | Arden Arcade | RD-2 | 2.0 | 1.03 | 1 | Y |
| | | Total | | 234.55 | 371 | |
| | | | | Acres | Units | |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) These sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory
- (2) RD-2 zoning permits two dwelling units per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-9 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL DENSITY 3 (RD-3)⁽¹⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|----------------|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽²⁾ | Infrastructure |
| 0660080009 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 9.88 | 25 | Y |
| 0660100024 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 21.27 | 54 | Y |
| 0730190047 | Cosumnes | RD-3 | 3.0 | 6.42 | 16 | N |
| 1220040012 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 5.04 | 12 | Y |
| 1220770001 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770002 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770003 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770004 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770005 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770006 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770007 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770008 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770009 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770010 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770011 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.41 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770012 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.42 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770013 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770014 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770015 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.37 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770016 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770017 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770018 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770019 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770020 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770021 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.38 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 1220770022 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.45 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770023 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.38 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770024 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 1220770025 | Vineyard | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 1420140003 | Delta | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.29 | 1 | N |
| 1420140024 | Delta | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.35 | 1 | N |
| 1420140028 | Delta | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.33 | 1 | N |
| 2030700022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 2130201063 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 2130260050 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 1.01 | 2 | Y |
| 2130271004 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.13 | 1 | Y |
| 2130343025 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.27 | 1 | Y |
| 2130343026 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 2130343027 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.51 | 1 | Y |
| 2130460003 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |
| 2130460016 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 2130460018 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 2130460066 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2130460020 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 2130460077 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.03 | 1 | Y |
| 2131060001 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.64 | 1 | Y |
| 2131060002 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 2131060004 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.32 | 1 | Y |
| 2230042003 | Orangevale | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.58 | 1 | Y |
| 2300201051 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.31 | 1 | Y |
| 2300870024 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 2350640017 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2390113007 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 2400700005 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 2460200117 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 2460321016 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2460402038 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2460511021 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.32 | 1 | Y |
| 2460530016 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.52 | 1 | Y |
| 2490142071 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 2490142075 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 2490150001 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2490170032 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 1.11 | 2 | Y |
| 2490360008 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 1.10 | 2 | Y |
| 2490360010 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 1.03 | 2 | Y |
| 2530160066 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |
| 2530172077 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2530172085 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 2530172087 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |
| 2530182049 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 2530182051 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2530182053 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.78 | 1 | Y |
| 2530220044 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.31 | 1 | Y |
| 2530233037 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2530250041 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.39 | 1 | Y |
| 2530250043 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 2530250044 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.30 | 1 | Y |
| 2530390001 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.32 | 1 | Y |
| 2530390002 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.32 | 1 | Y |
| 2530390003 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.32 | 1 | Y |
| 2530390004 | Fair Oaks | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 2540241037 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----|--------------|--------------|---|
| 2600063059 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 2600303002 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2680104039 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.31 | 1 | Y |
| 2680104040 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 2730220058 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 2730350008 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.18 | 1 | Y |
| 2790183009 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.56 | 1 | Y |
| 2880010012 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.14 | 1 | Y |
| 2890171010 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |
| 2890210032 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 2920111022 | Arden Arcade | RD-3 | 3.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| | | Total | | 75.05 | 200 | |
| | | | | Acres | Units | |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) These sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory
- (2) RD-3 zoning permits three dwelling units per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-10 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL DENSITY 4 (RD-4) ⁽¹⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|----------------|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽²⁾ | Infrastructure |
| 0640072034 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 1.50 | 7 | Y |
| 0640071045 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 14.90 | 84 | Y |
| 0650070007 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 15.40 | 52 | Y |
| 0730790044 | Cosumnes | RD-4 | 4.0 | 23.37 | 79 | N |
| 1220040012 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 4.75 | 16 | Y |
| 1220120045 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 7.70 | 26 | Y |
| 1220150062 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150063 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150064 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.18 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150065 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150066 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150067 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150068 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150069 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150070 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150071 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150072 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150073 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150074 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150075 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150076 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150077 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150078 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150079 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |
| 1220150080 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.17 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------|------|-----|-------|----|---|
| 1220690022 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 1220790007 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.44 | 1 | Y |
| 1220790008 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 7.89 | 26 | Y |
| 1220790009 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 14.07 | 47 | Y |
| 1220790010 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 8.68 | 29 | Y |
| 1220790011 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 3.22 | 10 | Y |
| 1220790017 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 1220790018 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 7.48 | 25 | Y |
| 2230232039 | Orangevale | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 2350180079 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.29 | 1 | Y |
| 2350180080 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.29 | 1 | Y |
| 2350180081 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.27 | 1 | Y |
| 2350180082 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.31 | 1 | Y |
| 2350180083 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2400092044 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410001 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410002 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410003 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410004 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410005 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410006 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410007 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410008 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410009 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410010 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410011 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410012 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410013 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410014 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410015 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2490410016 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410017 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410018 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410019 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410020 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410021 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410022 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410023 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410024 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410025 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410026 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410027 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410028 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410029 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410030 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410031 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410032 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410033 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410034 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410035 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410036 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410037 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410038 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410039 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410040 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410041 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410042 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410043 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410044 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410045 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410046 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2490410047 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410048 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410049 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410050 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410051 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410052 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410053 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410054 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410055 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410056 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410057 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410058 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410059 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410060 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410061 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410062 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410063 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410064 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410065 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410066 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410067 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410068 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410069 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410070 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410071 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410072 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410073 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410074 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410075 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410076 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410077 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2490410078 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.25 | 1 | Y |
| 2490410079 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420001 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420002 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420003 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420004 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420005 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420006 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420007 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420008 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420009 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420010 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420011 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420012 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420013 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420014 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420015 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420016 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420017 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420018 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420019 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420020 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420021 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420022 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420023 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420024 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420025 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420026 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420027 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420028 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420029 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2490420030 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420031 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420032 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420033 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420034 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420035 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420036 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420037 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420038 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420039 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420040 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420041 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420042 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420043 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420044 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420045 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420046 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420047 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420048 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420049 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420050 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420051 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420052 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420053 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420054 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420055 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420056 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420057 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420058 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420059 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490420060 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2490380001 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380002 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380003 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380004 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380005 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380006 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380007 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380008 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380009 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380010 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380011 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380012 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380013 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380014 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380015 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380016 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380017 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380018 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380019 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380020 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.28 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380021 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380022 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380023 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380024 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380025 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380026 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380027 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380028 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380029 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380030 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.22 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380031 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|---|
| 2490380032 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.24 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380033 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.21 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380034 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380035 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380036 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2490380037 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2530072004 | Fair Oaks | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |
| 2550082046 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.17 | 1 | Y |
| 2550082051 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 2550091100 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 1 | Y |
| 2550205010 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2550355010 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.48 | 1 | Y |
| 2560031076 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |
| 2560031079 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2560080042 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.98 | 3 | Y |
| 2560080044 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.70 | 2 | Y |
| 2560102019 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.46 | 1 | Y |
| 2560211026 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.41 | 1 | Y |
| 2560211027 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.36 | 1 | Y |
| 2610250040 | Orangevale | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 2610270023 | Orangevale | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.83 | 2 | Y |
| 2710141018 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |
| 2890150024 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.19 | 1 | Y |
| 2890210038 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.40 | 1 | Y |
| 2890210037 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.43 | 1 | Y |
| 2890440043 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.47 | 1 | Y |
| 2890472010 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 1 | Y |
| 2890600012 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.26 | 1 | Y |
| 2920210033 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.35 | 1 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------|--------------|-----|---------------|--------------|---|
| 2920342003 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.34 | 1 | Y |
| 2920374001 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.39 | 1 | Y |
| 2920580007 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.33 | 1 | Y |
| 1220790009 | Vineyard | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.39 | 1 | Y |
| 2920580016 | Arden Arcade | RD-4 | 4.0 | 0.16 | 1 | Y |
| | | Total | | 162.91 | 638 | |
| | | | | Acres | Units | |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) These sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory
- (2) RD-4 zoning permits four dwelling units per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-11 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY⁽¹⁾ ZONING: RESIDENTIAL (RD-5)⁽²⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity⁽³⁾ |
| 0200081013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200081015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200081016 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200081017 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200082015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200083016 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 0200085018 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200086007 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200088002 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.08 | 1 |
| 0200102005 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 0200103006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 0200151004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200155005 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200159001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200159006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200159017 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200191005 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0200192016 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0200192017 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0200193003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 0200194008 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 0200194024 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0200301003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 2 |
| 0200301011 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 0200301013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 0200302002 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 0200302009 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0220041007 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0220043019 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 0220043029 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0220111012 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0220111026 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0220113006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 0220113033 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0220122001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 0220171004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 0220172023 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0220172024 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 0220173011 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 0220181006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0220182009 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0220193008 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.30 | 1 |
| 0260021046 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0260021047 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0260021048 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 0260095013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0260163015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.08 | 1 |
| 0260163022 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 0260164030 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0260164031 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0260164032 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 0260164033 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0260206030 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.55 | 2 |
| 0260223015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0370025003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.07 | 1 |
| 0370025004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|------|-----|------|----|
| 0370061041 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 0370061042 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 0370061043 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 0370071012 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.36 | 14 |
| 0370141016 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.53 | 2 |
| 0370151058 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.76 | 3 |
| 0370322015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0390011013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.16 | 13 |
| 0390242009 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 0420032006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.07 | 1 |
| 0420042014 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| 0430011004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 4 |
| 0430032001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| 0430033039 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0430191001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 0500301006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.50 | 10 |
| 0500301034 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 0500301035 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.54 | 2 |
| 0500301036 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 0500301044 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 0500311031 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0500600014 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 0500600015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0500600016 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 0500600013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0500600019 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0500600020 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0500600021 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 0500600017 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0500600018 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 0500322049 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|------|-----|------|----|
| 0500322050 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 0500322051 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 0500322052 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0500331002 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.35 | 9 |
| 0500331003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.35 | 9 |
| 0500331022 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.51 | 2 |
| 0500331023 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.96 | 4 |
| 0500331024 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.46 | 6 |
| 0500331025 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.96 | 4 |
| 0500331026 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.44 | 10 |
| 0500331059 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0500331060 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0500331061 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.75 | 3 |
| 0500351017 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 0500351030 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0500351031 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 0500351032 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0500391006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 4 |
| 0500401002 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 4 |
| 0500401008 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.55 | 6 |
| 0500401009 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 4 |
| 0500500085 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.68 | 2 |
| 0500500088 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.37 | 1 |
| 0500500089 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.93 | 3 |
| 0500500090 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.50 | 2 |
| 0500600001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 0500600002 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0500600008 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 0500600012 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 0500620001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 0500620002 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| 0500620003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 0500620004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.53 | 2 |
| 0510120035 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.15 | 9 |
| 0510150091 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 0600290056 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 0600290066 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 0600400001 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 0640072040 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 28.80 | 122 |
| 0650310004 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.98 | 8 |
| 0650060022 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 15.86 | 67 |
| 0650290022 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.60 | 22 |
| 0650260018 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32 |
| 0650260017 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 9.50 | 40 |
| 0650080109 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 36.86 | 152 |
| 0650111007 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 0650260005 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.98 | 9 |
| 0650260006 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.86 | 13 |
| 0650260015 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 9.73 | 41 |
| 0650270020 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 5.35 | 23 |
| 0650350081 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 0650350080 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 0650360027 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0650360026 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 0660070018 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 9.70 | 41 |
| 0660070049 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 21.20 | 90 |
| 0660070050 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 13.44 | 57 |
| 0670090005 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 41.50 | 150 |
| 0670090019 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 87.30 | 455 |
| 0670090021 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 71.80 | 379 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 29.80 | 158 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| 0670030072, 074, 076 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 21.85 | 127 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 16.74 | 116 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 19.38 | 112 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 19.37 | 128 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 20.50 | 102 |
| 0660100024 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 10.03 | 42 |
| 0730190025 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 13.80 | 58 |
| 0730410022 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| 0730530038 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0730530039 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 0730540012 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 0730540057 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 0730620058 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.08 | 1 |
| 0730640016 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0730690019 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0730690021 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 0730710012 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.30 | 1 |
| 0730820011 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.59 | 11 |
| 0730820016 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 0730820017 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.43 | 1 |
| 0730820025 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 0730820032 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.39 | 1 |
| 0730830007 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 2 |
| 0730830010 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.38 | 1 |
| 0730830028 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 0730830033 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.42 | 1 |
| 0730860019 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 0730870011 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 0730870018 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|------|-----|------|----|
| 0740300056 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 0740316024 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.89 | 8 |
| 0750010087 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 0750010088 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 0750010089 | Cordova | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.87 | 1 |
| 1150020013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 4 |
| 1150020014 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 4 |
| 1150020015 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 4 |
| 1150020016 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 4 |
| 1150020017 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.00 | 8 |
| 1150020018 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.00 | 8 |
| 1150040080 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.82 | 3 |
| 1150061006 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.22 | 5 |
| 1150061007 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.22 | 5 |
| 1150061025 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.84 | 3 |
| 1150061034 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.61 | 2 |
| 1150062023 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.30 | 1 |
| 1150062024 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1150062025 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1150062026 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.08 | 13 |
| 1150073001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.78 | 7 |
| 1150073010 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.73 | 3 |
| 1150073011 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.84 | 3 |
| 1150073012 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.94 | 3 |
| 1150073013 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.87 | 7 |
| 1150091014 ⁽⁴⁾ | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 8.84 | 50 |
| 1150091016 ⁽⁴⁾ | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.77 | 20 |
| 1150091017 ⁽⁴⁾ | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 5.00 | 20 |
| 1150120017 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.46 | 11 |
| 1150120024 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.19 | 18 |
| 1150120025 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.09 | 17 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------------|------|-----|-------|----|
| 1150191005 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 1151810046 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1151810048 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.99 | 4 |
| 1151950018 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 1151950019 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 1150201008 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.69 | 2 |
| 1150201011 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 1150201018 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 6.98 | 29 |
| 1150202008 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.51 | 2 |
| 1150202019 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.50 | 2 |
| 1150210003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.00 | 8 |
| 1150210004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.04 | 4 |
| 1150210010 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.13 | 17 |
| 1150210018 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.04 | 4 |
| 1150210019 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.34 | 5 |
| 1150210020 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.02 | 4 |
| 1150210021 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.05 | 4 |
| 1150210035 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.25 | 5 |
| 1150261001 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1150112050 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.85 | 3 |
| 1151890009 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 13.18 | 56 |
| 1151970001 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 1151970003 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 1151970004 | South Sacramento | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 4 |
| 1190030016 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 1210070014 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 8.70 | 37 |
| 1211250001 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250002 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211250003 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211250004 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1211250005 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------|---|
| 1211250006 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1211250007 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1211250008 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1211250009 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1211250010 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 1211250011 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1211250012 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1211250013 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250014 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 1211250015 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250016 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250017 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250018 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250019 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250020 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211250021 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1211250022 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1211250023 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250024 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250025 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250026 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250027 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250028 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250029 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1211250030 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 1211250031 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250032 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211250033 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1211250034 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211250035 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 1211250036 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------|---|
| 1211250037 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1211250038 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211250039 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1211250040 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1211250041 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 1211250042 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 1211250043 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211250044 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 1211250045 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 1211250046 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211250047 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211260001 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1211260002 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211260003 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211260004 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 1211260005 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211260006 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211260007 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.39 | 1 |
| 1211260008 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1211260009 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1211260010 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1211260011 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1211260012 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1211260013 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 1211260014 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 1211260015 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1211260016 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 1211260017 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260018 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260019 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260020 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|----------|------|-----|-------|----|
| 1211260021 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260022 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260023 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260024 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260025 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260026 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260027 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260028 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260029 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1211260030 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 1211260031 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260032 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1211260033 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1211260034 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1210110061 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.50 | 14 |
| 1210290088 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1211050043 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 1220050018 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.00 | 17 |
| 1220120010 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.37 | 5 |
| 1220690066 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.51 | 2 |
| 1220700088 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 1220790003 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 5.18 | 22 |
| 1220790004 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.97 | 12 |
| 1220790005 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 14.96 | 63 |
| 1220790006 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 8.60 | 36 |
| 1220790007 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 6.52 | 27 |
| 1220790008 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.86 | 20 |
| 1220790012 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 10.79 | 45 |
| 1220790013 | Vineyard | RD-5 | 5.0 | 5.75 | 24 |
| 1280230045 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.44 | 1 |
| 1280260049 | Cosumnes | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------|------|-----|------|----|
| 1320093011 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 1320093012 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 1320093013 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 1320093014 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 1320094004 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1320094007 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1320094024 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 1320095006 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| 1320095019 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1320101002 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 1320101014 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1320101018 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1320102002 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 1320102003 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 1320102013 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 1320102016 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1320104008 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1320104011 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 1320104015 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1320104021 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 1320112006 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.76 | 16 |
| 1320106007 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.76 | 16 |
| 1320112006 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.00 | 8 |
| 1320113005 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 1320113006 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 1320162019 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.30 | 1 |
| 1320162020 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 1320175003 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 1420092018 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 1420092025 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 1420172011 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| 1420172017 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 1 |
| 1420173051 | Delta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2020070015 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 22.30 | 73 |
| 2020080038 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 17.00 | 72 |
| 2020080047 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 45.00 | 105 |
| 2020080048 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 22.20 | 94 |
| 2020112005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2020112021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.67 | 3 |
| 2020112034 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2020114003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 2020114004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.39 | 1 |
| 2020114005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2020114006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.30 | 1 |
| 2020114007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2020114011 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2020114012 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2020114013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2020115002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115018 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115020 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020115025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.54 | 2 |
| 2020115030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 2020115032 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.67 | 2 |
| 2020116006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020116011 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.35 | 1 |
| 2860370002 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2860300080 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2860300079 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2860300062 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2860180037 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2860180036 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2860092020 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2830300076 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.32 | 1 |
| 2830300075 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.96 | 4 |
| 2830272027 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.66 | 7 |
| 2830221009 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 2830102012 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2830090055 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 2830090054 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2830081009 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.38 | 1 |
| 2820211047 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2820211045 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2820211044 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2820141013 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 1 |
| 2820062028 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2810051003 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 2790203007 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.56 | 2 |
| 2790201032 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2790191024 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2790122020 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2790122019 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2790122018 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2790122017 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2790110071 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2780182036 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2780182035 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2780111006 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2730311085 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2730311056 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2730091078 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2730070029 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2730030028 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2730030024 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2720271031 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2720220051 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2720210095 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2720210094 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2720210093 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2720210092 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2720190034 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2720171027 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.99 | 4 |
| 2720171024 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.84 | 3 |
| 2720130067 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2720130065 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2720130064 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2720130063 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2720130023 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2720121062 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2720121050 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2720090128 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2720070060 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.37 | 1 |
| 2720020116 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2720020111 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2720020055 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2710313073 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2710033077 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2710033076 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2710033075 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2710033074 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2610470017 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2610470001 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2600500003 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2600500002 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2600370026 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 2600360058 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2600360057 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2600350052 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2600212019 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2600164004 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2600163045 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2590113030 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2580212077 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2580110052 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.14 | 4 |
| 2580110036 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.58 | 6 |
| 2580091036 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.42 | 1 |
| 2580091026 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2580091008 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2580052012 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.15 | 4 |
| 2550290029 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2550182032 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2540123005 | Arden Arcade | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2530310043 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.81 | 3 |
| 2480140012 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2480140003 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 2480072007 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.42 | 1 |
| 2480011043 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.83 | 3 |
| 2480011010 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.94 | 3 |
| 2470260019 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2470060038 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 1 |
| 2460250020 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.92 | 3 |
| 2460213024 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.37 | 1 |
| 2450232015 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2440302018 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.25 | 5 |
| 2440301002 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2440293015 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2440283012 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2440283011 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2440283008 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2440283007 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2440282024 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 1 |
| 2440282023 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.46 | 1 |
| 2440275020 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.76 | 3 |
| 2440274003 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.87 | 3 |
| 2440271009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.64 | 2 |
| 2440263026 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2440263008 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.48 | 2 |
| 2440262018 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.57 | 2 |
| 2440242011 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2440242007 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2440241033 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2440220026 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.36 | 5 |
| 2440220017 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2440215018 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2440190017 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.61 | 2 |
| 2440190014 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.51 | 2 |
| 2440190013 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.37 | 1 |
| 2440183017 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.42 | 1 |
| 2440182008 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2440174035 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2440142005 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 2440141018 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2440092030 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2440082009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.46 | 6 |
| 2440041039 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2440041025 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2440022017 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2420640004 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.54 | 2 |
| 2420640001 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.65 | 2 |
| 2420630002 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 2420480021 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2420450031 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 2420450025 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 2420440023 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2420410077 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2420410076 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2420400013 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2420372002 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2420321009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2420310009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2420273009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.59 | 2 |
| 2420440023 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2420410077 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2420410076 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2420400013 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2420372002 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2420321009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2420310009 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2420273005 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.43 | 1 |
| 2420273004 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.51 | 1 |
| 2420273001 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2420231062 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.67 | 2 |
| 2420203019 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1 | 4 |
| 2420195011 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.85 | 3 |
| 2420195004 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.47 | 2 |
| 2420193041 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2420193037 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.47 | 2 |
| 2420182017 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.81 | 3 |
| 2420181036 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.29 | 5 |
| 2420173051 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2420173018 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.48 | 2 |
| 2420102030 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.71 | 3 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|----|
| 2420101044 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2420095068 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2420042027 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2400240011 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 9.44 | 40 |
| 2400062089 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2400050052 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 2400050051 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 2400050050 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.22 | 1 |
| 2390330008 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.31 | 1 |
| 2390330007 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 2390081034 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.47 | 6 |
| 2390070042 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2360281019 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2360060022 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.18 | 5 |
| 2360051073 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.33 | 5 |
| 2360022001 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2350490037 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.47 | 2 |
| 2350261050 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2350261049 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2350212029 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2350191057 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.69 | 2 |
| 2350091031 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2350034065 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2350034018 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2330690007 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 1 |
| 2330142066 | Fair Oaks | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.79 | 3 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2300532017 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.29 | 5 |
| 2300491001 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 2 |
| 2300134029 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 2 |
| 2300134028 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2300021009 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.07 | 1 |
| 2280670017 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2280670016 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2280460076 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2280480043 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.78 | 4 |
| 2280431040 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2280431038 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2280402022 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2280402020 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2280402019 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2280393003 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2280332019 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2280252029 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.93 | 1 |
| 2280233006 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2280233005 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2230012083 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|------|-----|------|----|
| 2230012082 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2230012060 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1 |
| 2230012053 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.85 | 16 |
| 2230012047 | Orangevale | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.7 | 7 |
| 2200414016 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2200025002 | Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 7 |
| 2190051010 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2180262001 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2140280009 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2140092001 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.41 | 1 |
| 2140062040 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.86 | 20 |
| 2140061040 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.35 | 9 |
| 2070279004 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2070100020 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 2 |
| 2070100016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.39 | 1 |
| 2070080066 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.84 | 20 |
| 2070070029 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.46 | 1 |
| 2070070028 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2070070003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.95 | 4 |
| 2070030012 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 1 |
| 2070030006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.52 | 2 |
| 2070022009 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 6 |
| 2060450003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2060450002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |
| 2060430002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2060430001 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2060420009 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060420002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|------|---|
| 2060410060 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2060410059 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410058 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2060410057 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2060410056 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| 2060410055 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410054 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2060410053 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410052 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410051 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2060410050 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 2060410049 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410048 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410047 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410046 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 2060410045 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2060410044 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2060410043 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410042 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2060410041 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410040 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 2060410039 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 2060410038 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410037 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410036 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410035 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| 2060410034 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 2060410033 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 2060360078 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2060360077 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2060360076 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|------|-----|-------|-----|
| 2060304023 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 2060304022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.09 | 1 |
| 2060304019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2060291013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.43 | 1 |
| 2060291005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.32 | 9 |
| 2060271053 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 2060271043 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.56 | 2 |
| 2060253022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 1 |
| 2060210052 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.48 | 2 |
| 2060070022 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 1 |
| 2060061015 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.46 | 1 |
| 2060050008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2060050007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2060050006 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2060050005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 2.22 | 9 |
| 2060036032 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2060036031 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2060036030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| 2060036027 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 2060036026 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 1 |
| 2060035061 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3.56 | 15 |
| 2060035002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 3 | 12 |
| 2031590119 | Antelope | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 2030392045 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.19 | 1 |
| 2030100085 | Antelope | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 2030080039 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 49.53 | 143 |
| 2030080038 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 53.16 | 45 |
| 2030080021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 1.15 | 4 |
| 2030040008 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 4.96 | 48 |
| 2030040007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 42.32 | 91 |
| 2030010014 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 77.83 | 275 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------|--------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| 2020261003 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2020261002 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| 2020261001 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.32 | 1 |
| 2020170025 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 33.21 | 141 |
| 2020170024 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 143.17 | 391 |
| 2020170019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 69.4 | 227 |
| 2020123013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.75 | 3 |
| 2020122031 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 1 |
| 2020122030 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020122029 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020122021 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.34 | 1 |
| 2020122020 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 2020122019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020118016 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 1 |
| 2020116018 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-5 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 1517.71 | 6010 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) RD-5 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (2) Unless indicated by Footnote (4), these sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory
- (3) RD-5 zoning permits five dwelling units per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.
- (4) These are new parcels that were not previously included in the inventory. They will have access to services during the Housing Element Period.

| TABLE C-12 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL (A-2)⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: AGRICULTURAL RESIDENTIAL (1-10 AC/DU) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| 0730090062 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 117.62 | 58 |
| 0730180027 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 202.71 | 101 |
| 0730180029 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 39.81 | 19 |
| 0730190047 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 9.48 | 4 |
| 0730460007 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 1 |
| 0730460014 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 17.93 | 8 |
| 0730790023 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 238.36 | 119 |
| 0730800003 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 218.03 | 109 |
| 0730800006 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 11.03 | 5 |
| 0730800007 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.01 | 1 |
| 0730800008 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 92.75 | 46 |
| 0730800009 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 65.08 | 32 |
| 1260070005 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 10 | 5 |
| 1260070034 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.87 | 1 |
| 1260070047 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.05 | 1 |
| 1260070062 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.27 | 1 |
| 1260070063 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.27 | 1 |
| 1260070074 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 10.45 | 5 |
| 1260070095 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 4.18 | 2 |
| 1260070097 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.47 | 1 |
| 1260070098 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.47 | 1 |
| 1260070101 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.46 | 1 |
| 1260070102 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.45 | 1 |
| 1260070103 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.04 | 1 |
| 1260141007 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.19 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|-----|-----|------|---|
| 1260141008 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.45 | 1 |
| 1260220005 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.32 | 1 |
| 1260230001 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.65 | 1 |
| 1260230008 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1260241004 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 7.16 | 3 |
| 1260500002 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.95 | 1 |
| 1260500004 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.07 | 1 |
| 1260540002 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.22 | 1 |
| 1320030080 | Delta | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.33 | 1 |
| 1340143005 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 1 |
| 1340143008 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.43 | 1 |
| 1340160032 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2 | 1 |
| 1340173007 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.44 | 1 |
| 1340322005 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.95 | 1 |
| 1360060034 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.61 | 1 |
| 1360101041 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.99 | 1 |
| 1360101067 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3 | 1 |
| 1360110028 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 2 | 1 |
| 1360110100 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.33 | 1 |
| 1360170047 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.93 | 1 |
| 1360340005 | Cosumnes | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.11 | 1 |
| 1380041001 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1 |
| 1380042016 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043010 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.18 | 1 |
| 1380043011 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043012 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043013 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043014 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043015 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043016 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043017 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|------------|------------|--------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| 1380043018 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 1 |
| 1380043019 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.28 | 1 |
| 1380043022 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1 |
| 1380054016 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.86 | 1 |
| 1380054017 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 3.14 | 1 |
| 1500071056 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.17 | 1 |
| 1500071057 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.18 | 1 |
| 1500071058 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.47 | 1 |
| 1520031016 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.15 | 1 |
| 1520190031 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.36 | 1 |
| 1520190032 | Southeast | A-2 | 0.5 | 0.42 | 1 |
| 2240200015 | Orangevale | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.75 | 1 |
| 2240540034 | Orangevale | A-2 | 0.5 | 2.04 | 1 |
| 2240560042 | Orangevale | A-2 | 0.5 | 1.63 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 1136.71 | 572 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) A-2 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will not have access to infrastructure (water, sewer) during the Housing Element period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element inventory
- (3) A-2 zoning permits one dwelling unit per 2 acres and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.

| TABLE C-13 ABOVE MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL DENSITY 7 (RD-7)⁽¹⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (ABOVE MODERATE INCOME LEVEL)⁽³⁾ | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| APN | Community | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽²⁾ |
| 0500500053 | South Sacramento | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.54 | 3 |
| 0640072003 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 10.50 | 83 |
| 0650070061 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 19.64 | 117 |
| 0650070006 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 9.80 | 62 |
| 0660070017 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 8.44 | 50 |
| 0660070051 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 17.32 | 103 |
| 0660200003 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 13.92 | 82 |
| 0650042042 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 14.90 | 89 |
| 1220050017 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 9.92 | 59 |
| 1220050018 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 6.12 | 36 |
| 1220050032 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 5.82 | 34 |
| 1220050033 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.43 | 2 |
| 1220790021 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 7.00 | 42 |
| 1220790013 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.42 | 2 |
| 2020070013 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 20.00 | 119 |
| 2020080005 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 31.16 | 126 |
| 2020080007 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 6.40 | 31 |
| 2020080019 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 10.00 | 59 |
| 2020080020 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 10.00 | 59 |
| 2020080053 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 20.00 | 91 |
| 2020080056 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 9.55 | 56 |
| 2020080058 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 5.00 | 25 |
| 2020080059 | Rio Linda/Elverta | RD-7 | 7.0 | 9.36 | 55 |
| 2030100108 ⁽⁴⁾ | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 16.07 | 96 |
| 2030100105 ⁽⁴⁾ | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 8.41 | 50 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----|---------------|--------------|
| 2030100106 ⁽⁴⁾ | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 7.04 | 42 |
| 2030110064 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.63 | 3 |
| 2030260016 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 3.00 | 17 |
| 2030260064 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 23.01 | 136 |
| 2030720074 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.59 | 3 |
| 2030730073 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.02 | 1 |
| 2031270108 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| 2031350061 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 1.60 | 9 |
| 2032010004 | Antelope | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| 2080122001 | North Highlands/Foothill Farms | RD-7 | 7.0 | 5.94 | 35 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 ⁽⁴⁾ | Cordova | RD-7 | 7.0 | 16.95 | 125 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 ⁽⁴⁾ | Cordova | RD-7 | 7.0 | 15.08 | 121 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 ⁽⁴⁾ | Cordova | RD-7 | 7.0 | 15.38 | 123 |
| 0670030072, 074, 076 ⁽⁴⁾ | Cordova | RD-7 | 7.0 | 28.07 | 208 |
| 1220010014 | Vineyard | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.37 | 1 |
| 2440275006 | Fair Oaks | RD-7 | 7.0 | 0.28 | 1 |
| | | Total | | 388.93 | 2358 |
| | | | | Acres | Units |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) RD-7 zoned sites on the Above Moderate Inventory will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (2) RD-7 zoning permits seven dwelling units per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.
- (3) Unless indicated by Footnote 4 these parcels are undeveloped and included in the previous inventory.
- (4) These are new parcels that were not previously included in the inventory. These Parcels are located in the Mather South Master Plan Area.

Tables C-14 through C-19 and C-21 through C-23 provide the Moderate Land Inventory.

| TABLE C-14 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 10 (RD-10) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL)⁽¹⁾ | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|-----------------|---------|---|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity ⁽³⁾ |
| South Sacramento | 0200083003 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.25 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0200313026 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| South Sacramento | 0220033010 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.23 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0220104031 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.33 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0260021023 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.22 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0260021039 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.22 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0260021040 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0260041009 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.44 | 3 |
| South Sacramento | 0260041010 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.22 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0260094003 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.26 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0260300038 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.60 | 13 |
| South Sacramento | 0370011055 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 2.04 | 17 |
| South Sacramento | 0370011080 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.76 | 6 |
| South Sacramento | 0370011006 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.23 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0370011008 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.49 | 3 |
| South Sacramento | 0370171016 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.16 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0370231002 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 4.75 | 40 |
| South Sacramento | 0390052027 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.83 | 7 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------|-------|-----|
| South Sacramento | 0390072002 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.88 | 15 |
| South Sacramento | 0390091006 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.86 | 7 |
| South Sacramento | 0390233033 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.18 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0400320041 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.65 | 6 |
| South Sacramento | 0430042028 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.21 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0430061016 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.26 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0430062021 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.34 | 3 |
| South Sacramento | 0430062022 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.31 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0500292003 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.23 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0500292005 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.22 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0500293005 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.23 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0500341007 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.62 | 5 |
| South Sacramento | 0500341011 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.28 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0500492025 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.21 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0510160005 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.36 | 11 |
| South Sacramento | 0510030012 | SPA RD-10 | 10.0 | 5.89 | 41 |
| South Sacramento | 0510352018 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.17 | 2 |
| Vineyard | 0650310006 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 5.42 | 46 |
| Vineyard | 0660090010 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 3.10 | 26 |
| Vineyard | 0660100034 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 5.00 | 42 |
| Vineyard | 0660100035 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 4.61 | 39 |
| Cordova | 0720231092, 093, 099 (Glenborough) | RD-10 | 10.0 | 77.90 | 662 |
| South Sacramento | 1150330042 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.29 | 2 |
| Vineyard | 1211150030 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.07 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211150029 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211150028 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240060 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.42 | 4 |
| Vineyard | 1211240009 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|----------|------------|-------|------|------|----|
| Vineyard | 1211240008 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240001 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.08 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240002 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240003 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240004 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 0660100005 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240006 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240007 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240049 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240050 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240051 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240052 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240053 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240054 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240055 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240056 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240057 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240058 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240059 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240027 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.06 | 1 |
| Vineyard | 1211240028 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460160037 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.60 | 5 |
| Delta | 1460170069 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 2.59 | 22 |
| Delta | 1460170068 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 5.00 | 42 |
| Delta | 1460180042 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.86 | 7 |
| Delta | 1460180044 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 8.17 | 69 |
| Delta | 1460180063 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.42 | 3 |
| Delta | 1460180075 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 3.28 | 27 |
| Delta | 1460180071 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.50 | 4 |
| Delta | 1460340013 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460340048 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.44 | 3 |
| Delta | 1460340049 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.37 | 3 |
| Delta | 1460350014 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360069 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.07 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360070 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.40 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360075 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.03 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360084 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360088 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360092 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460360093 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.12 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460370012 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460370028 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.08 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460370029 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.08 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460370042 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.11 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460390005 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460390008 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.15 | 1 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------|------|------|----|
| Delta | 1460390012 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.10 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460390032 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.13 | 1 |
| Delta | 1460390041 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.17 | 1 |
| North Highlands | 2220024001 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 6.02 | 51 |
| North Highlands | 2220024041 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 3.94 | 33 |
| North Highlands | 2280091015 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.81 | 6 |
| North Highlands | 2280091025 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.30 | 2 |
| North Highlands | 2280091030 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.33 | 2 |
| North Highlands | 2280182004 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.35 | 2 |
| North Highlands | 2280500054 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| North Highlands | 2280500055 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.22 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2300133025 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2300133026 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.20 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2360360024 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.27 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2360254009 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.75 | 15 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2400141033 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.00 | 8 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2400560047 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.20 | 2 |
| Fair Oaks | 2420410033 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.15 | 1 |
| Fair Oaks | 2440013023 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.24 | 2 |
| Fair Oaks | 2440013024 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.25 | 2 |
| Fair Oaks | 2440360018 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.61 | 5 |
| Fair Oaks | 2440360021 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.30 | 2 |
| Fair Oaks | 2440360058 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.05 | 1 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2450222030 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 2.19 | 18 |

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|------|------|----|
| Carmichael and Old Foothill Farms | 2450240014 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.48 | 12 |
| Carmichael and Old Foothill Farms | 2450240026 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.33 | 11 |
| Carmichael and Old Foothill Farms | 2450240028 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.49 | 4 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2580225022 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.62 | 5 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2600480029 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Fair Oaks | 2610010051 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.33 | 11 |
| Orangevale | 2230252016 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.25 | 2 |
| Orangevale | 2610210019 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 1.31 | 11 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2710170034 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.15 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2710170035 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.15 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720011071 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.18 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720011072 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720011073 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720200022 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.48 | 4 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720312020 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.67 | 5 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2730301018 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.36 | 3 |
| Arden Arcade | 2850183020 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.07 | 1 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2580021049 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.18 | 2 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2580021050 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.18 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2580021051 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.16 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2580021052 | RD-10 | 10.0 | 0.16 | 2 |
| Cordova ⁽⁴⁾ | 0670030076,072,073 (Mather South)portions | RD-10 | 10.0 | 27.70 | 277 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2450240001 | SPA RD10 | 10.0 | 0.85 | 6 |
| | | | Total | 207.92 | 1801 |

Unless applied to a specific parcel footnotes apply to all parcels in the Table.

- (1) RD-10 zoned sites on the Moderate Inventory will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (2) Unless indicated by Footnote 4 these parcels are undeveloped and included in the previous inventory
- (3) RD-10 zoning permits 10 dwelling units per acre and the realistic capacity reflects this. On larger sites environmental constraints and the capacity methodology was applied.
- (4) These are new parcels that were not previously included in the inventory. These Parcels are located in the Mather South Master Plan Area.

| TABLE C-15 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 15 (RD-15) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (13-30 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity | Candidate Rezone Site | Capacity if Rezoned |
| Vineyard ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾ | 0640080043 | RD-20 (15 du/ac min.) | 15.0 | 9.50 | 143 | Y | 160 |
| Vineyard ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 0650080110 | RD-20 (15 du/ac min.) | 15.0 | 4.77 | 64 | Y | 72 |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 072360009, 0723360017, 0723360036 (Glenborough) | RD15 | 15.0 | 29.50 | 376 | | |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 0720231106 (Easton Place) | RD15 | 15.0 | 6.70 | 100 | | |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 0723360022 (Easton Place) | RD15 | 15.0 | 20.50 | 308 | | |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 0390011006, 008, 021, 033, 042, 043 | RD15 | 15.0 | 11.84 | 131 | | |
| Total | | | | 82.81 | 1122 | | |

- (1) The moderate income -level development capacity for these sites is based on the Master Plans. These sites are also listed on the lower-income Tables.
- (2) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (3) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element.
- (4) These are new parcels that were not previously included in the inventory.
- (5) Capacity at 20 units per acre if rezoned.
- (6) This site is a candidate site for rezoning to accommodate the RHNA shortfall. This will be a separate action.

| TABLE C-16 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 20 (RD-20) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (13-30 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL)⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity | Candidate Rezone Site | Capacity if Rezoned |
| South Sacramento | 0200253007 | RD20 (SPA) | 20.0 | 0.26 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0200242014 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.30 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0200242016 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.57 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0200242024 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.29 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0200253009 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.17 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0200253010 | RD20 (SPA) | 20.0 | 0.19 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0220233009 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.22 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0220233010 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.20 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0220233019 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.24 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0220233020 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.20 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0260102015 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.46 | 2 | | |
| Delta ⁽⁴⁾ | 1420097026 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.17 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0260102016 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.46 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0260252002 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.14 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0260283019 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.59 | 6 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0370011034 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.06 | 1 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0370182005 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.13 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0370182006 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.13 | 2 | | |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------------|------|-------|-----|---|-----|
| South Sacramento | 0370182007 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.14 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0390052012 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.55 | 6 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0390052056 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.40 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0390063008 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.75 | 8 | | |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁴⁾ | 0430070005 | SPA Old Florin Town | 20.0 | 2.80 | 37 | Y | 37 |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾⁽⁹⁾ | 0430070034 | SPA Old Florin Town | 20.0 | 15.93 | 40 | Y | 40 |
| South Sacramento | 0430230020 | SPA Old Florin Town | 20.0 | 2.43 | 72 | Y | 72 |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 0430230022 | SPA Old Florin Town | 20.0 | 4.70 | 128 | Y | 128 |
| | | | | | | | |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 0510061018 | SPA Old Florin Town | 20.0 | 2.26 | 32 | Y | 32 |
| South Sacramento | 0500351009 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.22 | 2 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0510200017 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.71 | 8 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0510200018 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.72 | 8 | | |
| South Sacramento | 0510467048 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.07 | 2 | | |
| Vineyard | 0660210022 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.23 | 2 | | |
| Delta | 1190050005 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.14 | 2 | | |
| Delta | 1190050007 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.09 | 2 | | |
| Delta | 1420096006 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.17 | 2 | | |
| Delta | 1420096007 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.14 | 2 | | |
| Delta ⁽⁴⁾ | 1320162047 | Courtland SPA RD20 | 20.0 | 2.45 | 34 | | |
| North Highlands | 2080142022 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.38 | 2 | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------|------|------|------|---|--|--|
| North Highlands | 228004204 4 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.20 | 2 | | |
| North Highlands | 228004204 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.20 | 2 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 228021006 1 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.21 | 2 | | |
| North Highlands | 228061001 9 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.56 | 6 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 229005006 3 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.15 | 2 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 230005101 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.50 | 6 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 230069002 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.11 | 2 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 245019002 1 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.45 | 2 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 258006001 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.04 | 2 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 258030001 3 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.77 | 8 | | |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 260003102 9 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.55 | 6 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 1 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 2 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 3 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 4 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.06 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.03 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 7 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 8 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------|------|------|---|--|--|
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034000 9 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034001 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034003 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034003 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285340037 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034003 8 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034003 9 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285340040 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034004 1 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034004 2 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.08 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034002 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.03 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034002 1 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034002 2 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034002 3 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285340024 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285340031 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.06 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285340032 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.06 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034003 3 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.05 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 285034003 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.06 | 1 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285010200 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.41 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285010200 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.41 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285010201 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.40 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285010201 7 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.29 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285014001 7 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.23 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285014002 1 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.41 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 286007002 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.24 | 1 | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|--------------|--------------------|-------------|---|-----|
| Arden Arcade | 286014100 8 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.89 | 10 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 286015105 3 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.12 | 1 | | |
| Antelope ⁽⁴⁾ | 203007007 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 1.89 | 35 | | |
| Antelope ⁽⁴⁾ | 203007013 8 | RD20 | 20.0 | 1.61 | 30 | | |
| Antelope ⁽⁴⁾ | 203007013 9 | RD20 | 20.0 | 1.16 | 21 | | |
| North Highlands ⁽⁴⁾ | 240014103 5 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.29 | 2 | | |
| North Highlands ⁽⁴⁾ | 240014103 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 1.31 | 20 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 254007202 7 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.15 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 254007202 6 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.15 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade | 285006201 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.41 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 278023002 9 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.40 | 2 | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 278023003 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.23 | 2 | | |
| Vineyard ^{(1) (5)(6)} (8) | 066007005 2 | RD20 | 20.0 | 15.6 4 | 200 | Y | 200 |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁹⁾ | 075002001 5 | RD-20 | 20.0 | 14.6 5 | 75 | | |
| Cordova ^{(1) (4)} | 073004000 36,042 | RD-20 | 20.0 | 626. 40 | 400 | | |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁴⁾ | 039005206 0 | RD20 | 20.0 | 0.98 | 10 | | |
| | | | Total | 713. 85 | 1326 | | |

- (1) The moderate income -level development capacity for these sites is based on the Master Plans. These sites are also listed on the lower income Tables.
- (2) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (3) Unless indicated by Footnote 4, these sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element.
- (4) These are new parcels that were not previously included in the inventory.
- (5) Capacity at 20 units per acre if rezoned.
- (6) This site is a candidate site for rezoning to accommodate the RHNA shortfall. This will be a separate action.
- (7) This is a separate legal parcel that was vacant in the last housing element (APN: 043007022). This portion is still vacant and is 4.76 acres, but a new APN: has been issued for this parcel and the adjacent parcel that is developed with a place of worship.
- (8) These parcels are also on Table C-28 Large Parcels.
- (9) This parcel is also on Table C-29 Non-Vacant Parcels.

| TABLE C-17 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 25 (RD-25) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (23-40 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL)⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|---|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acres | Realistic Development Capacity | Candidate Rezone Site | Capacity if Rezoned |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0670090005, 021 (NewBridge) | RD-25 | 25.0 | 19.90 | 506 | | |
| North Highlands ⁽⁴⁾ ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 2150062057 | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Town Center Dist. RM-1 | 25.0 | 2.13 | 37 | Y | 30 |
| Antelope ⁽³⁾ | 2032160072 | RD-25 | 25.0 | 6.90 | 10 | | |
| | | | Total | 28.93 | 553 | | |

(1) The moderate income -level development capacity for these sites is based on the Master Plans. These sites are also listed on the lower income Tables.

(2) The sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.

(3) Unless indicated by Footnote 4, these sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element.

(4) These are new vacant parcels that were not previously included in the inventory.

(5) Capacity at 20 units per acre if rezoned.

(6) This site is a candidate site for rezoning to accommodate the RHNA shortfall. This will be a separate action.

| TABLE C-18 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 30 (RD-30) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (13-30 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL)⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage ⁽⁵⁾ | Realistic Development Capacity |
| South Sacramento | 0370174003 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.17 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 0370174004 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.18 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870003 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870004 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870005 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870006 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.10 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870007 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.09 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870008 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.10 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870009 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870010 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870011 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| South Sacramento | 1151870024 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.06 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2280450010 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.19 | 4 |
| Fair Oaks | 2440120004 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.17 | 2 |
| Arden Arcade | 2540031048 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.27 | 4 |
| Arden Arcade | 2540071025 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.45 | 8 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2560340001 | RD20/30 | 30.0 | 0.52 | 6 |
| Arden Arcade | 2660040046 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.42 | 4 |
| Arden Arcade | 2660040062 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.41 | 4 |
| Arden Arcade | 2710153006 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.50 | 8 |
| Arden Arcade | 2780012003 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.17 | 2 |
| Arden Arcade | 2780171013 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.45 | 6 |
| Arden Arcade | 2780240071 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.12 | 2 |
| Arden Arcade | 2790171049 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.30 | 4 |
| Arden Arcade | 2850084007 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.57 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| Arden Arcade | 2850201011 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.08 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2890590032 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Fair Oaks ⁽⁴⁾ | 2330281057 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.17 | 2 |
| | | | | | |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 2540081007 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.16 | 1 |
| Arden Arcade ⁽⁴⁾ | 2710270024 | RD30 | 30.0 | 0.45 | 8 |
| Fair Oaks ⁽⁴⁾ | 2440220026 | Fair Oaks Village SPA | 30.0 | 1.36 | 26 |
| | | | | | |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Cosumnes ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0730190069 | RD-30 | 30.0 | 17.8 | 150 |
| Fair Oaks ⁽⁴⁾ | 2440120016 | Fair Oaks Village SPA | 30.0 | 0.84 | 15 |
| | | | Total | 27.4 | 248 |

- (1) These sites and development capacity indicates the number of units identified for moderate development capacity in addition to the units being counted on the affordable inventory.
- (2) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (3) Unless indicated by Footnote 4, these sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element.
- (4) These are new vacant parcels that were not previously included in the inventory.
- (5) Although these sites meet the default density to provide housing for lower income inventory they are not included because they are smaller than 0.5-acres or they will not develop with an affordable development.

| TABLE C-19 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 40 (RD-40) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (31-50 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2600050027 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.84 | 16 |
| Arden Arcade | 2660394002 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.31 | 4 |
| Arden Arcade | 2660394003 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.31 | 4 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720190006 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.17 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720190063 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.19 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms ⁽³⁾ | 2600091008 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.81 | 30 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720190066 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.12 | 2 |
| Carmichael & Old Foothill Farms | 2720181003 | RD40 | 40.0 | 0.71 | 14 |
| | | | Total | 1.91 | 29 |

(1) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.

(2) Unless indicated by Footnote 3, these sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element.

(3) These are new vacant parcels that were not previously included in the inventory.

| TABLE C-20 | | |
|--|-------------|-----------------|
| ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT INCOME CATEGORY AND UNITS | | |
| Income Category | Percent | Number of Units |
| ELI | 15% | 60 |
| VLI | 6% | 24 |
| LI | 35% | 140 |
| Moderate | 43% | 172 |
| Above Moderate | 1% | 4 |
| Total | 100% | 400 |

| TABLE C-21 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: MOBILEHOME PARK (RM-1) GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION: LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL (1-12 DU/AC) ASSUMED LAND USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Community | APN | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage | Realistic Development Capacity |
| South Sacramento | 0640071051 | RM-1 | 8.5 | 4.22 | 30 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾ | 1180102002 | RM-1 | 8.5 | 9.70 | 70 |
| | | | Total | 13.92 | 100 |

(1) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.

(2) Unless indicated by Footnote 3, these sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element.

(3) These are new vacant parcels that were not previously included in the inventory.

| TABLE C-22 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 20 AND 25 (RD-20 and RD-25) ASSUMED LAND USE: MULTIPLE FAMILY AFFORDABLE FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL)⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Community | Assessor Parcel Number | General Plan Designation | Zoning Designation (Current) | Minimum Density Allowed (units/acre) | Max Density Allowed (units/acre) | Parcel Size (Gross Acres) | Mode rate Income Capacity | Candidate Rezone Site | Capacity if Rezoned |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0510160014 | Medium Density residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 1.68 | 24 | Y | 24 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0390241001 | Low Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 2.14 | 36 | Y | 36 |
| Vineyard ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0650260004 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 1.32 | 18 | Y | 18 |
| Cordova ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0750440024 | Transit Oriented Development | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 2.45 | 37 | Y | 37 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0510640049 | Commercial/Office | RD-20 | 15 | 20 | 2.26 | 22 | Y | 22 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0390072016 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 1.39 | 18 | Y | 18 |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2140092019 | Agricultural-Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 1.52 | 21 | Y | 21 |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 2300091056 | Low Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 1.32 | 24 | Y | 24 |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 2200311019 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 0.89 | 16 | Y | 16 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0390041004 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 1.67 | 26 | Y | 26 |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----|-------|-------|-----|---|-----|
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0390054001 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 3.51 | 36 | Y | 36 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0500311028 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 4.39 | 70 | Y | 70 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 1151980003 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 3.64 | 55 | Y | 55 |
| North Highlands ^{(3)(4) (5)(6)} | 2200780001 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 20 | 10.46 | 84 | Y | 84 |
| South Sacramento ^{(3)(4) (5)} | 0260102023 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 20 | 2.13 | 23 | Y | 23 |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2140160061 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 3.54 | 43 | Y | 43 |
| South Sacramento ^{(3)(4) (5)} | 0500010077 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 2.08 | 19 | Y | 19 |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2300051009 | Commercial/Offices | RD-20/LC | 15 | 23/46 | 1.1 | 18 | Y | 18 |
| Vineyard ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0650080057 | Low Density Residential | RD-20/O | 15 | 23 | 9.39 | 146 | Y | 146 |
| Antelope ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾ | 2030260064 | Low Density Residential | RD-20/O/RD-7 | 15 | 23 | 40 | 77 | Y | 77 |
| Vineyard ^{(3)(4) (6)} | 0660100024 | Low Density Residential | RD-20/RD-3/RD-5 | 15 | 23 | 39.69 | 160 | Y | 160 |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2060141006 | Low Density Residential | SPA (Downtown Rio Linda) | 15 | 20 | 4.34 | 67 | Y | 67 |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2060210016 | Low Density Residential | SPA (Downtown Rio Linda) | 15 | 20 | 5 | 75 | Y | 75 |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ^{(3)(4) (5)} | 2070300009 | Medium Density Residential | SPA (Downtown Rio Linda) (RD-20) | 15 | 20 | 3 | 18 | Y | 18 |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ^{(3)(4) (5) (6)} | 2070141015 | Commercial/Offices | SPA (Downtown Rio Linda) RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 11.2 | 53 | Y | 53 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---|----|-------|--------|-------|---|-------|
| North Highlands ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 215006 2030 | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave. Town Center) | 15 | 27 | 1.53 | 20 | Y | 20 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 020025 3024 | Commercial/Offices | SPA Stockton Blvd. North (RD-20) | 15 | 23 | 0.89 | 17 | Y | 17 |
| North Highlands ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 208012 2066 | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Elkhorn Dist. RM-1 | 15 | 25 | 3.06 | 43 | Y | 43 |
| North Highlands ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 208013 2008 | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Elkhorn Dist. RM-1 | 15 | 25 | 4.62 | 43 | Y | 43 |
| | | | | | Total | 170.21 | 1,302 | | 1,302 |

- (1) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (2) These sites are vacant and were included in the previous Housing Element, if rezoned multi-family development will be permitted per Program A2 and AB1397.
- (3) Capacity at 20 units per acre if rezoned.
- (4) This site is a candidate site for rezoning to accommodate the RHNA shortfall. This will be a separate action.
- (5) This site is underutilized and was included in the previous Housing Element, if rezoned multi-family development will be permitted per Program A2 and AB1397 see Table C-29 for description of existing use.
- (6) This site is greater than 10-acres and was included in the previous Housing Element see Table C-27 for a description.

| TABLE C-23 MODERATE INVENTORY ZONING: RESIDENTIAL 20 AND 25 (RD-20 and RD-25) ASSUMED LAND USE: MULTIPLE FAMILY AFFORDABLE FROM PREVIOUS INVENTORY (MODERATE INCOME LEVEL) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Communit y | Assessor Parcel Number | General Plan Designation | Zoning Designation (Current) | Minimum Density Allowed (units/acre) | Max Density Allowed (units/acre) | Parcel Size (Gross Acres) | Moderate Income Capacity | Candidate Rezone Site | Capacity if Rezoned |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0510630 021 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 3.21 | 54 | Y | 54 |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0650060 035 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 8.4 | 72 | Y | 72 |
| Vineyard ⁽³⁾ ^{(4) (6)} | 0660070 052 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 15.6 4 | 200 | Y | 200 |
| Vineyard ⁽³⁾ ⁽⁴⁾ | 1220790 020 | Low Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 6.92 | 120 | Y | 120 |
| Antelope ⁽³⁾ ⁽⁴⁾ | 2030120 089 | Low Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 9.69 | 160 | Y | 160 |
| Arden Arcade ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ ⁽⁷⁾ | 2940180 008 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 0.85 | 19 | Y | 19 |
| Arden Arcade ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ ⁽⁷⁾ | 2940180 013 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15 | 23 | 0.78 | | Y | |
| Cordova ⁽³⁾ ^{(4) (5)(6)} | 0750020 015 | Transit Oriented Development | RD- 20/O | 15 | 23 | 14.6 5 | 200 | Y | 200 |
| Antelope ⁽³⁾ ⁽⁴⁾ | 2032160 072 | Medium Density | RD-25 | 19 | 28 | 6.9 | 130 | Y | 103 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--------------|-----------|-----|--|-----|
| | | Residenti al | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | Total | 67.0 4 | 955 | | 928 |

- (1) These sites will have access to infrastructure during the Housing Element period.
- (2) These sites are undeveloped and were included in the previous Housing Element, if rezoned multi-family development will be permitted per Program A2 and AB1397.
- (3) Capacity at 20 units per acre if rezoned.
- (4) These sites are candidate sites for rezoning to accommodate the RHNA shortfall. This will be a separate action.
- (5) This is an underutilized parcel developed with an SFR and was included in the previous Housing Element, if rezoned multi-family development will be permitted per Program A2 and AB1397 see Table C-29 for description of existing use.
- (6) This site is greater than 10-acres and was included in the previous Housing Element see Table C-27 for a description.
- (7) These site are adjacent and under the same ownership and are anticipated to be merged. The realistic development capacity includes both parcels.

**TABLE C-24
LOWER-INCOME INVENTORY⁽⁷⁾**

| Community | APN | Consolidated Sites | General Plan Designation (Current) | Zoning Designation (Current) | Minimum Density Allowed (units/acre) | Max Density Allowed (units/acre) | Parcel Size (Gross Acres) | Existing Use/Vacancy | Lower Income Capacity | Total Capacity | Used in prior Housing Element |
|------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| Arden Arcade | 2850140033 | | Medium Density Residential | RD-30 | 22 | 33 | 1.2 | Vacant | 23 | 23 | Y |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾ | 0750040025 | A | Low Density Residential | SPA (Folsom Blvd.) | 15 | 23 | 1.27 | Vacant | 7 | 59 | Y |
| Cordova ⁽¹⁾ | 0750040040 | A | Low Density Residential | SPA (Folsom Blvd.) | 15 | 23 | 0.96 | Vacant | | | N |
| North Highlands | 2150092008 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Highlands Town Center) | 20 | 60 | 2.2 | Vacant | 83 | 83 | Y |
| North Highlands | 2150182001 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Highlands Town Center) | 20 | 60 | 1.62 | Vacant | 63 | 63 | Y |
| North Highlands | 2150182004 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Highlands Town Center) | 20 | 60 | 2.75 | Vacant | 109 | 109 | Y |
| North Highlands | 2150182032 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Highlands Town Center) | 20 | 60 | 2.3 | Vacant | 84 | 84 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|---|------------------------------|--|----|----|------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|---|
| North Highlands | 2080142020 | B | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Elkhorn Dist. Center | 30 | 40 | 1.93 | Vacant | 42 | 42 | Y |
| North Highlands | 2080142022 | B | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Elkhorn Dist. Center | 30 | 40 | 0.38 | Vacant | | | Y |
| North Highlands | 2080142030 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Elkhorn Dist. Center | 30 | 40 | 4.59 | Vacant | 81 | 81 | Y |
| North Highlands | 2080142036 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.) Elkhorn Dist. Center | 30 | 40 | 2.31 | Vacant | 61 | 61 | Y |
| Cordova ⁽³⁾ | 0730040036,042 | | High Density Residential | Cordova Hills Master Plan (HDR 2) | 30 | 40 | 9 | Vacant | 150 | 150 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2580310035 | | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Central SPA SC/LC | 20 | 46 | 3.06 | Non vacant commercial business | 36 | 36 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms | 2580180018 | C | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Main SPA RMU | 20 | 50 | 0.72 | Vacant | 27 | 27 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms | 2580180019 | C | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Main SPA RMU | 20 | 50 | 0.57 | Vacant | | | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----|----|------|--------|----|----|---|
| South Sacramento | 1150130084 | | Commercial/Offices | Calvine Rd/Highway 99 SPA (GC) | 15 | 46 | 4.07 | Vacant | 47 | 47 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms | 2730330016 | | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Main SPA CMU | 20 | 50 | 1.22 | Vacant | 23 | 23 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0500341010 | | Commercial/Offices | GC | 15 | 46 | 1.02 | Vacant | 12 | 12 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0500341008 | | Commercial/Offices | GC | 15 | 35 | 1.31 | Vacant | 10 | 10 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0390300022 | | Commercial/Offices | GC | 15 | 46 | 2.47 | Vacant | 29 | 29 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 1150061030 | | Commercial/Offices | GC | 15 | 30 | 1.24 | Vacant | 9 | 9 | N |
| Orangevale ⁽²⁾ | 2610210020 | | Low Density Residential | Greenback Lane SPA (LC) | 15 | 46 | 2.23 | Vacant | 26 | 26 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0500293011 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 4.63 | Vacant | 53 | 53 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0500470026 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.88 | Vacant | 22 | 22 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0510010084 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 35 | 1.06 | Vacant | 8 | 8 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|---|--------------------|----|----|----|------|------------------|----|----|---|
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0510200058 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 35 | 2.35 | Vacant | 18 | 18 | Y |
| Cordova ⁽²⁾ | 0780450023 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 2.49 | Vacant | 29 | 29 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 1180300001 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 6.92 | Overflow parking | 80 | 80 | Y |
| Delta ⁽²⁾ | 1420080086 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 35 | 1.47 | Vacant | 11 | 11 | Y |
| Rio Linda/Elverta ⁽²⁾ | 2150140031 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.36 | Vacant | 16 | 16 | Y |
| North Highlands ⁽²⁾ | 2190032008 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.51 | Vacant | 18 | 18 | Y |
| North Highlands ⁽²⁾ | 2190032009 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.2 | Vacant | 14 | 14 | Y |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2280151033 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 35 | 1.74 | Overflow parking | 13 | 13 | Y |
| North Highlands ⁽²⁾ | 2400011029 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.13 | Vacant | 13 | 13 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 1180131051 | B | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 0.88 | Vacant | 23 | 23 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 1180131055 | B | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 0.52 | Vacant | | | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 1180131052 | B | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 0.54 | Vacant | | | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|---|------------------------------|--|----|------|-------|-------------------------|-----|-----|---|
| Cordova ⁽²⁾ | 0780190032 | | Transit Oriented Development | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.52 | Vacant | 18 | 18 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 0500391010 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 46 | 1.3 | Non vacant, residential | 16 | 16 | Y |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽¹⁾ | 0390011020 | F | Commercial/Offices | LC | 11 | 15.2 | 0.33 | Vacant | | | Y |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽¹⁾ | 0390011034 | F | Commercial/Offices | LC | 11 | 15.2 | 0.28 | Vacant | | | Y |
| North Highlands ⁽²⁾ | 2190034003 | | Commercial/Offices | LC | 15 | 35 | 2.84 | Vacant | 21 | 21 | Y |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽²⁾ | 2400232064 | | Commercial/Offices | TC | 15 | 35 | 1.55 | Vacant | 18 | 18 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽²⁾ | 2660032009 | | Commercial/Offices | W. Auburn Blvd. SPA (Neighborhood Connectivity Zone) | 15 | 30 | 3.71 | Vacant | 28 | 28 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 0430220031 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 14.49 | Vacant | 166 | 166 | N |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 0430220015 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 3.17 | Vacant | 36 | 36 | Y |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|----------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|------|--------|-----|-----|---|
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 1150430075 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 2.39 | Vacant | 28 | 28 | Y |
| Vineyard ⁽²⁾ | 1210430019 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 35 | 1.02 | Vacant | 8 | 8 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽²⁾ | 1150430076 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 1.44 | Vacant | 17 | 17 | N |
| Vineyard ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ | 1210060025 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 33 | 1.6 | Vacant | 12 | 12 | N |
| Vineyard ⁽²⁾ | 1210430020 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 35 | 1.14 | Vacant | 9 | 9 | N |
| Antelope ⁽²⁾ | 2030110109 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 4.53 | Vacant | 52 | 52 | Y |
| Antelope ⁽²⁾ | 2030110150 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 2.53 | Vacant | 29 | 29 | Y |
| Antelope ⁽²⁾ | 2030110149 | | Commercial/Offices | SC | 15 | 46 | 3.08 | Vacant | 36 | 36 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽¹⁾ | 0390011006 | F | Commercial/Offices | RD-15/LC | 11 | 15.2 | 1.88 | Vacant | 12 | 12 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽¹⁾ | 0390011013 | | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 and RD-5 | 5 and 15 | 5 and 36 | 7.03 | Vacant | 126 | 126 | Y |
| South Sacramento | 0420012035 | | Medium Density Residential | RD-30 | 22 | 33 | 1.06 | Vacant | 23 | 23 | Y |
| Cosumnes ⁽³⁾ | 0730190069 | | Medium Density Residential | RD-30 | 22 | 33 | 17.8 | Vacant | 150 | 300 | Y |
| Carmichael / Old | 2400062034 | | Low Density Residential | RD-30 | 22 | 33 | 3.57 | Vacant | 81 | 81 | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|---|------------------------------|---|-------|-------|------|------------------------|-----|-----|---|
| Foothill Farms | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fair Oaks | 2440151006 | | Medium Density Residential | RD-30 | 22 | 33 | 1.25 | Vacant | 30 | 30 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms | 2450011012 | | Transit Oriented Development | RD-40 | 30 | 44 | 6.45 | Vacant | 200 | 200 | Y |
| North Highlands | 2000400004 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA North Watt Ave. (Elkhorn District Center) | 30 | 33 | 7.38 | Vacant | 124 | 124 | Y |
| Cordova ⁽⁴⁾ | 0680580008 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (Butterfield Light Rail Station RD-40) | 96 | 110 | 3.62 | Non vacant parking lot | 96 | 96 | Y |
| Cordova ⁽³⁾ | 0723360002 | | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (Easton RD-80, RD-60) | 40,50 | 60,80 | 30.5 | Vacant | 318 | 318 | Y |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁵⁾ | 0260073014 | D | Medium Density Residential | Stockton Blvd. Central SPA (RM-1/RD-20) | 15 | 33 | 2.54 | Vacant | 52 | 52 | N |
| South Sacramento | 0260073017 | D | Medium Density Residential | Stockton Blvd. Central SPA (LC) | 15 | 46 | 1.28 | Vacant | 15 | 15 | N |
| Carmichael / Old Foothill Farms ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 2300222045 | | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Central SPA (LC) | 20 | 46 | 1.77 | Non vacant parking lot | 21 | 21 | N |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|-----------------------|---|----|-------|--------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----|---|
| Cordova ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾) | 0670030076 | | HDR, MDR , LDR, MU | Mather South Master Plan (EC)20 | | 20 | 179 | Vacant | 200 | 200 | N |
| Cordova ⁽³⁾ | 0670090019 | | HDR, MDR , LDR, MU | NewBridge Specific Plan | 23 | 40 | 121.68 | Non vacant rendering plant | 220 | 220 | N |
| South Sacrament o | 0260110010 | | MDR | RD-30 | 22 | 30 | .85 | Vacant | 18 | | Y |
| Arden Arcade | 2680210060 | | MDR | RD-30 | 22 | 30 | .59 | Vacant | 10 | | Y |
| Arden Arcade | 2851900070 | | MDR | RD-30 | 22 | 30 | .73 | Vacant | 16 | | Y |
| Carmichael /Old Foothill Farms | 2600050027 | | HDR | RD-40 | 30 | 40 | .84 | Vacant | 16 | | Y |
| Carmichael /Old Foothill Farms | 2720181003 | | HDR | RD-40 | 30 | 40 | .71 | Vacant | 14 | | Y |
| South Sacrament o ⁽¹⁾ | 0510030001 | | MDR | RD-20 (Old Florin Town SPA) | 15 | 20 | 7.55 | Vacant | 194 | | Y |
| | | | | | | Total | 580.43 | | 4,100 | | |
| (1) Site also on Table C-25 as a Previously Approved Projects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) Site also on Table C-26 Commercial Sites and assumes 25 percent of a site will be developed with affordable multifamily developments. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) Site also on Table C-27 Large Parcel Sites | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) Site also on Table C-29 Non-Vacant Sites | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) This site is planned for affordable development by SHRA. The entitlement has not been applied for. | | | | | | | | | | | |

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>(6) A 10-acre portion of this parcel is identified in the Affordable Housing Strategy for the MSMP as providing 200 affordable units. This strategy has been reviewed by SHRA and the County</p> <p>(7) All sites on this list will have infrastructure during the Housing Element Period.</p> | |
|---|--|

**TABLE C-25
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROJECTS**

| Community | Name of Development | APN | General Plan Designation (Current) | Zoning Designation (Current) | Minimum Density Allowed (units/acre) | Max Density Allowed (units/acre) | Parcel Size (Gross Acres) | Lower Income Capacity | Total Number of Units | Status as of June 30, 2021 | |
|------------------|----------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| Cordova | Rashaad's Apartments | 0750040025 0750040040 | Low Density Residential | SPA (Folsom Blvd.) | 15 | 23 | 1.27; 0.96 | 7 VLI | 59 | Approved July 2020 | Seven of the units will be available for Low Income Housing. Regulatory Agency SHRA. |
| South Sacramento | Victoria Park | 0390011020 0390011034 0390011006 | Commercial/Offices | LC/RD-15 | 11 | 15.2 | 0.33; .28; 1.88 | 12 VLI | 143 | Approved in 2017; construction will not occur by June 30. | Develop site (retail commercial apartments) and single family homes. 30 apartment family homes. of the apartment for VLI and LI. The applicant SHRA on a regulatory agreement. |
| South Sacramento | Cornerstone | 0390011013 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 and RD-5 | 5 and 15 | 5 and 36 | 7.03 | 18 ELI 49 VLI 40 LI | 126 | Approved January 2021 | 108 apartment managed by LI. Units will be provided following income ELI, 49 VLI, 40 LI. managers unit. Humanity will single family homes which will ELI through categories and res |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|----|------|-----|-----|---------------------------|---|
| South Sacramento ⁴⁾ | Anton Power Inn | 051003000 1 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 (Old Florin Town SPA | 15 | 20 | 7.55 | 194 | 194 | Approved March 2021 | 194 apartment managed by Development available at lo levels. (ELI-L |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|----|------|-----|-----|---------------------------|---|

| TABLE C-26 Limited Commercial (LC), General Commercial (GC), Shopping Center (SC) Parcels for Multi-family Residential Development ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Community | APN | General Plan Designation | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acreage ⁽¹⁾ | Realistic Development Capacity | Existing Use |
| South Sacramento ⁽⁴⁾ | 0430220031 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 3.6 | 166 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0500293011 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 1.16 | 53 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0500470026 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.47 | 22 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0510010084 | Commercial/Office | LC | 35 | 0.27 | 8 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0510200058 | Commercial/Office | LC | 35 | 0.59 | 18 | Vacant |
| Carmichael/Old | 2580310035 | Transit Oriented | Fair Oaks Blvd. | 46 | 0.77 | 36 | Non vacant commercial business. This acreage assumes that the commercial business |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----|------|----|---|
| Foothill Farms ⁽³⁾ | | Development | Central SPA SC/LC | | | | will remain and it is subtracted from acreage available to lower-income development. |
| Cordova | 0780450023 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.62 | 29 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾ | 118030001 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 1.73 | 80 | Overflow church parking lot. This parcel is underutilized and it is reasonable to assume 0.25 percent may develop as affordable multi-family housing. |
| Delta/Walnut Grove | 1420080086 | Commercial/Office | LC | 35 | 0.37 | 11 | Vacant |
| Rio Linda/Elverta | 2150140031 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.34 | 16 | Vacant |
| North Highlands | 2190032008 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.38 | 18 | Vacant |
| North Highlands | 2190032009 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.3 | 14 | Vacant |
| North Highlands | 2190034003 | Commercial/Office | LC | 30 | 0.71 | 21 | Vacant |
| North Highlands ⁽³⁾ | 2280151033 | Commercial/Office | LC | 30 | 0.44 | 13 | Non-Vacant; parking |
| North Highlands | 2400011029 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.28 | 13 | Vacant |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--|----|------|----|--------|
| Carmichael/ Old Foothill Farms | 2660032009 | Commercial/ Office | W. Auburn Blvd. SPA (Neighborhood Connectivity Zone) | 30 | 0.93 | 28 | Vacant |
| Orangevale | 2610210020 | Commercial/Office | Greenback Ln. SPA LC | 46 | 0.56 | 26 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0430220015 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 0.79 | 36 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 1150430075 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 0.6 | 28 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 1150430076 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 0.36 | 17 | Vacant |
| Vineyard | 1210430019 | Commercial/Office | SC | 35 | 0.26 | 8 | Vacant |
| Vineyard | 1210430020 | Commercial/Office | SC | 35 | 0.29 | 9 | Vacant |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----|----|------|----|---|
| Antelope | 2030110109 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 1.13 | 52 | Vacant |
| Antelope | 2030110150 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 0.63 | 29 | Vacant |
| Antelope | 2030110149 | Commercial/Office | SC | 46 | 0.77 | 36 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0500341010 | Commercial/Office | GC | 46 | 0.26 | 12 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0500341008 | Commercial/Office | GC | 35 | 0.33 | 10 | Vacant |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms | 2300710003, 2300710004 | Transit Oriented Development | LC | 46 | 1.66 | 23 | These sites will be merged and contain single family residences that are currently vacant. This site is under utilized and can accommodate 23 affordable units. |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms | 2280210025, 2280210034 | Commercial/Office | TC | 30 | 0.89 | 26 | Vacant under same ownership will be merged. Property owner expressed interest in developing affordable multi-family. |
| South Sacramento | 1180131051 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.49 | 23 | Vacant |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|----|------|----|---|
| South Sacramento | 1180131052 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | – | – | Vacant |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾ | 1180300001 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 1.73 | 80 | Non-Vacant; parking lot |
| South Sacramento | 1180131055 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | – | – | Vacant |
| Cordova | 0780190032 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.38 | 18 | Vacant |
| Cordova ⁽²⁾ | 0780450023 | Commercial/Offices | LC | 46 | 0.62 | 29 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 0390300022 | Commercial/Office | GC | 46 | 0.62 | 19 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento | 1150061030 | Commercial/Office | GC | 35 | 0.31 | 9 | Vacant |
| South Sacramento ⁽³⁾ | 0500391010 | Commercial/Office | LC | 46 | 0.32 | 16 | Non-vacant; residence. This acreage assumes that the residence will remain and it is not affordable to lower-income levels. The residence is subtracted from acreage available to lower-income development. |
| Carmichael/Old Foothill | 2300222045 | Transit Oriented | Fair Oaks Blvd. Centra | 46 | 0.45 | 21 | Non vacant parking lot |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| II Farms (3) | | Develop ment | I SPA (LC) | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|---|
| Arden Arcade | 266003200 09 | Commer cial/Offi ce | SPA (GC) | 35 | 0.92 | 28 | Vacant |
| North Highlands | 240023206 4 | Commer cial/Offi ce | TC | 46 | 0.39 | 18 | Vacant |
| Vineyard | 121006002 5 | Commer cial/Offi ce | SC | 35 | 0.4 | 12 | Has approved map for 6 lots and time extension largest parcel in map is 10.8 ac. other parcels are slightly larger than 1 ac. |
| South Sacramento | 026007301 7 | Commer cial/Offi ce | SPA (LC) | 46 | 0.32 | 15 | Vacant |
| | | | | Total | 22.0 9 | 1144 | |
| <p>(1) Assumes 25 percent of a site will be developed with affordable multifamily developments.</p> <p>(2) These sites are also listed in Table C-24 Lower Income Inventory</p> <p>(3) These sites are also listed in Table C-29 Non-Vacant Sites</p> <p>(4) These sites are also on the Large Sites Inventory.</p> | | | | | | | |

**TABLE C-27
LARGE PARCEL SITES IDENTIFIED IN SITES INVENTORY**

| APN | Master Plan Community | General Plan | Zoning | Acres | Date Of Approval of Master Plan | Entitlements Required | Master Plan Potential Units | Additional Notes/Analysis |
|------------|--|------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| 0723360002 | Cordova (Easton Place SPA) Total Units: 1,194 318 affordable 376 moderate | Transit Oriented Development | RD-40, RD-50 | 30.5 | 1/28/2009 No expiration date | General Plan Amendment (Resolution 2008-1296 & Sacramento Zoning Ordinance No. SZC-2009-001) | 30.5 acre parcel, but Easton Place SPA specifies 5.6 and 5.4 acres of apartments at 40-60 and 50-80 du/acre in the SPA as District T1 and T2. These sites have 318 units available at affordable income categories. Total unit capacity for the SPA is 726 units affordable and moderate units. | This site is currently large and will be subdivided into smaller lots to achieve the proposed buildout at the identified density in the approved SPA. The SPA identifies 318 units available for lower-income categories. |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------|-------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 072336022,032,031 | Cordova (Easton SPA) | Transit Oriented Development | RD-20, RD-30 | 15.89 | 1/28/2009 No expiration date | General Plan Amendment (Resolution 2008-1296 & Sacramento Zoning Ordinance No. SZC-2009-001) | Approximately 376 units available for moderate income units due to density and plan assumptions (District T4 and T5) | The total parcel size is 15.89 acres and 12.8 acres are identified for multifamily development in two parcels of 6.1 and 6.7 acres each (District T4 and T5). The density is 15-23 du/acre and 30-45 du/acre. These parcels have an identified capacity in the plan document of 376units for specifically moderate levels. |
| 072360009, 0723360017, 0723360036 | Cordova (Glenborough) | Commercial/ Office | RD-25 | 581 | 1/28/2009 No expiration date | General Plan Amendment (Resolution 2008-1296 & Sacramento Zoning Ordinance No. SZC-2009-001) | The Master Plan assumes Glenborough will accommodate 414 affordable units with 514 total multi-family units. | This site is currently large and will be subdivided into smaller lots to achieve the proposed buildout at the identified density. A total |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | | | | | This will occur in Villages J, K and Q. | of 31.6 acres is identified for multi-family residential in three areas. While the Master Plan does not provide specific acreages in this instance, based on the total acreage these parcels will each be less than 10 acres in size. |
| 0670030076 0670030072 | Cordova (Mather South) Phase One: 943 units 200 affordable 94 moderate 649 above moderate Phase Two: 954 units 183 moderate | Urban Development Area | RD-20 | 179 358.66 | 1/28/2020 No expiration date | Sacramento Zoning Ordinance No. SZC-2020-0001 | Phase 1 of this Master Plan. Approximately 200 affordable units (EC) | A 10-acre portion of this parcel identified as EC in the Master Plan and the Affordable Housing Strategy for the MSMP as providing 200 affordable units. This strategy has been reviewed by SHRA and |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | 671 above moderate | | | | | | | the County and is also formalized in the Development Agreement. |
| 0670090019 | <p>Cordova NewBridge Specific Plan</p> <p>Phase A: 1,136 units 220 affordable 21 moderate 895 above moderate</p> <p>Phase B: 1,279 units 485 moderate 794 above moderate</p> | Extensive Industrial | NewBridge Specific Plan | 121.68 | 10/6/2020 No expiration date | | <p>Parcels N11 and N14 in Phase A of the NewBridge Specific Plan will develop 220 affordable units as identified in the Affordable Housing Strategy</p> | <p>Parcels N11 and N14 will each be 5 acres and provide 220 units in Phase A of the development. These site are identified for affordable housing in the NewBridge Specific Plan Affordable Housing Plan and is also formalized in the Development Agreement and will be divided from the large parcel through development of the Specific Plan.</p> |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|--|---|--|
| 0730040036, 042 | Cordova Cordova Hills Master Plan Town Center 1,750 total units 150 affordable on HDR 2 550 moderate 1,050 above moderate | Natural Preserve | Cordova Hills Master Plan | 626.4 | 3/12/2013 | Sacramento Zoning Ordinance No. SZC 2013-00003 | An HDR 2 site is identified in the Town Center and will accommodate approximately 150 units at a density of 30 units per acre. | The Town Center identifies a 8- 9-acre site with appropriate Zoning that will be further subdivided and developed. |
| | | | Total | 1912.25 | | | 1402 | |

**TABLE C-28
LARGE PARCEL SITES NOT IN MASTER PLANS IDENTIFIED IN SITES INVENTORY**

| APN | Community | General Plan | Zoning | Acres | Date Of Approval | Entitlements Required | Potential Units | Additional Notes/Analyses |
|------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|-------|---|-----------------------|--|---|
| 0730190069 | Cosumnes | Medium Density Residential | RD-30 | 17.8 | Initially part of the Zoning Ordinance Amendment for Rancho Murieta in 1987 | | Counting 10-acres at RD-30 to have approximately 300 units. 150 will be affordable for lower income per SHRA multi-family lending guidelines and 150 at moderate income. | This site is in the Highest Resource area and meets the AB 1397 criteria. This site has oak trees and slope therefore the assumed developable acreage is approximately 10-acres. Inventory at RD-30 Zoning assumes approximately 300 units will be developed on this site. This site is identified as providing both affordable and moderate sites as this site is ideal to |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | provide a larged mixed income development. The site was included in the previous housing Element Cycle and has access to sewer, water and dry services. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owner and affordable Housing developers to facilitate affordable housing development and parcel sizes that comply with SHRA multi- family lending guidelines. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----|-------|--|--|-----|---|
| 043022003 1 | South Sacrament o | Commercial/Offices | SC | 14.49 | | | 166 | In keeping with the conservative commercial methodology 166 units are assumed because there is ultimately a 25 percent likelihood the site will be developed residentially. If more of the site were to develop with affordable units 200 units is the cap set by SHRA lending guidelines and would be available. This equates to roughly 4 acres of the site. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owner and affordable |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----|-------|--|--|-----|---|

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------|--|--|---------------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | Housing developers to facilitate affordable housing development and parcel sizes that comply with SHRA multi-family lending guidelines. This site could also be developed as a mixed use development without a map or further division. |
| 220078000 1 | North Highlands | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 10.46 (4.92 acres is undeveloped and available for development) | | Not in a Master Plan area | Assume 84 moderate units at base density of 20 units per acre until rezone is complete. Once rezone is complete these will be assigned to lower income units. | This site is developed with a place of worship and a 4.92-acre portion of the property is undeveloped and could accommodate housing. This is a candidate rezone site and was included |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|--|--------------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | in previous Housing Elements based upon inquiries about multi-family development. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owner and affordable Housing developers to facilitate affordable housing development and parcel sizes that comply with SHRA multi-family lending guidelines. |
| 2030260064 | Antelope | Low Density Residential/Medium Density Residential | RD-20/O/RD-7 | 40 acres total (5.8 acres of the total 40 acres has RD-20 designation) | | Not in a Master Plan | Assume 77 moderate units at base density of 20 until rezone is complete. Once rezone is complete these will be | This site has split zoning. Only a 5.8-acre portion has RD-20 zoning. This site is vacant and is a candidate rezone site and |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|--|-----------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | assigned to lower income units. | was included in previous Housing Elements. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owner and affordable Housing developers to facilitate affordable housing development on the 5.8-acre portion that was previously identified for multi-family development. |
| 0660100024 | Vineyard | Low Density Residential/Medium Density Residential | RD-20/RD-3/RD-5 | 39.69 (8.3-acres of the total 39.69-acre parcel is zoned RD-20) | Valid tentative subdivision map | North Vineyard Station Specific Plan | Assume 77 moderate units at base density of 20 units per acre until rezone is complete. Once rezone is complete these will be assigned to | An 8.3 gross acre portion is zoned for multi-family development. A valid map has been approved and will divide the multi-family zoned property into |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | lower income units | two separate parcels (4 and 4.3 acres). 7.6 net acres is available for development and no environmental constraints are on the subject area. This site is vacant and is a candidate rezone site and was included in previous Housing Elements. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owner and affordable Housing developers to facilitate affordable housing development on these parcels. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--------------------|---|

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| 066007005 2 | Vineyard | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 15.64 | | North Vineyard Station Specific Plan | Assume 200 moderate units at base density of 20 units per acre until rezone is complete. Once rezone is complete 150 of these units these will be assigned to lower income unit and 50 will remain at moderate. | Road easements reduce area to 13.5 acres. This site is vacant and is a candidate rezone site and was included in previous Housing Elements. This site is located in a specific plan area and zoned RD-20 to accommodate affordable housing. The Board has taken steps to facilitate development in this area. Per Program A8, staff will work with the property owner and affordable Housing developers to facilitate |
|----------------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------|--------|--|--|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | | affordable housing development and parcel sizes that comply with SHRA multi-family lending guidelines. |
| | | | Total: | 138.08 | | | 804 | |

TABLE C-29

NON-VACANT SITES⁽²⁾

| APN | General Plan | Zoning | Maximum Density | Acres | Lower Income Capacity/Units | Reason For Inclusion In Sites Inventory |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|-------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1180300001 | Commercial/Offices | LC | 46 | 6.92 | 80 | Located in the South Sacramento Community. The existing use is an overflow parking lot for a church on an adjacent parcel and does not contain any structures. It is large enough to accommodate development and is proximate to transit at Stockton Boulevard and Massie Circle increasing the allowed density to 46 du/acre. In keeping with the conservative commercial methodology 80 units are assumed because there is ultimately a 25 percent likelihood the site will be developed residentially at 46 du/ac. Assume 1.73 acres for multi-family. |
| 2280151033 | Commercial/Offices | LC | 30 | 1.74 | 13 | Located in the Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms community. The existing use is an overflow parking lot. The entire site could be developed residentially, however in keeping with the conservative commercial methodology 13 units are assumed because there is ultimately a 25 percent likelihood the site will be developed residentially. |
| 0680580008 | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (Butterfield Light Rail Station), RD-40 | 110 | 3.62 | 96 | Located in the Cordova community. The existing use is a parking lot to the light rail station. The Butterfield Light Rail Station SPA was created for this parcel expressly to allow multifamily development at a range of 96 to 110 dwelling units. |
| 2300222045 ⁽¹⁾ | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Central SPA LC | 46 | 1.77 | 21 | Located in the Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms community in a moderate resource area. The existing use is a parking lot. A building on the property was demolished between 1995 and 2001. The entire site |

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-------|------|----|--|
| | | | | | | could be developed residentially, however in keeping with the conservative commercial methodology 21 units are assumed because there is ultimately a 25 percent likelihood the site will be developed residentially. A transit stop is located at Manzanita Avenue and Cypress Avenue within 1/4 mile of the parcel. |
| 0500391010 ⁽¹⁾ | Commercial/ Office | LC | 46 | 1.3 | 16 | Located in the South Sacramento community. The site currently has a single-family residence. The residence is assumed to remain. In keeping with the conservative commercial methodology 16 units are assumed because there is ultimately a 25 percent likelihood the site will be developed residentially. There is a transit stop at Stockton Boulevard and Gerber Road less than 1/4 mile from the parcel. |
| 2580310035 | Transit Oriented Development | Fair Oaks Blvd. Central SPA (LC) | 46 | 3.06 | 36 | Located in the Carmichael/Foothill Farms Community in a moderate resource area. This property is 3.06-acres and is developed with a parking lot in a portion of the parcel leaving the majority of the site vacant. The entire site could be developed residentially, however in keeping with the conservative commercial methodology 36 units are assumed because there is ultimately a 25 percent likelihood the site will be developed residentially. A transit stop is located on Fair Oaks Blvd. adjacent to the parcels. |
| 2200311019 ⁽¹⁾ | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 20/30 | 0.89 | 16 | There is a duplex on the property and it is surrounded by multifamily development. It is considered underutilized due to its size and zoning. The total size is 0.89-acres assume 0.68 developable acres minus existing duplex results in a development of similar size to surrounding area. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------|----|--|
| 2070141015 | Commercial/ Offices | SPA (Downtown Rio Linda), RD-20 | 20/30 | 11.2 | 53 | Located in the Elverta/Rio Linda community. This parcel has split zoning of Neighborhood Mixed Use and RD-20. The Neighborhood Mixed Use portion is developed with a retail commercial use and the RD-20 portion is vacant. This site is considered underutilized due to its size, zoning and proximity to services. Assume 2.66 developable acres due to easements. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |
| 0500010077 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 20/30 | 2.08 | 19 | Located in the South Sacramento community. The site currently has a concrete slab that was a sports court. This site is considered underutilized due to the existing zoning, lack of residences and proximity to services. Assume 0.95 acres for multifamily due to odd shape of lot. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |
| 0260102023 ⁽¹⁾ | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 20/30 | 2.13 | 23 | Located in the South Sacramento Community. The site currently has a single-family residence. This parcel is underutilized given its size, existing zoning and current development with only one residence. Assume 1.13 developable acres due to existing residence and trees. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |
| 2300091056 ⁽¹⁾ | Low Density Residential | RD-20 | 20/30 | 1.32 | 17 | Located in the Carmichael/Foothill Farms community in a moderate resource opportunity area. The site currently has a single-family residence. This parcel is underutilized given its size, existing zoning and current development with only one residence. Assume 24 units due to existing residence and trees. This parcel will be included in the County-wide rezone or other action to increase the density. |

Sacramento County Housing Element

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|--|
| 2070300009 ⁽¹⁾ | Medium Density Residential | SPA (Downtown Rio Linda), RD-20 | 20/30 | 3.0 | 18 | Located in the Elverta/Rio Linda community. The site currently has a single-family residence and is considered underutilized due to its size and zoning. Assume 0.90 developable acres due to existing residence and flood zone. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |
| 0750020015 ⁽¹⁾ | Transit Oriented Development | RD-20/O | 20 | 14.65 | 53 | Located in the Cordova community. The site currently has a single-family residence. Assume 8.33 acres is available for multi-family. The site contains 3.15 acres that is designated as O and is not available for multifamily development. The site is underutilized given its size, existing zoning and current development with only one residence and close proximity to the Butterfield Light Rail station. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |
| 2200780001 | Medium Density Residential | RD-20 | 20 | 10.46 | 84 | This site is developed with a place of worship and a 4.92-acre portion of the property is undeveloped and could accommodate housing. This is a candidate rezone site and was included in previous Housing Elements based upon inquiries about multi-family development. |
| 2080132008 | Transit Oriented Development | SPA (North Watt Ave.)Elkhorn Dist. RM-1 | 20 | 4.62 | 43-81 | This site contains a concrete slab. This site is a candidate rezone site and was not included in the previous Housing Element. |
| 0430070034 | Medium Density Residential | Old Florin Town SPA (RD-20) | 20/30 | 15.93 | 40 | This is a separate legal parcel that was vacant in the last housing element (APN: 043007022). This portion is still vacant and is 4.76 acres, but a new APN: has been issued for this parcel and the adjacent parcel that is developed with a place of worship. This parcel is a candidate rezone site. |

| | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-----|--|
| | Total | 84.69 | 628 | |
|--|-------|-------|-----|--|

(1) Existing residential uses are assumed to remain and not be affordable to lower-income levels.

(2) None of these underutilized sites were occupied by affordable housing in the last five-years.

TABLE C-30
VACANT LANDS AVAILABLE FOR EMERGENCY SHELTERS AND
SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY (NO USE PERMIT REQUIRED)
ZONING: GENERAL COMMERCIAL (GC)(1)

| APN | Community | Zoning | Acres | Bed Count |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------|-----------|
| 2200171039 | Carmichael/Old Foothill Farms | GC- General Commercial | 0.93 | 100 |
| TOTAL | | | 0.93 | 100 |

TABLE C-31
VACANT LANDS AVAILABLE FOR EMERGENCY SHELTERS AND
SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY (NO USE PERMIT REQUIRED)
ZONING: LIGHT INDUSTRIAL (M1) (1)

| APN | Community | Zoning | Acres | Bed Count |
|------------|------------------|--------|-------|-----------|
| 0670160097 | Cordova | M-1 | 1.95 | 100 |
| 2250943016 | Natomas | M-1 | 3.14 | 100 |
| 2250943035 | Natomas | M-1 | 1.73 | 100 |
| 2250944042 | Natomas | M-1 | 1.12 | 100 |
| 2250944043 | Natomas | M-1 | 1.44 | 100 |
| 2370011060 | Natomas | M-1 | 2.04 | 100 |
| 2370015010 | Natomas | M-1 | 1.91 | 100 |
| 2250941027 | Natomas | M-1 | 2.08 | 100 |
| 2250941028 | Natomas | M-1 | 2.14 | 100 |
| 0360162048 | South Sacramento | M-1 | 9.56 | 100 |
| 0670160096 | Cordova | M-1 | 1.78 | 100 |
| 0630011036 | Cordova | M-1 | 1.55 | 100 |
| TOTAL | | | 23.66 | 1200 |

APPENDIX D: VACANT LAND INVENTORY MAPS

